

VOTE SHEET

June 16, 2009

Docket No. 090145-EI – Petition for expedited approval of the deferral of pension expenses, authorization to charge storm hardening expenses to the storm damage reserve, and variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.0143(1)(c), (d), and (f), F.A.C., by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant Progress Energy Florida, Inc.’s request for a waiver of Rule 25-6.0143(1)(c), (d), and (f), F.A.C.?

Recommendation: No. The Commission should not grant a waiver of Rule 25-6.0143(1)(c), (d), and (f), F.A.C.

APPROVED

Issue 2: If the rule waiver is granted in Issue 1, should the Commission authorize Progress Energy Florida, Inc. to charge any of its 2009 storm hardening expenses against the Storm Damage Reserve?

Recommendation: No. PEF should not be authorized to charge any of its 2009 storm hardening expenses against the Storm Damage Reserve. If the Commission approves staff’s recommendation in Issue 1, this issue is moot.

MOOT

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

no a. sig

Katrina J. McMurrain

Scott B. (J) P

John T. Edge

Nancy Argenziano by Amy Klein

Nancy Argenziano by Amy Klein

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS: Commissioner Argenziano participated in the conference by telephone. The vote sheet will be signed at a later date.

Commissioner Argenziano dissents on issue 3.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05987 JUN 16 8

Vote Sheet

June 16, 2009

Docket No. 090145-EI – Petition for expedited approval of the deferral of pension expenses, authorization to charge storm hardening expenses to the storm damage reserve, and variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.0143(1)(c), (d), and (f), F.A.C., by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 3: Should PEF's request to create a regulatory asset to defer pension expense be approved?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff recommends that only the retail portion of PEF's actual 2009 pension expense, currently estimated to be \$31.5 million, should be deferred as a regulatory asset (2009 Pension Regulatory Asset). On an annual basis, PEF should use any pension expense levels below the allowance provided for in rates in the 2010 base rate proceeding in Docket No. 090079-EI to write-down the 2009 Pension Regulatory Asset. In the event such write-downs are insufficient to fully amortize the 2009 Pension Regulatory Asset, PEF should not be allowed recovery of this item through a base rate case prior to 2015. PEF has agreed it will not earn a carrying charge on this regulatory asset.

APPROVED *Commissioner Argonson's dissent.*

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

APPROVED