	l)	
1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		DOCKET NO. 090172-EI
4	In the Matter of:	
5	1	
6	PETITION TO DETERMINE NEED FOR FLORIDA ENERGYSECURE PIPELINE BY FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY./	
7		
8		
9	PROCEEDINGS:	PREHEARING CONFERENCE
10	COMMISSIONER	
11	PARTICIPATING: COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR PREHEARING OFFICER	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR PREHEARING OFFICER
12	DATE:	Monday, July 6, 2009
13	TIME:	Commenced at 1:35 p.m.
14		Concluded at 1:55 p.m.
15	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
16		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
17	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
18		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

2 PARTICIPATING:

JOHN T. BUTLER, ESQUIRE, Florida Power & Light Company, 700 Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420, representing Florida Power & Light Company.

GARY V. PERKO, ESQUIRE, Hopping Law Firm, Post Office Box 6526, Tallahassee, Florida 32314, representing Florida Power & Light Company.

FLOYD R. SELF, ESQUIRE, and ROBERT J. TELFER, III, ESQUIRE, Messer, Caparello & Self, P.A., 2618

Centennial Place, Tallahassee, Florida 32308, representing Florida Gas Transmission, LLC.

MARTHA CARTER BROWN, ESQUIRE, and ANNA WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, representing the Commission Staff.

JENNIFER BRUBAKER, ESQUIRE, FPSC General
Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing as advisor to
the Commission.

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Good afternoon. I call this prehearing to order. I'll begin by asking our staff to read the notice.

MS. BROWN: By notice issued June 17th, 2009, this time and place was set for a Prehearing Conference in Docket Number 090172-EI, petition to determine need for Florida EnergySecure Pipeline by Florida Power & Light Company. The purpose of the Prehearing Conference is set out in the notice.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. And we'll take appearances.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you. Excuse me. Commissioner, I'm John Butler, Florida Power & Light Company, appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light. And with me is Gary Perko of Hopping, Green & Sams, also appearing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company.

> COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

MR. SELF: Good afternoon, Commissioner. Floyd Self and Robert J. Telfer of the Messer, Caparello & Self Law Firm appearing on behalf of Florida Gas Transmission, LLC.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. And staff.

MS. BROWN: Martha Carter Brown and Anna Williams on behalf of the Public Service Commission.

MS. BRUBAKER: And the part of Mary Ann Helton 1 today is being played by Jennifer Brubaker, advisor to 2 the Florida Public Service Commission. 3 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 5 Okay. Staff, any preliminary matters? MS. BROWN: Staff is not aware of any, but 7 perhaps Florida Power & Light might want to bring something up at this time about the jurisdiction. 8 9 MR. PERKO: Yes. Regarding the -- in the 10 Draft Prehearing Order there's a statement regarding 11 jurisdiction that includes Chapter 368, Florida 12 Statutes. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Respectfully we don't believe that that is appropriate because this proceeding, the jurisdiction of the Commission was invoked under the Natural Gas Pipeline Citing Act, and although there's an issue related to Chapter 368, it's not really -- there's a question as to the applicability of that statute. And as such, we don't think that that should be included in the statement of jurisdiction.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Self.

MR. SELF: I think, Commissioner, that so long as there's at least a colorable claim that the Commission may have jurisdiction, it would be appropriate to list the statute there.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Ms. Brubaker, any comments?

MS. BRUBAKER: I'm sorry. I would just comment that there is a disputed issue about whether Chapter 368 is applicable to this proceeding. Frankly, whether it is in this Prehearing Order under the jurisdictional section or not, it either applies or it doesn't. I don't think there's any harm in including it in the Prehearing Order; however, if it is your pleasure to remove it if it does apply to this proceeding, I think that would be the case in any regard.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Butler, my leaning at this time is to include it. I am more persuaded by the comments of Mr. Self and Ms. Brubaker, but I'll allow you a further comment, if you would like, at this time.

MR. BUTLER: A brief comment, if I may. The statute in question deals with, you know, rate regulation of a natural gas transmission pipeline. And whether that statute applies or not, as Ms. Brubaker indicated, is one of the issues in the proceeding, Issue 14. But if it does, it applies for the purpose of, you know, determining the tariffs, the rates that FPL would file for the gas pipeline, assuming it's approved and built. This proceeding is about proving the need for the pipeline, and none of the need determination

provisions are in Chapter 368. So I would continue to contend that it really isn't appropriate as jurisdictional for this proceeding. If you feel more 3 comfortable leaving it in, I think we would just want to clarify on the record that we don't believe it governs the determination of need and that, you know, we do have 6 the live issue as to the definitional applicability of 7 8 it for the rate regulatory authority. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: All right. Thank you. 9 Mr. Self, anything further? 10 MR. SELF: I think, Commissioner, that we've 11

1

2

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

got several issues in the proceeding that go beyond the determination of need: For example, the treatment of whether it should be in the rate base or not. think so long as there's a claim that it may apply, that it's better to just leave it in.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. I am comfortable that I understand the points that have been raised, and I will give it some further thought, take it under advisement.

Anything else that we could say at this time as preliminary?

MS. BROWN: Not that I'm aware of, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Mr. Self?

MR. SELF: Thank you, Commissioner. As you know, FPL filed testimony on Thursday, which was the day that the prehearing statements were due, and I have two brief comments. One is it was our intent to have more developed position statements available here at the Prehearing Conference today, and because we're still trying to digest the rebuttal testimony that was filed, I don't have those with me today. I hope to have them to the parties no later than tomorrow morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The second issue is because FPL did in fact file rebuttal testimony on Thursday, we believe that there are some new issues that have -- not issues as in our issues list issues, but new points that have been raised in the testimony. And, quite frankly, we're trying to ascertain the best way to respond to that. all I'd like to do is simply put you and the other parties on notice that we could potentially ask for the opportunity to file surrebuttal testimony to address those, or potentially in lieu of filing surrebuttal to perhaps afford a little leeway at the hearing itself for the witnesses to address some of those additional points that, that we believe are new or changed from what we had seen previously in the direct testimony. And what I would do is certainly talk with staff counsel and FPL before we would make a formal request with respect to

either of those.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Butler.

MR. BUTLER: I think we'll need to see what we see before we can be very definitive on it. But I would just as a general principle say that some form of addressing those points, if there indeed is something, that it makes sense to be addressed in writing before the hearing I think would be our preference rather than having something where it's live testimony at the hearing on points that have not previously been disclosed. That doesn't seem very consistent with the general practice of trying to keep the direct testimony on prefiled to the greatest extent possible.

commissioner EDGAR: So with, with that comment, am I to understand that from what Mr. Self described, your preference would be the filing of surrebuttal testimony?

MR. BUTLER: If it is warranted, I think that would be my preference. We'd have to see what issues they feel warrant either treatment. But if one of them was warranted, the surrebuttal would be my preference.

commissioner EDGAR: Okay. And, and in this instance I agree with what Mr. Butler has said. That would, I think, be my preference as well just for clarity that everybody is looking at the same thing at

the same time in advance, but again realizing that, as 1 2 you have said, we kind of need to see where we go and 3 where it takes us. As to the submission of more detailed or additional or however you described it position 5 statements, within -- did you say a time? 7 MR. SELF: By noon tomorrow. **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** By noon tomorrow? 8 9 Mr. Butler, that works for you? MR. BUTLER: That's fine. 10 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And staff? 11 MS. BROWN: Yes, that's fine. 12 13 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. And, of course, I 14 realize the compressed timeline that we are all working 15 under, so. Okay. Any other matters at this time? 16 MR, SELF: Thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 18 MS. BROWN: Yes, Commissioner. I guess we're 19 ready to go through the Draft Prehearing Order. 20 CHAIRMAN EDGAR: Okay. Then I assume that 21 everyone has the draft that Ms. Brown put together and I 22 believe circulated. And, yes, I do. So as always, just 23 like we always do, we'll go through them slowly section by section. Just speak up and let me know if there's 24 25 something that you would like us to address.

1 Section I, case background. 2 Hearing none, Section II, conduct of 3 proceedings. Section III, jurisdiction, we have previously 5 discussed. Anything additional? Hearing none, Section IV, procedure for 6 7 confidential information. MR. PERKO: Commissioner Edgar. 8 9 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes. 10 MR. PERKO: I would just point out that that 11 provision also includes a reference to Chapter 368. So 12 if your ruling on Category 3 is that that should come 13 out, then we believe it should come out in Section IV as well. 14 15 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: All right. Thank you. 16 appreciate that point and would agree with the internal 17 consistency one way or the other. 18 Okay. Section V, prefiled testimony and 19 exhibits, witnesses. 20 MS. BROWN: Commissioner? 21 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes, ma'am. 22 MS. BROWN: We expect that at least some 23 witnesses can be excused from the hearing. We, we hope 24 anyway. And if they can, if the Commissioners don't 25 have any questions for them, the Prehearing Order will

state that staff will notify the parties by July 21st, 1 2009, if they have been excused. 2 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: To state the obvious, it 3 looks by quick count to me that we have 11 witnesses on 4 direct and five, six, six on rebuttal and two days of 5 hearing; obviously a number of technical issues and 6 perhaps subissues or points within. Is there the 7 opportunity at this time to request that any witnesses 8 be stipulated? 9 MR. SELF: We're not ready to do that yet. 10 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Not there yet? 11 MR. PERKO: Nor are we. 12 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Okay. Well, then 13 I would just encourage consideration of that and 14 15 continued discussion. Okay. That brings us to Section VII, basic 16 positions, we also have discussed with the understanding 17 that Mr. Self and FGT will give us additional statements 18 19 to be put into the appropriate places by our staff. Section VIII. 20 MR. PERKO: Commissioner Edgar. 21 22 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes. MR. PERKO: We'd request the same courtesy, 23 that we'd be able to refine our positions up 'til noon 24 25 tomorrow, if that would be appropriate.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Self is nodding and I agree. That's fine. Thank you. Just work with our staff, of course.

I believe that brings us to Section IX, exhibit list.

MR. SELF: Commissioner, I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes. That's okay.

MR. SELF: Back on the order of witnesses.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay.

MR. SELF: The draft says, "Those preceded by a plus sign will present direct and rebuttal together."

There are no plus signs. Is it the intent to just have each witness up one time?

MR. BUTLER: It is not FPL's intent. I mean, our preference would be to present the witnesses as shown in the Prehearing Order, you know, separately for direct and rebuttal. And that's kind of the usual Commission practice, my experience, if there is not some special circumstance of timing or otherwise that it makes sense to do it. And here we've got -- our rebuttal testimony is specifically addressing, you know, the testimony of FGT's witnesses. It's focused on it. It seems like it would be more productive to leave it as it is.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And in my time here,

which is probably not as long as yours, Mr. Butler, but I think we've done it many times both ways, and my general thinking is that we certainly try to accommodate the schedules of witnesses when that comes into play, especially with a proceeding that lasts over the course of a week or two weeks. This one we have two days, so less of an issue, I would think.

g

And my preference is then to try to have, of course, the record be in, flow in the matter easiest for all. So my thinking would be at this point to take the witnesses in, in the order that they are with rebuttal at the end, especially if we're considering maybe surrebuttal just to keep things orderly. But if there is a reason that somebody needs to be accommodated out of order or put together, I mean, I certainly will consider that, and my expectation would be the Chair would as well at hearing.

MR. SELF: That's fine, Commissioner. You're right. I've seen it done both ways many times. My only concern was just, again, trying to be efficient. The subject of some of the rebuttal testimony obviously includes stuff that was covered in the direct testimony of the witness. You know, I don't want to get into a disagreement at the hearing about, no, that's proper for rebuttal only versus direct. I just think it's more

efficient if we do them together, but obviously the Commission's preference.

1.5

commissioner edgar: Okay. Then what I would say is let's leave it as it is for now. There's always the opportunity to make a request at hearing. But prior to that, before this is finalized, I'm also hoping we may have some witnesses stipulated and we can perhaps address it that way as well. And that I think takes us back to Section IX, exhibits.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: We were still back on issues, weren't we? I blew right through there. Okay. Let's go back to issues.

MS. BROWN: May I just say that we really have not had a lot of discussions about stipulations of issues so far, but we have some time before the hearing and we'll try to see what we could come up with, if anything.

commissioner EDGAR: Okay. And I understand, as is reflected in this draft, that Issue 12 is under consideration for a proposed stipulation. Is that still where we are?

MS. BROWN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. And, Ms. Brown, we were going to discuss, I think, some language, potential

1 changes on Issue 11. MS. BROWN: Yes. I think Mr. Butler had --2 3 did you have something to --MR. BUTLER: I was just going to say that FPL 4 is prepared to stipulate Issue 12, if the other parties 5 are. And if we want to knock it out today, that's fine 6 with me. It doesn't seem like there's any disagreement. 7 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Mr. Self? 8 MR. SELF: I think that's fine. 9 10 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. 11 MS. BROWN: Staff will reflect that in the 12 Prehearing Order. 13 Thank you. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: 14 MS. BROWN: Now as to Issue 11, I've had 15 discussions with both parties, and they have agreed to 16 reword that issue to state, "Is it appropriate for 17 Florida Power & Light Company to recover the cost 18 associated with its proposed EnergySecure natural gas 19 transmission pipeline through its electric utility rate 20 base?" And I think everyone is amenable to that change. 21 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. To our staff, 22 thank you for raising that and working with the parties. 23 Mr. Butler --24 MR. BUTLER: Yes. 25 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** -- you're fine with that

rewording? 1 Mr. Self? 2 MR. SELF: Yes, ma'am. 3 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Good. Thank you 4 to both parties and to our staff. We will show Issue 5 11 to be reworded as Ms. Brown has read. 6 Anything else on issues? 7 MS. BROWN: And then, of course, with respect 8 to the more detailed positions from the parties, that'll 9 10 be taken care of by tomorrow. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: All done with issues? 11 12 MS. BROWN: Yes. 13 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. I'm not aware of 14 anything else either. Okay. 15 Again, Section IX, exhibits. Section X, proposed stipulations, which we 16 17 have, I believe, addressed. Let me get caught up. Okay. So Section X obviously will be revised 18 19 20 MS. BROWN: Yes. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: -- to reflect our 21 22 discussion this afternoon. 23 Section XI, pending motions. 24 MR. SELF: Commissioner, may I jump back just 25 one second, please, to the exhibits? There's been a lot

of discovery in the docket so far. We've got some depositions scheduled for next week. I just wanted to see what the sense or intent was with respect to putting some, potentially all of that discovery, probably not all of it but at least some of it into the record by way of stipulation.

MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I'll certainly have those discussions with the parties because I have a couple of ideas on how to do it that might be efficient. So I'll get with the parties on that and have -- if you want, I can have the Prehearing Order reflect that, or, if not, we'll just go with our usual Comprehensive Exhibit List and our stipulated discovery exhibits, if that's all right with everyone, at the hearing.

MR. SELF: Whatever works.

MS. BROWN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Butler?

MR. BUTLER: That would be fine.

absolutely want to take advantage of all of the work that has been done, give us a full record, give us clarity, and I would be hopeful that the discovery process incorporating some of that will help us to get there. So Ms. Brown will continue to work with the parties for an orderly exhibit record for all of our

edification. 1 And that brings us back to pending motions. 2 MS. BROWN: I'm not aware of any pending 3 motions, Commissioner. 4 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Nor am I. Any, any about 5 to be pending? 6 MR. SELF: Maybe. 7 Okay. Fair enough. 8 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: 9 Section XII, pending confidentiality matters. I understand that we have two pending here, maybe a 10 11 third; is that correct? 12 MR. PERKO: That's correct, Commissioner 13 Edgar. On Thursday, July 2nd, FPL filed a request for confidentiality and will be filing a request for 14 15 confidentiality in accordance with the notices of intent 16 that are referenced in the order. So if those are filed 17 before the Prehearing Order, we'll make sure that's reflected. 18 19 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. And my desire is 20 to try to address all of those issues prior to hearing, 21 and my commitment is to turn an order back around to the 22 parties so that we can do that on our end. Okay. And that brings us to XIII, posthearing 23 24 procedures. 25 MR. PERKO: Commissioner Edgar?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes. 1 MR. PERKO: One thought on the posthearing 2 procedures is that, due to the complexity of this case, 3 we may need some relief from the 40-page limit on the posthearing statements and briefs. Our thinking is 50 5 to 60 may be appropriate. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Self? 7 8 MR. SELF: I would agree. **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Anything from staff? 9 MS. BROWN: Staff will -- whatever. We're 10 11 comfortable with whatever the parties want to do. 12 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** How about 55? 13 MR. PERKO: Excuse me? 14 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR: 55?** MR. PERKO: That would be fine. 15 MR. SELF: Do I hear 60? 16 17 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: No, not from me. 18 Then please change the draft to reflect a total page 19 limit for briefs at 55 pages. 20 MS. BROWN: All right. 21 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And that brings us to 22 Section XIV, rulings, opening statements. I am hopeful 23 for opening statements. 24 MS. BROWN: Commissioner, I had proposed to 25 you earlier five minutes. The Prehearing Order reflects

ten. I will change that, if you would like me to. 1 2 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Well, let me hear from 3 the parties. What is your desire? Does five minutes do 4 it, succinct, to the point, or --MR. BUTLER: It would be succinct and to the 5 6 point. I guess I would prefer ten, but we can, we can 7 live with five, if that's your preference, but ten would 8 be better. 9 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Self? 10 MR. SELF: I can make five work. 11 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: All right. I tell you 12 what. Let's leave it at ten. I happen to be a big fan of opening statements. And if you can do it in five, do 13 14 it in five -- back to my earlier comment about how many 15 witnesses in two days that we have. But we'll give you 16 the latitude to have the time that you need to lay 17 things out for us. 18 Okay. Any other matters? 19 MS. BROWN: I'm not aware of any, 20 Commissioner. 21 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Nor am I. Anything else 22 from either of the parties? 23 MR. BUTLER: No. 24 MR. SELF: No. Thank you. 25 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: No? All right.

you. Once again, I appreciate all of you working
together, and I look forward to hearing. We are
adjourned.
(Prehearing Conference adjourned at 1:55 p.m.)
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
7	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
8	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9 ;	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
10	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 14th day of July,
13	2009.
14	
15	LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR
16	FPSC Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6734
17	(000) 413 0704
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	