BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 In the Matter of: 3 COMMISSION REVIEW OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 080407-EG CONSERVATION GOALS (FLORIDA 5 POWER & LIGHT COMPANY). DOCKET NO. 080408-EG COMMISSION REVIEW OF NUMERIC 6 CONSERVATION GOALS (PROGRESS 7 ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.). COMMISSION REVIEW OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 080409-EG 8 CONSERVATION GOALS (TAMPA 9 ELECTRIC COMPANY). COMMISSION REVIEW OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 080410-EG 10 CONSERVATION GOALS (GULF 11 POWER COMPANY). COMMISSION REVIEW OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 080411-EG 12 CONSERVATION GOALS (FLORIDA 13 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY). 14 COMMISSION REVIEW OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 080412-EG 15 CONSERVATION GOALS (ORLANDO UTILITIES COMMISSION). 16 COMMISSION REVIEW OF NUMERIC DOCKET NO. 080413-17 CONSERVATION GOALS (JEA). 18 19 20

PROCEEDINGS:

PREHEARING

22 BEFORE:

CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II

PREHEARING OFFICER

23

21

DATE: Monday, August 3, 2009

25

24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Commenced at 9:30 a.m. TIME: Concluded at 10:06 a.m. Betty Easley Conference Center PLACE: Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida REPORTED BY: JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732

PARTICIPATING:

JEREMY SUSAC, ESQUIRE, Florida Energy and Climate Commission, Governor's Energy Office, 600 South Calhoun Street, Suite 251, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0001, representing Florida Energy and Climate Commission.

VICKI G. KAUFMAN, ESQUIRE, c/o Keefe Law Firm,
The Perkins House, 118 North Gadsden Street,
Tallahassee, Florida 32301, representing Florida
Industrial Power Users Group.

SUZANNE BROWNLESS, ESQUIRE, c/o Suzanne
Brownless, P.A., 1975 Buford Blvd., Tallahassee, Florida
32308, representing Florida Solar Coalition.

E. LEON JACOBS, JR., ESQUIRE, c/o Williams & Jacobs, LLC, 1720 South Gadsden Street, MS 14, Suite 201, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, and BRANDI COLANDER, BENJAMIN LONGSTRETH, and GEORGE CAVROS, representing SACE and NRDC.

CHARLIE GUYTON, ESQUIRE, Squire Law Firm, 215
South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida
32301, representing Florida Power & Light Company.

JOHN T. BURNETT, Progress Energy Service

Company, LLC, Post Office Box 14042, Saint Petersburg,

Florida 33733-4042, representing Progress Energy

Florida, Inc.

JAMES D. BEASLEY and LEE L. WILLIS, ESQUIRE, 1 Ausley Law Firm, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, 2 Florida 32302, representing Tampa Electric Company. 3 STEVEN R. GRIFFIN, ESQUIRE, and RUSSELL 4 BADDERS, ESQUIRE, Beggs & Lane Law Firm, P.O. Box 12950, 5 Pensacola, Florida 32591, representing Gulf Power 6 7 Company. NORMAN H. HORTON, JR., ESQUIRE, Messer Law 8 Firm, Post Office Box 15579, Tallahassee, Florida 32317, 9 10 representing Florida Public Utilities Company 11 ROY C. YOUNG, ESQUIRE, Young Law Firm, 225 12 South Adams Street, Suite 200, Tallahassee, Florida 13 32301, representing Orlando Utilities Commission. 14 KATHERINE FLEMING, ESQUIRE and ERIC SAYLER, 15 ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak 16 Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, representing 17 the Commission Staff. 18 MARY ANNE HELTON, Deputy General Counsel, 19 Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak 20 Blvd., Tallahassee, Florida 32399, Advisor to the 21 Commission. 22 23 24

25

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN CARTER: Good morning to one and all. 2 I'd like to call this hearing to order. Actually, it's 3 a prehearing, but let's call it to order anyway. 4 Staff, would you please read the notice. 5 MS. FLEMING: Pursuant to notice issued by the 6 Commission Clerk, this time and place has been set for a 7 prehearing conference in Docket Numbers 080407-EG 8 through 080413-EG. 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Let's take 10 11 appearances.

> MR. GUYTON: Charles Guyton with the law firm of Squire Sanders and Dempsey appearing on behalf of Florida Power and Light Company.

MR. GRIFFIN: Steven Griffin with the Beggs and Lane law firm appearing on behalf of Gulf Power. Also, Russell Badders.

MR. BEASLEY: James D. Beasley of the law firm of Ausley and McMullen appearing on behalf of Tampa Electric Company with Lee L. Willis.

MR. BURNETT: John Burnett on behalf of Progress Energy Florida.

MR. HORTON: Norman H. Horton, Jr., with the law firm of Messer Caparello and Self on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

1	MR. YOUNG: ROY found with the film of found
2	Van Assenderp here in Tallahassee appearing on behalf of
3	the Orlando Utilities Commission.
4	MR. PERKO: Gary Perko of the law firm of
5	Hopping Green and Sams appearing on behalf of JEA.
6	MS. KAUFMAN: Vicki Gordon Kaufman of the law
7	firm Keefe Anchors Gordon and Moyle on behalf of the
8	Florida Industrial Power Users Group.
9	MR. JACOBS: Leon Jacobs of the firm of
10	Williams and Jacobs appearing on behalf of the Natural
11	Resources Defense Council and the Southern Alliance of
12	Clean Energy joined by Mr. Ben Longstreth, Ms. Brandi
13	Colander, and Mr. George Cavros.
14	MS. BROWNLESS: Suzanne Brownless, Suzanne
15	Brownless PA, appearing on behalf of the Florida Solar
16	Coalition.
17	MR. SUSAC: Good morning, Chairman. Jeremy
18	Susac appearing on behalf of the Florida Energy and
19	Climate Commission.
20	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Jeremy, we can get you a
21	better seat. How about over there? We could do a
22	little better than that.
23	MR. SUSAC: Thank you very much, Chairman.
24	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Did we get all the
25	parties before I go to staff? Did we get all the

parties yet? 1 Staff. 2 MS. FLEMING: Katherine Fleming and Erik 3 Sayler appearing on behalf of the Commission. 4 MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton, Advisor to the 5 Commission. 6 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you. That covered all 7 the parties as well as staff. 8 Okav. Let's move to preliminary matters. 9 MS. FLEMING: Yes, Chairman. 10 Last week we disseminated an e-mail, staff 11 12 disseminated an e-mail regarding the Florida Energy and Climate Commission's request to put in an exhibit of the 13 government action plan. We would suggest that we take 14 15 that up as a preliminary matter to hear from the parties 16 whether there could be a stipulation reached with 17 respect to this exhibit. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Let's tee it up, 18 19 gentlemen and ladies. 20 MR. GUYTON: Commissioner, Charlie Guyton on 21 behalf of FPL. 22 FPL is willing to stipulate to the 23 authenticity of this document being the report of the 24 Governor's Climate Task Force. FPL would stop short of

stipulating that it's appropriate evidence for

25

consideration by the Commission as to what the 1 appropriate goals would be. 2 It is much broader in scope than the statutory 3 comments that are requested of FECC. It is not from 4 FECC, but another entity, and we just simply reserve our 5 opportunity to raise any specific objections if it's 6 7 offered for purposes of evidence as to what the 8 appropriate goals would be. 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. 10 MR. GRIFFIN: And Gulf would concur with that 11 position. 12 MR. BEASLEY: As does Tampa Electric Company. 13 MR. BURNETT: As does Progress, sir. 14 MR. HORTON: And FPUC. 1.5 MR. YOUNG: As does OUC. 16 MR. PERKO: As does JEA. 17 MS. KAUFMAN: We have no objection to the 18 exhibit. 19 MR. JACOBS: Mr. Chairman, NRC and SACE are in 20 agreement with the proposed stipulation. We believe 21 that not only does it stand for the weight that 22 Mr. Guyton would give it, but we believe it has 23 important relevance to the Commission's decision here. 24 The statute is in the direction it gives that the

25

Florida Energy Climate Commission should have a role in

these processes, and it's clear that that role should be substantive.

We believe that this is a pertinent and relevant piece of evidence and it comes from the

relevant piece of evidence and it comes from the legislative progeny of the Commission, its predecessors, if you will. So we believe that the stipulation on its own should be adopted.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Brownless.

MS. BROWNLESS: We would agree with Mr. Jacobs and say that it should be admitted both for the substance contained therein as well as the comments. In other words, it should be able to be relied upon as evidence in the hearing.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Mr. Susac.

MR. SUSAC: Thank you, Chairman.

I think we are all saying a similar thing. We would agree to the stipulations. We look forward to working with the parties. We would like the document just entered in and the Commission can give it the weight it's due.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Ms. Fleming.

MS. FLEMING: The statute states that the Florida Energy and Climate Commission shall file with

the Commission comments on the proposed goals, including but not limited to. With the language "not limited to" it is more expansive than the three areas that they are designated within the statute. Staff would recommend that this be put in as an exhibit and the Commissioners can give it the weight that it's due. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Helton. MS. HELTON: Mr. Chairman, I agree with the conclusion that Ms. Fleming reaches that the exhibit should be entered into the record and given the weight it's due.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Show it done. The next matter.

MS. FLEMING: And staff will designate this exhibit in the Comprehensive Exhibit List.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. It was clear in my ruling, right, that it's accepted and we will give it whatever weight it's due. Okay. Whatever concerns that any party may have, you may raise them at the appropriate time, but my ruling stands, okay. All right. Everybody clear? It's about as clear as mud, right?

Staff, preliminary matters.

MS. FLEMING: I am not aware of any other preliminary matters at this time.

Ms.

-

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Are there any preliminary matters of any of the parties at this time?

Ms. Brownless.

MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir, we have one preliminary matter, and that is with regard to how the hearing will be conducted. Will there be an opportunity at the beginning of the hearing for public comment?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Helton.

MS. HELTON: I haven't looked at FEECA with respect to that particular point as far as it contemplates public comment. My recollection is from prior readings that it does not. Typically, the Commission hears public comment in ratemaking matters and need determinations. I just don't believe that the Legislature contemplated the need for public comment, but if Ms. Brownless knows of a particular part of the statute that does and she can point it out to me, I would be happy to look at it.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Brownless.

MS. BROWNLESS: Commissioner, what I would argue is that it is obvious that the goals proceeding will have a direct and immediate impact on the rates. As a matter of fact, that is vociferously and strongly being argued by everyone to my right that they believe it is essential that rate impacts be taken into account

in setting FEECA goals. Because whatever goals come up with -- you know, are set here affect the recovery factor and the recovery factor dramatically affects rates.

It's the conservation goals recovery factor that goes into developing rates, so I believe because it is, in that sense, directly affecting the rates paid by the average run-of-the-mill customer there should be some opportunity for public comment.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I still didn't hear any statutory reference.

MS. HELTON: No, sir. Maybe this is one of those things that we could take under advisement and look further at the statute and you can make your ruling in the prehearing order.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Let's do that, and any of the parties that wish to submit information can do so. Let's do it that way. Any further preliminary matters? Yes, sir.

MR. YOUNG: Mr. Chairman, I don't know if this is the proper place, but OUC did not indicate the numbers of the issues either for their witnesses or their rebuttal witnesses, and I'm prepared to put those numbers in now if appropriate.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hang on one second.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Staff.

MS. FLEMING: What I would suggest is that any designation of the number of issues that the witnesses are testifying to, or any changes in their positions, those be provided to staff by e-mail, and we will incorporate those in the final prehearing order.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. We'll allow staff to do that. That would be better for all parties involved. We can kind of sequentially put that in there. That's normally done. Okay.

Any further preliminary matters from the parties? Okay. That was about as fun as going to get a root canal. Those of you that have seen me as Prehearing Officer before know that I like to go through the document, and if there are any comments, you make your comments. If not, we move on.

Those that have not seen me as Prehearing

Officer before, what I like to do is I like to go

through the document, and if there are any comments we

deal with them at that point in time. If there's no

comments, then don't feel the need to be extemporaneous

or anything like that, okay?

Let's go through the draft of the prehearing order.

Section I, Case Background.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	Section II, Conduct of the Proceedings.
2	Section III, Jurisdiction.
3	Section IV, Procedure for Handling
4	Confidential Information.
5	Ms. Brownless, you're recognized.
6	MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you.
7	With regard to the order of witnesses, and
8	this is just for my understanding.
9	CHAIRMAN CARTER: We're not there yet.
10	MS. BROWNLESS: Oh. I'm sorry, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. That's Section VI.
12	Those Roman numerals, they kind of get no problem.
13	On Section IV, Procedure for Handling
14	Confidential Information.
15	MR. JACOBS: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Mr. Jacobs.
17	MR. JACOBS: You know what, that doesn't
18	happen in this case. I'm getting cases mixed up. That
19	was another case where there was some confidential
20	deposition exhibits, but that's not the case here, so
21	strike that.
22	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Section V, Prefiled
23	Testimony and Exhibits; Witnesses.
24	Staff, I'm going to allow you guys to kind of
25	explain that, because you know my style. So you're

recognized.

MS. FLEMING: We would just point out under Section V that summaries of testimony shall be limited to five minutes.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: That's the testimony of your witnesses. Anything further on Section V, Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits and Witnesses. Okay.

Now, Ms. Brownless, Section VI, Order of Witnesses. You're recognized.

MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you, sir.

All I was wondering was, Mr. Kushner is here several times because obviously he has offered testimony about several of the different parties here. Are we going to try to combine everybody's direct and rebuttal testimony in one fell swoop, or put Mr. Kushner up one time and allow him to testify about both OUC and JEA?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: What we're probably going to do is that once staff has a complete listing of the issues and the witnesses and all, they'll make some recommendations, and I would recommend to each of you to work with our staff as expeditiously as possible as they put together that process. So we'll try to make it as streamlined as possible and as convenient for the parties as well as all of those involved. When I say all those involved, the witnesses, as well, because

there may be some traveling concerns and things of that nature, as well as there may be some witnesses that are in direct that won't be in rebuttal, and we'll try to accommodate you there.

Staff.

MS. FLEMING: I would note for the record that this morning I did receive a sheet showing me the order of witnesses for the utilities. I know Mr. Kushner appears once. It's my understanding that he will be appearing once for OUC and JEA. I would have the parties address that, but we will work with all the parties in streamlining this hearing process because we do have five days for this hearing.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Yes, and we would like to stay within that because time is really a premium this time of year. And as I said, please work with our staff. We'll try to get the prehearing order out as soon as possible this week. So please work with our staff. Okay.

MS. BROWNLESS: And, Commissioner, will we be getting an e-mail, or when you put out the final prehearing order, Ms. Fleming, will it have the correct order of witnesses?

MS. FLEMING: The final prehearing order will incorporate all changes made at the prehearing

conference today, including the order of witnesses, any 1 changes in positions, and we will try to get that out --2 our goal is by Wednesday so that the parties can at 3 least have enough time to look at the document prior to the hearing. 5 MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you, ma'am. 6 CHAIRMAN CARTER: And I'll be available to 7 make sure it gets done posthaste. All right. 8 MS. KAUFMAN: Mr. Chairman. 9 10 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Kaufman. Ms. Gordon-Kaufman. 11 12 MS. KAUFMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 In light of streamlining the process and keeping expenses down, FIPUG has one witness. 14 In this 15 case it has one set of testimony, Mr. Pollock. He has 16 to travel here from St. Louis, Missouri, and I was 17 wondering if we could get a date certain for him to 18 appear. I'm assuming the utilities will go first, so 19 maybe mid-week just so that he can plan for his travel 20 in trying to get the least costly ticket that he can. CHAIRMAN CARTER: I don't know if we're there 21 22 yet. Are we, Ms. Fleming?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

there yet. I would point out at this point if there are

any witnesses that the parties are willing to stipulate,

MS. FLEMING: Chairman, I don't believe we are

23

24

25

please convey that information to staff. That will also help us to streamline this process and maybe make a better determination as to when Mr. Pollock will be on the stand. But at this point I think it's too soon to tell.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Anything further on order of witnesses? Okay.

Section VII, Basic Positions.

MR. JACOBS: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, we will come back. I have a very similar requests to

Ms. Gordon-Kaufman's, but I will hold until the proper time.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: About the order of witnesses?

MR. JACOBS: Yes.

Staff. I mean, the thing about it, it's going to be -if the parties, if you guys can get together and
stipulate to some witnesses and all like that, that will
help with the process. Also, once we know who's on
first, and what's on second, and I-don't-know is on
third, and those kinds of things, as we get that lined
up it will be a lot easier for staff to incorporate that
within the context of the prehearing order as well as
communicate that to the parties.

1 by the end of the day. 2 3 4 5 6 you're recognized. 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 issues. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 we're not asking you to give away the farm or anything 22 like this. It is merely a procedural perspective. 23 Mr. Jacobs.

24

25

MR. JACOBS: We'll make sure we do that also CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay, thank you. Section VII, Basic Positions. Section VIII, Issues and Positions. Staff, MS. FLEMING: Staff would note that the parties are in agreement on Issues 1 through 13. There are three additional issues that have been raised by the parties during an Issue ID; they are identified as Issues 14, 15, and 16. Staff would suggest that we just check with the parties to see if there are any comments with respect to including these three issues in the CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Have you guys had an opportunity to look those over in terms of the incorporation of 14, 15, and 16? Are there any objections of any of the parties? We are really just talking about incorporating them from the perspective that they have been listed here, not that you would --

MR. JACOBS: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As we have indicated in our positions to

Issues 15 and 16, we believe that these issues -- and I 1 won't belabor this. We have had this conversation 2 already in our preliminary meetings, but we believe that 3 these issues are subsumed in prior issues. But one 4 point I would add here, a considerable concern is, 5 particularly with regard to Issue 15, is that by 6 including this issue it could give an appearance, at 7 least, as if the Commission is welcoming the parties to 8 give them an interpretation. If you buy our argument 9 that Issue 15 is subsumed in Issue 7, then the only 10 11 additional value for Issue 15 then is to invite 12 statutory interpretation.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: But if we don't buy your
argument, then --

MR. JACOBS: Yes, sir.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. We're talking about Issues 14, 15, and 16, hearing from the parties. I'll come to you in a minute, staff. I want to hear from the parties.

Yes, sir, you are recognized.

MR. YOUNG: Yes, sir. I'm responsible on behalf of OUC, I think, for Issue 15, and the idea that the Commission should not give any consideration to the impact of the goals that it might set on the rates of the customers of those utilities is just a foreign

argument to me.

The paramount interest of this Commission is to protect the ratepayers and to protect them on how much they have to pay for their rates. So to take a position or an argument that you ought not even consider that I think flies in the face of what the Public Service Commission stands for and what it has been standing for for these many years.

As to the statute itself, I don't think anything that happened in the last session or two on the FEECA goals changed the fact that you need to consider the impact of rates on the individual ratepayers. I would point out that the statute itself gives the Commission the authority to deny plans that would be submitted following these rate goals that you think have an undue impact on the cost passed on to the customers.

I would also point out that as far as the munies are concerned, we are sort of in a different position. You have rate-setting ability for the IOUs, you don't with us, and that is a difference, and it's a difference that we ought to recognize in this hearing.

In the FEECA legislation, you, as a Commission, for example, can give the IOUs rewards if they exceed their goals. You can actually give them an added rate of return on equity up to 50 basis points if

they exceed 20 percent of their annual goals. You also can consider their experience in this area when you are taking action on their rates when they come before you.

That's not anything that the munies can consider. Our stockholders are our ratepayers. Our dividends to our stockholders are keeping the rates low, and anything that happens that increases the rates to those customers, especially now at this particular point in time in our history, would be devastating to a lot of our folks. We plan on putting on testimony, if allowed, that will show that a very large segment of our customers are in the service industry and by nature make fairly low income, and by nature live in rental housing to a large extent, probably more so than any other percentage-wise service area of any of the utilities in the state.

And although the impact of some of the goals that are being proposed by some of the intervenors' testimony would seem like it might be minor money to some of us, it isn't minor money to folks that don't have any money. And so I think that the Commission would, should, and must consider the impact of costs when they are establishing the goals for all the utilities, but especially for the munies.

Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Mr. Perko.

MR. PERKO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

JEA concurs that Issues 15 and 16 should be in this case. I won't belabor the points made by Mr. Young. However, I would like to respond to Mr. Jacobs' argument that these issues would somehow invite statutory interpretation. I think necessarily and in the testimony of virtually all parties, if not all parties, there is discussion of the statute. I think that's necessary for the Commission to do. And to suggest that the parties can't discuss statutory interpretation is just not right.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Any further parties before I go to staff? Any further parties? Okay. Staff.

MS. FLEMING: The statute contemplates that the Commission shall take into consideration the costs and benefits to the general body of ratepayers as a whole. We believe that this issue does address that section of the statute. While it may be accurate that some of the positions taken under this issue could be subsumed under Issue 7, Issue 7 goes specifically to the cost-effectiveness of the test, and we believe that this is an issue that may be necessary for the parties to highlight their specific rate impacts on their specific

customers.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

Ms. Helton, anything further?

MS. HELTON: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Well, we'll leave it in.

We'll allow those in. That seems to make sense, and you
guys can make your argument at the appropriate time.

Anything further on the issues and positions?
Sections IX, Exhibit List.

Staff, you're recognized.

MS. FLEMING: Staff is in the process of preparing a Comprehensive Exhibit List. That Comprehensive Exhibit List will consist of prefiled testimony as well as any additional stipulated exhibits that we can get as part of staff's composite exhibit.

We have provided several e-mails to the parties that contain interrogatories, PODs, the technical potential studies, as well as the ten-year site plans. We have asked the parties to respond by tomorrow at 2:00 to let us know whether the parties can stipulate to those exhibits. If so, those will also be included in the Comprehensive Exhibit List. And we will hope to get a finalized Comprehensive Exhibit List by the end of the week so that the parties can see the list prior to the hearing.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Anything further from the parties on exhibit lists?

Mr. Jacobs.

2.2

MR. JACOBS: Mr. Chairman, thank you.

There is one report that came out in the last week that we are reviewing to determine, but I'll get with staff, but I just wanted to kind of alert here, and I will meet those time deadlines. We will get to staff to determine whether or not we want to try to make that an additional exhibit to one of our witnesses, but it only came out in the last week, so that's why it's not in here. And I'll meet those deadlines and determine whether or not we will supplement it as an exhibit.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Helton. I mean, Ms. Fleming.

MS. FLEMING: I'm not clear as to what Mr.
Jacobs is proposing. Could you --

MR. JACOBS: Yes. I'm proposing that we may want to add an exhibit for one of our witnesses, and that exhibit will consist of a report that was only published in the last week. That's why we didn't have it in our prehearing statement. And, quite frankly, we want to make sure -- we are doing our due diligence to make sure that it is a piece of evidence that we would like to propose. So I'll have that answer to staff

within the time deadline that they have submitted, but I 1 wanted to just alert them to that now. 2 MS. FLEMING: Just to be clear, the staff 3 exhibit list that we have provided are interrogatories, 4 the technical potential studies. My understanding is 5 that Mr. Jacobs want to add an additional exhibit to his prefiled testimony, is that --7 MR. JACOBS: That is correct, not to your 8 stipulated list, to ours. 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Helton. 10 MS. HELTON: Mr. Chairman, the time has come 11 and gone for filing prefiled testimony for both the 12 utilities and the intervenors. It seems to me that 13 that's something that when Mr. Jacobs has that report in 14 hand and decides he would like to attempt to get it into 15 the record that he would need to file a motion for your 16 17 disposition as to whether that is appropriate or not. 18 I think that would be, at this point, untimely filed. And without knowing more specifics, I think it's 19 20 very hard to address it here today. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. That will be fine, 21 and also that will give the parties an opportunity to 22 23 look it over. MR. JACOBS: We can take that approach, Mr. 24 25 Chairman. No problem.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Show it done. 1 MS. KAUFMAN: Mr. Chairman. 2 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Kaufman. 3 MS. KAUFMAN: Over the weekend I have been in communication with Ms. Fleming about an additional group 5 of interrogatories that FIPUG would like to add to the 6 Stipulated Exhibit List. I believe that all the parties 7 were advised of that by e-mail. And if there is no 8 objection, it's interrogatory responses to some FIPUG 9 discovery that we would like to include. 10 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Ms. Fleming. 11 12 MS. FLEMING: Yes, we conveyed that 13 information to the parties yesterday afternoon, I 14 believe, and we have heard back from some of the 15 parties. If there are no objections, those will be 16 included as part of staff's exhibit. 17 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Are there any objections 18 from any of the parties that have had an opportunity to 19 look at these interrogatories? 20 MR. GUYTON: Mr. Chairman, I don't believe 21 that FPL has looked at those. I think we gave a 22 preliminary indication that we're not going to object to 23 our own interrogatory response, but we would like the 24 opportunity to take a look at it before we --25 MS. FLEMING: And all parties do have until

tomorrow at 2:00 o'clock to let us with respect to any 1 of the staff's exhibits, as well as Ms. Kaufman's 2 interrogatory request. 3 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. You can get with our 4 staff. I think that's -- because of where we are headed 5 procedurally time wise and all that, that should give 6 the parties ample opportunity to revisit that before we 7 go further. 8 Anything further on Exhibit Lists? Okay. 9 Section X, Proposed Stipulations. 10 MS. FLEMING: We are not aware of any proposed 11 12 stipulations at this time. CHAIRMAN CARTER: Are there any proposed 13 stipulation by the parties? You all look so cooperative 14 15 this morning. Okay. Let's move on. Section XI, Pending Motions. 16 MS. FLEMING: There are no pending motions at 17 18 this time. 19 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Section XII, Pending 20 Confidentiality Motions. MS. FLEMING: There is one pending 21 confidentiality request by Gulf, and that will be 22 23 addressed by separate order. 24 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. 25 MR. GRIFFIN: And we actually need to add one

1	more in response to Staff's 8th Interrogatories.
2	CHAIRMAN CARTER: I beg your pardon?
3	MR. GRIFFIN: We filed that request on
4	July 31st.
5	CHAIRMAN CARTER: I'm sorry. Jane, did you
6	hear him? I didn't hear you.
7	MS. FLEMING: It's my understanding that Gulf
8	will be adding an additional request for
9	confidentiality.
10	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay.
11	From any of the other parties, anything
12	further on confidentiality matters? Okay.
13	And, staff, we'll get to that in a separate
14	order.
15	Section XIII, Post-hearing Procedures. Staff.
16	MS. FLEMING: Post-hearing briefs shall be
17	limited to 40 pages.
18	CHAIRMAN CARTER: I assume that we should be
19	able to get to the point in 40 pages, right? Okay.
20	Mr. Jacobs, did you want to make a comment, or
21	are you just thinking out loud?
22	MR. JACOBS: I'm thinking out loud.
23	CHAIRMAN CARTER: That's okay. I do that all
24	the time.
25	MR. JACOBS: But I will hold my thoughts.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Section XIV, are there any pending rulings? Staff.

MS. FLEMING: Staff would recommend that the opening statements shall not exceed ten minutes per party.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I think by then we should have it down. Don't you guys think we should have it down by then? Okay. Show it done.

Any our matters? Staff.

MS. FLEMING: Staff would just ask, once again, to the extent that there are any changes in positions, or the order of witnesses, or any changes to this draft prehearing order that they be provided to staff by close of business tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: And let me just say this to the parties, our staff is -- we're trying to work cooperatively with you as much as possible, and we are trying to expedite as much as we can. So if there's any questions or concerns, if you would just get then to staff and they will get it to my attention, and we will try to -- I'll be available to do what we need to do to sign whatever orders, or move forward on that.

Cooperation is always appreciated, and obviously we want to get this matter done as soon as possible. It is a very, very important issue, not just

for the state of Florida, but for all of us who live in 1 the state of Florida. I mean, not just for the state in 2 terms of a policy issue, but also for the individuals in 3 the state of Florida. 4 It's a good thing to do. It's the right thing 5 to do. So, please, ma'am; and please, sir, govern 6 7 yourselves accordingly. Any further matters from any of the parties? 8 Staff, are there any further matters that you 9 10 know of? 11 MS. FLEMING: One thing that I will note. 12 With the inclusion of Issues 14, 15, and 16, staff is 13 going to restructure the issues so that they flow 14 accordingly within the issue list, and we will provide 15 that to the parties, as well. 16 CHAIRMAN CARTER: And that will be more of an 17 organizational perspective. And I think that you have 18 had an opportunity to see 14, 15, and 16, they will just 19 be incorporated from -- I guess it will be from a 20 subject matter perspective so they flow? 21 MS. FLEMING: (Indicating yes.) 22 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Anything further from any of 23 the parties? 24 Staff, any further matters? 25 MS. FLEMING: I'm not aware of any other

_	maccers.
2	CHAIRMAN CARTER: Hearing none, we are
3	adjourned.
4	(The prehearing concluded at 10:06 a.m.)
5	* * * * * *
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	(1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 2 STATE OF FLORIDA 3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 4 COUNTY OF LEON 5 I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do 6 hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard 7 at the time and place herein stated. IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I 8 stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the 9 same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, 11 employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor 12 am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I 13 financially interested in the action. DATED THIS 4th day of August, 2009. 14 15 16 Official FPSC Hearings Reporter 17 **(8**50) 413-6732 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25