| 1 | PI ORTER | BEFORE THE | |
|----|------------------------------|--|-------------|
| 2 | FLORIDA | PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | |
| 3 | | DOCKET NO. UNDOCKETED | |
| 4 | In the Matter of: | | |
| 5 | TEN-YEAR SITE PLANS | and the second s | |
| 6 | WORKSHOPS | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | DDGGERDINGS. | MODINGHOD | |
| 9 | PROCEEDINGS: | WORKSHOP | 1 |
| 10 | COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING: | CHAIRMAN MATTHEW M. CARTER, II | |
| 11 | | COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER KATRINA J. McMURRIAN COMMISSIONER NANCY ARGENZIANO | |
| 12 | | COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP | |
| 13 | DATE: | Wednesday, August 19, 2009 | |
| 14 | TIME: | Commenced at 9:35 a.m. Concluded at 10:10 a.m. | |
| 15 | PLACE: | Betty Easley Conference Center | |
| 16 | 1 11.00 | Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way | |
| 17 | | Tallahassee, Florida | |
| 18 | REPORTED BY: | LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR Official FPSC Reporter | |
| 19 | | (850) 413-6734 | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | DATE |
| 22 | | | NUMBER-DATE |
| 23 | | | Ī |
| 24 | | | DOCUMENT |
| 25 | | | DOCE |
| | | | |

| 1 | PARTICIPATING: |
|----|----------------------------------|
| 2 | SARAH ROGERS . |
| 3 | VINCE ORDAX JENNIFER BRUBAKER |
| 4 | PHILLIP ELLIS |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Good morning to one and all.

3

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Good morning.

4

COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Good morning.

5

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Argenziano, can

6

you hear us okay?

7

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Yes, I can hear you.

8

Can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Absolutely fine. Fantastic.

9 10

Good morning to you.

11

COMMISSIONER ARGENZIANO: Good morning.

12

CHAIRMAN CARTER: I'd like to also say good

13

morning to everyone here in the hearing room, and

14

welcome to our workshop on the electric utilities'

15

Ten-Year Site Plans.

16

Counsel, would you please read the notice?

MS. BRUBAKER: Certainly. Pursuant to notice,

17 18

this time and place has been set aside for the purpose

19

of conducting a Commission workshop in the undocketed

20

21

review of Ten-Year Site Plans.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Just, I want to just

22

make a couple of statements. And I think I'm -- some

23

people say brain dead. I think I've revived my brain

24 25 slightly from yesterday. But we have a brief agenda for today's workshop. It was attached to the notice. I

think all parties received that.

And basically the Florida Reliability

Coordinating Council is here to summarize the 2009

regional load and resource plan, and to also give us an update on the evaluation of interdependency of electric generation and natural gas pipelines.

Commissioners and staff, you can ask questions, if you wish. And rather than me belabor the point, why don't we proceed. At this time I'd like to turn the workshop over to staff.

Staff, you're recognized.

MR. ELLIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Our presenter today will be Ms. Sarah Rogers, who is President and CEO of the FRCC.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Good morning. Welcome back.

MS. ROGERS: Good morning, Chairman Carter and Commissioners. Thank you for having us here today.

We don't have a lot of change from previous

Ten-Year Site Plans, so I'll go through it fairly

quickly. If you have any questions, please free to ask

as we go along.

I would like to recognize, however, John Odom, who is our Vice President of Planning and Operations;

Vince Ordax, who is our Manager of Planning; and Scott

Beecher, who is a Planning Engineer who puts together

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the presentation for me each year. So they, they do a lot of work.

chairman carter: So if anything goes wrong,
it's their fault; right?

MS. ROGERS: No. I didn't execute their plan well is the way I would put it.

As you mentioned, we're going to go over the load and resource plan, we're going to discuss fuel reliability and we're going to review for you the transmission planning process.

Just to remind you, the purpose of the Florida Reliability Coordinating Council is to ensure and enhance the reliability and the adequacy of the bulk electric supply in the State of Florida now and into the future. Just as a reminder, we're a not-for-profit company. We don't own any generation, any transmission, any distribution assets. We're simply here to ensure the reliability and work together with the utilities to coordinate their plans.

Let's get right into the load and resource plan. The major change that you'll see is a significant decrease in the load forecast, and there's several reasons for that. There's the deterioration of the economic conditions, lower customer growth. In fact, for the first time in 63 years the population of Florida

has decreased as opposed to increased. And 63 years ago was during World War I (sic.) when we had a lot of military people leave the state. So this is very unusual for us.

There's also reductions in per customer consumption, mandated energy efficiency, voluntary conservation. And in addition we've done some model calibrations on the winter load that will be reflected as well in the load forecast.

Our summer peak has decreased from last year's projections by about 8.6 percent, and this chart is after the activation and exercise of dispatchable load management and interruptibles.

Something noteworthy on this next slide for the winter is you'll see we've transitioned from being a winter peaking state to a summer peaking state, with revisions to the models and the forecasts for winter.

And there's an average decrease year, on year by 14 percent. So that's fairly significant. But the good news is that helps us with our reserve margins.

And we'll get into the generation forecast.

What we've done here is we've stacked the capacity

increases so that you can sort of see what's in state

and what's out of state. So the first bars, the bottom

bars are in state, until you get up to the -- I don't

know what color you would call that. The smaller light blue bars at the top. So Florida continues to be fairly independent from the rest of the United States by having most of the generation requirements within the state, which I believe from a reliability standpoint is a good thing.

commissioner edgar: Just -- because I'm, I'm still trying to focus this morning. I apologize.

MS. ROGERS: I'm sorry, and I'm going quickly.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: No. No. No. It's me,

not you. The green, where it says "cumulative

additions," what is, what does that categorize or what

is that categorizing?

MS. ROGERS: That's additions by the utilities in the state for generation inside the state.

commissioner edgar: So on-site additional -- okay. All right. Thank you.

MS. ROGERS: You're welcome.

Well, now that we know what the load forecast looks like and the generation forecast, let's look at the reserve margins. The reserve margins look very comfortable. I'll remind you that this is without load management interruptibles. And the winter looks much higher than the summer for two reasons. One was the decrease in the load forecast, and also the generator

winter ratings are larger than in the summer. So a generator can produce more megawatts in the wintertime than it can in the summertime.

1.5

And if we look at this same chart without invoking load management and interruptibles, you'll see that we still are fairly comfortable on the winter side. And there's, the reserve margin does fall short of the 15 percent, which means that there are occasions where load management and interruptibles may be invoked in the summertime.

This is an important slide to look at because we want to make sure that the reserve margin is not made up of solely load management and interruptibles, meaning that any time a generator or generators were unavailable, that we were invoking that. Because when people have — when the utilities have utilized load management interruptibles significantly in the past, the population sometimes or the general public sometimes unsubscribes from those programs, and we like to see that subscribership up. So this is a good sign as well. On average it's around, the load management and interruptibles are around 30 percent of the reserve margin. So that's a good number.

Our assessment, we look to ensure that the regional planning reserve margin meets the 15 percent,

and the planned reserve margin actually exceeds
20 percent for all peak periods in the next ten years.
And that's again with the availability of dispatchable load management and interruptibles.

I showed you this slide last year to demonstrate that Florida really leads the nation in load management and interruptibles. If you look at the other reliability areas, Florida is at 7 percent demand-side management as a percentage of regional peak. And this is very positive. It enables us to avoid building about 3,200 megawatts of generation. You know, they always say the cheapest generation is the generation you don't build. And this is a compliment to the working relationship between the Public Service Commission and the utilities to make these programs work and to encourage our public to participate in these programs. Last year we were at 6.2 percent, so it continues to increase.

When we look at fuel diversity, there's a little change here. As the load forecast goes down, the energy from gas will go down slightly. And this slide does include the addition of Levy 1 and 2 in 2016 and 2017. And as you're aware, there's been an announcement of approximately a 20-month delay. So in the 2018 time frame it probably would still look the same, assuming

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that those two Levy units came in by 2018.

But we are still dependent on, highly dependent upon natural gas relative to the rest of the United States. The average in the United States is about 20 percent. Again, if you look at it from a capacity standpoint, again, the story is the same. this includes member-owned -- or the generation within the FRCC region and purchases from nonutility generators within the region. So it doesn't include any exports or generation that's owned by the utilities outside of the state.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Do you mind going back one slide, please? On the 2009, the renewables is less than 1 percent, and for 2018 is 1 percent. Is there any way to determine how that, what that makeup will be?

MS. ROGERS: I have a slide coming up to show you that.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Oh, okay. Good. I spoke too soon. Just ignore the man behind the screen.

Well, no. You're setting me up MS. ROGERS: perfectly. In fact, if we -- I just talked about that one.

All right. The renewable resource, again, the majority of that is coming from municipal solid waste. We've seen an increase in the biomass over the years.

And biomass, we include biomass solids like wood waste, biomass liquids and biomass gases.

And if we look going forward, the additions, you can see the additions are mainly on the biomass side: A little bit of hydro, some solar, the Hutchinson Island wind project. And about 56 percent of this new capacity is under firm contract with the utilities right now.

Does that answer the question that you're looking for?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Absolutely. Thank you.

MS. ROGERS: Good. Good.

Conservation also is very important in the state. And this is really the energy efficiency programs that the utilities have where they work with residents, residential and commercial customers on HVAC, duct repair, business lighting, commercial water heating and refrigeration.

And the nice story here is that, you know, I mentioned before we've avoided about 3,200 megawatts of generation through demand-side management. In addition, there's about 2,500 megawatts that have been avoided through energy efficiency programs, and we project that to continue to rise. And that's, I think that's very positive for the state as well.

On the nuclear outlook, now this is the plans that were submitted to us, so we do know that there has been an announcement on the delay for Levy 1 and 2, but we are seeing some significant additions to the system from the nuclear side. We're essentially doubling the amount of capacity in the state.

Energy production from natural gas. Last year this chart was a little different. We've seen a decrease in the energy production from natural gas, and that's primarily related to the decrease in the load forecasts. Because nuclear and coal are a first step in the dispatch, generally changes in the load forecast are going to impact the amount of natural gas consumed.

So our conclusion is the results of the resource adequacy review indicate that the FRCC region has planned adequate resources to remain reliable over the next year, next ten years.

I'm going to switch gears now and talk to you a little bit about fuel reliability. And there's three subjects we're going to talk about: The fuel reliability working group, natural gas storage and fuel reliability coordination and some of the tools and plans that we have in place.

A couple of years back FRCC started a dedicated fuel reliability working group that reviews

the interdependencies of fuel availability and electric reliability.

And, Chairman Carter, we've expanded that beyond just natural gas to include all fuel types, because you never know when one is going to cause us impacts. So we look at all the fuels now. And we coordinate regional responses to fuel issues in emergencies. We have a gas study project that models the natural gas system similarly to the way we model the electric transmission system, where we can simulate outages on the natural gas pipelines and see what effects that would have on, on the generation within the state. We also, this group provides realtime emergency response for storms, and they develop regional fuel reliability positions.

chairman carter: In your simulations do

you -- the time for the outages, do you do that on a

normal worst-case scenario -- well, I shouldn't say

normal, but -- that would be a worst-case scenario -- an

interruption for a storm or natural event for a week or

two weeks or something like that?

MS. ROGERS: Yes. We can do that with the, with the models. And what we've looked at -- we haven't looked at every contingency the way we do on the transmission system. And each year they identify what

contingencies to look at and then we evaluate those. And what we've discovered so far with these models is that the fact that the majority of the generation that's 3 dependent upon natural gas also has either an alternate fuel source or alternate pipeline capability, we really 5 don't have a lot of vulnerability from interruptions of 6 gas supply.

> CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you.

MS. ROGERS: This is also a good story to show you the natural gas storage accessible to Florida.

The first line that says, is the Southeast Supply Header, and that's really been a very positive impact for Florida.

As you may recall, last year gas production in the Gulf was interrupted for a fairly significant amount of time. And when that happened in 2005, we did have some generating capacity alerts and some concerns within the state. But the Southeast Supply Header actually connects the Florida system to onshore gas production. So we're no longer solely dependent upon the Gulf production. And then when you look at the increase in the natural gas storage, that's also a very positive sign for Florida and a very positive impact to reliability for the state.

The tools and plans that we have in place,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

last year we incorporated fuel shortages into our generating capacity shortage plan. We incorporated it into our hurricane manual. We have some communication protocols between the Reliability Coordinator in the state and the generator operators and the natural gas transportation service providers. We've really had a great relationship with the natural gas transmission owners.

And as I mentioned before, the gas study project. In 2010 we're going to look more deeply into the fuel oil storage, exactly how much are the utilities storing and what, how many days does that provide us.

We'll continue to look at loss of pipeline and loss of natural gas supply to the region from the Gulf and continue the modeling updates.

So in summary, the fuel reliability working group which reports to the operating subcommittee and our standing committee of the operating committee, they promote fuel reliability awareness, they continue to refine the processes for minimizing impacts on fuel issues, we perform proactive fuel assessments and studies, we look at the natural gas storage, and we've seen that that's increasing. We've got supply diversity increasing with the Cypress Pipeline and access to liquid natural gas and, as I mentioned before, the

Southeast Supply Header. And we have good communication protocols in place between the Reliability Coordinator, the State Capacity Emergency Coordinator, and the pipeline companies.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Excuse me. Are they -- when you say supply diversity increasing and you mentioned Cypress, are they expanding that line or just still going to be just coming in from north of Jacksonville, that area?

MS. ROGERS: I don't believe that they've expanded it, but that does provide us, you know, with some diversity.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Oh, okay. Thank you.

MS. ROGERS: And in conclusion, we do not anticipate any fuel transportation issues affecting resource capabilities during peak periods or extreme weather based on the fuel studies that we've done, the current fuel diversity and the alternate fuel capability.

We're getting through this rather quickly. So this is the last subject I'm going to talk to you about.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: You guys do a good job.

MS. ROGERS: The last subject I'm going to talk to you about is just our transmission planning process. When the Public Service Commission closed the

docket on GridFlorida, they had asked the utilities and FRCC to look for ways to improve our regional planning process and coordinate -- and coordination of the plans amongst the utilities. And we developed the FRCC planning process as a result of that, and it's governed by our planning committee, which is a standing committee of the board of directors. The planning committee promotes the reliability of the bulk electric system. They assess and encourage generation and transmission adequacy. They provide a vehicle for ensuring that transmission planning within the state will provide for the development of a robust transmission network.

2.1

And within the planning committee there are three working groups. We have a transmission working group that assesses the long-term transmission plans of the utilities; the stability working group, which assesses the stability of the bulk electric system; and we have the reliability working group that performs a reliability assessment of the FRCC resource adequacy.

The types of studies that we do, we do a summer seasonal assessment and a winter seasonal assessment. We perform the ten-year transmission reliability study, we look at inter-regional transmission studies and we look at resource deliverability. And I'll talk a little bit about those.

But first what we measure against are the NERC transmission planning standards. And these standards essentially require that if you lose a single element, that minimal local loss of load occurs. That if you lose multiple elements, that there's a controlled loss of load. And in extreme outage events that there's no wide area cascading loss of load like the Northeast blackout in 2005. And then we also performed the 2009 to 2018 transmission plans to make sure that they satisfy these tests.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Skop?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Good morning, Ms. Rogers. With respect to the reliability standards test, what lessons learned and best practices has FRCC implemented as a result of last year's outage?

MS. ROGERS: We performed an event analysis, and the event analysis team identified, I believe it was 19 actions to take. And in the transmission planning area, I'm going to have to ask Vince. Were there any recommendations specifically?

MR. ORDAX: Just I guess to continue to review the types of contingencies that we're evaluating and make sure that we're capturing, basically meeting the requirements of the standards. And we've been doing

that, except, you know, we need to make sure we update those lists continuously.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

2.1

MS. ROGERS: And if you look at that outage, there was the disabling of two levels of protection and then a fault on the system. So that would be considered a multiple element outage, and there was controlled loss of load. So it did meet this measure.

When we look at the inter-regional transmission study, what we essentially look at there is the amount of reliable import and export capability on the FRCC Southern transmission interface. And these are the numbers. They're very similar to last year. There's no change in the summer import or export. There's a slight increase in the winter export of 100 megawatts and a slight decrease on the export in the wintertime of 200 megawatts.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: One second.

Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Just one more question with respect to the Southern transmission interface. Is that, I guess as Florida continues to grow and add additional baseload generation, is that interface going to be adequate in terms of being able to bring power in, importing power

into Florida, or are additional transmission upgrades going to be necessary?

MS. ROGERS: We, that's one reason we evaluate the import/export. And we have not had a lot of transmission requests to import additional generation that we are dependent upon without the in-state generation. Is that making sense?

COMMISSIONER SKOP: It does.

MS. ROGERS: And, Vince, do you want to, again --

MR. ORDAX: Yeah. Actually on one of the slides that Sarah had up there earlier it shows that we're bringing in, we're expected to bring in less power from Southern into Florida. So the export — the import into Florida is decreasing, is expected to decrease over time, so we'll be relying less on the interface. So I wouldn't expect to see the interface needing to be increased unless some resources are built and purchased to bring power into Florida.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And I guess just to understand my, my concern would be, you know, just the updating and replacement of aging infrastructure to make sure that we have high reliability standards, so.

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. And the slide that Vince was referencing is Slide 8. I assume you may have

the slide. I can try to --

COMMISSIONER SKOP: That's fine.

MS. ROGERS: Okay. My eyes are getting bad.

I can't read the page numbers from the podium.

We also look at resource deliverability, and I think this is very important for the State of Florida. And not all regions do this. But we evaluate the transmission requests to ensure that transmission service requests do not have negative impacts on adjacent utilities' transmission systems. And we, since we last met, we've completed five of those of evaluations for a total of 632 megawatts.

We also, FRCC reviews the generation interconnect service requests, again to make sure that there are not unforeseen impacts on adjacent transmission systems. And we've completed eight of those for a total of 2,088 megawatts, and we've got three evaluations currently under review.

So our conclusion on the transmission reliability is that the results of the assessment indicate that the planned transmission system within FRCC is expected to remain reliable for the next ten years. And so essentially our story here today, to sum it up for you, is that we've reviewed the load forecast. The load forecast has gone down. We've reviewed the

generation additions and they're adequate to meet the 1 needs, and the transmission system itself is adequate to 2 deliver that to the customers in the state. 3 And then I've got two slides that show some of the significant transmission projects. And it's not my 5 intent go over those, but within the next ten years we 6 will, the utilities in the state will be building about 7 625 miles of transmission class projects, so. 8 And it's open for any further questions. 9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner McMurrian. 10 11 COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Thank you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Rogers, I was just looking at this list. And for the ones that are identified with the Levy unit, the transmission projects, would the, the in-service dates on these remain the same or would they also be subject to delay?

MS. ROGERS: I don't know the answer to that.

Is someone from Progress Energy here who could answer that?

So the question is are the lines associated with the Levy plant going, are the in-service dates going to be delayed for, for example, the 20 months that we expect the units to be delayed?

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Turn your microphone on. Have a seat and turn your microphone on. There you go.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I guess the short 1 answer would be yes. But, you know, with the 2 construction of the transmission, because it's such, you 3 know, a major transmission project, it'll probably be 4 sequenced in, you know, maybe a couple of years before 5 the actual units come online. 6 COMMISSIONER McMURRIAN: Okay. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioner Edgar. 8 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Two points or questions, 9 and the first is perhaps maybe completely unrelated. So 10 11 if, so I'll just --CHAIRMAN CARTER: It's not like we haven't 12 asked that already. 13 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** I mean really probably 14 unrelated. But is the FRCC doing anything or are you 15 aware of, are utilities doing anything regarding line 16 loss or how to reduce line loss? 17 MS. ROGERS: The FRCC doesn't get directly 18 involved in that. The utilities provide us what their 19 line losses are for the models, but we don't do anything 20 21 to, to analyze that. 22 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Which is actually a 23 question that I've been, an issue that I've been 24 interested in. MR. ORDAX: Yeah. At the FRCC we don't --

25

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: It's not you. 1 MR. ORDAX: No. Right. 2 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. But it comes to 3 mind, obviously, looking at new transmission projects, 4 thinking about, you know, how we're continuing to 5 improve the efficiency of, of what we have that is 6 already sited and built and paid for. So that's kind of 7 what, where my thinking was then. 8 What I hope is a more related --9 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Can I piggyback on your 10 first question? 11 12 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** I'm sorry? CHAIRMAN CARTER: May I piggyback on your 13 first question? 14 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Absolutely. 15 CHAIRMAN CARTER: Even though you guys don't 16 17 do that, do you, do you have knowledge of any companies 18 that are, in Florida that are currently dealing with 19 this issue, even from a study standpoint or a planning standpoint or many even some pilot programs on the loss 20 21 of power during the lines? MR. ORDAX: They might evaluate those. I'm 22 not aware of any that do it specifically. But when they 23 24 evaluate alternatives for a specific solution or a 25 project, they probably -- they may consider losses in

their analysis in order to select the preferred alternative. That's at their, at their level of detail, but -- and they may do it or may not do it. But all those losses, like Sarah said, the models are very detailed, so we would see that. And whenever you add any new transmission, especially the magnitude that Progress is going to do, I would expect the losses to go down. Usually when you reduce the impedence on the network that's what happens.

CHAIRMAN CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

And I'll just say, Tom, that may be something I want to look into a little bit at some point.

What I hope will be perhaps a more related question, I am looking back at Slide 10.

MS. ROGERS: Uh-huh.

commissioner edgar: And you did discuss this particular slide in detail, but if you could do that for me again because I do think this is an important one, as you said. And, again, regarding the comment you made earlier about Florida's peak time moving from winter to summer and with the summer reserve margin without the load management and interruptible not completely being projected — anyway, if you could just, just discuss that point a little bit more and what that means.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. ROGERS: Certainly. The FRCC region has a requirement to have a 15 percent reserve margin. And that's really to take into account unexpected losses of elements of generation and perhaps transmission. And this slide shows that even in the summertime we exceed that 15 percent without the utilization of load management and interruptibles. And from a reliability standpoint we view that as a positive because our experience has been when the utilities utilize load management and interruptibles on a regular basis, that it's a voluntary program and people can unsubscribe to it. So it's only -- when everyone wants electricity is during the extreme weather, and so that is typically when load management interruptibles are utilized when people least want them to be utilized. And so people are willing to put up with it upon occasion, but if it were an everyday occurrence, sometimes you see people unsubscribe to the system.

this slide, do I have this right, is that in the summer months without the interruptible and without the load management we exceed the 15 percent reserve margin projected out for the ten years and that the -- and that's the good news -- and, and that in the winter months even significantly above the 20 percent?

| 1 | MS. ROGERS: Correct. That's correct. | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. | |
| 3 | MS. ROGERS: You're very welcome. | |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN CARTER: Commissioners, anything | |
| 5 | further from the bench? | |
| 6 | Staff? | |
| 7 | MR. ELLIS: Staff has no questions at this | |
| 8 | time, although we'd like to note that the staff's review | |
| 9 | of the 2009 Ten-Year Site Plans is tentatively scheduled | |
| 10 | for Internal Affairs on October 5th. | |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN CARTER: Okay. Good deal. | |
| 12 | Commissioners, anything further? | |
| 13 | Ms. Rogers, once again you have wowed us with | |
| 14 | your whizbang presentation. | |
| 15 | MS. ROGERS: I kept it short. | |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CARTER: And you guys did a pretty | |
| 17 | good job with the slides. Thank you so very, very much. | |
| 18 | MS. ROGERS: You're very welcome. Thank you. | |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN CARTER: Staff, anything further? | |
| 20 | MR. ELLIS: Nothing further. | |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN CARTER: One second. Do we have | |
| 22 | anyone from the audience that wants to give some public | |
| 23 | input on the presentation today? Going once. All | |
| 24 | right. Hearing none, we are adjourned. | |
| 25 | (Workshop adjourned at 10:10 a.m.) | |

| 1 | STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
|----|---|
| 2 | COUNTY OF LEON) |
| 3 | |
| 4 | I, LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing |
| 5 | proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated. |
| 6 | IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I |
| 7 | stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; |
| 8 | and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. |
| 9 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, |
| 10 | employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' |
| 11 | attorneys or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action. |
| 12 | DATED THIS 31st day of August . |
| 13 | 2009. 0 |
| 14 | <i>Y</i> , <i>Q</i> , |
| 15 | LINDA BOLES, RPR, CRR |
| 16 | FPSC Official Commission Reporter (850) 413-6734 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |