1/12/201010:27:25 AM1age 1 of 1

Ruth Net	Vettles OSc	2407-EG
From:	Tibbetts, Arlene [Arlene.Tibbetts@pgnmail.com]	
Sent:	Tuesday, January 12, 2010 10:23 AM	
To:	Filings@psc.state.fl.us	
Cc:	'jenglish@fpuc.com'; 'ljacobs50@comcast.net'; 'sclark@radeylaw.com'; 'jeremy.susac 'suzannebrownless@comcast.net'; Katherine Fleming; 'vkaufman@kagmlaw.com'; 'jn 'george@cavros-law.com'; 'jbeasley@ausley.com'; 'iwillis@ausley.com'; 'srg@beggsi 'cbrowder@ouc.com'; 'miltta@jea.com'; 'ryoung@yvlaw.net'; 'nhorton@lawfla.com'; 'sdriteno@southernco.com'; 'cguyton@ssd.com'; 'wade.litchfield@fpl.com'; Burnett, J	ncwhirter@mac-law.com'; ane.com';

'jeff.curry@lakelandelectric.com'; 'regdept@tecoenergy.com'; 'jessica.cano@fpl.com'; 'gperko@hgslaw.com'; Masiello, John A.; Lewis Jr, Paul

Subject: PEF Motion for Reconsideration

Attachments: PEF Motion for Reconsideration.pdf

This electronic filing is made by:

John Burnett P.O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733 727-820-5184 John.Burnett@pgnmail.com

Docket: 080408-EG, et al.

In re: Commission Review of numeric conservation goals (Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)

On behalf of Progress Energy Florida

Consisting of 8 pages

The attached document for filing is PEF's Motion for Reconsideration

OCCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

1/12/2010

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Power & Light Company)	Docket No. 080407-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric Conservation goals (Progress Energy Florida, Inc.)	Docket No. 080408-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Tampa Electric Company)	Docket No. 080409-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric Conservation goals (Gulf Power Company)	Docket No. 080410-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Florida Public Utilities Company)	Docket No. 080411-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric conservation goals (Orlando Utilities Commission)	Docket No. 080412-EG
In re: Commission review of numeric conservation	Docket No. 080413-EG
goals (JEA)	Filed: January 12, 2010

PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. ("PEF" or the "Company"), hereby submits this Motion for Reconsideration in this matter and states as follows:

1. On December 30, 2009, the Commission issued Order No. PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG in this Docket ("Order") instructing PEF to adopt Demand Side Management ("DSM") goals based

on the enhanced total resource cost test ("E-TRC") plus the addition of PEF's "Top Ten Residential Free Rider" measures ("Top Ten") based on energy savings. Order, Pages 15-16. This decision will result in typical PEF residential customer bills increasing by about \$5 (per 1,200 kwh) per month in 2010 and, on average over the 10-year period, by about \$15 per month. Commercial, industrial, and governmental customers will see similar relative increases over the same time period.

1

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE 00273 JAN 12 2 FPSC-COMMISSION CLERM 2. Pursuant to Order PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG on page 18, PEF's gigawatt hour (gWh) and megawatt (MW) savings over the next ten years will be:

Summer MW: 1183 Winter MW: 1072 Energy GHW: 3488

In Order PSC-09-0855-FOF-EG, the Commission adopted Staff's proposed recommendation that erroneously based PEF's new goals on programs that are <u>technically</u> <u>possible</u> rather than using savings goals based on programs that are <u>achievable</u> for PEF. Because the use of technical data rather than achievable data logically appears to be a mistake, PEF has filed the instant motion to ask the Commission to correct this apparent oversight or scrivener's error.¹ Additionally, PEF has discovered that three measures were double counted in setting PEF's goals, once in PEF's E-TRC goals and again in PEF's Top Ten goals. This also logically appears to be an inadvertent oversight or scrivener's error that needs to be corrected as more fully explained below.

3. With respect to the use of technical versus achievable data in setting DSM goals, the

following passage from the ACEEE is instructive:

A Technical Potential Study is not constrained by economics or the practical realities of getting homeowners and businesses to actually undertake energy-saving actions and investments and there is no time constraint. The Achievable Study applies the following variables to subset the Technical Potential results into a cost-effective achievable goal:

- Product availability
- Contractor/vendor capacity to do the work
- Cost-effectiveness for the customer
- Customer preferences
- Lack of awareness of new technology
- Energy user rents or leases facility, not an owner

¹ The standard of review for a motion for reconsideration is whether there was some point of fact or law that the Commission overlooked or failed to consider in rendering its decision. <u>See Diamond Cab Co. v. King</u>, 146 So. 2d 889 (Fla. 1962); <u>Pingree v. Quaintance</u>, 394 So. 2d 161 (Fla. 1st DCA 1981). The Commission has recognized that reconsideration is proper when a mistake is made and a correction is needed to reflect accurate information. <u>See e.g.</u>, Order No. PSC-07-0483-PCO-EU (June 8, 2007); Order No. 10963(July 7, 1982).

- Market saturation
- Federal or State regulatory energy standards for building codes or consumers
- Market trends/biases
- Design firms and contractor/builder bias (reluctance to try new technology)
- Deed or Homeowner Association restrictions

<u>See</u> ACEEE: The Technical, Economic and Achievable Potential for Energy-Efficiency in the U.S. - A Meta-Analysis of Recent Studies (2004). To summarize, <u>technical</u> data reflects what savings could conceivably be seen without any real world constraints while <u>achievable</u> data reflects what savings a utility can reasonably expect to see in real world applications.

Referencing the Itron Technical and Achievable studies that are in evidence in this matter, the following chart demonstrates a good example of the numeric differences between the technical potential of a reflective $roof^2$ efficiency measure and the achievable potential for that same measure when real world factors such as customer acceptance and deed/homeowner restrictions are considered:

Reflective Roof Technical to E-TRC Achievable Comparison									
Measure	Building Type	Technical GWh	Technical SMW	Achievable GWh	Achievable SMW				
	Single Family	240.90	93.01	9.66	3.71				
Reflective Roof	Multiple Family	69.50	28.48	2.59	1.06				
	Manufactured	33.60	15.54	2.45	1.13				
то	TOTAL			14.70	5.90				

Given the fact that it would be illogical to assume that the Commission would intentionally set technical goals for PEF that PEF could not meet on an achievable basis, PEF assumes that the Commission mistakenly included technical savings figures in its Order rather than the achievable goals that it intended.

 $^{^{2}}$ A reflective roof is achieved by applying elastomeric, polyurethane, acrylic coatings or single-ply roofing sheets made of rubber, plastic, or PVC.

4. The Commission's order setting PEF's goals also counts three program measures twice, once in PEF's E-TRC goals and again in PEF's Top Ten goals. Specifically, the following measures were double counted which results in PEF's DSM goals being higher than they should have been:

	The Assessed	Technical	A Singe	
Measure Name	Net Energy Savings GWH	Summer Demand MW	Winter Demand MW	
3 230 231 CFL (18-Watt integral ballast), 2.5 hr/day	73.11	3.80	5.50	
3 800 802 High Efficiency One Speed Pool Pump (1.5 hp)	2.96	0.60	0.10	
1 800 801 Two Speed Pool Pump (1.5 hp)	206.66	44.10	8.60	
TOTAL	282.73	48.50	14.20	

This also appears to be an inadvertent oversight or scrivener's error that needs to be corrected. Thus, correcting PEF's goals from a technical basis to an achievable basis, and correcting the double counting of some program measures, the following chart reflects what PEF believes the Commission intended the achievable goals to be in its order:

Adjusted Goals	E-TRC (Achievable)			Plus Top Residential With 2-Yr Payback (Technical)			Equals E-TRC + Top Residential		
	Net Energy Savings GWH	Summer Demand MW	Winter Demand MW	Net Energy Savings GWH	Summer Demand MW	Winter Demand MW	Net Energy Savings GWH	Summer Demand MW	Winter Demand MW
FPSC December 30th Order	1,585	744	882	1,903	439	190	3,488	1,183	1,072
Less DR Portion of Goals		(259)	(333)		64100-0				
Energy Efficiency Portion of Goals	1,585	485	549						
Removal of Duplicative Measures with < 2-yr payback				283	49	14			
Adjusted Top Ten Residential				1,620	390	176			
Conversion Factor - Technical to Achievable				12.8%	16.5%	28.9%			
Adjusted Energy Efficiency Goals	1,585	485	549	207	64	51	1,792	549	600
Plus DR Portion of Goals		259	333					259	333
Total DSM Goal (EE & DR)	1,585	744	882	207	64	51	1,792	808	933

³ Conversion factor is based on the ratio of PEF's Energy Efficiency Achievable results to PEF's Energy Efficiency Technical Potential. PEF's Achievable results are referenced on page 6 of Masiello Direct Testimony and on Exhibits JAM 1 and JAM 7. PEF Technical Potential results are from Final Technical Potential Study and in direct testimony Exhibit JAM 2.

To account for the corrections noted in this motion, PEF has also included a revised "Commission-Approved Conservation Goals for PEF" as Exhibit A to replace the table contained on page 18 of the Commission's order.

5. In light of the foregoing, PEF respectfully requests that the Commission reconsider its Order in this matter and issue a corrected order setting PEF's DSM goals at the figures set forth in paragraph 4 above and as reflected in Exhibit A. In accordance with Rule 28-106.204(3), Fla. Admin. Code, counsel for PEF has attempted to contact counsel for all parties of record in this matter and is authorized to report that Florida Power and Light Company, Tampa Electric Company, Gulf Power Company, and Florida Public Utilities Company take no position on this motion. As of the date of this filing, the undersigned was not able to determine the positions of the remainder of the parties to this docket.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 12th day of January, 2010.

By:

ALEXANDER GLENN JOHN T. BURNETT Progress Energy Service Co., LLC 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701-3324 Telephone: (727) 820-5184 Facsimile: (727) 820-5249 E-Mail: john.burnett@pgnmail.com

Attorneys for PROGRESS ENERGY FLORIDA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished

via U.S. Mail this 12th day of January, 2010 to all parties of record as indicated below.

JOHN T. BURNETT

Florida Public Utilities Company	Southern Alliance for Clean
Mr. John T. English	Air/Natural Resources Defense
P. O. Box 3395	E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395	c/o Williams & Jacobs, LLC
Phone: (561) 838-1762	1720 South Gadsden St.
FAX: (561) 833-8562	MS 14, Suite 201
	Tallahassee, FL 32301
Susan Clark	Jeremy Susac, Executive Director
Radey Law Firm	Florida Energy and Climate
301 South Bronough Street, Suite 200	Commission
Tallahassee, FL 32301	c/o Governor's Energy Office
The Holdenson Mr	600 South Calhoun St., Suite 251
	Tallahassee, FL 32399-0001
Florida Solar Coalition	Office of General Counsel
Suzanne Brownless	Katherine Fleming, Esquire
Suzanne Brownless, PA	Florida Public Service Commission
1975 Buford Blvd.	2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32308	Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
JEA	Orlando Utilities Commission
Ms. Teala A. Milton	W. Chris Browder
V.P., Government Relations	100 W. Anderson Street
21 West Church Street, Tower 16	Orlando, FL 32802
Jacksonville, FL 32202-3158	Phone: 407-236-9698
Phone: (904) 665-7574	FAX: 407-236-9639
FAX: (904) 665-4238	Email: cbrowder@ouc.com
Email: miltta@jea.com	
Orlando Utilities Commission	Messer Law Firm
Randy Halley	Norman H. Horton, Jr.
100 W. Anderson Street	Post Office Box 15579
Orlando, FL 32802	Tallahassee, FL 32317
Phone: 407-418-5030	Phone: 850-222-0720
FAX: 407-423-9198	FAX: 224-4359
Email: rhalley@ouc.com	Email: <u>nhorton@lawfla.com</u>

-	
Beggs & Lane Law Firm Steven R. Griffin 501 Commendencia Street Pensacola, FL 32502 Phone: 850-432-2451 Email: <u>srg@beggslane.com</u>	Gulf Power Company Ms. Susan D. Ritenour One Energy Place Pensacola, FL 32520-0780 Phone: (850) 444-6231 FAX: (850) 444-6026 Email: <u>sdriteno@southernco.com</u>
Florida Power & Light Company Mr. Wade Litchfield 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1859 Phone: (850) 521-3900 FAX: 521-3939 Email: wade litchfield@fpl.com	Ausley Law Firm Lee L. Willis/James D. Beasley Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Phone: 850-224-9115 FAX: 222-7560
Lakeland Electric Jeff Curry 501 East Lemon Street Lakeland, FL 33801 Phone: 863-834-6853 Email: jeff.curry@lakelandelectric.com	Tampa Electric CompanyMs. Paula K. BrownRegulatory AffairsP. O. Box 111Tampa, FL 33601-0111Phone: (813) 228-1444Email: Regdept@tecoenergy.com
George S. Cavros, Esq., P.A. 120 E Oakland Park Blvd., Suite 10 Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33334	FIPUG John W. McWhirter, Jr. P.O. Box 3350 Tampa, FL 33601-3350
Florida Power & Light Company Charles A. Guyton Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, LLP 215 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301	Florida Power & Light Company Jessica A. Cano Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408
FIPUG Vicki Gordon Kaufman Jon C. Moyle, Jr Keefe Anchors Gordon & Moyle, PA. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301	JEA Gary V. Perko Hopping, Green & Sams, P.A. 119 South Monroe St., Suite 300 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Revised Conservation Goals for PEF

					Residenti	ial				
		Summer (MM	0		Winter (MW))	Annual (GWh)			
Year	E-TRC	Residential <2-Yr. Payback	Revised Goal	E-TRC	Residential <2-Yr. Payback	Revised Goal	E-TRC	Residential <2-Yr. Payback	Revised Goa	
2010	40.6	3.9	4.2	63.7	3.1	86.8	99.6	12.7	112.3	
2011	42.5	5.5	48.1	69,2	4,4	73.6	105.6	17,9	123.5	
2012	45.5	6.8	52.3	73.2	5.5	78.7	114.7	22.1	138,8	
2013	47.5	7.8	55.0	75.9	6.0	81.9	120.7	24,5	145.2	
2014	49.4	7.9	57.4	78,6	6.3	84.9	126.7	25.7	152.5	
2015	54,8	7.8	62.5	83.3	6.2	89.5	147.9	25.2	173.1	
2016	63.3	7.4	70.7	94.1	5.9	100.0	135.8	23.9	153.7	
2017	62.9	8.6	69.5	93.5	5.3	98.8	129.8	21.5	151.3	
2018	57.3	5.7	63.0	86.0	4.5	90,5	117.7	18.3	138.0	
2019	42.9	4.7	47.6	61.5	3.7	65.2	108.6	15.1	123.8	
Total	506.6	64.0	570.8	779.0	51.0	830.0	1207.1	207.0	1414.1	

1	Commercial/Industrial											
Year		Summer (MM	n		Winter (MW		Annual (GWh)					
	E-TRC	Residential <2-Yr, Payback	Commission Approved Goal	E-TRC	Residential <2-Yr. Payback	Commission Approved Goal	E-TRC	Residential <2-Yr. Payback	Commission Approved Goa			
2010	13.7	0.0	137	5.2	0.0	6.2	31.1	0.0	311			
2011	16.2	0.0	16.2	5.3	0.0	5.3	33.0	0.0	33,0			
2012	25.5	0,0	25,5	11.4	0.0	1114. H	35.9	0.0	35.9			
2013	25.9	0.0	25.9	11.5	0,0	1115	37.7	0.0	57.7			
2014	26.4	0.0	25.4	11.5	0.0	11.5	39.6	0.0	39.6			
2015	27.6	0.0	27.6	11.7	0.0	19.7	46.2	6.0	48.2			
2016	27.1	0.0	27,1	11.8	0.0	11.6	42.5	0.0	425			
2017	27.0	0.0	27.0	11.6	0,0	.11.6	40.6	0.0	40,0			
2018	25.7	0.0	25.7	11.4	0.0	11.4	36.8	0.0	36.6			
2019	22.3	0.0	22.3	11.3	0.0	11.3	34.0	0,0	34.0			
Total	237.3	0.0	237.3	102.6	0.0	102.5	377.4	0.0	377.4			
									in the second			
Total of Res & Com	743.9	64.0	807.9	881.6	51.0	932.5	1584.5	207.0	1791.5			

1

3.2

DOCUMENT NUMBER CAT: 00273 JAN 12 2