

Kimberley Pena

100009 - EI

From: Kimberley Pena
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2010 1:19 PM
To: Mark Laux
Cc: Ann Cole; Marguerite McLean; Larry Harris; Keino Young
Subject: RE: Confidential Docs

Per this e-mail, CLK will return the below listed documents in Docket 090009-EI to the source. Thank you.

From: Mark Laux
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2010 1:00 PM
To: Kimberley Pena
Subject: RE: Confidential Docs

You got it, thanks.

From: Kimberley Pena
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2010 12:27 PM
To: Mark Laux
Cc: Ann Cole; Marguerite McLean; Larry Harris; Keino Young
Subject: RE: Confidential Docs

Mark, please confirm that CLK is to return Interrogatory response, DN 06611-09 and the 'rog portion of DN 06699-09 to the source.

From: Mark Laux
Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2010 12:01 PM
To: Kimberley Pena
Subject: FW: Confidential Docs

COM _____
APA _____
ECR _____
GCL _____
RAD _____
SSC _____
ADM _____
OPC _____
CLK K. Pena

Kim, the documents id below can be return.

From: Larry Harris
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2010 11:01 AM
To: Mark Laux
Subject: RE: Confidential Docs

Yes, Kim needs direction from you to return 06611 and those portions of 06699 that relate to the Interrogatory response to the source. That direction has nothing to do with the audit portions.

From: Mark Laux
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2010 10:59 AM
To: Larry Harris
Subject: RE: Confidential Docs

I will if that is what is needed. I really have no input as to what needs to be held for audit work papers.

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

From: Larry Harris
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2010 10:56 AM

00647 JAN 28 e

1/28/2010

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

To: Mark Laux
Subject: RE: Confidential Docs

Mark, are you going to send a note to Kim Pena directing that the Interrogatory response (06611-09 and the 'rog portion of 06699-029) can be returned to the source? Bob Freeman says we need to retain the audit working papers (05934 and part of 06699)

I'm drafting the order to reflect that only the audit portions will be granted confidentiality, and wanted to make sure you and I are on the same page before the order gets issued. Thanks,
L

From: Mark Laux
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2010 3:20 PM
To: Larry Harris
Subject: RE: Confidential Docs

From my view point as to what was used in the hearing, all could be returned. I am not sure what was or was not used in the audit.

From: Larry Harris
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2010 3:17 PM
To: Mark Laux; Bob Freeman
Cc: Keino Young; Kimberley Pena
Subject: Confidential Docs

Greetings! A question has come up regarding a PEF confidentiality request in 090009. Specifically, PEF filed a request for confidentiality of DN 06699-09 (response to Interrogatory No. 28+NuStart Memo, which seems to also be part of the working papers from an earlier NCRC audit (Audit Control No. 08-248-2-1)). 06699-09 appears to consist of 2 separate previously filed documents with NOIs - 05937-09 (the audit working papers) and 06611-09 (response to Rog 28). The question becomes, should the order grant confidentiality to all 3 documents, or can either/both of the earlier ones be returned to source and the order issued just cover the "combined" 06699? Please let me know. Thanks,
Larry
413-6856