		1	
1		BEFORE THE.	
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter of:		
4		DOCKET NO. 090451-EM	
5	JOINT PETITION TO NEED FOR GAINESVII	LE RENEWABLE	
6	ENERGY CENTER IN A BY GAINESVILLE REC	GIONAL UTILITIES	
7	AND GAINESVILLE RE CENTER, LLC.	ENEWABLE ENERGY	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	PROCEEDINGS	PREHEARING CONFERENCE	
14			
15	COMMISSIONER PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP	
16		PREHEARING OFFICER	
17	DATE:	Monday, April 5, 2010	
18			
19	TIME:	Commenced at 1:30 p.m. Concluded at 2:09 p.m.	
20	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way	
21			
22		Tallahassee, Florida	
23	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter	
24		(850) 413-6732	
25			
		DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE	
	FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE 2603715 PRON8 2	
		FPSC-COMPLISSION CLEDEN	

APPEARANCES:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ROY C. YOUNG, ESQUIRE and SCHEFFEL WRIGHT, ESQUIRE, Young Law Firm, 225 South Adams Street, Suite 200, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of Gainesville Regional Utilities and Gainesville Renewable Energy.

RAYMOND O. MANASCO, JR., c/o Utilities Legal Services, Post Office Box 147117, Station A-138, Gainesville, Florida 32614-7117, appearing on behalf of Gainesville Regional Utilities.

PAULA H. STAHMER, Pro se, 4621 Clear Lake
Drive, Gainesville, Florida 32607, appearing on behalf
of Paula H. Stahmer.

DIAN R. DEEVEY, Pro se, 1702 SW 35 Place, Gainesville, Florida 32608, appearing on behalf of Dian R. Deevey.

ERIK L. SAYLER, ESQUIRE and MARTHA CARTER BROWN, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

22 MARY ANNE HELTON, ESQUIRE, FPSC General 23 Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, 24 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, Advisor to the 25 Commission.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1		
2	PROCEEDINGS	
3	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Good afternoon. I'd like	
4	to call this prehearing to order. Commissioner Skop	
5	presiding.	
6	If staff could please read the notice.	
7	MR. SAYLER: Yes, Commissioner.	
8	This time and date for this proceeding was	
9	filed in a notice on March 19th in this docketed matter,	
10	090451. The time and purpose of this prehearing	
11	conference is set forth in the notice.	
12	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. If we could	
13	take appearances.	
14	MR. WRIGHT: Schef Wright and Roy Young	
15	appearing on behalf of Gainesville Renewable Energy	
16	Center, LLC, and Gainesville Renewable Utilities; and	
17	Raymond Manasco appearing on behalf of Gainesville	
18	Regional Utilities. Thank you.	
19	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.	
20	Ms. Deevey. Intervenors.	
21	MS. DEEVEY: I'm Dian Deevey, Intervenor,	
22	appearing on my own behalf.	
23	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.	
24	MS. STAHMER: Paula Stahmer, Intervenor, also	
25	appearing on my own behalf.	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. 1 2 Staff. MR. SAYLER: Erik Sayler and Martha Brown on 3 behalf of Commission Staff. 4 5 MS. HELTON: Mary Anne Helton, advisor to the 6 Commission. 7 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. 8 As a preliminary matter, Mr. Wright, it's my 9 understanding that the Petitioner failed to make 10 publication pursuant to statute necessitating a slide in the hearing date. The revised hearing date will be May 11 12 3rd at 9:30 a.m., and we'll discuss that later in the 13 proceeding. At this point, Staff, are there any other 14 15 preliminary parties that we need to address before we get to the draft prehearing order? 16 MR. SAYLER: None that I'm aware of, 17 Commissioner. 18 19 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. 20 At this point we are going to go through the draft prehearing order, and I'll identify the sections, 21 and if the parties have any corrections or changes that 22 need to be made, please speak up and let me know. We 23 are going to go through this quickly. So, again, if you 24 do have a change, this would be the time to address your 25

4

1 concerns. With that, we're going to start with Section 2 I, case background. Hearing no concerns, Section I will 3 remain as entered. 4 Section II, conduct of proceedings. Hearing 5 no concerns, Section II will remain as written. 6 Section III, jurisdiction. Hearing no 7 concerns, Section III will remain as written. 8 Section IV, procedure for handling 9 confidential information. Hearing no concerns, 10 Section IV will remain as written. 11 Section V, prefiled testimony, exhibits, 12 13 witnesses. MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner? 14 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes. 15 MR. WRIGHT: I was just going to ask if we 16 could fill in the blank for summaries at five minutes, 17 which is the standard? 18 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes. So witness summaries 19 20 will be five minutes, unless there's any additional concerns on that. Okay. With that, Section V will 21 remain as written, entering that the witness summaries 22 will be five minutes. 23 Take on Section VI, order of witnesses. And 24 have the parties stipulated to any witnesses at this 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

point?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Ms. Deevey, you're recognized.

MS. DEEVEY: Yes, I'm sorry. I have a question about this. It discusses subject matter that the witness will testify to.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes, ma'am.

MS. DEEVEY: The prehearing, the supplementary prehearing filing of this witness, the testimony concerns a great many additional subjects, goes into many things that were also discussed during the first part of the hearings.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes, ma'am.

MS. DEEVEY: And so, basically, this -- I 13 would say that if -- I don't understand what this 14 15 subject matter implies. Does that imply this is the 16 only thing that we will talk about in the hearing? If I 17 want to question this witness with respect to issues that are in her testimony, but not incorporated in this 18 paragraph, do I have that right? Will I have that 19 20 right?

21 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** I'm going to give you my 22 understanding, and then I will look to staff. My 23 understanding, pursuant to the status conference that we 24 previously held, that my ruling would be that the 25 additional witness testimony, due to the late stage of

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the intervention that was granted, that both intervenors would have the opportunity to present rebuttal testimony to the additional filed testimony or to ask specific questions related to the additional filed testimony. And does that clarify your concern, or I can ask staff to --

MS. DEEVEY: No, it doesn't. What it says to me is that I'm not allowed to question them on their testimony.

10 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** You are allowed to 11 question them on the scope of their additional 12 supplemental testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

23

24

25

MS. DEEVEY: Yes. They have in it some words saying, for example, Mayor Hanrahan has words to the effect that -- when asked whether or not the Public Service Commission should approve this, she said, yes, we should approve it because it will aid us in achieving our carbon emission goals as described by the Mayor's, you know, agreement on the Kyoto Protocol.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes, ma'am.

21 MS. DEEVEY: And I am saying that, no, it will 22 not do that.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay.

MS. DEEVEY: And although this was discussed in the earlier thing, there are many important factors

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

which were not fully explored.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Let me stop you there, because, again, today is not the day to try the case. MS. DEEVEY: No, I'm not, it's just an example.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: I understand. And I think 6 I better understand your concern. I'll look to staff to 7 advise us on this issue. My understanding, again, if 8 they open the door that allows to probe certain areas, 9 typically the Commission affords discretion there. And, 10 again, if there is something in the testimony that does 11 open that door, perhaps that's an area that the Chairman 12 13 would allow additional questions in when we get to hearing, and I'll look to staff to address that concern 14 15 further.

16

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

Staff, you're recognized.

MS. HELTON: Commissioner, I agree with everything that you have said. If it's within the scope of the prefiled supplemental testimony, then it should be within the scope of allowed cross-examination by the parties. But that is, as you mentioned, subject to the discretion of the presiding officer.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you, Ms. Helton. Ms. Deevey, does that address your concern that if they have effectively opened the door, then

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

certainly you can request to ask questions along that line, subject to the discretion of the presiding officer. But typically if they opened the door, that's fair game.

MS. DEEVEY: I have to add, Commissioner, that in reviewing the testimony it seems to me that there is no restriction on the subject, that they basically opened the door to the entire hearing record.

9 **COMMISSIONER SKOP**: Okay. Well, that is a 10 question that, I guess, we will have to take up at 11 hearing with the presiding officer.

MS. DEEVEY: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

Okay. Any other issues regarding the order of
witnesses? Any witness stipulations at this point?
Ms. Deevey, you're recognized.

MS. DEEVEY: Yes. There is a Mr. Kushner who has filed supplementary prehearing testimony and is not listed in this list as being questioned about specific issues, and that puzzles me a little. I don't quite understand it, because it seems to me that he spoke in connection with essentially all of them.

23 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Staff, if you could
24 address that, please.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

MR. SAYLER: Mr. Wright, am I correct that Mr.

Kushner has not filed additional supplemental testimony? 1 MR. WRIGHT: That's correct, Commissioner. Mr. 2 Kushner has not filed testimony in the supplemental 3 4 hearing. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. 5 So, Ms. Deevey, what that would mean to you is 6 that since Mr. Kushner has not filed additional 7 testimony, then his testimony has already been entered 8 into the record under the previous hearing and will not 9 10 be readdressed unless somebody has referenced his testimony specifically in their testimony. 11 MS. DEEVEY: Well, may I reserve the right to 12 address this issue later? I have to look up my records. 13 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes, we can come back to 14 15 this. 16 MS. DEEVEY: Thank you. COMMISSIONER SKOP: And then also, too, I 17 think that at the status conference previously the 18 intervenors may have raised -- may have raised the 19 20 intent to testify at the public portion of the hearing, 21 and can the intervenors speak to that whether that is still their intent. 22 MS. STAHMER: Could you ask the question 23 24 again? I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes. At the prior status 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

conference, I think the intervenors had, perhaps, 1 reserved or requested to reserve the option of also 2 testifying during the public testimony portion of the 3 hearing that we'll have, and I need to know if that is 4 still your intent. It would create some procedural 5 concerns, but, again, that would be an issue for the 6 presiding officer to address at the hearing date. 7 MS. DEEVEY: No, I don't see the need for me 8 9 to be a public witness.

10 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And, Ms. Stahmer,
11 do you also agree?

12

13

MS. STAHMER: Same, yes. Thank you. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you for that.

14 Okay. We're going to move on, and hopefully 15 it will give you a few minutes to look at your portions. 16 Or, Ms. Deevey, do you have something to add?

MS. DEEVEY: Yes. May I correct my earlier
statement. It is not Mr. Kushner, it is Mr. Rollins.
And I believe -- I thought he opened the door to
everything.

21 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Staff, can you 22 address that?

23 MR. SAYLER: Can you repeat the question? My 24 understanding, you had questions for Mr. Kushner, but 25 now you are saying it is my Myron Rollins?

MS. DEEVEY: Yes. I'm sorry, I made an error 1 in the names. I don't know either of the gentlemen 2 personally, so I got them mixed up. 3 MR. SAYLER: But, Mr. Rollins is -- on Page 6 4 he has filed prefiled supplemental testimony. 5 MS. DEEVEY: Yes, he has, so I was in error 6 7 when I said I did not see in this --8 MR. SAYLER: Draft prehearing order. MS. DEEVEY: Yes, that they had failed to list 9 10 him, so I apologize. 11 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Okay. So he is properly 12 listed? 13 MS. DEEVEY: Yes, he is. 14 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. With that 15 being said, I guess that closes out Section VI, order of 16 witnesses, barring any other concerns. 17 And hearing none, I will move to Section VII, basic positions. And I believe what we determined at 18 19 the status conference was that staff and GRU's positions 20 would be as previously reflected, and that the 21 intervenors will take no position based on the basic 22 positions. In the final briefs, the intervenors will be 23 able to take a position based on the full and complete 24 record and address any concerns they have within that. 25 MS. DEEVEY: I'm sorry, Commissioner, I do

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

remain a little confused about that. I was under the impression I had to file substantive prehearing statement. Is that it? Yes. A prehearing statement between now and the hearing, but I gather I do not. We have already filed, so I take no position.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. I thought that that was previously addressed. I will look to staff to address it further.

MR. SAYLER: That is correct. The prehearing 9 statements for all parties were due on April 2nd, and 10 11 that date has already passed. But given the fact that you didn't have any prefiled testimony, or witnesses, or 12 exhibits, and your positions were limited to taking no 13 position at this time, I was able to anticipate what 14 your prehearing statement would be. And, therefore, 15 there really wasn't any substantive harm that you didn't 16 file a prehearing statement, though it would have been 17 cleaner had you just filed a notice of prehearing 18 19 statement.

20 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Ms. Deevey, does that 21 address your concerns?

MS. DEEVEY: Yes. I do appreciate that.
COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Great. Thank you.
All right. Any other questions regarding
Section VII, basic positions?

Hearing none, we're going to move on to Section VIII, issues and positions. And, Staff, can you briefly speak to that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

MR. SAYLER: The issues in this proceeding are the same as the first hearing. No change was made to the issues pursuant to the first revised order establishing procedure. And also as mentioned before, pursuant to the first revised OEP, staff and GRU's positions will remain the same, and the intervenors will take no position at this time, but they can still reserve the right to take full positions in the post-hearing briefs.

13 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. And then with 14 respect to the first revised order establishing 15 procedure, we're going to need to amend that based on 16 the slide-in hearing date, so there will be a second 17 amended or second revised order establishing procedure 18 in this case. That will be forthcoming once we lock the 19 dates before we adjourn here.

MS. STAHMER: Commissioner?

21 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes. You're recognized. 22 MS. STAHMER: If it's helpful, I think it's 23 safe to say that both Ms. Deevey and I anticipate 24 challenging the positions of the petitioner on each of 25 these elements except the first one, that they are

qualified applicants. But beyond that, we will be challenging them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

We did misunderstand to what extent we had to provide a discussion of our positions, and we were concerned because we have not yet seen all of the evidence in the record, so we didn't want to state things too broadly, but we also didn't want to limit ourselves. So it's not that we are fumbling around and we haven't got a clue, we do have some ideas of where we are going and will do our best to also let the petitioners have a better sense of our direction in a timely way so they don't feel they're boxing with shadows, either.

14 COMMISSIONER SKOP: I understand, and 15 recognize that both Ms. Deevey and Ms. Stahmer are pro se litigants in this. Again, we afford those 16 typically broad latitude in pro se. And if staff, 17 again, could briefly speak to the issue of where they 18 will be able to take formal positions. Again, because 19 of the late intervention, you were not afforded the 20 opportunity to take a basic position because we have 21 22 reached and gone beyond that point, but the opportunity to state your positions on each of the issues that you 23 wish to contest will be in the post-hearing brief, and I 24 will ask staff to reaffirm that. 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Staff, you're recognized.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

MR. SAYLER: Commissioner, you're absolutely correct. They don't need to take a position at this time, but in their post-hearing briefs they will need to spell out their position, their basic position and their position on all the issues with the exception of Issue 1, which has been stipulated and voted upon by the full Commission.

9 But with regards to if you don't take a 10 position in your post-hearing brief, then you will have 11 waived your right to take a position. But it's in the 12 post-hearing brief, you don't need to file anything 13 between now and the hearing date with regard to your 14 positions.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Deevey and the intervenors, does that address your concern?

MS. DEEVEY: Yes. I guess the only thing I'm concerned now about is the exhibits.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Staff, could you
 speak to that, please?

21 MR. SAYLER: With regard to exhibits, staff 22 has been in conversation with both the utility and the 23 intervenors for potentially having a staff composite 24 exhibit which will be stipulated by the intervenors and 25 the utility, and also I have been in conversation with

1 the intervenors and they are also potentially going to 2 collect a composite exhibit, an aggregation of exhibits 3 that they would like to submit to both staff and the 4 utility for possible stipulation into the hearing record 5 in order to speed things up at the time of hearing. But I believe that is what Ms. Deevey was asking about. 6 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Deevey. 7 MS. DEEVEY: I'm asking about something else. 8 I would like to have all of the exhibits used in the 9 first hearing stipulated for this one, as well. 10 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Staff. 11 MR. SAYLER: It's already in the record. All 12 the exhibits from the first hearing are moved. All the 13 exhibits that were moved into the hearing at the first 14 hearing are already in the record. 15 MS. DEEVEY: And can I use them in cross 16 examination? 17 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Staff. 18 MR. SAYLER: Yes. 19 MS. DEEVEY: And can I add to those? 20 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Helton. 21 MS. DEEVEY: Can I add additional --22 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Hold on. Ms. Helton. 23 MS. HELTON: You know, it's very difficult to 24 answer these questions, I think, in the abstract, number 25

one. Number two, we already have a record. It's my understanding what we're doing is supplementing that record. If the use of the exhibits from the first hearing are relevant to the supplemental testimony on point with respect to asking a question with respect to cross-examination, off the top of my head without knowing what your specific question is and what the specific exhibit is, I would say that sounds like that would be something that is appropriate to do. But, as I said and started out, it's kind of hard to do that in the abstract here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Deevey.

MS. DEEVEY: Are you suggesting that I go home and make a list and send it back to you, a narrowed list of things that I believe will be relevant to the cross-examination as I understand it?

17 MS. HELTON: With respect to the exhibit list that is in Subsection VII, those are the known exhibits 18 that were prefiled with the supplemental direct 19 testimony. Those are the only exhibits that are 20 required to be identified in the prehearing statement. 21 The exhibits that you plan to use on cross-examination 22 or for cross-examination purposes, those are not 23 required to be listed here. So if that is your 24 question, the answer is no, you do not have to go home 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

and narrow down a list of cross-examination exhibits.

But if your question is more broad, and what exhibits can I use, I can't tell you that until we get to the hearing. I mean, that's what the hearing, in part, is about. First of all, we could not tell you what exhibits you can or cannot use at the hearing. That is the role of the presiding officer as far as what is permissible for cross-examination purposes and what is not subject to the arguments of the parties.

10 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Deevey, just so you 11 know, to expound upon what Ms. Helton just said, the 12 exhibits in the prior hearing have been already entered 13 into the record. They are available to be used, but 14 there's a caveat on that. If you intend to use them for 15 your cross-examination of a witness, that is subject to 16 a contemporaneous objection from opposing counsel. And if they object it's up to the presiding officer to make 17 18 a determination as to whether the line of questioning is appropriate, within the scope of the supplemental 19 20 testimony, or if it's outside the scope of the supplemental testimony, at which point your question --21 the objection will be sustained and you will not be able 22 23 to question on that line.

So that will be a case-by-case call made by the presiding officer. Because, again, as Ms. Helton

tried to emphasize, it's difficult to lock down the 1 specifics of something in the abstract. It's very fact 2 specific on a case-by-case basis what the scope of the 3 4 testimony is on the supplemental testimony and what the nature of your question may be. So it is speculative to 5 6 make that ruling or determination in advance. 7 If there is a question and exhibit you wish to 8 reference, you are certainly free to do so, but that may 9 be subject to a contemporaneous objection which will be 10 ruled upon by the presiding officer at the appropriate 11 time. 12 I understand. MS. DEEVEY: Thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Great. Thank 14 you. 15 Any other concerns on Issue VIII, issues and positions, before we move on? 16 MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner? 17 18 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Wright, you're 19 recognized. 20 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner. 21 For convenience of the parties and the 22 Commission, I have communicated by e-mail late yesterday 23 evening to Mr. Sayler that additional of our witnesses 24 in the supplemental hearing who will be addressing each 25 issue. For example, on Issue 2 it's not just Witness

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Regan, it's Witness Regan and Witness Hanrahan. I thought that that would be helpful. There is no change in our position; merely identifying the witnesses who address each issue. I thought that would be convenient for the parties and for the Commission, and accordingly I think it would be appropriate to list them with respect to each issue, but that's procedural.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

8 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Okay. And just so I'm 9 clear, staff and the parties, if they want to speak to 10 this, on Section VI of the draft prehearing order, it 11 seems that the order of witnesses will be Mayor 12 Hanrahan, Richard Schroeder, Mr. Bachmeier, Mr. Regan, 13 and Mr. Rollins; is that correct?

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. And to the intervenors, basically you're participating in a passive capacity where you will just be cross-examining the witnesses without sponsoring your own testimony, is that correct? Ms. Deevey? Ms. Deevey?

MS. DEEVEY: I'm sorry.

21 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** We just reviewed the list 22 of witnesses that GRU and GREC intend to sponsor, and is 23 it correct to understand that the intervenors will not 24 be sponsoring any witness testimony, they will just be 25 merely participating in a passive role cross-examining

1 the witnesses, is that correct? 2 MS. DEEVEY: Yes. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. All right. 3 Thank 4 you. 5 Any other concerns on Section VIII before we move on? Okay. 6 Hearing none, move to Section IX, exhibit 7 list. Staff? 8 9 MR. SAYLER: Staff notes that we planned to -for the record, to prepare a Comprehensive Exhibit List 10 consisting of all the prefiled exhibits for the purposes 11 of numbering and identifying exhibits at the hearing. 12 And staff will provide the exhibit list to the parties 13 as soon as possible, and I will e-mail that out 14 hopefully to the parties later this week. 15 And for the intervenors, what it is, this 16 17 exhibit list will pick up where the first hearing exhibit list ended and we will just continue on. And 18 then if there are any other additional hearing exhibits, 19 they will be added to the end of all the exhibits on 20 21 that exhibit list. 22 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Very well. Any other additional questions or concerns regarding Section IX, 23 24 the exhibit list? MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner. 25

22

23 1 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Wright. 2 MR. WRIGHT: There was a typographical error in one of ours that I called to Mr. Sayler's attention 3 4 by e-mail. 5 MR. SAYLER: And I will incorporate that in 6 the final. 7 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. 8 Show that done. 9 That takes us to Section X, proposed 10 stipulations. At this point, Issue 1 is stipulated. Ι 11 have heard from the intervenors that they intend to 12 contest all remaining issues, so I would assume that 13 there are no additional stipulations that staff is aware 14 of at this time. Is that correct, staff? 15 MR. SAYLER: That is correct. 16 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Okay. To the parties, any 17 additional stipulations? 18 Hearing none, Section X for proposed 19 stipulations, show Issue 1 stipulated with the remaining 20 issues still contested. And, again, if there are issues 21 the parties can stipulate to, I would ask them to work 22 in good faith with each other prior to hearing. 23 That takes us to Section XI, pending motions. 24 Staff. 25 MR. SAYLER: Staff is not aware of any pending

motions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Very well. Any other concerns from the parties on Section XI? Hearing none, we'll go to Section XII, pending confidentiality motions. Staff?

MR. SAYLER: Staff at this time is aware of several pending confidentiality requests which will be addressed by separate order.

9 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Very well. We'll 10 address those on a case-by-case basis. Any other 11 concerns regarding Section XII, pending confidentiality 12 motions?

> Ms. Deevey, do you have a question? MS. DEEVEY: No.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Very well. 15 All That takes us to Section XIII, post-hearing 16 right. procedures. Essentially, the draft prehearing order 17 includes post-hearing brief word and page limits, and 18 1.9typically the post-hearing -- the number of words in 20 each post-hearing brief position is 50 words, and the number of pages in the post-hearing brief is typically 21 limited to 40 pages. And I just wanted to hear from the 22 23 parties with respect to whether they feel that's 24 adequate to address both their positions and their 25 briefs.

MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner. 1 2 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Wright. 3 MR. WRIGHT: We're comfortable with the 50-word limit, although we would not object to bumping 4 it up a little bit. I would respectfully ask that we be 5 allowed 50 pages for briefs. 6 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Ms. Deevey and Ms. 7 8 Stahmer. 9 MS. STAHMER: I have no objection. COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Here's what 10 we're going to do. We are going to leave the position 11 statement as 50 words and the length of the post-hearing 12 13 brief will be 50 pages. MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Commissioner. 14 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you. 15 Okay. Any other concerns on Section XIII, 16 post-hearing procedures, before we move along? 17 Hearing none, we're going to move to 18 Section XIV, rulings. And we are going to have opening 19 statements, we will limit the opening statements to five 20 21 minutes for opening statements unless there is an 22 additional concern. Ms. Deevey or Ms. Stahmer? Okay. And that 23 would be each of you in your individual capacity having 24 five minutes. And, staff, correct me if I'm wrong on 25

1	that.
2	MR. SAYLER: That is correct.
3	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Ms. Deevey, you're
4	recognized.
5	MS. DEEVEY: Well, I don't know what I'm going
6	to be saying, so I don't know if five minutes is
7	adequate or not.
8	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Well, you'll have
9	five minutes to say your summary of
10	MS. DEEVEY: A summary of I disagree with
11	everything you have said.
12	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Yes, ma'am. And
13	additionally, Ms. Stahmer has her five minutes, and the
14	parties will have their five minutes.
15	MR. WRIGHT: Commissioner.
16	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Mr. Wright.
17	MR. WRIGHT: Technically, there are two
18	parties on our side. I was thinking to make one opening
19	statement. Are you saying that we would have ten
20	minutes?
21	COMMISSIONER SKOP: Staff, if you could help
22	me out. I guess, Mr. Wright, typically you know,
23	this is a little thorny issue. They have already had
24	one bite at the apple where they have made an opening
25	statement. This is supplementary. I would hope that

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

they could combine them and make it in five, but I will 1 2 look to staff, Ms. Helton, on that issue. 3 MS. HELTON: It is within your discretion, Commissioner, what you would like to see. 4 I'd be happy with eight, if that MR. WRIGHT: 5 would be okay with you, Commissioner. 6 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Hold on for one second. 7 MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir. 8 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Staff, it's my 9 understanding that in the supplemental hearing that GREC 10 has formally intervened as a separate entity, is that 11 12 correct? MS. HELTON: I'd have to defer to Mr. Sayler 13 14 on that. MR. SAYLER: Commissioner, in this particular 15 proceeding it's a joint proceeding by GRU and GREC. 16 They have always -- both have been joint parties 17 throughout the pendency of this particular proceeding. 18 There may have been another proceeding where the utility 19 intervened late, but not in this one. 20 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. I believe I'm 21 getting this confused with a different that has consumed 22 quite a bit of my time lately. 23 Ms. Helton. 24 25 MS. HELTON: I can say, though, that often in

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

a court you will see the court give you however many minutes per side, so if you were to look at it that way, the intervenors now have ten minutes on their side, but the petitioner only has five minutes. So if we were to handle it like an appellate proceeding, Mr. Wright would be given ten minutes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Well, Ms. Helton, here's my problem with this. We have already had opening statements that have been made. This is supplemental testimony. Subsequent to the original hearing we had two intervenors. They are in their not joint capacity, but individual capacity, so it would seem to me that since GREC and GRU is a joint petition, that is one entity, and we have two separate intervenors, both of which should be afforded their respective time.

So my ruling is going to be five minutes for GREC and GRU and five minutes for each of the respective intervenors in their individual capacity.

MS. HELTON: And I think that is appropriate, especially because the witnesses will have five minutes also to summarize their testimony.

22 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Very well. Show it done. 23 Any other concerns with respect to any of the 24 sections in the draft prehearing order before we move 25 forward?

1 Okay. With respect to other matters, Staff, 2 I'll look to you with respect to position changes, and 3 we need to address what the revised hearing dates are 4 going to be as well as the special agenda dates. So if 5 you can speak to the position change dates, please. 6 MR. SAYLER: All right. With respect to the 7 revised order establishing procedure, the new hearing 8 date will be May 3rd. Staff would prefer that briefs be 9 filed on May 10th, and then assuming that -- if we're 10 still on the June 1st --11 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Wait a minute. I think 12 you're getting ahead of what I asked for, Mr. Sayler. 13 MR. SAYLER: I'm sorry. 14 COMMISSIONER SKOP: Basically, with respect to 15 the position changes, following the prehearing 16 conference I'm looking at a terminal cutoff date for 17 when they can change their initial positions. 18 MR. SAYLER: My apologies. Right now for all 19 the parties, the intervenors positions post this 20 prehearing date is still no position. The utility is --21 their positions are staying the same, but if they have 22 any updates or changes that they see to the prehearing 23 order that need to be made, if they can let me know by 24 Wednesday afternoon, then I can incorporate any of those 25 changes or any typos that they happen to see in the

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

draft prehearing order, I would appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Thank you. So to GREC and GRU, if they have any changes or typographical errors to the position statements, they need to be provided to Commission staff by close of business on Wednesday, April 7th, which is this Wednesday. And Ms. Deevey and Ms. Stahmer, you are not affected by that. Again, that is the initial positions going into the hearing.

10 Okay. With respect to the revised hearing 11 dates, revised hearing dates predicated by the 12 petitioner not making the required statutory 13 publication, so in order to get that publication done 14 and to adhere to Florida Administrative Weekly noticing 15 requirements, the revised hearing date is going to be 16 May 3rd at 9:30 a.m. Staff, that will require you to 17 issue FAW notice by tomorrow. And, Mr. Wright, you 18 would need to make appropriate publication pursuant to 19 statute.

20

21

22

MR. WRIGHT: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. With respect to the remaining dates, I'll let staff speak to those, but, 23 essentially, for the post-hearing briefs I think staff has floated May 10th. Is that correct, staff?

25

24

MR. SAYLER: May 10th if it's the June 1st

agenda. If we can secure the special agenda date a little bit later, then May 13th. COMMISSIONER SKOP: Okay. Why don't we do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

this, why don't we make -- in fairness to the parties, given we have some pro se litigants, why don't we make post-hearing briefs due May 13th by the close of business and shoot for a targeted special agenda date of June 2nd. And that would be at 9:30 a.m. on June 2nd.

MR. SAYLER: June 7th, my apologies. I checked with the calendar and I don't know if there is a June 2nd special agenda date available, but I do know there is a June 7th date potentially available.

13 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Okay. Well, my 14 understanding is we'll shoot for June 2nd with a 15 fallback date of June 7th, but my understanding is it 16 should be able to be accommodated on June 2nd.

MR. SAYLER: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Any concerns 18 from the parties on that? Okay. Hearing none. So just 19 to summarize, and, staff, if I am missing something, 20 21 please interrupt me. But the revised hearing date will be May 3rd, 9:30 a.m. Post-hearing briefs will be due 22 by close of business on May 13th, and that's a very 23 important date for the intervenors here, that's where 24 you will take your positions and provide your briefs, 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 and then we will target as a primary date for a special 2 agenda to make the decision on this matter of June 2nd, 3 9:30 a.m., subject to approval by the Chairman, with a fallback date of June 7th. If the June 2nd date is not 4 available, we will shoot for June 7th at 9:30 a.m. Both 5 6 of those, or each of those respective dates will be 7 reflected in the second revised order establishing 8 procedure. And I'd ask staff to get that out to the 9 parties as quickly as possible. 10 MR. SAYLER: Absolutely, Commissioner. 11 COMMISSIONER SKOP: All right. Any other 12 dates that we need to discuss? MR. SAYLER: None that I'm aware of. 13 14 **COMMISSIONER SKOP:** Okay. Any other matters 15 that the parties wish to address before we adjourn? 16 Okay. Hearing none, we stand adjourned. 17 Thank you. 18 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. 19 (The prehearing concluded at 2:09 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1			
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)		
3	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
4	COUNTY OF LEON)		
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter		
6	Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, d hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.		
7			
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the		
9	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true		
10	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.		
11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor		
12	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I		
13	financially interested in the action.		
14	DATED THIS 8th day of April, 2010.		
15			
16	anetunol		
17	DANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter		
18	(850) 413-6732		
19 1			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		