VOTE SHEET

August 17, 2010

Docket No. 090366-WU – Application for certificate to operate water utility in Marion County by ARMA WATER SERVICE, LLC.

<u>Issue 1:</u> Should the Utility's application for a water certificate be approved?

Recommendation: Yes, Arma should be granted Certificate No. 652-W to serve the territory described in Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated August 5, 2010, effective the date of the Commission's vote. The resultant order should serve as Arma's water certificate and it should be retained by the Utility.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY	DISSENTING
Plan of the	
Hanry Gegenziano	
Lon Ed	
a h d	

<u>REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:</u> Chairman Argenziano participated in the conference by telephone. She will sign the vote sheet upon her return to the office.

DOUGHT & MARRIER DATE

06892 AUG 19 º

. Vote Sheet

August 17, 2010

Docket No. 090366-WU - Application for certificate to operate water utility in Marion County by ARMA WATER SERVICE, LLC.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2:</u> What are the appropriate initial water rates?

Recommendation: The monthly water service rates proposed by Arma and shown on Schedule No. 1 of staff's memorandum dated August 5, 2010, are reasonable and should be approved. Arma should be required to file a proposed customer notice and tariff sheets reflecting the Commission-approved rates for staff approval. Within ten days after the Consummating Order is issued in this docket, Arma should provide the staff-approved notice to all its customers. Within ten days after the notice is provided to customers, Arma should file an affidavit attesting to the date that the customer notice was provided and attaching a copy of the customer notice. The docket should remain open until the affidavit of noticing is filed and verified by staff. The approved rates should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C. Arma should be required to charge the approved rates until authorized to change them by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

Issue 3: What are the appropriate service availability policy and charges?

Recommendation: The service availability policy and charges proposed by Arma and shown on Schedule No. 1 of staff's memorandum dated August 5, 2010, are consistent with the guidelines contained in Rule 25-30.580(1)(a), F.A.C. and should be approved. Arma should include the approved service availability charges in its proposed customer notice and tariff sheets as required to be filed for staff approval in Issue 1. The approved service availability policy and rates should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C. Arma should be required to charge the approved rates until authorized to change them by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

. Vote Sheet

August 17, 2010

Docket No. 090366-WU - Application for certificate to operate water utility in Marion County by ARMA WATER SERVICE, LLC.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 4:</u> Should Arma's request for authority to collect initial customer deposits and to apply certain miscellaneous service charges be approved?

Recommendation: Yes. Arma's requests for authority to collect initial customer deposits and to apply certain miscellaneous service charges shown on Schedule 1 of staff's memorandum dated August 5, 2010, are reasonable and should be approved. Arma should include the approved initial customer deposits and miscellaneous service charges in its proposed customer notice and tariff sheets as required to be filed for staff approval in Issue 1. The approved customer deposits and miscellaneous service charges should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets, pursuant to Rule 25-30.475, F.A.C. Arma should be required to charge the approved rates until authorized to change them by the Commission in a subsequent proceeding.

APPROVED

Issue 5: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If no timely protest to the proposed agency actions in Issues 2, 3, or 4 is filed with the Commission by a substantially affected person, a Consummating Order should be issued. The docket should remain open pending verification by staff that notice has been appropriately given by Arma to customers of the Commission-approved rates and charges in Issues 2, 3, and 4. Upon verification of the noticing, the docket should be administratively closed.

APPROVED