AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302) TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301 (850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560 RECEIVED-FPSC

10 NOV 29 PM 4: 00

COMMISSION

November 29, 2010

HAND DELIVERED

Ms. Ann Cole, Director Division of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re:

Petition for approval of extending the small general service price responsive load

management program pilot, by Tampa Electric Company;

FPSC Docket No. 100435-EG

Dear Ms. Cole:

Pursuant to Staff's letters dated November 16 and 19, 2010, we enclose for filing the original and five (5) copies of Tampa Electric Company's responses to Staff's Data Requests Nos. 1-9.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

Jan OrBent James D. Beasley

JDB/pp Enclosure

cc:	Lee Eng Tan (w/enc.)	
COM	Division of Regulatory Analysis (Traci Matthews) (w/enc.) Paula K. Brown (w/enc.)	
APA T		
GCL 1		
SSC		COCCIME AT MINISTER -CMT.
ADM		09618 NOV 29 º
OPC		FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 100435-EG STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 1 PAGE 1 OF 1 SERVED: NOVEMBER 29, 2010

- 1. In Order No PSC-09-0501-TRG-EG, issued July 15, 2009 in Docket No. 090228-EG, the pilot program is funded through the Conservation Research and Development Program, which in turn is funded through the ECCR in an amount up to \$500,000 for a period of 5 years through 2010. Please explain and justify the difference in funding.
- A. The pilot Small GS Price Responsive Load Management program approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-09-0501-TRG-EG is a large component of the overall five-year Conservation Research and Development ("R&D") program that extends through 2010. Due to the size of the projected expenditure and the need for approval of specific customer rates to conduct the pilot, Tampa Electric petitioned specifically for the approval of the pilot program. Recovery was granted through the ECCR clause and expenditures are tracked and reported as a component of the Conservation R&D program.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 100435-EG STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 2 PAGE 1 OF 1 SERVED: NOVEMBER 29, 2010

- 2. Please provide the total amount for maximum expenditures for the pilot Small GS Price Responsive Load Management program that was originally established.
- A. The maximum expenditure for the pilot Small GS Price Responsive Load Management program was originally established at \$286,000.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 100435-EG STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 3 PAGE 1 OF 1 SERVED: NOVEMBER 29, 2010

- 3. Please provide the total amount for total expenditures to date.
- A. Total expenditures for the pilot do date are \$279,930.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 100435-EG STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 4 PAGE 1 OF 1 SERVED: NOVEMBER 29, 2010

- 4. Please provide the total amount for maximum expenditures if the extension through June 30, 2011 is granted.
- A. If the extension of the pilot was granted through June 30, 2011, the total maximum expenditures for the pilot would be \$364,000.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 100435-EG STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 5 PAGE 1 OF 1 SERVED: NOVEMBER 29, 2010

- 5. The petition states that "due to the timing of installations, Tampa Electric was unable to fully evaluate winter demand reductions." Please explain or describe the installations and the reasons for the delays.
- A. Tampa Electric's initial plan for the pilot program was to procure equipment, which allowed customers with various meter configurations and/or electric service greater than 200 amperes to participate. After an exhaustive search, the company determined that equipment meeting those specifications was not available: therefore, the company decided to proceed with utilizing the Residential Price Responsive Load Management program equipment, which primarily accommodates stand alone meters and service up to 200 amperes. Solicitation for participants began and the first installations occurred in December 2009. A representative sample of GS customers was not attained until February 2010, which was too late for adequate load research data for the 2009/2010 winter season. With an appropriate sample of customers now in place for the 2010/2011 winter season, the company is requesting an extension of the pilot program through June 2011 to analyze the data from this winter season. The analysis will provide the information necessary to make an informed decision concerning a request for program permanency.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 100435-EG STAFF'S FIRST DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 6 PAGE 1 OF 1 SERVED: NOVEMBER 29, 2010

- 6. Please provide the figures comparing the percent increase in expenditures to the percent increase in time if the extension is granted.
- A. If the extension is granted, the percent increase in expenditures and time will be 27.3 percent or \$78,000 and 30.6 percent or 5.5 months, respectively. Therefore, the total expenditures and pilot time-period will increase from \$286,000 to \$364,000 and 18 months to almost 24 months, respectively.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 100435-EG STAFF'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 7 PAGE 1 OF 1 SERVED: NOVEMBER 29, 2010

- 7. By Order No. PSC-09-0501-TRF-EG, TECO's Small General Service Price Responsive Load Management Pilot Program expenditures are capped at \$286,000 for the period July 15, 2009 to January 14, 2011.
 - a. How much of the \$286,000 has TECO already exhausted?
 - b. Does TECO anticipate exceeding the \$286,000 cap amount?
 - c. If the response to (b) is affirmative, by how much does TECO anticipate exceeding the cap amount?
- A. a. Tampa Electric has exhausted approximately \$280,000 through November, 2010.
 - b. Yes, but only after expiration of the currently approved program period.
 - c. Consistent with Tampa Electric's petition in this docket, the company anticipates exceeding the current cap amount by \$78,000 through June 30, 2011.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 100435-EG STAFF'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 8 PAGE 1 OF 1 SERVED: NOVEMBER 29, 2010

- 8. Is TECO requesting an additional \$78,000, in excess of the previously approved \$286,000 for its Small General Service Price Responsive Load Management Pilot Program?
- A. Yes.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 100435-EG STAFF'S FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 9 PAGE 1 OF 1 SERVED: NOVEMBER 29, 2010

- 9. Should TECO's petition in DN 100435-EG be considered as a request for authorization to exceed the Commission's previously ordered cap of \$286,000, by \$78,000?
- A. Yes.