DOCCMENT NUMBER-DATE

1	ELODIDA	BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		DOCKET NO. 090478-WS
4	In the Matter of	f <b>:</b>
5	II.	R PROPOSED WATER
6	AND WASTEWATER : HERNANDO AND PA:	SCO COUNTIES,
7	AND REQUEST FOR AND CHARGES, BY UTILITIES, LLC.	
8	——————————————————————————————————————	
9		
10		7
11		
12		
13	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 19
14	COMMISSIONERS	1150 NO. 15
15	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM
16		COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER NATHAN A. SKOP COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ
17		COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS
18	DATE:	Tuesday, December 14, 2010
19	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
20		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
21	DEDODEED DV	·
22	REPORTED BY:	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6732
23		(000) 410 0/02
24		

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I'd like to call to order the Agenda Conference, Tuesday, December 14th, 2010. It is roughly about 9:34 a.m. We have several items on here that are going to be deferred.

The first list are the ones that are being deferred because they are part of an FPL case where there is a stay through the First District Court of Appeals. Those are 3, 8, 9, 10, 11 only Issues 2 and 3, 12, 13, 14, and 15. Those are all deferred because of the stay. The following other items are deferred.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Excuse me, Mr. Chair.

On Issue 19, I wish to have a discussion before that item is deferred.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Items 4, 5, 6, and 7 are deferred. And, Commissioner Skop.

COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

With respect to the proposed deferral of

Item 19, I believe paperwork was submitted yesterday
where it was unilaterally deferred by the Chairman.

And pursuant to APM Provision 2.11-7, a majority of
the quorum of the Commission is needed to modify the
Chairman's decision on deferral. I would like to

have a discussion before the full Commission as to the rationale for the deferral, as well as a full Commission vote. I believe that, you know, the issue deferral in itself, I'm not certain the rationale that you had in deferring that. At least from my perspective as a three-panel decisional body on that particular docket -- I believe that's correct, but let me double-check.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: That's correct.

commissioners that proceeded to hear the desire testimony and the entire record evidence in that proceeding, and I'm fully prepared today to decide the case on the merits in a fair and impartial manner pursuant to the staff recommendation.

My concern with that is that a tremendous amount of taxpayer money has been absorbed in having me travel to Brooksville, Florida, to be in hearing for over eight hours that day, and to also continue in that hearing. And I would like to, frankly, given the seemingly opposition to the deferral, as noted in the record, gain a complete and better understanding as to why you feel it is appropriate to defer this item, and also I would like the full

1 Commission vote.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. There was a motion that came -- Commissioner Edgar.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate the opportunity.

I'm not sure that one of the statements that was just made is correct. It's my understanding that we have four or five Commissioners that are on this item, and I guess I would ask our legal staff to double-check that for me so we know for sure. And I don't know if Commissioner Balbis, having recently joined us, if he has been added to that or not, and just so we know who is on this docket.

MS. HELTON: It's my understanding that Commissioner Balbis has read the record and is prepared to vote on Skyland, so you have siting today five Commissioners who would be voting.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: The question I have, what we are looking to do, what Commissioner Skop is looking to do is overrule the chair on this deferral. After that it would be -- regardless of who's on the panel, the entire board votes on that, is that correct?

MS. HELTON: It would be -- a majority of

the quorum who would vote on the item would need to overrule your deferral, so it would require three Commissioners to agree -- or two Commissioners to agree with Commissioner Skop for a total of three.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay.

**COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Mr. Chair, I have a question.

## CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:

procedure standpoint, I understand that there was a motion filed for a deferral. I have not read that motion; however, I assume that the Chair gets requests, general requests for deferral from either the applicant or other parties. What is the precedent that has been set in the past on deferral of items based on a request?

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Well, I was getting ready to say that. There was motion that came in, and the motion that came in from Skyland was they wanted to defer the item, and they wanted an opportunity to come back and reargue the case. I'm denying the motion to reargue the case, but if they want to defer the item for some more time — because if that was their game plan was to reargue, and we are not going to let them reargue, if they want some

more time to figure out what they are going to do next, if they want to withdraw or if they want to move forward, that's fine. I looked at it as just being a common courtesy. And so I saw no harm in deferring this thing for one cycle.

Commissioner Skop.

## COMMISSIONER SKOP: Thank you.

Again, from my perspective,
notwithstanding the fact that the petitioner,
Skyland, may have requested a deferral in its
emergency motion, it was opposed by Public Counsel,
it was opposed by other municipalities. There is a
complete closed record. It is ripe for decision.
My concern, again, with this is notwithstanding the
fact that no matter who was assigned to the panel,
and I do commend Commissioner Balbis for reading the
record, that's very commendable to be prepared to
make a decision today, but the decision before the
Commission is ripe for a decision.

I think what is a tremendous downside to this, and a loss, and, you know, I take it to be somewhat out of character is the fact that, again, there was a two-day hearing, one in Brooksville, one at the Commission. I am one of two Commissioners that participated in that entire hearing process. I

have four years -- nearly four years of experience on this bench, and I would welcome the opportunity to participate in a decision where the taxpayers paid a tremendous amount of money for the Commission to undertake that process. And I think the unilateral deferral by the Chair, notwithstanding the objection of Public Counsel, is improper and it denies me the effective ability to participate in this docket. And that's, you know, just my perspective.

If the will of the Commission is to grant the deferral over the objection of Public Counsel, then certainly that's something the Commission can entertain. But the reality of that is that it denies me as a Commissioner, a sitting Commissioner, the ability to cast my vote on a decision that is properly before the Commission that's ripe for decision. The evidentiary record has been closed for such time. There is a staff recommendation, and it's ready for a decision. So I see no reason, with all due respect, why it should be deferred. And, you know, I can't help to think that it is deferred to deny me the opportunity to participate.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I'm passing the gavel to Commissioner Edgar so she can run this part so I can

make a motion.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Graham, you're recognized.

CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, Commissioner Edgar.

It's a shame that some people find decisions — think that there is something that is personal. There is nothing personal about this. I saw a utility company out there that was looking for something that we grant most times just a common courtesy. There's nothing that's going to be lost or hurt by putting this thing out for one cycle. So as my position as Chair, I was going to defer it. It seems like that question is going to be challenged. So Commissioner Edgar is now going to be chair for this one part. So I'd like to move to defer this item.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioners, we have a motion. Is there a second?

**COMMISSIONER BRISÉ:** I'll second just to open the item for discussion. I have a question for staff.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you,

Commissioner Brisé. We have a motion; we have a second. That leads us to discussion. And,

1 Commissioner Brisé, you're recognized for a question to staff. 2 3 4 5 6 any? 7 8 9 10 11 12 forward. 13 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: 14 15 16 17 18 called. 19 20 21 22 23 record, and the fact that it is available to review 24 the hours and hours of testimony, the video of

25

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. To staff, if we defer this item at this time, what impact will that have on what needs to be done with this case, if MS. KLANCKE: Substantively, there will be little impact on the pendency of this case. As was previously stated, there is a closed record. We are going to maintain the sanctity of the record as it exists on the basis of all the evidence and proceed Madam Chair. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Graham. CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I call the question. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I just have one comment, Madam Chair, but the question has been COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Commissioner Balbis. COMMISSIONER BALBIS: I just want to comment to respond to staff. Having reviewed the entire record and the sanctity, if you will, of the

testimony, et cetera, all of the prefiled

1 information, I can say that upon review of all of that information I feel comfortable that with that 2 3 review I have, you know, adequate information to 4 make a decision. 5 I guess my point is with the deferral of 6 the item, I don't feel having gone through the 7 entire record without participating that it leaves me in any less of a position to make a decision. 8 9 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: We have a motion. We 10 have a second. We have a request to call the 11 question, which I understand is --12 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Nondebatable. 13 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** -- is nondebatable, which is what --14 15 COMMISSIONER SKOP: (Inaudible.) 16 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I call the question to the vote. 17 18 COMMISSIONER SKOP: So we have terminated 19 the discussion? 20 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Excuse me. Μv 21 understanding, and I am going to look to our General 22 Counsel, but my understanding is that with 23 Commissioner Graham's request to call the question, 24 that that is nondebatable. I will look to our

General Counsel to make sure that I am on firm

1 ground there. And I will ask you to say so into the microphone, because although I think you are nodding 2 3 a yes, that's not going to be picked up by the court reporter. 5 MR. KISER: Right. No, it's typical 6 through all parliamentary procedures, when you call 7 the question it is nondebate. You go straight to the vote to see whether or not the will of the 8 9 majority is to vote on it. 10 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. 11 Thank you, General Counsel Kiser. 12 With that, we will have a vote now on the 13 motion, which is to defer Item 19. All in favor of 14 the motion to defer say aye. 15 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Aye. 16 Aye. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Aye. 17 COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Aye. 18 19 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: All opposed? 20 COMMISSIONER SKOP: No. 21 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. I give that a 22 four-to-one. And with that, Item 19 will be 23 deferred. And, Chairman Graham, I will give you 24 back the gavel. 25 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, Commissioner

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 2 STATE OF FLORIDA 3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 4 COUNTY OF LEON 5 I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter 6 Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard 7 at the time and place herein stated. 8 IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that 9 the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a 10 true transcription of my notes of said proceedings. 11 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, 12 nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I 13 financially interested in the action. 14 DATED THIS 17th day of December, 2010. 15 16 JANE FAUROT, 17 RPR Official TPSC Hearings Reporter 18 (850) 413-6732 19 20 21 22

23

24