Dorothy Menasco

From:

James Parado [jparado@acgoldlaw.com]

Sent:

Friday, December 30, 2011 11:12 AM

To:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Cc:

Alan Gold; th9467@att.com; ms8675@att.com; ateitzman@psc.state.fl.us; Pauline Robinson; Jerry Hallenstein; Lisa

Harvey; Ron.Curry@corp.earthlink.com; pfoley@corp.earthlink.com

Subject:

Docket 090430 STS Response to the FPSC Data Request

Attachments: STS TELECOM RESPONSE TO PSC'S Dec. 13th 2011 Question 12-30-11.pdf

December 30, 2011

Ms. Ann Cole

Commission Clerk

Office of the Commission Clerk

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 0904380-TP – Amended Petition for verified emergency injunctive relief and request to restrict or prohibit AT&T from implementing its CLEC OSS related releases, by Saturn Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Dear Ms. Cole:

Attached is STS's Response to the December 13, 2011 Question of FPSC Staff following up on the December 13, 2011 meeting in the above-referenced docket.

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached certificate of service.

James L. Parado, Esquire ALAN C. GOLD, P.A. 1501 Sunset Drive, 2nd Floor Coral Gables, FL 33143 (305) 667-0475 (phone) (305) 663-0799 (fax) jparado@acgoldlaw.com (e-mail)

DOCUMENT MISSIBLE FX-

09199 DEC 30 =

Law Offices of Alan C. Gold, P.A.

Attorneys:

Alan C. Gold
agold@acgoldiaw.com

James L. Parado, JD, LLM
jparado@acgoldlaw.com

Charles S. Coffey
ccoffey@acgoldlaw.com

1501 Sunset Drive Second Floor Coral Gables, Florida 33143 Telephone: (305) 667-0475 Facsimile: (305) 663-0799

Paralegal:

Nancy M. Samry, F.R.P. nmsamry@aol.com

December 30, 2011

Ms. Ann Cole Commission Clerk Office of the Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

090430-TP

Re:

Docket No. 0904380-TP – Amended Petition for verified emergency injunctive relief and request to restrict or prohibit AT&T from implementing its CLEC OSS related releases, by Saturn Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Dear Ms. Cole:

Attached is STS's Response to the December 13, 2011 Question of FPSC Staff following up on the December 13, 2011 meeting in the above-referenced docket.

1

Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached certificate of service.

Sincerely,

/s/Alan C. Gold

Alan C. Gold

CC: All Parties of Record

/Attachment

POPUMENT NIMBER OF AT

09199 DEC 30 =

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 090430-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of STS's Response to the December 13, 2011 Question of FPSC Staff following up on the December 13, 2011 was served via electronic mail this 30th day of December 2011, to the following:

Adam Teitzman (ateitzman@psc.state.fl.us)
Pauline Evans (pevans@psc.state.fl.us)
Jerry Hallenstein (jhallens@psc.state.fl.us)
Lisa Harvey (lsharvey@psc.state.fl.us)
Staff Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Tracy W. Hatch, Esq. (th9467@att.com) (ms8675@att.com) AT&T Florida 150 S. Monroe St., Ste. 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

/s/Alan C. Gold ALAN C. GOLD

STS'S RESPONSE TO THE DECEMBER 13, 2011 QUESTION OF FPSC STAFF FOLLOWING UP ON THE DECEMBER 13, 2011

1. Please provide an explanation or copy of any plans to integrate STS with other CLECs under the EarthLink umbrella.

Although with respect to IXC and special access services, EarthLink has integrated much of STS's CLEC network into the Deltacom, Inc. d/b/a EarthLink Business network, STS still maintains and utilizes its own OSS system, as it did prior to the stock sale. It is anticipated that at least through 2012, STS will continue to maintain and utilize its own OSS system. Any further adoption or migration of STS's OSS systems is currently under review. STS has just reached an agreement with AT&T to amend its existing interconnection agreement with AT&T, which should be shortly filed with the Commission. STS still maintains, and is in the process of extending its commercial agreement with AT&T.

In order for STS to be able to efficiently and correctly place orders, it is imperative that the RCO tables be updated and maintained as staff initially recommended in this docket. In the summer of 2009, AT&T advised the CLEC community that it desired to retire its LENS OSS and replace it with LEX in order to have a uniformed OSS system throughout its 22 state region, which would result in tremendous costs savings to AT&T. This Commission in its proposed agency action dated April 26 2010 allowing LENS to be retired clearly found LEX was inferior to LENS, just as staff did in its audit. LEX did not have the on-line editing capabilities which were contained in LENS, and consequently the placing of a clean order through LEX was more difficult and time consuming than placing an order through LENS. This Commission allowed AT&T's LENS OSS to be retired and replaced with LEX, conditioned on AT&T correcting deficiencies in LEX. Many of these deficiencies were corrected through a discussion process involving STS, AT&T and FPSC staff. Instead of striving to make the LEX OSS comparable to LENS OSS and even AT&T's own retail OSS, AT&T decided to eliminate the RCO tables, and instead of placing the ordering information in the same CLEC friendly usable format as it was in the RCO tables, AT&T changed the format rendering it difficult and time consuming to use and placed the ordering information in the LSOR. Without on-line editing capabilities in LEX, a readily accessible and updated table containing the information required to submit orders is more essential than ever. Without updated RCO tables or a user-friendly format in the LSOR, for certain orders, STS is forced to expend more time on orders, be less effective in placing orders, and utilize more skilled employees to place orders than it historically used. In a future without the RCO tables, as new products become available, there will be no readily accessible way to discover how to order these products, which in all probability will unlawfully deprive STS and other CLECs from access to network elements. This Commission allowed AT&T to save substantial expense in retiring LENS provided that the deficiencies in its replacement, LEX were corrected. At that time, STS believed that the Commission would require LEX to be equivalent to AT&T's retail ordering system. Now AT&T does not want to take an important step in this process, claiming the cost is prohibitive. Not only has AT&T failed to substantiate the alleged

Docket No. 090430-TP December 30, 2011

cost, AT&T should not be allowed to continue to save expense to the detriment of the CLECs and competition in the telecommunications industry in Florida.

STS also questions the accuracy of the tables presented by AT&T. STS suspects that once a "fatal or super-fatal error" is received, the order is reworked utilizing the same PON, and a FOC is obtained, there is no longer a record of the error.