FILED AUG 19, 2013 DOCUMENT NO. 04842-13 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE LEGAL OPERATIONS AGENCY

August 16, 2013

USAF Utility Law Field Support Center 139 Barnes Drive Tyndall AFB FL 32403

Ms. Ann Cole, Director Office of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 130040-EI; Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric Company

Dear Ms. Cole,

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-130040-EI, on behalf of the Federal Executive Agencies, I am enclosing for filing in the above docket an original and 15 copies of pages 2, 4, 18, 20 and exhibits MPG-1 and MPG-17 with revisions and additions from Federal Executive Agency witness Michael P. Gorman direct testimony pre-filed on 15 July 2013.

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns regarding these documents.

Sincerely,

GREGORY J. FIKE, Lt Col, USAF

Chief

2 Attachments:

1. Revised Testimony of FEA witness Michael P. Gorman

2. Certificate of Service

| COM | 5 | |
|-------|-----------|---|
| AFD | 1 | |
| APA | 1 | |
| r.co) | 5_ | |
| CNG | | |
| CL | 1 | |
| DM | | |
| SL | | |
| V | 1 Ct. Rex |) |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY Errata pages 2, 4, 18, 20 and Exhibits MPG-1 and MPG-17 of Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Michael P. Gorman has been furnished by electronic mail on this 16th day of August, 2013, to the following:

WCF Hospital Utility Alliance

c/o Andrews Kurth LLP Kenneth L. Wiseman, Esquire 1350 L Street NW. Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005 Phone: 202-662-2700 Email: Kwiseman@andrewskurth.com

Ausley Law Firm

James D. Beasley P.O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Phone: 850-224-9115 Email: jbeasley@ausley.com

Florida Industrial Power Users Group

Jon C. Moyle Jr. c/o Moyle Law Firm 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FI 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828 Email: jmoyle@moylelaw.com

Florida Retail Federation

c/o Gardner Law Firm Robert Scheffel Wright/ John T. La via, 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 Phone: (850) 385-0070 Email: schef@gbwlegal.com

Office of Public Counsel

J.R. Kelly/P. Christensen/ J. McGlothin c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Rm. 812 Tallahassee, FL 32393-1400 Phone: (850) 488-9330

Email:

Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us

Tampa Electric Company

Gordon L. Gillette, President Paula K. Brown, Manager, Regulatory Af P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111

Phone: (813) 228-1444

Email:

Regdept@tecoenergy.com

By: s/Gregory Fike Gregory J. Fike, Lt Col, USAF Chief, Utility Law Field Support Center

| 1 | Q | ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS IN CONNECTION WITH THIS |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | TESTIMONY? |
| 3 | Α | Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit MPG-2 through Exhibit MPG-22. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Q | WHAT IS THE SUBJECT OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY? |
| 6 | Α | In my testimony I make several recommendations concerning Tampa Electric |
| 7 | | Company's ("Tampa Electric" or "Company") rate filing in this proceeding. These |
| 8 | | recommendations include the following: |
| 9 | | 1. I recommend a fair overall rate of return and return on common equity |
| 10 | | used to set Tampa Electric's revenue requirement in this proceeding. |
| 11 | | 2. I recommend an adjustment to the residential sales revenue at current |
| 12 | | rates. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | SUMMARY |
| 15 | Q | PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RATE OF RETURN RECOMMENDATIONS. |
| 16 | Α | I recommend the Florida Public Service Commission (the "Commission") award |
| 17 | | Tampa Electric a return on common equity of 9.25%, and an overall rate of return |
| 18 | | of 5.6 <u>6</u> 5%. Exhibit MPG-1. |
| 19 | | My recommended overall rate of return also reflects a revised |
| 20 | | synchronization of rate base and capital structure used to develop the overall |
| 21 | | rate of return. The Company's proposed capital structure allocates rate base pro |
| 22 | | forma additions across all capital components, both investor capital and |
| 23 | | ratepayer-supplied capital, in proportion to their mix of the overall capital. In my |
| 24 | | proposed capital structure, I allocate all customer-supplied capital to the capital |
| | | structure used to develop rates and allocate the pro forma rate base adjustments |

| 1 | Q | HOW DID YOU ESTIMATE TAMPA ELECTRIC'S CURRENT MARKET COST |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | OF EQUITY? |
| 3 | Α | I performed analyses using three Discounted Cash Flow ("DCF") models, a Risk |
| 4 | | Premium study, and a Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM"). These analyses |
| 5 | | used a proxy group of publicly traded companies that have investment risk |
| 6 | | similar to Tampa Electric. Based on the results from these assessments, I |
| 7 | | estimate Tampa Electric's current market cost of equity to be 9.25%. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Q | WHAT IS THE IMPACT ON TAMPA ELECTRIC'S REVENUE REQUIREMENT |
| 10 | | BASED ON YOUR RECOMMENDED RETURN ON EQUITY AND CAPITAL |
| 11 | | STRUCTURE ADJUSTMENT? |
| 12 | Α | The Florida revenue requirement impact of my recommended 9.25% return on |
| 13 | | equity and revised capital structure is \$73.675.5 million. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Q | PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR PROPOSED ADJUSTMENT TO RESIDENTIAL |
| 16 | | SALES REVENUE AT CURRENT RATES. |
| 17 | Α | I am proposing an increase in residential sales revenue at current rates of |
| 18 | | \$12.5 million. This adjustment reflects my assessment that Tampa Electric has |
| 19 | | understated the amount of sales for the 2014 test year for an increased number |
| 20 | | of residential customers. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

structure by spreading these adjustments equally over both investor-supplied capital and customer-supplied capital.

Customer-supplied capital includes deferred taxes and customer deposits. Deferred taxes are a zero-cost capital component, and customer deposits have a relatively low interest rate as prescribed by the Commission. These low-cost customer-supplied capital components should be used exclusively to fund jurisdictional rate base. If they are not, then a portion of the customer-supplied low-cost capital components will be used to benefit investors rather than exclusively jurisdictional customers.

Q

Α

HOW DO YOU PROPOSE TO ADJUST THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED CAPITAL STRUCTURE?

The Company develops its proposed capital structure on its Schedule D-1a, page

1. On that schedule under column 6, the Company proposes to spread its pro
rata adjustments equally over investor capital and customer-supplied capital. I
recommend to modify this spread of pro rata adjustments to only investorsupplied capital. All customer-supplied capital should be fully allocated to
jurisdictional cost of service to ensure customers get full benefit of the low-cost
capital they provide the Company.

I developed this revised capital structure on my Exhibit MPG-1. As shown on this exhibit, this revised capital structure mix produces a common equity ratio of total capital of 40.5135%. In comparison, the Company's proposed capital structure produces a common equity ratio of 42.26%. Again, the difference in capital structures reflects my recommendation to allocate 100% of the customer-supplied low-cost capital to jurisdictional cost of service.

| 2 | | 12 | TABLE 3 | | | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| 3 | | | Proposed Capital Structure | | | | | |
| 4 | | - | Description | Percent of Total Capital | | | | |
| 5 | | 1 | Long-Term Debt | 33.7 <u>0</u> 8% | | | | |
| 6 | | | Customer Deposits | 2.989% | | | | |
| 7 | | | Common Equity Short-Term Debt | 40. <u>51</u> 35% 0.5 <u>4</u> 5% | | | | |
| | | | Deferred Income Tax | 22.0612% | | | | |
| 8 | | 1 | Investment Tax Credit | 0.21% | | | | |
| 9 | | | Total Capital Structure | 100.00% | | | | |
| 10 | | \$ | Source: Exhibit MPG-1, page 1. | 3 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Q | WILL YOUR PR | OPOSED CAPITAL STRU | JCTURE SUPPOR | RT TAMPA | | | |
| 14 | | ELECTRIC'S FINAN | NCIAL INTEGRITY AND CRE | EDIT RATING? | | | | |
| 15 | Α | Yes. As I will disc | uss later in my testimony, n | ny proposed capital | structure is | | | |
| 16 | | consistent with Tan | mpa Electric's current credit | rating and will sup | port Tampa | | | |
| 17 | | Electric's financial in | ntegrity. | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | RETURN ON EQUIT | <u>Y</u> | | | | |
| 20 | Q | PLEASE DESCRIB | E WHAT IS MEANT BY A "I | UTILITY'S COST O | F COMMON | | | |
| 21 | | EQUITY." | | | | | | |
| 22 | Α | A utility's cost of co | mmon equity is the return inv | estors require on a | n investment | | | |
| 23 | | in the utility. Invest | ors expect to achieve their re | eturn requirement fro | om receiving | | | |
| 24 | | dividends and stock | price appreciation. | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Rate of Return Adjusted Capital Structure 2014 Test Year

| Line | Description | An | nount (000) (1) | | Common Dividends (2) | 10000000 | pecific ustments (3) | 204117 | Pro Rata ljustments (4) | Ju | ris Adjusted Amount (5) | Weight (6) | Cost (7) | Weighted Cost (8) |
|------|-----------------------|----|--------------------|----|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|----|-------------------------------|---------------|----------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Long-Term Debt | \$ | 1.750.463 | S | | S | 1.104 | \$ | (286,477) | \$ | 1,462,403 | 33.70% | 5.40% | 1.82% |
| | | 6 | 129,515 | | - | \$ | 82 | S | - | S | 129,359 | 2.98% | 2.20% | 0.07% |
| 2 | Customer Deposits | Φ | | 9 | | Φ. | 1.328 | 0 | (344,416) | 0 | 1,758,170 | 40.51% | 9.25% | 3.75% |
| 3 | Common Equity | \$ | 2,091,067 | \$ | 13,422 | Þ | | Ф | | | | | | |
| 4 | Short-Term Debt | \$ | 31.024 | \$ | 545 | \$ | (2.725) | \$ | (4.628) | \$ | 23,627 | 0.54% | 1.47% | 0.01% |
| 4 | | ~ | | 0 | | 0 | (3.719) | | 1.00 E | 8 | 957.248 | 22.06% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| 5 | Deferred Income Tax | \$ | 962,726 | Þ | 370 | Ф | (3,719) | Φ | | Ψ. | | | 7.46% | 0.020/ |
| 6 | Investment Tax Credit | \$ | 9.184 | \$ | 1 | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | 9,168 | 0.21% | 7.40% | 0.02% |
| O | | • | 4 070 070 | | 42 422 | • | (2.020) | • | (635,522) | | 4,339,976 | 100.00% | | 5.66% |
| 7 | Total | \$ | 4,973,979 | 4 | 13,423 | Þ | (3,930) | Ф | (033,322) | Ψ | 4,000,010 | 100.0070 | | 5/55/5 |

Investor Capital Structure

| | | | Investor (| Pro-Rata | | |
|------|-----------------|----|------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Line | Description | Am | (1) | Weight (2) | <u>A</u> | (3) |
| 8 | Long-Term Debt | \$ | 1,751,567 | 45.08% | \$ | (286,477) |
| 9 | Short-Term Debt | \$ | 28,299 | 0.73% | \$ | (4,628) |
| 10 | Common Equity | \$ | 2,105,817 | 54.19% | \$ | (344,416) |
| 11 | Total | \$ | 3,885,683 | 100.00% | \$ | (635,522) |

Source:

Schedule D-1a.

^{*} Sum of Columns 1 and 2, Lines 1, 3, and 4.

Standard & Poor's Credit Metrics

| <u>Line</u> | <u>Line</u> <u>Description</u> | | Retail at of Service Amount (1) | S&P Bend Intermediate (2) | Significant (3) | Reference (4) | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Rate Base | \$ | 4,339,974 | | | Schedule A-1. | | |
| 2 | Weighted Common Return | | 5.01% | | | Page 2, Line 3, Col. 4. | | |
| 3 | Pre-Tax Rate of Return | | 10.63% | | | Page 2, Line 4, Col. 5. | | |
| 4 | Income to Common | \$ | 217,562 | | | Line 1 x Line 2. | | |
| 5 | EBIT | \$ | 461,211 | | | Line 1 x Line 3. | | |
| 6 | Depreciation & Amortization | \$ | 233,881 | | | Schedule C-1. | | |
| 7 | Imputed Amortization | \$ | 1,200 | | | FEA's First Set of IRRs, IRR No. 3. | | |
| 8 | Deferred Income Taxes & ITC | \$ | 41,822 | | | Schedule C-22, page 3 of 6. | | |
| 9 | Funds from Operations (FFO) | \$ | 494,465 | | | Sum of Line 4 and Lines 6 through 8. | | |
| 10 | Imputed Interest Expense | \$ | 3,455 | | | FEA's First Set of IRRs, IRR No. 3. | | |
| 11 | EBITDA | \$ | 699,747 | | | Sum of Lines 5 through 7 and Line 10. | | |
| 12 | Total Debt Ratio | | 47% | 35% - 45% | 45% - 50% | Page 3, Line 4, Col. 2. | | |
| 13 | Debt to EBITDA | | 2.9x | 2.0x - 3.0x | 3.0x - 4.0x | (Line 1 x Line 12) / Line 11. | | |
| 14 | FFO to Total Debt | | 24% | 30% - 45% | 20% - 30% | Line 9 / (Line 1 x Line 12). | | |

Sources:

Note:

Based on the April 2012 S&P metrics, Tampa Electric has an "Excellent" business profile and a "Significant" financial profile.

¹ Standard & Poor's: "Criteria Methodology: Business Risk/Financial Risk Matrix Expanded," May 27, 2009.

² S&P RatingsDirect: "U.S. Regulated Electric Utilities, Strongest to Weakest," April 20, 2011.

Standard & Poor's Credit Metrics (Pre-Tax Rate of Return)

| Line | Description | An | nount (000) (1) | Weight (2) | Cost (3) | Weighted Cost (4) | Pre-Tax Weighted <u>Cost</u> (5) |
|------|------------------------|----|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|---|
| 1 | Long-Term Debt | \$ | 1,462,403 | 45.08% | 5.40% | 2.43% | 2.43% |
| 2 | Short-Term Debt | \$ | 23,627 | 0.73% | 1.47% | 0.01% | 0.01% |
| 3 | Common Equity | \$ | 1,758,170 | 54.19% | 9.25% | 5.01% | 8.18% |
| 4 | Total | \$ | 3,244,200 | 100.00% | | 7.46% | 10.63% |
| 5 | Tax Conversion Factor* | | | | | | 1.6322 |

Sources:

Exhibit MPG-1.

^{*} Schedule A-1.

Standard & Poor's Credit Metrics (Financial Capital Structure)

| Line | Description | Ar | (1) | Weight (2) |
|------|-------------------------|----|-----------|------------|
| | | | | |
| 1 | Long-Term Debt | \$ | 1,462,403 | 44.31% |
| 2 | Short-Term Debt | \$ | 23,627 | 0.72% |
| 3 | Off Balance Sheet Debt* | \$ | 56,100 | 1.70% |
| 4 | Total Debt | \$ | 1,542,130 | 46.73% |
| 5 | Common Equity | \$ | 1,758,170 | 53.27% |
| 6 | Total | \$ | 3,300,300 | 100.00% |

Exhibit MPG-1.

Sources:

^{*} FEA's First Set of IRRs, IRR No. 3.