FILED AUG 30, 2013 DOCUMENT NO. 05145-13 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 In the Matter of: DOCKET NO. 120286-WS 4 REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT 5 TO TARIFF SHEETS FOR MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES IN POLK COUNTY BY FOUR POINTS UTILITY CORP. 6 7 COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA 8 PROCEEDINGS: ITEM NO. 8 9 COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING: CHAIRMAN RONALD A. BRISÉ 10 COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM 11 COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN 12 13 DATE: Tuesday, August 27, 2013 Betty Easley Conference Center 14 PLACE: Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way 15 Tallahassee, Florida 16 JANE FAUROT, RPR REPORTED BY: Official FPSC Reporter 17 (850) 413-6732 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. We are going to go ahead and move on to Item Number 6.

MS. BRUCE: Commissioners, Sonica Bruce on behalf of Commission staff.

Items numbered 6, 7, and 8 are requests for approval of amendment to tariff sheets for miscellaneous service charges in Polk County by West Lakeland, Pinecrest, and Four Points Utilities. These recommendations address the utilities' requested charge of a \$2.50 convenience fee for customers who opt to pay their utility by debit or credit card via telephone.

Staff believes that this convenience fee is reasonable, it benefits the customers by allowing them to expand their payment options. Furthermore, this fee will ensure the utilities' remaining customers do not subsidize those customers who choose to pay using this option. Therefore, staff recommends that the utility's request be approved. Staff is prepared to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you very much.

So I suppose that your recommendation is that we would take Items 6, 7, and 8 together, since

it's basically the same thing, all three?

MS. BRUCE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have a quick question concerning these items, and I think it's kind of counter-intuitive, because the thought should be that allowing the utility to accept credit card payments should make it easier for the utility and save them money. Could you explain why there are additional costs associated with credit card payments?

MS. BRUCE: Commissioner, based on the research we've done, the utility can charge a convenience fee, it's just a matter of -- it's not a surcharge. And based on our research, again, he can charge a convenience fee, it's just a matter of -- as I explained yesterday, it's just the time that it takes for his staff to perform the task, and the supplies, and that's pretty much what this cost would be covering.

Did I answer your question?

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: You did. I guess the question I have, you indicated that the utility

can charge, but should the utility charge it? Did
you do -- did the utility justify these costs with
--

MS. BRUCE: Yes, sir, the utility did justify the costs based on --

ever been an analysis performed by if you have customers using credit card payment, then obviously they are not going to use the traditional payment, and is there a reduction in cost associated with not having to do the traditional process, or you just had the backup and justification for these additional --

MS. BRUCE: Just the backup and justification, based on what he sent in. We didn't do any further analysis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. That's all I had.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you.

Any further questions or comments?

I'll tell you, to be honest, I struggled with this item myself in looking at the breakout.

The only reason I'm going to support this today is because it's consistent with sort of out in the market in terms of the charge associated with

1	processing these fees. But, other than that, I'm
2	with you considering that if you are moving forward
3	in technology, the cost really should be reduced and
4	not go up with respect to these type of
5	transactions.
6	But considering that it's consistent with
7	what's out in the market, you know, I'm comfortable
8	supporting this particular recommendation at this
9	time on all three items.
10	Okay. I think we are ready to entertain
11	motion.
12	Commissioner Edgar.
13	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. Mr.
14	Chairman.
15	With that discussion, I move approval of
16	Items 6, 7, and 8.
17	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. It has been moved
19	and seconded.
20	Any further discussion? Okay. Seeing
21	none, all in favor say aye.
22	(Vote taken.)
23	CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Thank you
24	very much.
25	

	I
1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	
3	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
4	COUNTY OF LEON)
5	I, JANE FAUROT, RPR, Chief, Hearing Reporter Services Section, FPSC Division of Commission Clerk, do
6	hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
8	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
9	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
11	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
12	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
13	
14	DATED THIS 30th , day of August ,
15	June Saurot
16	JANE FAUROT, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
17	8 50) 413-6732
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	