# FILED SEP 27, 2013 DOCUMENT NO. 05784-13 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK 000001

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
2	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
3	In the Matter (		
4	DOCKET NO. 130105-WS APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATES TO PROVIDE WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE IN HENDRY AND COLLIER COUNTIES, BY CONSOLIDATED SERVICES OF HENDRY & COLLIER, LLC.		
5			
6			
7	· <del></del>	/	
8			
9	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 6	
10	COMMISSIONERS	CHATDWAN DONALD A DDIGÉ	
11	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR	
12		COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS	
13	D.3.000	COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN	
14	DATE:	Wednesday, September 25, 2013	
15	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
16		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida	
17	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR	
18		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

#### PROCEEDINGS

\_

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Moving on to Item Number 6.

MS. WATTS: Melinda Watts for staff.

Commissioners, Item 6 addresses Consolidated Services' request for original certificates to provide water and wastewater services in Hendry and Collier Counties.

The rate setting portion of the application was addressed at the June 25th, 2013, Commission

Conference. At that agenda, the utility was granted a temporary waiver of the rate setting portions of Rule 25-30.033 for a period not to exceed 36 months from the granting of the certificate to enable the utility to continue to engage in planning activities with respect to the area proposed for certification.

Thus, Item 6 solely addresses the utility's request for original water and wastewater certificates. Staff believes that the utility has satisfied the requirements of Rule 25-30.033, and thus we recommend that the utility should be granted original certificates to serve the territory described in Attachment A.

John Wharton is present representing

Consolidated Services, and he is available to answer any
questions regarding the application. In addition, staff
is available to answer any questions that you may have

with respect to the recommendation.

\_ -

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you very much.

Commissioners, any questions or comments on Item Number 6?

Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have a question or two for staff.

Obviously this item, we voted on this previously to grant the 36-month waiver, and I indicated my concerns with the potential development in that if it would result in unreasonable rates.

So my question for staff is what opportunity do we have to address the rates as their planning process moves forward over the next three years just to take a look at the rates and make sure that the end result is something that is, that isn't unreasonable?

MS. WATTS: When they come back in with the rate -- for the rate setting portion of this docket, they will give us the opportunity to look at all of their rates and charges and determine whether or not they are appropriate. And we will make recommendation to the Commission at that time and you will have the opportunity to rule on it.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you.

And a question for Mr. Wharton. Where are you

in the planning process?

MR. WHARTON: Well, Commissioner, John
Wharton, Sundstrom, Friedman & Fumero on behalf of
Consolidated Services. With me today is Mr. Mitch
Hutchcraft, who is the Vice President of Real Estate for
both King Ranch and Consolidated Citrus. Consolidated
Services is an entity that is owned by Consolidated
Citrus.

I would say that -- well, Mr. Hutchcraft will tell you in a moment about the sector planning process that we've gone through. But as the application said, we're, we're transitioning into envisioning a lot of different uses for the utility.

So in terms of are we laying out cul-de-sacs yet? No. I, I'd like to -- before Mr. Hutchcraft addresses you -- Mr. Chairman, is there something I could pass out? May I approach?

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Sure. We will have one of our staff individuals help you.

MR. WHARTON: It's probably better not to let
me get near you.

(Laughter.)

(Document handed out.)

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Thank you.

MR. WHARTON: There's, there's no

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

need, Commissioners, for you to really digest this document. I just want to point something out to you and that it makes a very powerful point.

You certificated Groveland Utilities in May of 2012, and Groveland expensively did generate the initial rates. Now we are less than a year later -- and, in fact, this happened several months ago -- Groveland has gone out, the utility you created, they've been dealing with St. Johns -- and also it occurs to me I neglected to tell you that Mr. Hutchcraft is on the governing board of the South Florida Water Management District. They've been dealing with the South Florida Water Management District. And as you can see in this press release, they're one of the projects that's been accepted by SoFMD less than a year later to go out and study whether they should do state-of-the-art storm water treatment on the property of the principal. And you're talking about someone who is very, for the purpose of this application, similarly situated to this applicant: A big citrus grower transitioning their land to the future went out and formed a utility and you certificated it.

And one of the things that is part of this study that SoFMD is helping to fund is a reservoir.

Well, that's going to change the way that service may be

rendered in the future. So it may be that by the time that that reservoir, if it comes into existence, which is going to relieve stress on ground water, it's going to create a new source of surface water for the property and the surrounding properties, maybe the rates will have to be rethought.

And I think the very best way to make sure that the ratepayers, the ultimate ratepayers are protected is to generate those rates as contemporaneously as possible to when they are generated. But in the case of the large landowners, there's a reason why there needs to be some lag time there that I don't think exists for someone who's going out and they're building 400 homes. It's a completely unique animal.

It's interesting that there's actually no process in place that if by the time a large landowner like this serves, if the service is cheaper than everyone thought it would be. I mean, eventually you'll notice that utility is overearning, but there's really no process initially to make sure the rates match up.

But I would like Mr. Hutchcraft, Commissioner, to address the sector plan more directly to your question.

CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Yes.

4 5

MR. HUTCHCRAFT: Good morning, Commissioners. For the record, my name is Mitch Hutchcraft. I'm with King Ranch and Consolidated Citrus.

King Ranch is a 158-year-old company. We are recognized for our land stewardship. In Florida we own Consolidated Citrus, which is -- up until greening hit -- probably the largest citrus producer in the State of Florida. Our company has lost over 10,000 acres of citrus due to the citrus greening, which has resulted in us taking a look at our property and thinking long-term about how do we address changes in market impacts from hurricanes, from diseases?

One of the tools that the state has provided is the sector planning process, and so we've been working hand in hand with the State -- Department of Economic Opportunity, Fish and Wildlife, DEP -- to enter our property into this long-term planning process. We started that probably in the early fall of last year. We submitted an application to Hendry County December of 2012. We recently resubmitted our sufficiency comments that incorporated all the feedback that we've been getting from the planning and other agencies of the state. So it's been a long-term process and we're trying to work these together.

The sector plan ultimately envisions what

areas are going to stay in agriculture, what areas are
going to remain natural preserved, and what areas could
facilitate alternative uses, whether that's alternative
crops or processing facilities or ultimately
development.

2.0

In order for us to be able to make that long-term commitment through the sector planning process, we think it's appropriate for us to have the tools that would allow us to take that step. And I think that the request that's before you today helps us facilitate that long-term vision and allow us to respond. What happens if we lose another 5,000 acres to citrus? Is there an opportunity for us, like you saw in the Groveland, to, to do environmental services? And I think that the process that's before you today gives us that ability to be flexible, to think long-term, and to do it in a manner that reflects good stewardship of the land.

**COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that update.

And I do know that, although I may be on the other side of the lake, there's been a tremendous amount of concern over the releases from Lake Okeechobee into St. Lucie and Indian River estuaries, and I know there's been a lot of discussion, especially at the Water

Management District, on potential stormwater treatment areas.

MR. HUTCHCRAFT: Yes, sir.

those options?

\_\_

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: So I'm glad to see that your company is looking at those possibilities. And I want to make sure that granting this certificate, provided that we have an additional ability to, to look at any proposed rates and charges, but will the certificate offer you the flexibility to look at all of

MR. HUTCHCRAFT: I think it is absolutely a critical part of the toolbox that we need, and the answer is yes. We have specifically looked at are there opportunities. We have a drainage system within our properties that there may be a mechanism for us to take excess water from the Caloosahatchee, which is also draining out of Lake Okeechobee, and envision how could we store that, how could we retain that in a partnership with the district or the county? But we think that there needs to be a mechanism to facilitate that, and we think that this is a critical part of being able to have that flexibility to address those types of issues.

MR. WHARTON: I would add, Commissioner, the one thing that we did was contacted all of the surrounding utilities, including those to whom we were

not required to give notice under the rules. I see in the staff recommendation that the staff contacted some of those entities. And we made sure that no one was either going to have their plans disrupted or their master plans they had created disrupted by us providing service. It's true, we can't tell you exactly how much the service will cost, just like I couldn't have told you about the reservoir when I was in front of you on Groveland a year ago.

But we are the ones, as the single owner of the land, who know when the service needs to be put in, where the service needs to be put in. We've got a very substantial entity behind the utility, and I think that you can have confidence today that we have every incentive to do it as efficiently as possible and that no one can do it better than we can, given all those circumstances.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that, and I fully support this.

I have one quick question just to appease my curiosity. Ford trucks have the King Ranch series.

Could you explain the relationship? Are those your trucks, all your trucks, or not?

MR. HUTCHCRAFT: King Ranch is a, like I said, it's a very, it has a great history, and that history is

kind of a rugged Texas individualism. They are known 1 2 for their land management and their leather products. It's a 158-year-old company. It's the largest ranch in 3 the country. It's four quadrants, but it's about the 4 size of Rhode Island. 5 Ford was looking to brand a truck that kind of 6 7 embodied that, that rugged outdoor attitude, and so they approached King Ranch and asked if we would be willing 8 9 to partner with them in brand. And so those are -- it's a brand that utilizes the King Ranch brand. I don't, 10 however, have the luxury of driving one, so. 11 12 13 14

MR. WHARTON: That, that little symbol on the truck is the King Ranch symbol, is a rattlesnake.

MR. HUTCHCRAFT: They calling it a "Running W." And the theory behind it, although everybody disagrees on it, is that that is the, the mark that is left in the sand when a sidewinder goes through the sand in South Texas. So it's called a "Running W" brand and that is the King Ranch brand.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: All right. Very interesting tidbit.

All right. Any further questions or comments on this item?

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: At this time we're ready to
2	entertain a motion for Items 5 through 10.
3	COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Move staff.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN BRISÉ: Okay. It's been moved and
6	seconded.
7	Any further comments? Okay. Seeing none, all
8	in favor, say aye.
9	(Vote taken.)
10	All right. Thank you.
11	(Agenda item concluded.)
12	* * * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	<b>11</b>

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically
7	reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 27 day of September, 2013.
13	
14	1 .
15	Tyrda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	FPSC Official Commission Reporters (850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



## SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

# **NEWS RELEASE**

March 20, 2013

**CONTACT:** 

**Randy Smith** 

South Florida Water Management District

Office: (561) 682-2800 or Cellular: (561) 389-3386

www.sfwmd.gov/news

follow us on twitter

## Environmental Restoration Projects to Benefit Indian River Lagoon Projects will improve wildlife and water quality



(Click on the image for a larger version.)

West Palm Beach, FL — Eight projects designed to benefit the Indian River Lagoon ecosystem were approved for implementation as part of a multi-agency initiative administered by the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD).

The projects are set to begin this month and will deliver benefits that include salt marsh restoration, reduced estuary sediments and water quality improvement. The Indian River Lagoon is considered the most ecologically diverse estuary in North America and is home to more than 4,000 plant and animal species.

"Completing these projects in Martin and St. Lucie counties will provide tangible, nearterm benefits to the Indian River Lagoon ecosystem," said Governing Board Vice-Chairman Kevin Powers, a long-time Martin County resident. "We are committed to environmental restoration efforts with our many partners who are seeing these projects through."

> Parties/Staff Handout Internal Affairs/Agenda on 9/25/75 Item No. 6 /30/05-WS

The projects were recommended for funding by the St. Lucie River Issues Team, a 15-member group representing organizations working together to accelerate and implement "ready-to-go" projects that offer quantifiable benefits to the St. Lucie River and Indian River Lagoon.

The District serves as the administrator for the dedicated state funding. The projects are:

Recipient	Project Project
St. Lucie County	Harbor Branch Preserve project to restore tidal flow to 178-acre salt marsh
Fort Pierce Farms Water Control District	Canal 1 and 4 stormwater retrofit and erosion protection
Treasure Coast Resource Conservation and Development Council	Heathcote Park/Virginia Avenue Canal stormwater retrofit to implement Best Management Practices *
Florida Oceanographic Society	Establish the Florida Oceanographic Coastal Center site for seagrass health monitoring
University of Florida/IFAS	Research nitrogen and phosphorus transport from agricultural fields to Indian River Lagoon
St. Lucie County	Research on beneficial use of muck sediment from St. Lucie County waterways
Groveland Utilities Public/Private Partnership	Grove Land Reservoir and Stormwater Treatment Area feasibility study *
Florida Tech/Marine Resource Council	Collection of fertilizer nutrient data in Martin and St. Lucie counties

<sup>\*</sup>These two projects were approved by the SFWMD Governing Board at the March meeting.

In addition to the Indian River Lagoon projects listed above, five priority projects for the St. Lucie River and Estuary moved forward in January for implementation.

Since the St. Lucie River Issues Team was initiated in 1998, the team's recommended projects have received \$63 million from the Florida Legislature, more than \$65 million from local partners and \$2 million from the federal government.

Accomplishments to date include the restoration of more than 4,671 acres of habitat, 4,358 acres of wetlands and 25,940 feet of shoreline throughout this unique watershed.

###

### About the South Florida Water Management District

The South Florida Water Management District is a regional, governmental agency that oversees the water resources in the southern half of the state – 16 counties from Orlando to the Keys. It is the oldest and largest of the state's five water management districts. The agency mission is to manage and protect water resources of the region by balancing and improving water quality, flood control, natural systems and water supply. A key initiative is cleanup and restoration of the Everglades.