BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| In re: Petition for Determination |) | DOCKET NO. 140110-EI |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| of Need for Citrus County Combined |) | |
| Cycle Power Plant |) | Submitted for filing: June 23, 2014 |

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.'S OBJECTIONS TO CITIZENS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 1-12)

Pursuant to Florida Administrative Code Rule 28-106.206, Rules 1.340 and 1.280 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Order Establishing Procedure, Order No. PSC-14-0274-PCO-EI, issued May 29, 2014 (the "Order") in this matter, Duke Energy Florida, Inc. ("DEF") serves its objections to the Office of Public Counsel ("OPC" or Citizens") First Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 1-12) and states as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

With respect to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" in OPC's Interrogatories:

DEF generally objects to the Interrogatories to the extent that they call for documents protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, the accountant-client privilege, the trade secret privilege, or any other applicable privilege or protection afforded by law. DEF will provide a privilege log within a reasonable time or as may be agreed to by the parties to the extent that an interrogatory calls for the production of privileged or protected documents or information. Moreover, DEF will include in its privilege log only the information required by Florida law and not some inconsistent and additional requirement under the Instructions and Definitions.

Further, in certain circumstances, DEF may determine upon investigation and analysis that documents responsive to certain interrogatories to which objections are not otherwise asserted are confidential and proprietary and should be produced only under an appropriate confidentiality agreement and protective order, if at all. By agreeing to provide such information in response to such a request, DEF is not waiving its right to insist upon appropriate protection of confidentiality by means of a confidentiality agreement, protective order, or the procedures otherwise provided by law. DEF hereby

1

asserts its right to require such protection of any and all information that may qualify for protection under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, rules, and legal principles.

DEF also generally objects to the Interrogatories to the extent that they calls for the production of "all" documents or information of any nature, including, every copy of every document responsive to the requests. DEF will make a good faith, reasonably diligent attempt to identify and obtain responsive documents or information when no objection has been asserted, but it is not practicable or even possible to identify, obtain, and produce "all" information or documents. In addition, DEF reserves the right to supplement any of its responses to the Interrogatories if DEF cannot respond immediately due to their magnitude and the work required aggregating them, or if DEF later discovers additional responsive information or documents in the course of this proceeding.

DEF further objects to the Instructions and Definitions to the extent that they seek to impose requirements on the responses to the Interrogatories beyond the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. DEF will respond to all Interrogatories consistent with the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, and not some inconsistent and additional requirement under the Instructions and Definitions.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS

OPC Interrogatory No. 3 (a)-(c): DEF objects to this interrogatory as requesting information that is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it requests information on CR1 and CR2 which is the subject of a separate docket in front of the Commission. Additionally, DEF objects to the extent such requested information is not in DEF's possession, custody, or control and would state that DEF is under no obligation to create information which it does not have. Subject to this objection, DEF will provide an appropriate response to this interrogatory.

OPC Interrogatory No. 4 (a)-(b): DEF objects to this interrogatory as requesting information that is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it requests information on CR1 and CR2 which is the subject of a separate docket in front of the Commission. Additionally, DEF objects to the extent such requested information is not in DEF's possession, custody,

or control and would state that DEF is under no obligation to create information which it does not have.

Subject to this objection, DEF will provide an appropriate response to this interrogatory.

OPC Interrogatory No. 5: DEF objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks DEF to

produce the "underlying algorithms employed by the Strategist model" because such information is not

within DEF's possession, custody or control and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of

admissible evidence in this docket. Subject to this objection, DEF will provide an appropriate response to

this interrogatory based on information in its possession, custody, or control.

OPC Interrogatory No. 6: DEF objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks DEF to

produce the "underlying algorithms employed by the EPM model" because such information is not

within DEF's possession, custody or control and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of

admissible evidence in this docket. Subject to this objection, DEF will provide an appropriate response to

this interrogatory based on information in its possession, custody, or control.

OPC Interrogatory No. 7 (a)-(f): DEF objects to this interrogatory as requesting information

that is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it requests

information on CR1 and CR2 which is the subject of a separate docket in front of the Commission.

Subject to this objection, DEF will provide an appropriate response to this interrogatory.

OPC Interrogatory 8(a)-(d): DEF objects to this interrogatory as requesting information that

is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence to the extent it requests

information on CR1 and CR2 which is the subject of a separate docket in front of the Commission.

Subject to this objection, DEF will provide an appropriate response to this interrogatory.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of June, 2014.

<u>/s/ Blaise N. Gamba</u> James Michael Walls

John T. Burnett Deputy General Counsel

Dianne M. Triplett

Associate General Counsel

Florida Bar No. 0706242 Blaise N. Gamba

Florida Bar No. 0027942

3

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC.

Post Office Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042 (727) 820-5587 Telephone:

Facsimile: (727) 820-5519 CARLTON FIELDS JORDEN BURT, P.A.

Post Office Box 3239 Tampa, FL 33601-3239

Telephone: (813) 223-7000 Facsimile: (813) 229-4133

Charles Rehwinkel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished to counsel and parties of record as indicated below via electronic mail this 23rd day of June, 2014.

<u>/s/ Blaise N. Gamba</u>

Attorney

Michael Lawson

Florida Public Service Commission Staff

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 Phone: (850) 413-6199

Facsimile: (850) 413-6184 Email: mlawson@psc.state.fl.us Deputy Public Counsel Erik Sayler Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812

Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Phone: (850) 488-9330

Email: rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us Sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen A. Putnal Moyle Law Firm

118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-3828 Fax: (850) 681-8788

Email: jmoyle@moylelaw.com

kputnal@moylelaw.com

Robert Scheffel Wright John T. LaVia, III Gardner Law Firm 1300 Thomaswood Drive Tallahassee, FL 32308 Phone: (850) 385-0070

Email: Schef@gbwlegal.com

Jlavia@gbwlegal.com

James W. Brew F. Alvin Taylor Brickfield Burchette Ritts & Stone, PC

1025 Thomas Jefferson St NW

8th FL West Tower

Washington, DC 20007-5201 Phone: (202) 342-0800 Fax: (202) 342-0807

Email: jbrew@bbrslaw.com ataylor@bbrslaw.com

Marsha E. Rule Rutledge Ecenia, P.A.

119 South Monroe St., Ste. 202

Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone: (850) 681-6788 (850) 681-6515 Fax:

Email: marsha@rutledge-ecenia.com

Gordon D. Polozola General Counsel – South Central Region NRG Energy, Inc. 112 Telly Street New Roads, LA 70760

Phone: (225) 618-4084

Email: Gordon.Polozola@nrgenergy.com

Richard A. Zambo, P.A. 2336 S.E. Ocean Blvd., #309 Stuart, FL 34966

Phone: (772) 225-5400 Email: <u>richzambo@aol.com</u>