# FILED AUG 27, 2014 DOCUMENT NO. 04813-14 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

1		DEEODE MUE	000001	
1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
2				
3	In the Matter o	DOCKET NO. 140025-EI		
4				
5	APPLICATION FOR RATE INCREASE BY FLORIDA			
6	PUBLIC UTILITI	ES COMPANY.		
7				
8				
9	PROCEEDINGS:	SERVICE HEARING		
10	COMMISSIONERS	COMMISSIONER LISA POLAK EDGAR		
11	PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER EDUARDO E. BALBIS COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN		
12				
13	TIME:	Commenced at 5:10 p.m. Concluded at 6:30 p.m.		
14	DATE:	Tuesday, August 20, 2014		
15	PLACE:	Atlantic Recreation Center Auditorium		
16		2500 Atlantic Avenue Fernandina Beach, Florida		
17	DEDODMED DV.	·		
18	REPORTED BI:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter		
19		(850) 413-6734		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

### **APPEARANCES:**

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster Law Firm, 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1839, appearing on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

J.R. KELLY and PATRICIA CHRISTENSEN, ESQUIRES, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32393-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MARTHA BARRERA, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

INDEX WITNESSES NAME: PAGE NO. RICK ABERNATHY BERTA ARIAS PETE SMITH SCOTT JENSEN PHILLIP SCANLAN BOB WEINTRAUB MICHAEL HARRISON E. J. McCLELLAND JOHN EVERETT DAN WARD MICHAEL HARRISON FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

			000004
1	EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER	<b>.</b>	A DMED
3	NUMBER:	ID.	ADMTD.
4	6 Composite - Customer Notice and Proof of Publication for Fernandina	10	
5	Beach Service Hearing (FPUC)		
6	7 Letter dated 8/16/14 (Scanlan)	39	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMM	ISSION	

2.0

2.1

2.4

### PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Folks, we are going to go ahead and get started this evening. The first thing I need to do is call this customer hearing to order. Thank you all for being here.

My name is Lisa Edgar. I'm a Commissioner of the Florida Public Service Commission. And with me here next to me at the table are two of my colleagues. I'm going to asking them to please introduce themselves. To my left.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Good evening. My name is Julie Brown, and it's nice to see you all here.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you. My name is Eduardo Balbis. And I want to thank everyone for coming here today, and I look forward to your testimony.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. And if I could ask our staff to read the notice.

MS. BARRERA: Good afternoon. By notice, this time and place has been set for a customer service hearing in Docket Number 140025-EI, application for rate increase by Florida Public Utilities Company.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. And if I could take appearances briefly from the attorneys that are representing parties in this case.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MS. KEATING: Good afternoon, Madam Chair, 1 Commissioners. Let me make sure that's on. 2 3 I'm Beth Keating with the Gunster Law Firm --UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We can't hear you. 4 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Hold on. Let's see. 5 You're just going to have to get close to it, Ms. 6 7 Keating. And it's okay if you're not looking at us. MS. KEATING: Good afternoon. I'm Beth 8 9 Keating with the Gunster Law Firm. I'm here today on behalf of FPUC. 10 11 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. 12 OPC. 13 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Good afternoon. Patty 14 Christensen with the Office of Public Counsel. I'm here with my boss, the Public Counsel, J. R. Kelly. 15 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: And our staff counsel. 16 17 MS. BARRERA: Good afternoon again. I'm 18 Martha Barrera, attorney for the Public Service 19 Commission. And Suzanne Brownless is not here, but 2.0 she's also an attorney on the case. 21 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. And also with 22 us here at the front is Jim Dean, who is a division 23 director, technical member of our staff, who is 24 available if you have questions. 25 So we are here to hear from customers of

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Florida Public Utilities on the petition that they have filed with us. This is part of the long process prior to an evidentiary hearing that we will have next month on this request. There were sign-up sheets at the doorway when you came in. If you would like to speak, we ask that you do sign up. In a few moments Mr. Kelly will use the sign-up sheet to call names in order to come forward. The comments that you will give are a part of the official record for this case. So we are being taped, just so you know that, with a camera and the audio, and that will be transcribed at a later date.

Also at the sign-up table there are a number of documents that are general information about the Commission work that we do, programs that are out there that may be of interest or assistance to you. So if you didn't, please take a look at that and see if there's anything of interest to you. And also there's a sheet that looks like this, a yellow sheet that gives some frequently asked questions and general information about the Public Service Commission and also about the petition that is before us. If you turn to the back page, there is an area that you can fill out with any comment that you have. We hope that you will take this opportunity to speak to us here. But if for some reason you would prefer to put it in writing, please use this

sheet. And you can either take it home, there is a mail in address on the back that you can just pop it in the mail, or hand it to a member of our staff who are out there by the doorway. That will also then go into the docket file. Also, if you have friends, neighbors, family members, colleagues who you think could not come tonight but would have liked to or were not aware of this proceeding or this opportunity to speak, then please grab a stack. You're welcome to take them with you, pass them out. It's also easily available on our website, so that's a way you can print it out or email it or put it in the mail to us.

Okay. We have our court reporter and she's going to be setting up while we're going ahead with some of these preliminary comments.

Okay. What I'm going to do before I ask

Mr. Kelly to start calling names here is we're going to

ask FPUC to make a brief statement to you with an

overview of what is before us, and then I will ask Mr.

Kelly to also make some comments. So let's go ahead

with that, Ms. Keating, and you're welcome to face away

from us.

MS. KEATING: And I do apologize for that.

Thanks, Madam Chair, Commissioners. First, I'd like to introduce Mr. Jeff Householder, who --

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: You need to be closer -I'm sorry. The acoustics are a little tough, but just
close to the microphone and feel free to speak forward.

MS. KEATING: Absolutely. I'd like to introduce the president of FPUC who will be making the comments today on the company's behalf. But first, Madam Chair, if I may, I do have a composite exhibit that I believe we would like to be, ask to be marked and moved into the record. This is the composite of our proof of service.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: That's fine. If you would hand it to Ms. Barrera, and we will mark it as Exhibit Number 6 for the Comprehensive Exhibit List. And, Ms. Keating, my preference is to not enter any exhibits in the customer hearing at this time. We will take them up as a group at the evidentiary hearing as a preliminary matter.

MS. KEATING: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

MS. BARRERA: Madam Chair, this is the hearing Exhibit Number 5, customer hearing Exhibit Number 5.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I'm looking here. Hang on. I'm going to mark it as 6 because that's the way it shows for me, but we'll make sure that the exhibit list is in order.

MS. BARRERA: Okay. Thank you.

2

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Thank you.

3

(Exhibit 6 marked for identification.)

4

MS. KEATING: And with that, I'll invite Mr.

5

Householder up.

6

MR. HOUSEHOLDER: I'm sorry to face away from

7

you.

8

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: That's okay. You go

9 right ahead.

10

MR. HOUSEHOLDER: Good afternoon. I hope

you're not quite as hot as I am in this coat.

11

little warm in here. I appreciate you guys coming this

13

12

afternoon. We've been serving this community, as you

14

all know, for about 79 years, a rather long history

15

here. We really feel like we're a part of the

16 17 community, (inaudible), we use our own electrical power here, and so we're about as connected as we possibly can

18

be. It's important for us to listen to what you have to

19

say this afternoon. We take very seriously the comments

20

that you make. In fact, I have spent the last four and

a half years of my time with this company spending a

21 22

great deal of time with customers, asking them what they

23

think about our service and how we can improve it. And

24

a great deal of the work that we've done over the last

25

four years has been aimed at meeting the objectives that

you guys have established for us in terms of service reliability and customer service, and part of this case is about that.

Nobody likes a rate case. I think that I can probably speak with some degree of definitiveness saying I believe we have about the best record in the state of not filing rate cases. We rarely do this. It's been seven years since the last case was filed by FPU. And I believe, if my numbers are correct, we've only had four rate increases filed for Fernandina Beach in the last 30 years. So it really is a -- we make a substantial effort to keep from doing this.

We've been working hard in my time with the company since the Chesapeake acquisition in late 2009 to really do three things here.

One is to hold the line on our wholesale power costs. We don't generate electricity in this division; we don't generate electricity in our western division. We purchase all of our power from third-party suppliers. The majority of that in this division comes from Jacksonville Electric Authority. But we also purchase power from the two paper mills that are generating some power on this island: Rock-Tenn and Rayonier.

When I got here, the price of wholesale power had increased significantly in the two years before my

time. We have spent a great deal of effort to try and 1 2 get a handle on that and to make sure that the power 3 costs, both here and in our western divisions, are not only, that we not only stop the increases but we 4 actually move the bar back a little bit. We've seen 5 about a 14 percent decrease in power costs, wholesale 6 7 powers costs here over the last couple of years. That ticked up a little bit this year, but we've got JEA 8 9 (inaudible) to hold the line on those costs in 2015, and we might even see some reduction in that. So I feel 10 fairly comfortable sitting here today saying that we're 11 not looking for an increase in the wholesale power 12 13 costs, and I think we might be able to move those costs 14 down a little bit over the next couple of years. 15 The second thing that I think is important to talk about here is we've really been trying to hold the 16 17

The second thing that I think is important to talk about here is we've really been trying to hold the line on local operating costs. In the last rate case, if you compare our total positions, for example, in the last rate case to the total positions that we have today working in our electric distribution system, we're actually 12 positions down. We've been able to find efficiencies and improve our processes enough to eliminate 12 full-time employment positions over that period of time. Now some of that has been replaced by corporate cost increases (phonetic), and part of this

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

case is exactly that.

When Chesapeake purchased Florida Public
Utilities, we got access to a great deal of things that
FPU just never had before: Professional IT services, HR
services, safety and regulatory compliance services that
just didn't exist (inaudible) at FPU before that. We
also got access to our financing through low cost
capital. We've been able to consistently draw the debt
costs down for FPU, which ultimately results in savings
to you guys.

The other piece of increase that I think is important to talk about, because it gets a lot of, a lot of play, I think, in the press, so to speak, are the compensation costs for our people. We have moved steadily down the path to develop performance-based pay practices. These are modern pay practices that actually pay employees for achieving significant performance. And whether it's financial performance overall of the company, whether it's customer service performance, making sure we can answer the phone, or whether it's doing the kind of operational tasks that we do on a day-to-day basis and making sure that our operating guys are performing in an appropriate way. We're paying people for that. And those pay plans often get criticized, I'm sure you'll hear some of that today, as

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

bonus programs. They are bonus programs, but they're specifically related to performance activities that will move the bar up, we think, to providing efficient service to customers.

The third thing we've really been making some, I think, great headway in is to invest in the physical system that we operate both on this island and in our western division. Again, when I came in the door four and a half years ago, this system was not in great physical shape. It wasn't falling apart by any means, but we had some substantially -- well, maybe the best way to say it, we had some old, unreliable equipment that was far beyond its useful life. That included transformers, it included relay systems in our substations, circuit breakers. We had a lot of underground wiring in this system that had been put in back in the early '70s; it was long past its useful life. And we have systematically and methodically gone through this system and improved all of that. And our reliability statistics would bear measure to the fact that we've been fairly successful doing that. We've seen substantial improvements in the, in the times that the power is out on this island from when I first got here.

And I mention that not only in the statistics

that have been provided to the Public Service

Commission, but I don't get the calls that I used to.

mean, the first three years I was here, you know,

everybody on this island seemed to have my cell phone

number, and when the power went out, they called. And

I'm not getting those calls anymore. That's, as I tell

my guys, that's a big major success for me is that, you

know, people seem to be much happier when reliable

service is provided.

We still have significant improvements yet to go. Part of this rate case is attempting to continue to drive forward those improvements. We have a number of substation improvements that are planned. There are a number of very large sets of transformers that need to be replaced. We'll continue to replace the, some of the wooden poles that have been around this island for 30 or 40 years. Many of you have seen those large concrete poles we're putting up on our transmission side. I know those are not the prettiest things to look at but, believe me, they mean the world in our reliability statistics. The next time we have a storm through here, we have a much better chance of actually holding the system with those poles than we would with those wooden poles.

We are working steadily to try to find

additional on-island sources of power. We will continue to work with Rayonier, we'll continue to work with Rock-Tenn to use some of the generating power they're making at their plants. We also have a project that we're a long way down the road trying to build our own electric power generating facility that would provide some of the power on this island. When we do that, when we bring that online in May '16, we'll see another significant reduction in the power costs on this island and we'll improve significantly the reliability of power.

2.0

All the power today that comes onto this island comes across two little wires that run under the bridge, and you see those transmission towers as you come onto the island. If one of those goes down, we've got some real issues; we're dealing with portable generators. And so we believe by moving more of our generation on the island we're going to substantially improve the reliability of our system.

So essentially that's what this case is about for us. It's making sure that we can take the investments that we've currently made, making sure we continue those investments, making sure our people are paid appropriately for the performance that they provide, and then overall providing a reliable system.

There are two or three people I'd like to

introduce this afternoon. Buddy Shelley, where's Buddy? Buddy is the Director of Electric Operations for all of our electric activities around the state. Bill Grant is next to him. Bill is our local Operations Manager in Fernandina. And Cheryl Martin is our Director of Regulatory Affairs, who runs the (inaudible) that provides assistance to us on a regulatory basis.

I would be delighted to answer any questions or however you guys would like to do that.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Thank you so much. Thank you for your comments. We will go ahead and ask Mr. Kelly to come forward and give some general overview comments as well. And as questions arise, we may call upon you for assistance with that.

Mr. Kelly.

MR. KELLY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Good evening. Excuse me. My name is J. R. Kelly and, along with Patty, we represent you, the ratepayers. We're with the Office of Public Counsel, and we are here today because we're contesting areas of Florida Public Utilities Company's case that we do not believe they've met the burden of proving that they deserve a rate increase.

Now they filed a petition for a \$5.8 million annual increase. That is approximately 34 percent

increase annually over their current base rates. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we don't contest in any way, shape, or form that FPUC is not a good company, not a well-run company, and not a good corporate citizens. But here's the deal: required to provide safe, adequate, and reliable service to you, the ratepayer. In return they are allowed to recover their prudent and reasonable operating expenses and earn a fair and reasonable return on their investment.

We do not believe in certain areas they've met the burden -- and they have the burden here -- to prove that they're entitled to their total \$5.8 million increase. We've hired the services of two nationally known experts in accounting and cost of capital. We've filed testimony in this matter. And we believe that the correct increase should be no more than \$2 million a year.

And I want to give you a couple of examples of areas that we're contesting, what I call some of the big ticket items. The first is excess profit. FPUC is asking for 11.25 percent return on profit -- that's after-tax profit -- and we do not believe that the market conditions today support that in any way, shape, That's about a \$1 million a year issue.

And also in 2009, and Mr. Householder

mentioned this, they were merged into a large company from out of state and they're now a subsidiary of that company. Well, since that time there have been a lot of costs that have flowed down from that out-of-state company to FPUC. And now FPUC is asking for you, the ratepayers, to bear the burden of those costs. And we do not believe all those costs are justified. We believe that many of those costs are not directly related to providing you safe, adequate, and reliable service, and they don't involve the operation of FPUC. Some of those involve new development, strategic development that has nothing to do with FPUC. And we're contesting all of those costs, and that's approximately a \$700,000 a year issue.

And the final issue is excessive bonuses and

And the final issue is excessive bonuses and incentive compensation. And Mr. Householder touched on it a little bit, but, with all due respect to him, we have a little bit of different take on that. And I cannot discuss the bonus incentive compensation plan because they have requested confidentiality, as is their right, so I can't discuss the details. But I will tell you, consistent with the position we've always taken in our office, we're going to contest all of the incentive plans that are not directly, directly tied to providing safe, adequate, and reliable service to you, the

ratepayers. That's over a half a million dollar annual issue.

Now we're here tonight, folks, because this is your meeting. It is not my meeting, it's not the company's meeting. This is your, the ratepayers, meeting and we want to hear from you. And the Commissioners behind me really, really want to hear from you. So please take this opportunity, come up here and share any opinions you have -- good, bad, whatever -- about FPUC.

And I know they're a good company, but we want to hear how this rate increase would impact you or your everyday lives and, most importantly, the affordability of it.

The bottom line is I want to thank you very much for taking your time out of your schedules to come here today, and I want to assure you that Patty and I and the rest of our staff are going to work very, very hard to give you the best representation we can in this case. Thank you again for being here.

(Applause.)

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Kelly.

So we are about at that point where we can begin to hear from customer testimony. Just a few quick preliminaries before we start.

As I mentioned earlier, here in a moment I will ask Mr. Kelly to call names in the order from the sign-up sheet. I'll ask him to call two at a time so that when he calls one and then he'll say who's next just so you know that you're kind of on deck to come forward second.

Then after you've shared your comments with us, I'm going to ask to see if there are any questions from me or from my fellow Commissioners or from either of the parties in the case. Give us just a second before you turn around to sit down to see if there are any questions. And I'm also going to ask you when you come to the microphone -- as you can see, the acoustics are a little tough, so you need to lean into the mike, but we'll make sure that we can hear you. Please tell us your name and your address. And if your name has any bit of an unusual spelling or it could be spelled a couple of different ways, if you would spell that for us, that helps us and it certainly helps our court reporter as well so that we have your name accurately.

Because, as I mentioned earlier, this is part of the official record for this case, I need to swear those of you who would like to speak to us tonight in as witnesses. We will do that as a group. So those of you who have signed up to speak, if you would all stand

1	together with me and raise your right hand.				
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Who has the sign-up				
3	sheet?				
4	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: It's at the door. Thank				
5	you. If you're going to sign up, you should yeah.				
6	Thank you. Okay.				
7	(Witnesses collectively sworn.)				
8	Thank you. Have a seat.				
9	So, Ms. Barrera, Mr. Kelly, to my colleagues,				
10	any other preliminary matters or anything we should				
11	cover before we move ahead?				
12	MS. BARRERA: We have no other matters.				
13	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Wonderful. Thank				
14	you. Then, Mr. Kelly, if you would call the first two				
15	names, please.				
16	MR. KELLY: The first speaker is Rick				
17	Abernathy, and the second is Berta Arias.				
18	RICK ABERNATHY				
19	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the				
20	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified				
21	as follows:				
22	DIRECT STATEMENT				
23	MR. ABERNATHY: Good afternoon.				
24	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Good evening.				
25	MR. ABERNATHY: Good evening. I just have a				

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

couple of questions. My name is Rick Abernathy,

A-B-E-R-N-A-T-H-Y. I reside at 1307 South Fleto

A-B-E-R-N-A-T-H-Y. I reside at 1307 South Fletcher in Fernandina Beach.

4

3

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

5

6 my questions or comments with the fact that I am a part

7

retail electric provider in Texas, which is a

8

deregulated state, which -- so I have a little bit of

owner, board member, and executive vice president of a

MR. ABERNATHY: And I will preempt a couple of

10

knowledge about some of these things.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

present total charge, I'm going to say that if I receive my bill and I used 1,000 kilowatts, then we can say I'm paying 13.3 cents all in per kilowatt. Would you agree?

So currently, if I look at the 1,000 kWh

Okay. If the proposal goes into effect, as of January I'm going to be paying 13.9 cents. And by the way, I use a lot more than a 1,000, but that's just what we're going to go off of. Okay. So that's cleared up for me.

The next question is for FPU, do y'all have a long-term power purchase agreement or PPA with JEA? And obviously I'm sure you do, but what are the terms of it? How long does it go out?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I'll need you to come to the mike, and we'll just be cozy here if you'll work

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

with us.

MR. HOUSEHOLDER: Our current agreement with

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

JEA terminates at the end of 2017.

MR. ABERNATHY: Thank you. Because I just kind of, I buy wholesale power every morning and sell it long-term over three years, and I just thought that.

And I've seen companies get in these, some of these long-term PPAs and then ask the ratepayers to take the burden. Power is not traded at these prices right now. That's my comments. And I do not support the rate increase and I just wanted to make myself heard. Thank you.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Commissioners?

Al right. Thank you very much. Thank you.

MR. KELLY: After Ms. Arias -- and I apologize, is it Arias?

MS. ARIAS: Arias.

MR. KELLY: Arias. I apologize. It will be Pete Smith.

## BERTA ARIAS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

2

3

45

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ARIAS: My name is Berta Arias, B-E-R-T-A A-R-I-A-S. I am here as a private citizen. And thank you, Mr. Kelly. I think you said it all in terms of the rate increase. But I'm also on the steering committee of the Amelia Tree Conservancy, and we are very concerned about lack of planning, even though the FPU is asking for a rate increase, a lack of planning to maintain and actually improve the quality of our island.

And I will read from another steering committee member who is an arborist, Mr. Arthur Herman. And he says that underground utilities are definitely the way to go to maintain the beauty of our island, not only for the aesthetics but also for services. digress for a moment. I know a lot has been said about storm surges, but there are other communities such as Hilton Head more prone, in fact, to hurricanes that have underground facilities. So underground utilities will eliminate high wind and heavy rain problems. They will permanently eliminate the barbaric and hideous pruning that is widespread on our island. Trees will finally have a chance to establish their rightful canopy, and we are unique in our maritime canopy here on the island. The installation of underground lines does have some impact on the trees, a certain amount of root removal, but trees will respond positively over time to minimal

root pruning and they will be able to establish themselves as nature intended.

So we believe that as all of this is being discussed we need to bring up again, I know it has been on the table before, that underground utilities really are a must. We're going from wooden now to cement. It is outrageously ugly and we think it's a quick fix.

Expensive, I know, to put it underground, but we need to think long-term and how it all affects the tourism and the quality of life for all of our residents. So I thank you for the opportunity to speak. And any questions?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes, there are.

Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. Could you just clarify the agency or organization that you represent?

MS. ARIAS: Amelia Tree Conservancy, and we have over 100 members on the island. Some of them actually don't even live here full-time, but they belong to our organization because they're very concerned as to the quality of life that's being eroded because of trees, and again we lose them all the time, and we feel that it's part of the important things to maintain.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Ms. Arias. 1 2 (Applause.) MR. KELLY: After Mr. Smith will be Scott 3 Jensen. 4 5 PETE SMITH was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the 6 7 State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT STATEMENT MR. SMITH: Hi. Can you hear me? 10 11 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Uh-huh. 12 MR. SMITH: My name is Pete Smith. I live at 13 4309 South Fletcher, and I have several other properties 14 on South Fletcher as well. I've been here 29 years, and I love this town. And I've seen a lot of tremendous 15 improvements in the 29 years that I've been here. One 16 17 of them is not the condition and the visibility of the 18 power lines. 19 I actually took notice of this in January of 20 2013. We all got a letter from you, Mr. Householder. 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We can't hear you. 22 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: I know it's tough, but a 23 little closer to the mike, please. Okay. Thank you. 24 MR. SMITH: I actually received a letter, mass 25 mailing from you in January of 2013, and it indicated

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

23

24

25

some cost cutting measures and some management techniques that you were promoting to us as your customers. And I sent you -- it asked for our responses to the questions and I sent you an email. And I applauded the cost cutting measures, and I noted that I questioned about the potential burial of utilities on the island. I think I sent that November the 13th or 14th through email. The letter came out around the middle of January. And in that letter I noted that some of the world-class communities, I work (phonetic) in hotels for a living in some of the nicest places in the country, and some of the world-class communities out there, Sea Island, New Port Beach, and Beverly Hills and even parts of Utah, they've all got utilities there. Sea Island, Georgia, just north of here, all those oak trees, they're underground. And I simply asked what was the outlook for that and didn't get a response.

In the meantime, those concrete poles keep going up down the road I live on and they're enormous. On November 15th of 2013 the transformers on the one in front of my house exploded and it caught the yard on fire of my neighbor. We had 20-foot flames going up through oleanders and bushes. We called the sheriff, the Nassau County Sheriff, and fire department, and unfortunately nobody ever showed up to put that fire

out. It was raining luckily and it put itself out. the response I got from FPU when I called was that there was six or seven or eight of the transformers that had exploded on those poles in that area down around Peters Point. And midday the next day when the truck rolled up and fixed those lines, I went out and I talked to the guys, who, by the way, are great. I mean, every time I call for service, the staff, the team, they've got a This is not (inaudible). They explained, great team. they said those lines are aboveground and there's saltwater corrosion and they're exploding due to the resistance that's building up. So I question the comment when I'm told that the concrete poles are making things better, that they're hardening, when just the salt causes those kind of explosions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So then when the letter went out to the paper a few weeks ago, a month or so ago, it suggested a rate increase. I think it was in July, June or July. It noted three things in that paper that caught my eye, in that article that caught my eye. You guys said that you underestimated the original capital expenses required when Chesapeake took over FPU in 2009. You indicated an extraordinary number of, of capital expenses required to replace the wooden poles and concrete poles, which I asked our city commission who was approving those

concrete poles and I still haven't gotten an answer. don't know who's approving those things, who's allowing FPU to go down the road and plant 100-foot-high concrete poles in our front yards when every resident I've talked to doesn't want them. They would prefer -- we would pay a premium to get them underground, quite frankly. I mean, we're not, we're not incapable of considering that. So that fired me off and I wrote a letter stating (inaudible). I was mad.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: We are having a hard time hearing you a little bit. You're straying a bit from the mike. Sorry. And I understand that there's some things you want to say directly to the company and to us, but I'm going to ask you kind of to face us, if you would, just so that we can hear you and the court reporter can get you and we'll try to do the best we can.

So you mentioned concerns about undergrounding, old transformers, concrete poles, how they're sited, and I think you had a third, one third point.

MR. SMITH: It's escaped me. You have to be a contortionist.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

(Laughter.)

Every resident -- we've got a group website that we've started on South Fletcher. There's about 1,200 of us on that street. And it looks like (inaudible) when you drive up and down that road. you leave here and go to the east and go south, just 7 drive that road. Our city commission has made it illegal for us to replace our own private fire alarms in our houses without putting them underground. Some of the people in the room commented a moment ago that's costing them two, three, and \$4,000 each to do that when 12 they have that need arise. But nobody is forcing FPU to 13 put it underground and we're asking for that.

> There was an Underground Utilities Commission report that was done for the city, by the city, that I think went on from 2004 to 2011. It was presented to the city commission on November, in November of 2011, and the city commission discussed it for 30 minutes, dismissed it, and dropped it.

And in that report it said two very clear things, and I've posted a copy of it and I have a copy of it, Marshall McCrary has a copy of it, the city has a copy of it. It said two or three things. It said Florida Public Utilities is not going to be a willing partner to bury those utilities. It's going to require

24

25

an ordinance by our city and our county commissioners to put them underground. That was what it said. And it also said it recommended putting those utilities underground with the exception of, I think, the high power lines coming across the Intercoastal. And the only action the city commission did take is forcing the private property owners (inaudible) to the property line and take them underground to the side of the house. when you're driving down South Fletcher, all your power lines are on the east (phonetic) side of the road, and every line that goes over to the left side of the road goes above the cars and then down and then underground at our expense, and I think there's a letter in the paper today from one of our residents that clearly indicates that we think that's a double standard. And we're, we're glad to have this. I think it's been seven or eight years since you guys have been here (phonetic).

But we're looking for some guidance, some comments, some concrete information. Is it viable? Can you do it? Will you do it? Under what conditions? How much will it really cost? Do we need to get a third, a fourth, and a fifth party to qualify those costs? When can it be done? So tangible, measurable, economical ideas. This is a world-class island. That road 300 yards from here is one of prettiest stretches of A1A in

the entire state. Those lines are awful. 1 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Do 2 you have any other comments about your service? 3 MR. SMITH: What's that? 4 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Any comments about your 5 service? 6 7 MR. SMITH: No. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Thank you very 8 9 much. 10 (Applause.) MR. KELLY: After Mr. Jensen will be Phillip 11 12 Scanlan. 13 SCOTT JENSEN 14 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified 15 as follows: 16 DIRECT STATEMENT 17 MR. JENSEN: How's that? 18 19 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: That's good right now. 20 MR. JENSEN: Okay. I'm concerned about the 21 customer charge. The -- I called when I, when they told 22 me that I had a customer charge. I said, "What is the 23 customer charge for?" And the young lady said, "Well, I 24 don't know. I will," and she put me on hold and came 25 back a few minutes later and she says, "It's for

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

maintenance." And I said, "Well, I would like to know
the days and the times that they came out and did
maintenance." So she put me on hold again, and she went
out and said, "I think that's for reading the meter."
And I said, "\$18 to read the meter?" Now it's going up
to 24, okay, which I think this is extraordinary to read
the meter, \$24.

I had a \$2.59 bill for power, \$2.59. It's to run dock lights. You know what my bill is? \$26.21. When you add the customer charge in and all these other fees and stuff, \$2.59 and I have a \$26.21 bill. I think that's ridiculous.

And I'm not going to -- I'm not going to say anything other than the fact that, \$18 to \$24, I got, I got a problem with that because it's just, it's ridiculous. And where they're getting, where they're getting this is on these charts. You know, the increase in electric costs is, that's okay, but it's all the charges that they, that they put on us. So that's all I have to say.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Thank you, Mr. Jensen. Thank you.

MR. KELLY: After Mr. Scanlan is Bob Weintraub.

## PHILLIP SCANLAN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. SCANLON: Would you pass one of those around to people?

MR. KELLY: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SCANLON: My name is Phillip Scanlan. I live at 1832 Village Court, Amelia Island, Florida.

When I got your notice of this meeting I wasn't really concerned about the rates. I was mostly concerned about having it underground because I'm a member of the tree conservancy as well and I've been working on making the island a little better. And our objective is to be the best island in all of Florida. All right? I mean, this island is built on tourism. have the Ritz, we have the Omni. Those are million dollar properties that those big, ugly cement posts are being plunked in the front yard. I mean, it's pretty sick (phonetic). And we've got to attract people to come here, to retire here, to be tourists here. I mean, it's the fundamental basic business of the island. for people like me that's a retiree, we want it to look nice. So that may seem a little selfish that we want it to look nice, but I think that's part of business and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's part of living.

So then I looked at the actual rate increase and I said, "4.62, that's not bad." That seems reasonable to me. But then I looked at the actual rates that were going up, and for residential it said that less than 1,000 kilowatts is going to go up 11 percent and the fixed charge is going to go up 33 percent, and over 1,000 the whole new charge is going up 75 percent. And I'm an engineer, I was actually an electrical engineer, and I can do a little math, and I could not figure out how you take 11 percent, 33, and 75 and get 4.26.

So I wrote an email to the PSC staff and they explained it. And I'll just reword it a little bit, but they said ignore the 75. We don't want to count that because we're just talking about 1,000 kilowatts. Even though that's a whole new charge which was never mentioned in the letter and it's going to be applied, that's not counted in the 4.62 because that's somebody that uses more than 1,000.

The second thing is we're going to add in a whole bunch of JEA costs, another company that's holding their costs down, we're going to count all that in at zero, and now we draw 80 percent of zero and then the 11 and 33 and then you can finally get 4.62. I got to tell

you, I think that's misleading information to call this 4.62. FPU is the one asking for the rate increase. should be looking at those rates. Those rates are much closer to 34 percent than these folks are talking about. And I calculated it just for a residential, a 1,000 kilowatt customer, it's really 19 percent from FPU. 7 Forget the fuel charge for a minute. And then it's

really 40 percent if you use 2,000 kilowatts.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, I just don't think you ought to be communicating this way, you know. And I think that, by the way, if I go back to what's a reasonable rate increase, 4.62 sounds reasonable but 75 doesn't to me. So I don't think you should approve the 75.

Now the reason for the 75 is articulated to be we need to have higher rates after 1,000 to drive people to conserve more. At the same time they're saying you need a rate increase because the revenue is down, which means people are conserving more. You can't have it both ways. I mean, you can't say, gee, we need a rate increase because the revenues are down and, by the way, we're going to hit you with 75 percent to make sure you don't use more. I mean, that's inconsistent. I'm really disappointed.

And by the way, I spent 33 years with AT&T, so I know something about regulation of companies.

retired as a (inaudible). This is pretty unbelievable
is the best word. I mean, that's why I wrote the PSC.

I said, I can't possibly be understanding this correctly
what you're communicating, what you're doing. It's
shocking.

Now getting to the trees. Man, that's a really big deal. And these poles are being plunked down, when you hear the people that live on South Fletcher, I mean, it's, it's just atrocious. And, you know, all this rate increase and somebody is saying you can't afford to put it underground, and that's what the community wants. So we got, this is really out of whack.

And, by the way, I think reliability has improved a little and I think that's a good thing. But, you know, what I just heard from South Fletcher about the transformers and the salt air, it would probably be a lot better if it was underground.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Are there questions, Commissioners?

Commissioner Brown.

 ${\tt MR. \; SCANLON:}$  By the way, my letter is in the  ${\tt News \; Leader}$  today if anybody is interested.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Scanlan.

I just wanted to thank you for your testimony, and I

1	appreciate you did prepare this document?
2	MR. SCANLON: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Okay. Thank you. And it
4	will be marked as an exhibit.
5	MR. SCANLON: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Ms. Keating, did you get
7	a copy of this? Ms. Barrera?
8	MR. SCANLON: If anybody needs one, I have
9	more.
10	COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. That will work,
11	too. Thank you, Mr. Scanlan.
12	(Applause.)
13	And, Ms. Barrera, we will, we will mark as
14	Exhibit Number 7 Mr. Scanlan's exhibit.
15	MS. BARRERA: Yes.
16	(Exhibit 7 marked for identification.)
17	MR. KELLY: After Mr. Weintraub the next
18	speaker will be Michael Harrison.
19	BOB WEINTRAUB
20	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the
21	State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified
22	as follows:
23	DIRECT STATEMENT
24	MR. WEINTRAUB: It's Bob Weintraub, 9 Belted
25	Kingfisher Road in the Fernandina post office. I am not

representing any organization at the present time, although in the past I have been a spokesperson for a number of them, including the Sierra Club.

My comments are directed into two areas. One is the rates and the second is the undergrounding of the facilities.

I just got my bill dated 8/15. My total kilowatt hour usage is 1,600 kilowatt hours. I live in a small house. I own 2,000 square feet completely surrounded by large oaks, so it's well shaded. There are only two of us in that house and we had a 1,600 kilowatt hour usage. I can imagine what a family of four living in a tract house where the development was clear-cut so there were no trees, what their rate probably is.

Now I take the numbers -- of course, the numbers that FPU sent out don't make any sense -- and I take the numbers that Mr. Scanlan just quoted and I tried to do some math. And I'm not the world's great mathematician, but it appears after the 10 percent increase or 11 percent increase of usage of the first 1,000 kilowatt hours and a 75 percent increase of the -- of 600 hours over that, that my bill would have gone up \$46 for the month. And that's a lot, especially when you put it on a year's basis and some people really

can't afford that. I feel really fortunate where I probably could afford it, although I'd be very unhappy with it.

So if you look at the rates and the numbers, FPU's numbers just don't add up. And you figure that people in a small house, two people, 1,600 kilowatt hours in the past month, when they talk about, keep talking about the 1,000 kilowatt hour people.

The second issue is this whole issue of burying the lines. Now I saw, when this was first brought up a few weeks ago, I saw a response from, I believe it was somebody from FPU, and they talked that, well, there could be a storm surge, there could be flooding, it could affect the underground. Well, Amelia Island Plantation was developed 40 years ago and it's all, all of its electric facilities are underground. If you count back 40 years ago, that includes 1986, and in 1986 we had something called Hurricane Dora hit this island and there was no problem with the underground facilities.

For 12 years I lived in the community of Marsh Lakes, which is just across from Amelia Island, completely surrounded by water. Most of the 200 homes in that fair community have an elevation of under ten feet. So -- but in Marsh Lakes all the electric

facilities are underground and there has never been a problem from flooding. We haven't had any big hurricanes hit in those, in the 30 years that Marsh Lakes has been around, but there's been an awful lot of rain from a lot of tropical storms. There's never been a problem. So when FPU or anyone else says that, oh, you can't have power lines underground because flooding will damage them and create a problem, I think they're being specious. Thank you very much for this opportunity.

2.0

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: One question, if we may, Mr. Weintraub.

Commissioner Balbis.

COMMISSIONER BALBIS: Thank you,

Mr. Weintraub. And I want to thank you for your testimony. And you are, you know, one of a series of customers that have indicated the issue of undergrounding utilities. So my question is in most cases the undergrounding of utilities is more costly. And are you saying that you have a consensus from the community on the desire to underground utilities and that Florida Public Utilities is not willing to discuss it, or are you having difficulty getting a consensus in order to have those discussions? I mean, what are the main issues with that?

MR. WEINTRAUB: Currently I am not a community leader; therefore, I do not have a consensus. All I know is that enough people have talked about the need to do this. And it makes a lot of sense, especially living in Marsh Lakes for 12 years with no overhead power cables, now living on the Plantation, again with no overhead power cables, it makes a lot of sense. And so I'm not here saying I have a consensus. I'm just one person speaking on this issue.

**COMMISSIONER BALBIS:** Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you, sir.

(Applause.)

If you'll give us just a moment, the sun is kind of bright there. We're going to see if we can make it a tad more comfortable.

(Laughter.)

For many people that would get the sun out of your eyes. I'm not sure if it does for you. Welcome, sir.

# MICHAEL HARRISON

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. HARRISON: Commissioners, good evening and

welcome to Fernandina and Amelia Island. My name is Michael Harrison, which I think is spelled as pronounced. I live at 820 Someruelos Street. That's S-O-M-E-R-U-E-L-O-S Street, and that's in Old Town Fernandina, one of our two historic districts.

2.0

In the interest of full disclosure, I have to tell you that I was a member of the city's Underground Utility Commission that wrote this report that was finalized in 2011. The concept that FPU would require to have a city ordinance in order to act is, is, I think, valid. It comes initially from the idea that FPU has done a very good job over the years of delivering electricity to homes in a very low cost way.

The poles and overhead wires that we see delivering 12.47000 volts, which is then transformed down to 220 volts on the transformers on each of the poles is essentially a 100-year-old technology. It's the way that you would deliver electricity if you did not have today's technology of cables and insulators. But for any of you who have worked on an old house and you've seen what they call the post and hole wiring method of those early installations. Overhead transmission is archaic and there is certainly room for moving to 21st century technology, which FPU has proven is reliable in the various subdivisions that people have

. \_

spoken about, and particularly since they established some standard operating procedures as to how to do it well in the 1980s.

I plan to talk about two elements here. One is, one is the undergrounding on the system and the other one is some general questions on the rates.

On the question of undergrounding, the committee recommended that the service — that is the 12.47000 that is the high voltage distribution and the 220 volt that's the heavy cable distribution to the house — should be put underground. The city had some years previously established that whenever work was needed on the, on the main house interface, that delivery of electricity to that interface from the right—of—way, which is where Florida Public Utilities has its poles, was to be underground. The city had done that way before the Underground Utility Committee came onboard.

One of the problems, one of the strange effects of this ordinance is the situation that we see across Fletcher, which is that FPU can do whatever it wants within the right-of-way. It can put up cones, it can hang wires across the streets. And so when you've got a property that is connected to a utility service that is across the street, FPU, rather than following

2.0

what the Utility Commission would have liked to have seen, which is putting the whole, the 220-volt low voltage service under the road and then up the pole to the transformer, what they do is to send 220-volt service across the street to another drop pole, and then the consumer on his side of the street connects up underground. It's not the best way of doing things, and it will probably take a city action in order --

I'm going to ask you to refresh my memory a little bit.

That 2011 report and group that helped to put it together, and I do remember following some of the issues at that point in time, and obviously there's a study issue, there's a cost issue, and a reliability issue and others as well, but those are generally ones with undergrounding. That Commission you helped to serve on, was that an advisory group to the city commission?

MR. HARRISON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Thank you. And then you said you had some general questions?

MR. HARRISON: Yeah. And that report is available from the city website, which I can, which I can give to you later.

As regards the rate elements, I cannot understand the difference between an energy charge and a

fuel cost, and I hope that somebody will be able to clarify that for me.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Mr. Dean, can you give us a quick on that one?

MR. DEAN: Yes, ma'am.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** To the microphone. I'm sorry. Pull it forward.

MR. DEAN: Let me, let me try and distinguish between the two. The energy charge, while it is using the word energy --

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: A little closer, please.

MR. DEAN: While the energy charge uses the word energy, it is really a measurement of the kilowatt hour. And what is captured in that fee is the capital and operating costs to essentially operate the system. And that that is built on the kilowatt hour basis is in fact called a energy charge, but it doesn't reflect the cost of the actual energy produced. The system cost is measured on energy charges.

The fuel charge is actually the charge that's paid to the wholesale provider, in this case JEA, and that captures the actual production value of the coal and natural gas, the power plant costs that JEA owns, and that, those costs are carried on that. Does that make sense?

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Does that help?

MR. HARRISON: Yes.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Good.

MR. HARRISON: I understand that. And so I guess that helps to explain why there is this sort of arbitrary tipping point at 1,000 kilowatt hours per month, that if consumers were to use more and more energy, then FPU would need to increase the capacity of it, of its system and at great cost. Although it's, it's strange to find such a round number coming out of engineering and economic calculations, I assume it's arbitrary.

What I cannot understand then is why the fuel costs should pivot about the same tipping point.

# **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Mr. Dean?

MR. DEAN: The selection of a 1,000 kilowatt hour threshold is not completely arbitrary. Our other investor-owned utilities have that same threshold and they have a two-tier rate. And there is an effort, perhaps imprecise, to incent the pricing per customers to, in fact, conserve and use less energy. Historically that 1,000, that 1,000 kilowatt hours has been pretty much the mean usage in Florida residential homes, and I think that may be part of the historical basis applying that 1,000 selected.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

MR. HARRISON: The bottom line here is it appears that the, the differential fuel costs do not reflect FPU's costs of providing fuel.

In my limited experience of industrial pricing, it's fair to pass on to your customers those costs that vary with your need to service that customer. But it seems here that the fuel cost is established by, is established by contract through 2017. And I'd be very surprised if, if that is broken down to a point of 1,000 kilowatt hours per month per consumer. I imagine that they're buying so many megawatt hours per year by contract. I don't see that the costs in the contract vary in that manner, and I suggest that FPU should be charging a flat rate for the fuel costs per kilowatt hour.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay.

MR. HARRISON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

Mr. Kelly.

MR. KELLY: The next speaker is E. J.

McClelland, to be followed by John Everett.

# E. J. McCLELLAND

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the

State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

as ioliows

DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. McCLELLAND: I thought I was going to be in the spotlight. First of all, my name is E. J. McClelland. That's M-C-C-L-E-L-L-A-N-D. I'm retired from Florida Public Utilities. If I weren't, this gentleman would be my boss.

Florida Public Utilities was good to me and I was good to them for 36 years. I was in charge of purchasing and keeping up with all operating supplies.

And I did a good job and, in so, Florida Public

Utilities was good to me. And I'm happy in my retirement. However, I am on a fixed income. And hopefully Florida Public Utilities might sometime in the future be lenient, if you will, to retirees such as myself. I live at 1274 South 5th Street, Fernandina Beach, Florida. That's the cheap seats. I pay the same rates as the gentlemen on South Fletcher.

Now let me say this, and I do believe these gentlemen will concur, when you put utilities underground, you double the cost. Somebody has to pay for it. Florida Public Utilities has no place to go but to the consumer.

Now -- speaking with a two-edge sword now --

saying that, I have questions. If the rate increase has already been approved, why are we having this meeting?

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: The rate increase has not been approved. So we will have a hearing approximately in a month from now and a decision after that, after we have weighed all the evidence, testimony, and our staff has reviewed it and put a recommendation into writing.

MR. McCLELLAND: Well, I guess interim --

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: The interim -- okay. The rate request and, nor any portion of it, neither of those have been approved. We are still in process on that. However, by statute, by Florida law, FPUC and other investor-owned utilities in the state, the statute applies just the same. During the course of the proceeding they are entitled to what is called interim rates. If, after the proceeding is done, what we approve and/or disapprove, if those interim rates exceed what we approve for them to charge from that point forward, customers will get a rebate.

MR. McCLELLAND: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: You're welcome.

MR. McCLELLAND: Moving right along, Florida
Public Utilities has always prided itself on its
service, and I think they still do. I still have a lot
of friends that work for the company.

I will ask, was the new building really necessary? It's a very fine building, and I went out and Bill was kind enough to have someone show me around, and it's really nice. However, I spent 36 years at 611 Lime Street, and it was an old building, old facility, but it worked. So that's just a little tidbit there.

Now I'll ask the gentleman from Florida Public Utilities, does the bonus and incentives include the people, the CEOs and the people that are in the high offices?

MR. HOUSEHOLDER: Yes.

MR. McCLELLAND: Thank you, Mr. Householder.

That's, that's about the gist of my oration here, except that I do know that the cost of living has increased tremendously. The increase in retirement programs, Social Security, et cetera, has not. One has quadrupled, if you will. So I just won't demand anything. I'll just ask Florida Public Utilities to help us, if you can. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you.

(Applause.)

Mr. Kelly.

MR. KELLY: Mr. Everett will be followed by Mr. Dan Ward.

#### JOHN EVERETT

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. EVERETT: Hello. My name is name is John Everett.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: If you'll pull that up just a little. There you go. Thank you.

MR. EVERETT: I live at 5364 Village Way.

I've been a resident of the island for 20 years. Most of the, my comments have already been covered, but I do have a couple of quick questions I'd like to ask.

My understanding is the Florida Statutes say that the Florida gross receipts tax is 2.5 percent. And every month, and I've gone back two years of records that I keep, if I multiply the electric service amount by 2.5 percent, there's always an additional 10 to 15 cents added on to the gross receipts tax. And I noticed that in the proposal they have for the rate increase, if you multiply the total charges, if you add the total charges and then multiply it by 2.5 percent, they again add a few cents on to it. And I was just -- and I'm sure there must be a sensible explanation for this. I'd just like to know how they calculate that.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Well, I'll tell you, I certainly hope there is a sensible explanation, but I don't have it. So let me ask, is that something that, that you are prepared to answer? And if so, please, please come to the microphone. Okay.

MS. MARTIN: Yes. The gross receipts tax, that's the gross receipts tax, and we're, we're required to pay that. That's not something that is paid to the company. That's remitted to the, to the state. But part of the requirement of that tax is that we pay the tax on the tax. It's a gross-up of that amount. I don't know if that makes sense. So, again, it's not anything that the company retains. We remit that to the government in return on the tax.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Through the Florida Department of Revenue, I presume.

MR. EVERETT: Well, then my only comment was the statute says 2.5 percent. It doesn't say 2.5 percent plus something else, so. And I'm sure it's a very small amount, and I don't know how many customers you all have, but if you have a million customers, that's a lot of money a month if everybody is paying 10 to 15 cents more than they should be paying.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Well, every little bit

MS. MARTIN: Yeah. So does that makes sense?

adds up. But, again, to make that point, that does not go to the company. It goes basically as a tax to the Florida Department of Revenue.

MR. EVERETT: My other question had to do with the gentlemen from the Public Counsel Office mentioned a couple of times the duty to provide safe and reliable electricity. Does that include surge-free electricity or do we have to pay \$10 a month for a surge protector?

MR. KELLY: That's a different issue. Talking about paying a separate charge for a surge protector is a different issue than the -- what the statutes require is the utility must provide safe, adequate, and reliable service.

MR. EVERETT: Well, does safe, reliable include surge-free electricity? I mean, when I go to the gas station to buy gas, I don't have to pay extra to have the gas filtered. It seems if you buy electricity, it ought to come into your house surge free.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Mr. Everett, have you had problems with electric surge at your home?

MR. EVERETT: Well, that's another point. If I've been paying \$10 a month for the 20 years I've lived here, I could have replaced every appliance in my house. And I've never had a surge of electricity. So in addition to that, I looked at Amazon. You can buy a

house surge protector for \$60.

the request of the increase. Every month I get, or every two months I get correspondence from Florida

Public Utilities showing me ways to reduce my electric cost and install more efficient equipment, turn my thermostats down in the summer, up -- or up in the summer, down in the winter. And then we do that as consumers, and they come along and ask as part of their reason for a rate increase that they have reduced revenues. Well, what did they think they were going to

have? When they asked people to conserve electricity,

we're going to use less electricity; therefore, you have

less revenues. I just wanted to make that observation.

Finally, I had just a general comment about

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: It is counterintuitive, I grant you. The very simplistic response is generally the cost to provide electricity does not go down, the cost for the basic structure, infrastructure, generation, transmission, and delivery of service.

MR. EVERETT: Thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

It seems kind of crazy to me.

Mr. Kelly.

MR. KELLY: The last speaker signed up is

1 Mr. Ward.

Madam Chair?

J

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Yes.

MR. KELLY: Mr. Harrison said -- asked could he come up. He had a last question after all the

speakers are done.

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Okay. Mr. Ward.

DAN WARD

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. WARD: Yes. My name is Dan Ward. I live at 3036 South Fletcher Avenue. I live ocean side, and ocean side there's a lot of repairs that you have to make on an annual basis. I also have another property on the ocean. Doorknobs, fixtures in both of these properties, and I've been on the island since 2006. Before I bought the property I'm in now, there was a lightning strike that hit the pole, blew out the transformer. It costs me \$5,000 in doing repairs. Then it hit again. This is recently.

The other property that I had during the time that I was there, we had brown fades. They had to replace the transformer. So I'm sure being on the

island with all the salt and the weather that we have that there's a lot of replacement and a lot of repair. The increase, I'm sure, is to go to pay for all these concrete posts that are going up, which, like many people, have expressed a concern. It's unsightly. not attractive. And I think somewhere I saw statistics that we're ranked number five in the nation for tourism as far as an island is concerned. One of the aspects of our island is the community and how it comes across. And it's low key. We don't like huge tourism, meaning every house rented out. We have restrictions in Fernandina Beach. So versus placing that money to replace those, have we done a cost analyses that looks at eliminating a lot of these repairs because you are underground? You're not going to have to replace all these electric components. I'm not -- that's not my area of expertise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

But my, most of my life I lived in California and they put most everything underground, especially the new developments. So I would think that's the wave of the future, and I would think that cost is less over the long-term. So my thought process is are we really going forward with a long-term approach with this strategy or are we putting these posts up and then raising an increase to pay for posts that a lot of us don't even

want? Or is there a way that we could say let's relook

at this and we approach maybe undergrounding the areas

over the long-term and have a better approach?

And the other question that I have is

everything that I've seen about this, and I haven't been

everything that I've seen about this, and I haven't been to all the meetings, but it appears we did these cost analyses but it didn't really go anywhere. I don't know. Was it voted on? Was it all approved? It just seems like we start to see these posts come up across, and I think that's a big impact to our community and to tourism. So that's just all I had to say.

**COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Any questions?

Thank you, Mr. Ward.

MR. WARD: Thanks.

(Applause.)

2.0

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Hang on. Okay. Mr. Kelly, you said that that was the last name that you had on the sign-up sheet? Okay.

Let me ask, is there anybody who did not sign up that has changed their mind and would like to make a few comments or came late and didn't sign up and would like to make a few comments? Okay. I see none.

So, Mr. Harrison, you had one or two more brief comments?

# MICHAEL HARRISON

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the

State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified

as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. HARRISON: I do. Thank you, Madam Chairman.

2.0

COMMISSIONER EDGAR: You're welcome.

MR. HARRISON: I appreciate your indulgence here. Whether it's the sun or the emotion or whatever, things, thoughts tend to get away from me.

Mr. Balbis asked another speaker, I think, about the cooperation of FPU. Certainly when the Utilities Committee was working through 2011 we did have a representative from FPU come and attend our meetings. But in terms of getting cost estimates, we had somewhat of a breakwater (phonetic). We were told that undergrounding costs a million dollars a mile to do, and if we wanted more specific information, we were free to turn in a request for an engineered estimate of whatever was required with a nonrefundable deposit of 10 percent of the cost estimate, which would be (inaudible).

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear you. Which would be what?

MR. HARRISON: Which would be 10 percent of the estimated cost of undergrounding the utilities in

the city to be paid up front and to be applied to the cost of service if it were ever ordered.

2.0

In the event we made our own cost estimate of comparative costs of undergrounding versus overgrounding, and I imagine that that situation has changed radically now. I hear a different attitude coming out from the FPU representatives, and that is very much welcome. I'd like to think that consumers were offered help in undergrounding their services rather than being told, as I suspect may still be the case, that undergrounding is much more expensive than overgrounding.

The second thing is I'd like to make sure that the Public Service Commission is aware of, of the linkage between what you require and what actually happens on the ground. And when you require that FPU should storm harden its infrastructure, the result of that is more poles and bigger poles and damage to our trees. There is likely a long-term savings in terms of reduced vegetation costs if the utilities are put underground. I'm not sure that's taken into account. But we'd certainly appreciate whatever support the Public Service Commission can bring towards producing a more enlightened or at least an alternative approach to how the system can be hardened rather than pouring more

money into this 100-year-old system. Thank you. 1 2 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Harrison. 3 (Applause.) 4 5 Ms. Barrera, any other matters that we should address while we are gathered here together? 6 7 MS. BARRERA: No, Commissioner. We do have the FPUC's Exhibit 6, and Mr. Scanlan's exhibit to place 8 9 into the record. COMMISSIONER EDGAR: We're not going to do 10 that right now. My preference is to take all the 11 exhibits prior -- as a preliminary matter at the 12 13 beginning of the hearing. 14 MS. BARRERA: At the beginning of the hearing? COMMISSIONER EDGAR: 15 16 MS. BARRERA: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER EDGAR: So we'll wait on, we'll 18 wait on that. That way all the parties can look at 19 them. MS. CHRISTENSEN: That's fine. 20 21 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Any other matters? 22 MS. BARRERA: No, ma'am. 23 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Okay. Mr. Kelly? 2.4 MR. KELLY: No, ma'am. 25 **COMMISSIONER EDGAR:** Commissioners?

All right. Well, then on behalf of my colleagues, thank you for being here tonight. Again, thank you for your patience. For those of you who participated by speaking with us, thank you for coming forward and sharing your thoughts with us. And those of you who were just here to listen, thank you also for being here and for being interested in these issues and in your community. And with that, we are adjourned.

(Service Hearing adjourned at 6:30 p.m.)

1	STATE OF FLORIDA ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	T FURTURE CERTIFY that I amount a solution and a second
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or
11	counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	
13	DATED THIS 27th day of August, 2014.
14	
15	Ginda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR FPSC Official Hearings Reporter
17	(850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DOCKET NO. 140025-EI - Application for rate increase by Florida Public Utilities Company.

HEARING EXHIBIT NO. 6

(Composite Exhibit – Northeast Division:

A. Proof of Publication

B. Proof of Mailing Customer Notice)

Submitted by

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMPANY

# **AFFIDAVIT**

STATE OF Florida							
COUNTY OF Jackson							
BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, an o	officer duly authorized in the State and County						
BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, an officer duly authorized in the State and County							
aforesaid to take acknowledgments, personally appeared Chery Martin, who							
deposed and stated that the Notice of the Service Hearings listed below was published in the							
Fernandina Beach News Leader and the Jackson	County Floridan consistent with the publication						
sheets attached to this Affidavit, in accordan	ce with Commission Rule 25-22.0406, Florida						
Administrative Code:							
Tuesday, August 19, 2014	Wednesday, August 20, 2014						
5:00 p.m. CDT	5:00 p.m. EDT						
Jackson County Agriculture Conference Center	Atlantic Recreation Center Auditorium						
2740 Pennsylvania Avenue	2500 Atlantic Avenue						
Marianna, FL	Fernandina Beach, FL						
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 1914	ay of August, 2014.						
	set my hand and seal in the State and County						
aforesaid as of this 19th day of Augus	, 2014.						
J	Sun agnoral						
RAYNE BAYNE	Notary Public / State of Florida, at Large						
8 off 134928	My Commission Expires:						
off 134926	August 5.2018						

# LANDFILL

Continued from 1A

Continued from 1A
many, many years to come,"
said Kelbey.
He said franchise fees could
take the cost off property owners.
"It would relieve the ad valorem tax base," said Kelley.
Kelley brought the issue to
the board earlier this year
when the board signed a new
five-year agreement with
Advanced Disposal for trash
pick-up and recycling.
"Im aware we have a fiveyear (agreement) with Advance
do Disposal. in no way is this
meant to hurt our relationship, said Kelley.
The board heard from company representative Chris

he board neard from com-pany representative Chris Hagan. He acknowledged Ad-vanced Disposal's successful and long-term relationship with

the county and questioned whether franchise fees could put it in jeopardy
"It's something you have to be very careful about," said Hagan." It could affect our relationship. I don't want to harm our relationship. Let's be careful."

Hagan did not offer details.

ful."
Hagan did not offer details.
Commissioner Walter "Jr."
Boatright said franchise fees are a tax in disguise.
"You can call it a franchise fee but it's just another tax," he said

Commissioner Waker 'Ir.'
Boatright said franchise fees are a tax in disguise.
"You can call a franchise fee but it's just another tax," he said.
Commission Chair Barry
Holloway agreed.
'Ipsick on a pig is still a pig.' said Holloway.
Holloway said that costs involved with monitoring the landfill over several decades need to be addressed, but he questioned the timing. He said Commission Chair Barry Holloway agreed. "Lipstick on a pig is still a pig," said Holloway. Holloway said that costs involved with monitoring the andfill over several decades need to be addressed, but he questioned the timing. He said Advanced Disposal is doing a

good job and "keeping cus-tomers satisfied." Because the company is at the start of a new five-year contract, Hollo-way asked if it was too soon to make plans. "Are we jumping the gun?" said Holloway.

said Holloway. County Manager Ted Selby asked the board to consider how to select task force par-

# CRIME Continued from 1A

Leper said that in 2013 the team dismanded seven methamphetamine labs and that three such labs have been taken spart to date this year. He said the meth labs have been scattered across the county. "Drug activity takes place in all areas," said Leeper. Geography contributes to the problem.

Geography contributes to the problem.

The application considers the area's deep vaterways and ocean access as well as the major roadways that run through Nassau County, inchading 1-95 and US. 1.

These numerous modes of transportation that surround and/or encircle Nassau County provide routing for druss, narround for the control of t

These numerous modes of transportation that surround and/or encircle Nassau County provide routing for drugs, nacotics and illegal activities in Nassau County, according to the application. The grant application also need to be application as the county's 500,000 annotes to grow and to be increase in criminal and drug activity?

"It's hard to say," said Leeper." As our county continues to grow, the opportunities for crime will continue to grow as well."

The sheriff applied for the annual Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) grant and expects to use the money in fiscal 2014-15, storting 50, 172.

When the money arrives, the sheriff said it will be used to fund the department's drug unit and K-9 team and that it will buy equipment for the "evolving" Special Response Team, including night vision monoculars, tear gas and aerial reconnaissance to weed out marityana and methamphetaments. marijuana and methampheta-mine labs, according to the

application.
"This purchase will further aid in homeland security and public safety," said the applica-

#### Patrol statistics

tion.

The money also will buy food and veterinary care for the department's drug-sniffing dogs. The K-9 team has four dogs, four handlers and one

dogs, four handlers and one supervisor.

This team is a valuable part of law enforcement operations and works in tandem with the drug unit. "said the application. The sheriff also is planning to pay cell plone bills and buy supplies, including pens, penils, notebooks, dry erase boards, video recording tapes, batteries and a book on street drugs to help with identification.

drugs to help with identification.

According to the application,
the sheriff said it would spend
\$13,000 on operating costs,
\$7,500 on canine care, \$1,500
for office supplies, \$4,000 on
training and \$23,172 on equipment for the Special Response
Tearn.

The sheriff did not want to
characterize the seriousness of
the county's crime as it relates
to drug activity, but he said it
was less than what is happening
in Duval County.

Here is how the application
puts it:

Here is how the application puts it:

"Nassau County has waged a war against illegal drugs and criminal activity."

The application was released publicly at the Aug. 6 county corunission meeting. Under the program guidelines, the grant requires approval letters from the board, as well as from other governments within the county, including the city of Fernandina Beach and the towns of Hilliard

and Callahan, since they are all participating in the program.

All of the letters were signed the first week in August and then sent to the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) in Tallahasses.

Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) in Tallahassee.
While the grant is a federal program, FDLE distributes the money to Florida's 67 counties. The state is expected to receive more than 57 million. Last year, Nassau County received more than \$54,000.
The annual grant money can be used to fund various law enforcement programs, including drug enforcement, though the Department of Justice has identified priorities and encourages officials to use the money for reducing un violence, reducing unnecessary incareration, indigent defense and improving mental health services.

Awards are based on population and crime statistics and lation and crime statistics and the information the sheriff included in this year's applica-tion points to serious local

The application said there were nine forcible sex offenses,

The application saut there were nine fordible sex offenses, including two rapes, and that three arrests were made in these cases.

The application reports 423 simple assaults and said that, valuably for 2013 there were 365 reported incidences from domestic violence, and tragitation of the sex of

Continued from 1A

BUDGET

grant.
Agency Executive Director
Sieve Rieck and in 2012 he
hoped to create through a
"Nassau Tomorrow" effort
3,800 jobs in the county in the
next five years at 135 percent
of the current annual wage,
which would result in 563 million in increased consumer
spending and additional property and sales tuxes.
Rieck asked commissioners in 2012 for an annual comes
ris 2012 for an annual comes

ers in 2012 for an annual com-mitment of \$25,000 of the city's money to be invested over the next five years. Commission ers at the time agreed to con-tribute half the \$25,000 from the city's general fund and half from the airport fund. Rieck

the city's general fund and half from the airport fund. Reck recently announced his retirement from the board.
Commissioners at the budget meeting gave no real explanation for withdrawing the grant, but Mayor Ed Boner, who is on the NCEDB board, made mild objections.
Boner also brought up an offer for \$50,000 thy Billy Casper Golf toward greens renovations, in exchange for an extension of free years on its contract, swips the city should consider negotiating to take advantage of the offer. command, with the offer an extension of free years on its contract, swips the offer of the production of the course of the

#### Free wift

Free wifit

The city will offer free wifi downtown some time in the next fiscal year, according to Deputy City Manager
Marshall McCrary.

At a cost of about \$17,000, which is part of the new city Eudget, the free internet access will be available for any-cine with writeriess devices within the two blocks on either side of Centre Street, from the Fernandina Harbor Marina to South Eighth Street, McCrary said.

McCrary said in an email that "users would be allocated acome state amount of data access (and) once they exceed the data usage, they'd have to bey the provider to great the state of the city of the control of the city.

This would be direct access, not linked to the city's data network at all, "McCrary wrote.

"Ultimately, this project is intended to be a service to the public and an additional offering to our downlown experience," McCrary wrote. "The hope is that sufficient revenue is generated to eventually cover the cost of providing the service."

So far, the city has not officially responded to Casper's offer, according to City Manager Joe Certrity, but has been in contact with management officials on the matter.

The contract between their and silvent of the city and Billy Casper Golf has an initial term of five years, and an automatic renewal of one year after that, with a maximum of two additional one year after that, with a maximum of two additional one year terms unless either party gives notice not to renew the contract.

There might be a way to extend the contract and allow us to oversee (the course). Boner said. "I hate to give sawy \$50,000 just because they can't do a year to year commitment. I move it's our job to counteroffer."

Boner said the new contract with Casper would also need "some kind of improve-

#### PLANT

Continued from 1A I'm sure there are lota of

options." city."

Fernandina Beach Mayor

Joe Gerrity also said he had sold in 1972 for \$36,000, accord-

received complaints about the abandoned site, basically stating it is in disrepair and a terrible entrance to the

ing to the Nassau County Property Appraiser's website, and has a current assessed value of \$429,883. The factory was built in 1952 and compris-es 1.82 acres. adaughtry@fbneusleader.com

# NOTICE

The Florida Public Service Commission announces a customer service hearing to be held in the following docket to which all interested persons and parties are invited to attend.

#### Docket No. 140025-E1

Application for Rate Increase by Florida Public Utilities Company.

#### DATE AND TIME:

Wednesday, August 20, 2014 5:00 p.m. EDT

# PLACE:

Atlantic Recreation Center Auditorium 2500 Atlantic Avenue Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

The hearing will begin as scheduled and will continue until all witnesses have been heard. If no witnesses are present, the hearing may be adjourned. All persons desiring to present testimony are urged to appear at the beginning of the hearing since the hearing may be adjourned early if no witnesses are present to testify.

#### PURPOSE:

To permit members of the public to give testimony regarding the electric rates and service of Florida Public Utilities Company. All witnesses shall be subject to cross-examination at the conclusion of their testimony. Any person requiring accommodations at this hearing leasurse of a physical impairment should call the Office of the Commission Clerk at 850.413.6770 at least 48 hours prior to the hearing. Any person who is hearing or speech impaired should contact the Commission by using the Florida Relay Service, which can be reached at 800.955.8771.



### **AFFIDAVIT**

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF NASSAU

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, an officer duly authorized in the State and County aforesaid to take acknowledgments, personally appeared Cheryl Martin, who deposed and stated that the Customer Notice of Florida Public Utilities Company's Application for a Rate Increase, assigned Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 140025-EI, has been sent to all customers of the Company's Electric Division in accordance with Commission Rule 25-22.0406(2)(f), Florida Administrative Code.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 2nd day of July, 2014.

In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and seal in the State and County aforesaid as of this 2nd day of July, 2014.



Notary Public

State of Florida, at Large

My Commission Expires:

Jan 30 2015

United States Postal Service

# Postage Statement—First-Class Mail and First-Class Package Service

Post Office: Note Mail Arrival Date & Time (Do Not Round-Stamp)

Use	e this fo	orm for First-C	lass Mail	and First-Cla	ss Pa	ckage Servi	ice.					
	Permit Holder's Name and Address and Email Address, if Any  Telephone 954-524-9294				4	Name and Address of Mailing Agent (If other than permit holder)  Name and Address of Mailing Agent (If other than permit holder)						r
Mailer	Print Dynamics 1721 North Federal Hwy FT. Lauderdale FL 33305				Print Dynamics 1721 North Federal Hwy FT. Lauderdale FL 33305 Fernandina I Northeast PC					land Parkwa leach, FL 320	у	
		ust. Ref. No. N/A 4262104				CRID 426210				RID N/A		
		ce of Mailing UDERDALE FL 33310	Processing Category	Parcels Only Hold For Pickup HFPU	08/01		Federal Agency N/A		Nor	theast	No. and type	of Containers
βL	Postage	Permit Imprint Precanceled Stamps Metered		No. of Pieces	Weight	of a Single Piece	Mailing	SSF Tran	ces	12,932	24 - EMM T	rays
Mailing	Permit # 5222			dosed Within Ano			odicals	Customer	Generated I	Electronic Labels		
	For Autor	mation Price Pieces ss Matching and Co	Enter Date	Move Update Me	ethod: e Endorse	ment OneCo	ode ACS 🔀 NO	COALink	Total We	228.8964		
	07/31/2			□ ACS □ A	Itemative I	Method N	Multiple n/	a Alternative A	Address For	mat		
	Roun	Flat-size mailpieces ad Trip ONLY: One I	OVD/CD or oth	ner disk		mpleted (Select	all that apply)	] A [ <b>X</b> ] B	_c _	D DE		
	100	Political Campaign		es No X	1	Sub	total Pos	tage (A	dd part	s totals)	\$5,	224.48
Postage	2 P	rice at Which Posta tamps.	ge Affixed (Ch				pieces bearing m				-	
Po	3	_ correct	Lowest	Neither		_ pcs. x \$	Incentive/D				_	
	4						Incentive/L			ar Amount	+	
	-	Permit #				Not Pos	tage Due					224.40
Φ						14001 03	tage Due	(LIIIC I	17- LIIIC	3 2, 3, 4)	\$5,	224.48
S Use	For posta	al Postage Payme age affixed add addition	tional paymer	nt to net postage	due;	Tot	al Adjust	ed Pos	tage	Affixed		
USPS	Postmas	ter: Report Total Po	stage in	AIC 121	T	otal Adju	sted Post	age Pe	ermit	mprint		Aller and Argent and A
	Incentive	/Discount Claimed:							Туре	of Fee		
Certification	this form, In additio informatio qualifies false or n imprisonr	er's signature certifies to the agent certifies to the agent smay be lied on furnished on this for the prices and fenisleading information ment.	hat he or she able for any de form is accura es claimed; a	is authorized to s eficiencies resultir ate, truthful, and c nd that the mailing	ign on being from no complete; g does no	ehalf of the maile natters within the ; that the mail and ot contain any ma requested on thi	er and that the ma eir responsibility, le d the supporting atter prohibited by is form may be su	iller is bound knowledge, o documentati y law or post ubject to crin e: For inform	d by the ce or control. ion comply tal regulati ninal and/o nation rega	ertification and a The mailer her with all postal ion. I understar or civil penalties	grees to pay an eby certifies tha standards and the did that anyone w	y deficiencies. t all he mailing who furnishes and
		Weight of a Single	Piece		A	re postage figure	s at left adjusted	from	T Vac	No.	954-524-9	294
				pound		ailer's entries? If			108	No No		
>	tes	Total Pieces	Total	Weight					[ Po	und Stamp /Pa	w simadi	no .
- L	is je	Total Postage				Round Stamp (Re						To be c
USPS Use Only	To be completed in in-PostalOne! sit	Presort Verification Performed? (If required)  Yes No CERTIFY that this mailing has been inspected for each item below if required:			or Da	ate Mailer Notifie	d Contact					be completed PostalOne!
USP	To be completed in non-PostalOne! sites	(1) eligibility for po (2) proper prepara (3) proper comple (4) peyment of and (5) sufficient funds	tion (and pres tion of postag nual fee; and	sort where require e statement;		(Initials)	Time		AM PM			To be completed in non-PostalOnel sites
		USPS Employee's	Signature		Pr	rint USPS Emplo	yee's Name					

# **NOTICE**

The Florida Public Service Commission announces a customer service hearing to be held in the following docket to which all interested persons and parties are invited to attend.

Docket No. 140025-EI Application for Rate Increase by Florida Public Utilities Company.

DATE AND TIME: Wednesday, August 20, 2014 5:00 p.m. EDT

PLACE:

Atlantic Recreation Center Auditorium 2500 Atlantic Avenue Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

The hearing will begin as scheduled and will continue until all witnesses have been heard. If no witnesses are present, the hearing may be adjourned. All persons desiring to present testimony are urged to appear at the beginning of the hearing since the hearing may be adjourned early if no witnesses are present to testify.

PURPOSE: To permit members of the public to give testimony regarding the electric rates and service of Florida Public Utilities Company. All witnesses shall be subject to cross-examination at the conclusion of their testimony. Any person requiring accommodations at this hearing because of a physical impairment should call the Office of the Commission Clerk at (850) 413-6770 at least 48 hours prior to the hearing. Any person who is hearing or speech impaired should contact the Commission by using the Florida Relay Service, which can be reached at 1-800-955-8771.

# MAILING SUMMARY

#### NOTICE TO FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CUSTOMERS

Docket No. 140025-EI

# NORTHEAST DIVISION:

Final File sent to print house: 06.11.14 Final File approved for press: 06.13.14

Final Final dropped at USPS & Mailed via Standard Mail: 06.19.14

Expected In-Home Date Range: 06.21.14 - 06.25.14

# NORTHWEST DIVISION:

Final File sent to print house: 06.11.14 Final File approved for press: 06.13.14

Final Final dropped at USPS & Mailed via Standard Mail: 06.19.14

Expected In-Home Date Range: 06.21.14 - 06.25.14

\*\*Customer Notice Post to Web (FPUC.com/2014ElectricRates): 06.19.14\*\*

See attached, executed USPS Certification.

JOANIE DUPONT

projects & strategies director

MTN Advertising 1355 S. Patrick Dr Satellite Beach, FL 32937 www.mtninc.com

e: <u>joanie@mtninc.com</u> ph: 321-779-1010

c: 321-403-4488 fx: 321-779-0200



# NOTICE TO CUSTOMERS

On April 28, 2014, Florida Public Utilities Company filed a Petition with the Florida Public Service Commission seeking approval to increase rates and charges to produce an additional \$5,852,171 in revenues. The proposed increase, if approved, would increase the total bill in January 2015 for an average 1,000 kWh/month customer by \$6.12 or 4.62% over the current average bill. The Company is also requesting interim rate relief to temporarily increase its revenues by \$2,433,314 pending the Commission's decision on the Company's request for a permanent increase.

The Florida Public Service Commission Docket number assigned to this request is Docket No. 140025-EI.

The main reasons for this request are that the Company has made significant investments in its electric distribution in order to enhance the reliability of service to customers, including, but not limited to, replacement of wood distribution and transmission line poles, replacement of aging underground conductors, and replacement of a substation. The Company is planning additional projects to further enhance service reliability for customers. In addition, the Company's Operations and Maintenance expenses have increased over the past several years, while the Company's revenues have declined.

The Commission will conduct customer service hearings regarding this request at locations in the Company's service area. In those hearings the Commission will receive comments from customers regarding the Company's service quality and the Company's request for a base rate increase. The dates and locations for those service hearings will be as follows:

Tuesday, August 19, 2014	Wednesday, August 20, 2014
5:00 p.m. CDT	5:00 p.m. EDT
Jackson County Agriculture Conference Center	Atlantic Recreation Center Auditorium
2740 Pennsylvania Avenue	2500 Atlantic Avenue
Marianna, FL	Fernandina Beach, FL

A technical hearing has been scheduled for September 15-18, 2014, in Tallahassee.

Details regarding the Company's request are contained in the Minimum Filing Requirements, which also contain detailed financial, accounting, tariff and engineering data supporting the request. These are available for review at the business offices at the following locations during regular work hours.

2825 Pennsylvania Avenue Marianna, Florida 32448 850-526-6800 Monday-Friday (9:00a.m.-5:00p.m.)

780 Amelia Island Parkway Fernandina Beach, Florida 32034 904-430-4700 Monday-Friday (10:00a.m.-5:00p.m.) The Company's MFRs, as well as a synopsis of the case, can also be reviewed at the following locations during normal operating hours:

Fernandina Library Branch 25 N. 4th St. Fernandina Beach, FL 32034

Jackson County Public Library 2929 Green Street Marianna, Florida 32446

# Currently, the schedule for this proceeding is as follows:

Recommendation on Interim Rates	06/26/2014
Staff Audit Due	07/08/2014
Agenda (Interim Rates)	07/10/2014
Order on Interim Rate Request	07/30/2014
Hearing (9/15 - 18/14)	09/15/2014
Briefs Due	10/02/2014
Staff Recommendation (Revenue Requirement)	11/13/2014
Agenda (Revenue Requirement)	11/25/2014
Staff Recommendation (Rates)	12/04/2014
Agenda (Rates)	12/16/2014
Final Order on Proposed Final Rates	12/30/2014

Any customer comments regarding the Company's service or the proposed rate increase should include the docket number assigned to this case, Docket No. 140025-EI, and should be addressed to:

Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

You may also contact the Commission at their toll free number: 1-800-342-3552.

Additional information is also available by visiting the Company's website at <a href="www.fpuc.com">www.fpuc.com</a>. You may also obtain information about this request by calling the Florida Public Service Commission at 1-800-342-3552 or visiting the Commission's website at <a href="www.psc.state.fl.us">www.psc.state.fl.us</a>.

Docket No. 140025-EI Page 3

<u>Pres</u>	ent Rates	Interim Rates	Propo	sed Rates
		Customer Charge(s)		
Residential (RS)	\$12.00	\$13.79		\$16.00
General Service (GS)	\$18.00	\$20.68		\$24.00
General Service Demand (GSD)	\$52.00	\$59.75		\$65.00
General Service Large Demand (GSLD)	\$100.00	\$114.91		\$150.00
General Service Large Demand (GSLD1)	\$600.00	\$689.46		\$900.00
Standby (SB) <500 kw	\$126.47	\$145.33		\$190.00
Standby (SB) ≥500 kw	\$626.47	\$719.87		\$940.00
		Energy Charge \$/kwh		
Residential (RS)	\$.01958	\$.02250	≤1,000 -	
Residential (RS)	ψ.01936	\$.02230	>1,000 -	
General Service (GS)	\$.01927	\$.02214	> 1,000 -	\$.02582
General Service (GS) General Service Demand (GSD)	\$.00340	\$.00391		\$.00571
General Service Demand (GSLD)		\$.00391		\$.00218
General Service Large Demand (GSLD1)		\$.0000		\$.00210
Standby (SB) <500 kw	\$.00000	\$.00000		\$.00000
Standby (SB) ≥500 kw	\$.00000	\$.00000		\$.00000
		Demand Charge \$/kw		
Residential (RS)	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00		\$ 0.00
General Service (GS)	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00		\$ 0.00
General Service Demand (GSD)	\$ 2.80	\$ 3.22		\$ 4.20
General Service Large Demand (GSLD)	\$ 4.00	\$ 4.60		\$ 6.00
General Service Large Demand (GSLD1)		\$ 1.29		\$ 1.68
General Service Large Demand (GSLD1)		\$ 0.28 kVAR		\$ 0.36 kVAR
Standby (SB) <500 kw	\$ 2.00	\$ 2.30		\$ 2.83
Standby (SB) ≥500 kw	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.61		\$ 0.80
Outdoor/Street Lighting	various	14.91%		15.9%

Pre	esent Rates	Interim Rates	Proposed Rates
		Service Charge(s)	
Luisia Dutidan and a Commina	952.00	952.00	\$ 61.00
Initial Entitlement of Service Re-establish Service or Account Changes	\$53.00 \$23.00	\$53.00 \$23.00	\$ 26.00
Customer Request Temp Disconnect/Reconn		\$33.00	\$ 65.00
Reconnect After Disconnect (Normal Hrs)	\$44.00	\$44.00	\$ 52.00
Reconnect After Disconnect (After Hours)	\$95.00	\$95.00	\$178.00
Temporary Service	\$51.00	\$51.00	\$ 85.00
Collection Charge	\$14.00	\$14.00	\$ 16.00
Returned Check Charge	Per Statute	Per Statute	Per Statute
Credit Card Fees	\$3.50	0 RS and 3.5% other cla	asses
Late Fees	G	reater of 1.5% or \$5.00	)

Docket No. 140025-El Page 5

		PRESEN	T RATE	S	*****	INTERIM	RATES			PROPOSE	D RATES	3
	Facility	Energy	Maint	Total	Facility	Energy	Maint	Total	Facility	Energy	Maint	Total
	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge	Charge
100w HPS Cobra Head-OL	\$ 6.13	\$ 1.55	\$ 0.96	\$ 8.64	\$ 7.01	\$ 1.82	\$ 1.10	\$ 9.93	\$ 6.34	\$ 1.83	\$ 1.88	\$ 10.05
175w MV Cobra Head -OL	\$ 1.44	S 2.72	\$ 0.52	\$ 4.68	\$ 1.65	\$ 3.13	\$ 0.60	\$ 5.38	\$ 1.19	\$ 3.15	\$ 1.04	\$ 5.38
400w MV Cobra Head-OL	\$ 4.39	\$ 5.82	\$ 0.89	\$ 11.10	\$ 5.04	\$ 6.69	\$ 1.02	\$ 12.75	\$ 1.31	\$ 6.74	\$ 1.12	\$ 9.17
1000w HPS Flood -OL2	\$ 16.38	\$ 15.61	\$ 2.19	\$ 34.18	\$ 18.82	\$ 17.94	\$ 2.52	\$ 39.28	\$ 18.99	\$ 18.09	\$ 2.54	\$ 39.62
1000w MH Flood - OL2	\$ 15.20	\$ 15.61	\$ 2.03	\$ 32.84	\$ 17.47	\$ 17.94	\$ 2.33	\$ 37.74	\$ 17.51	\$ 18.09	\$ 2.48	\$ 38.08
1000w MH Vert Shoebox - OL2	\$ 21.31	\$ 15.61	\$ 2.69	\$ 39.61	\$ 24.49	\$ 17.94	\$ 3.09	\$ 45.52	\$ 24.70	\$ 18.09	\$ 3.12	\$ 45.91
100w HPS Amer Rev-OL2	\$ 8.10	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.15	\$ 10.83	\$ 9.31	\$ 1.82	\$ 1.32	\$ 12.45	\$ 8.23	\$ 1.83	\$ 2.78	\$ 12.84
100w HPS Cobra Head-OL2	\$ 6.13	\$ 1.55	\$ 0.96	\$ 8.64	\$ 7.01	\$ 1.82	\$ 1.10	\$ 9.93	\$ 6.34	\$ 1.83	\$ 1.88	\$ 10.05
100w HPS SP2 Spectra -OL2	\$ 18.18	\$ 1.58	\$ 3.16	\$ 22.92	\$ 20.89	\$ 1.82	\$ 3.63	\$ 26.34	\$ 21.07	\$ 1.83	\$ 3.66	\$ 26.56
100w MH SP2 Spectra -OL2	\$ 18.04	\$ 1.58	\$ 2.20	\$ 21.82	\$ 20.73	\$ 1.82	\$ 2.53	\$ 25.08	\$ 20.91	\$ 1.83	\$ 2.55	\$ 25.29
150w HPS Acom-OL2	\$ 14.42	\$ 2.34	\$ 1.83	\$ 18.59	\$ 16.57	\$ 2.69	\$ 2.10	\$ 21.36	\$ 16.72	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.12	\$ 21.55
150w HPS ALN 440 -OL2	\$ 21.46	\$ 2.34	\$ 2.61	\$ 26.41	\$ 24.66	\$ 2.69	\$ 3.00	\$ 30.35	\$ 24.88	\$ 2.71	\$ 3.03	\$ 30.62
150w HPS Am Rev-OL2	\$ 8.31	\$ 2.34	\$ 1.14	\$ 11.79	\$ 9.55	\$ 2.69	\$ 1.31	\$ 13.55	\$ 7.70	\$ 2.71	\$ 3.79	\$ 14.20
175w MH ALN 440 -OL2	\$ 21.60	\$ 2.75	\$ 2.66	\$ 27.01	\$ 24.82	\$ 3.16	\$ 3.06	\$ 31.04	\$ 25.73	\$ 3.19	\$ 2.22	\$ 31.14
175w MH Shoebox -OL2	\$ 16.62	\$ 2.75	\$ 2.15	\$ 21.52	\$ 19.10	\$ 3.16	\$ 2.47	\$ 24.73	\$ 19.27	\$ 3.19	\$ 2.49	\$ 24.95
200w HPS Cobra Head -OL2	\$ 9.32	\$ 3.13	\$ 0.42	\$ 12.87	\$ 10.71	\$ 3.60	\$ 0.48	\$ 14.79	\$ 8.31	\$ 3.63	\$ 2.14	\$ 14.08
250w HPS Cobra Head -OL2	\$ 11.21	\$ 3.88	\$ 1.46	\$ 16.55	\$ 12.88	\$ 4.46	\$ 1.68	\$ 19.02	\$ 9.07	\$ 4.50	\$ 3.36	\$ 16.93
250w HPS Flood -OL2	\$ 8.49	\$ 3.88	\$ 1.34	\$ 13.71	\$ 9.76	\$ 4.46	\$ 1.54	\$ 15.76	\$ 9.98	\$ 4.50	\$ 2.05	\$ 16.53
250w MH Shoebox-OL2	\$ 17.69	\$ 3.88	\$ 2.40	\$ 23.97	\$ 20.33	\$ 4.46	\$ 2.76	\$ 27.55	\$ 20.51	\$ 4.50	\$ 2.78	\$ 27.79
400w HPS Cobra Hcad -OL2	\$ 8.43	\$ 6.26	\$ 1.34	\$ 16.03	\$ 9.69	\$ 7.19	\$ 1.54	\$ 18.42	\$ 9.21	\$ 7.26	\$ 2.35	\$ 18.82
400w HPS Flood - OL2	\$ 13.08	\$ 6.26	\$ 1.66	\$ 21.00	\$ 15.03	\$ 7.19	\$ 1.91	\$ 24.13	\$ 15.16	\$ 7.26	\$ 1.92	\$ 24.34
400w MH Flood OL2	\$ 8.81	\$ 6.26	\$ 1.39	\$ 16.46	\$ 10.12	\$ 7.19	\$ 1.60	\$ 18.91	\$ 10.29	\$ 7.26	\$ 1.88	\$ 19.43
10' Alum Deco Base-OL2	\$ 13.50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13.50	\$ 15.51	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15.51	\$ 15.77	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15.77
13' Decorative Concrete-OL2	\$ 10.36	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10.36	\$ 11.90	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11.90	\$ 12.01	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12.01
18' Fiberglass Round-OL2	\$ 6.86	\$ -	S -	\$ 6.86	\$ 7.88	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7.88	\$ 8.48	\$ -	S -	\$ 8.48
20' Decorative Concrete-OL2	\$ 11.75	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11.75	\$ 13.50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13.50	\$ 13.59	\$ -	S -	\$ 13.59
30' Wood Pole Std-OL2	\$ 3.95	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.95	\$ 4.54	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4.54	\$ 4.55	\$ -	S -	\$ 4.55
35' Concrete Square-OL2	\$ 11.45	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11.45	\$ 13.16	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13.16	S 13.44	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13.44
40' Wood Pole Std - OL2	\$ 7.85	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7.85	\$ 9.02	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9.02	\$ 9.10	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9.10
30' Wood pole	\$ 3.53	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.53	\$ 4.06	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4.06	\$ 4.09	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4.09
175w MV Cobra Head - SI.1-2	\$ 0.67	\$ 2.71	\$ 1.23	\$ 4.61	\$ 0.77	\$ 3.11	\$ 1.41	\$ 5.29	S 1.19	\$ 3.15	\$ 1.04	\$ 5.38
400w MV Cobra Head - SL1-3	\$ 1.13	\$ 5.81	\$ 1.40	\$ 8.34	\$ 1.30	\$ 6.68	\$ 1.61	\$ 9.59	\$ 1.31	\$ 6.74	\$ 1.12	\$ 9.17
175w MV Cobra Head -SL2	\$ 0.67	\$ 2.71	\$ 1.23	\$ 4.61	\$ 0.77	\$ 3.11	\$ 1.41	\$ 5.29	\$ 1.19	\$ 3.15	\$ 1.04	\$ 5.38

		PRESEN'	FRATES			INTERIM	I RATES			PROPOSE	D RATES	
	Facility	Energy	Maint	Total	Facility	Energy	Maint	Total	Facility	Energy	Maint	Total
	<b>Charge</b>	Charge	<u>Charge</u>	Charge	Charge	Charge						
400w MV Cobra Head -SL2	\$ 1.13	\$ 5.81	\$ 1.40	\$ 8.34	\$ 1.30	\$ 6.68	\$ 1.61	\$ 9.59	\$ 1.31	\$ 6.74	\$ 1.12	\$ 9.17
1000w MH Flood -SL3	\$ 11.09	\$ 15.61	\$ 6.79	\$ 33.49	\$ 12.74	\$ 17.94	\$ 7.80	\$ 38.48	\$ 17.51	S 18.09	\$ 2.48	\$ 38.08
100w HPS Amer -SL3	\$ 5.99	\$ 1.58	\$ 3.80	\$ 11.37	\$ 6.88	\$ 1.82	\$ 4.37	\$ 13.07	\$ 8.23	\$ 1.83	\$ 2.78	\$ 12.84
100w HPS Cobra Head- SL3	S 4.37	\$ 1.58	S 2.78	\$ 8.73	\$ 5.02	\$ 1.82	\$ 3.19	\$ 10.03	\$ 6.34	\$ 1.83	\$ 1.88	\$ 10.05
150w HPS Acom -SL3	S 10.47	\$ 2.34	\$ 6.15	\$ 18.96	\$ 12.03	\$ 2.69	\$ 7.07	\$ 21.79	\$ 16.72	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.12	\$ 21.55
150w HPS Amer Rev -SL3	\$ 5.85	\$ 2.34	\$ 4.28	\$ 12.47	\$ 6.72	\$ 2.69	\$ 4.92	\$ 14.33	\$ 7.70	\$ 2.71	\$ 3.79	\$ 14.20
175w MH ALN 440 -SL3	\$ 22.36	\$ 2.75	\$ 1.71	\$ 26.82	\$ 25.69	\$ 3.16	\$ 1.96	\$ 30.81	\$ 25.73	\$ 3.19	\$ 2.22	\$ 31.14
200w HPS Cobra Head -SL3	S 5.61	\$ 3.13	\$ 2.88	\$ 11.62	\$ 6.45	\$ 3.60	\$ 3.31	\$ 13.36	\$ 8.31	\$ 3.63	\$ 2.14	\$ 14.08
250w HPS Cobra Head -SL3	\$ 5.38	\$ 3.88	\$ 3.94	\$ 13.20	\$ 6.18	\$ 4.46	\$ 4.53	\$ 15.17	\$ 9.07	\$ 4.50	\$ 3.36	\$ 16.93
250w HPS Flood - SL3	\$ 9.22	\$ 3.88	\$ 5.38	\$ 18.48	\$ 10.59	\$ 4.46	\$ 6.18	\$ 21.23	\$ 9.98	S 4.50	\$ 2.05	\$ 16.53
400w HPS Cobra Head -SL3	\$ 6.28	\$ 6.26	\$ 4.41	\$ 16.95	\$ 7.22	\$ 7.19	\$ 5.07	\$ 19.48	\$ 9.21	\$ 7.26	\$ 2.35	\$ 18.82
400w MH Flood -SI.3	\$ 9.63	\$ 6.26	\$ 11.58	\$ 27.47	\$ 11.07	\$ 7.19	\$ 13.31	\$ 31.57	\$ 10.29	S 7.26	\$ 1.88	\$ 19.43
10' Alum Deco Base-SL3	\$ 14.92	\$ -	S -	\$ 14.92	\$ 17.14	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 17.14	\$ 15.77	S -	\$ -	\$ 15.77
13' Deco Concrete - SL3	\$ 10.35	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10.35	\$ 11.89	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11.89	\$ 12.01	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12.01
18' Fiberglass Round-SL3	\$ 7.64	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7.64	\$ 8.78	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8.78	\$ 8.48	S -	\$ -	\$ 8.48
20' Decorative Concrete-SL3	\$ 11.45	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11.45	\$ 13.16	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13.16	\$ 13.59	S -	\$ -	\$ 13.59
30' Wood Pole Std - SL3	\$ 3.67	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3.67	\$ 4.22	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4.22	\$ 4.55	S -	\$ -	\$ 4.55
35' Concrete Square-SL3	\$ 12.81	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12.81	\$ 14.72	S -	\$ -	\$ 14.72	\$ 13.44	S -	\$ -	\$ 13.44

<sup>\*</sup>Outdoor lighting and Street lighting will be combined under one Lighting Service in proposed rates.

ALL ENTRY-POINTS, ALL BATCHES, PIECE-ID: 001, ALL PRESORT GROUPS	NTN 16337 A1 USPS
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE POSTAGE STATEMENT STANDARD MAIL	: POST OPPICE: NOTE MAIL ARRIVAL DATE & TIME : (DO NOT ROUND-STAMP)
PERMIT HOLDER'S NAME AND : TELEPHONE : NAME AND ADDRESS OF ADDRESS AND EMAIL ADDRESS (321) 725-80% : KALLING AORNI (FF IP ANY COTTER THAN PERMIT DATA MANAGEMENT	
3225 JORDAN BLVD : MIN ADVERTISING II MALABAR PL 32950-4524 : 1355 S PATRICK DR CAPS CUST. REP. NO.	ÎVB : ATTNÎ COMMUNICATIONS GROUP: 2937 : 401 SOUTH DIKIR HIGHNAY : MEST PALU BÊACH PL 33401
CRID  CRID  POST OFFICE OF MALLING MELBOURNE FL 32901  CRID  CRID  CRID  CRID  CRID  CRID  CRID	CRID  PED. AGCY. COST CODE: SIMI, SEQ. NO.: NO. AND TYPE OF CONTAINERS  SACKS
TYPE OF POSTAGE : PROCESSING CATEGORY : MEIGHT OF A STNGLE : PIECE	COMBINED MAILING: TOTAL PIECES: 53 2 FT LETTER TRAYS: : : MIXED CLASS: 15,555: ENK LETTER TRAYS
: : HETERED : : : PARCELS-HACHINABLE : FOR HAIL ENCLOSED	WITHIN ANOTHER CLASS :
: : PARCELS-IRREGULAR :: : PERIODICALS : : :CHK :: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	: LIBRARY MAIL: ATTER : MEDIA MAIL : TOTAL MEIGET A PRODUCT SAMPLE : 651.7545: SAMPLES :
PERHIT # 124	\$ ;
CODING	: POR CARRIER ROUTE PIECES, : FOR PIECES BEARING A SIMPLIFIED : ENTER DATE OF CARRIER ROUTE : ADDRESS ENTER DATE OF DELIVERY : SEQUENCING : STATISTICS FILE OR ALTERNATIVE : 6/16/2014 : METHOD
MOVE UPDATE WETHOD: : : ANCILLARY SERVICE ENDORSEMENT :X: NCOALI	: ! N/A ALTERNATIVE ADDRESS PORMAT  ** : ACS : : ALTERNATIVE METEOD : : MULTIPLE : : ONECODE ACS
THIS IS A POLITICAL MAILING : :YES :X:NO   THIS IS OFFICIAL EDVD/CD OR OTHER DISK.	LECTION HAIL : :YES :X:NO   : : LETTER-SIZE OR PLAT WAILPIECE CONTAINS
PARTS COMPLETED (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY) : X:A :X:B :X:C : (1)	:D :E ::P ::G ::E ::L ::N:::IN::NSA
PRICE AT WHICH POCTAGE APPLYED COMPLETE IF THE WALLING THOUSING	P DIFFER RESPING WETFERN (SC BACTICE AN
PRECANCELED STAMPS.  (2) :: CORRECT :: LOWEST :: NEITEBR (CHECK ONS) :  (3) INCENTIVE/DISCOUNT PLAT DOLLAR AMOUNT	FCS. X = POSTAGE AFFIXED :
(4) FEE FLAT DOLLAR AMOUNT	
***************************************	NET POSTAGE DUE (LINE 1 +/- LINES 2, 3, 4) 3,594.08
FOR POSTAGE APPIXED, ADD ADDITIONAL PAYMENTS TO NET POSTAGE DIFFER PERMIT INPRINT ADD ADDITIONAL PAYMENT TO TOTAL POSTAGE.	JE; TOTAL ADJUSTED POSTAGE AFFIXED :
POSTHASTER: REPORT TOTAL POSTAGE IN AIC 130 (PERMIT IMPRIMT ONLY)	TOTAL ADJUSTED POSTAGE PERMIT 1MPRINT
POSTHASTER: REPORT TOTAL POSTAGE IN AIC 208 (SIMPLIFIED ADDRESSING (EDDM), PERMIT IMPRIMI ONLY) TOTAL	ADJUSTED POSTAGE SIMPLIFIED ADDRESSING (EDDM)
INCENTIVE DISCOUNT CLAIMED: THE MAILER'S SIGNATURE CERTIFIES ACCEPTANCE OF LIABILITY FOR MAILING, SUBJECT TO APPEAL. IF AN AGENT SIGNS THIS FORM, THE	PEE TYPE:  AGENT CERTIFIES TENT BE OR SHE IS AUTHORIZED TO SIGN ON BEEALP OF
THE MALLER AND THAT THE MALLER IS BOUND BY THE CERTIFICATION; LIABLE FOR ANY DEFICIENCIES RESULTING FROM MATTERS MITHIN THE CERTIFIES THAT ALL INFORMATION FURNISHED ON THIS FORM IS ACCU DOCUMENTATION COMPLY NITH ALL POSTAL STANDARDS AND THE MALLIN MAILING DORS NOT CONTAIN ANY MATTER PROBLETTED BY LAW OR POST; MISLERADING INFORMATION ON THIS FORM OF MISS INFORMATION.	FEE TYPE:  AND AGREEMENT TO PAY ANY REVENUE DEPICIENCIES ASSESSED ON THIS AGENT CERTIFIES THAT HE OR SHE IS AUTHORIZED TO SIGN ON BEHALF OF AND AGREES TO PAY ANY DEFICIENCIES. IN ADDITION, AGENTS HAY BE IR RESPONSIBILITY, KNOWLEDGE, OR CONTROL. THE MAILER HEREBY ALTE, TRUTHFUL, AND COMPLETE; THAT THE MAIL AND THE SUPFORTING J QUALIFIES FOR THE PRICES AND FREE CLAIMED, AND THAT THE AL REGULATION. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANYONE WED FURNISHES FALSE OR REQUESTED ON THIS FORM MAY BE SUBJECT TO CRIMINAL AND/OR CIVIL
PENALTIES, INCLUDING FINES AND IMPRISONMENT. PRIVACY NOTICE	TO THE CONTROL OF THE
SIGNATURE OF MAILER OR AGENT  SIGNATURE OF MAILER OR AGENT  SIGNATURE OF MAILER OR AGENT  DATA MANA  ARE POSTAGE  HAILER'S ENT	OP NAILER OR AGENT SIGNING FORM : TRLEPHONE BEMENTYRON BALL : (371) 725-6081
WEIGHT OF A SINGLE PIECE : ARE POSTAGE : HALLER'S ENTI	PIGURES AT LEPT ADJUSTED PROK : : YES : : NO NIES? IF "YES" STATE REASON:
TOTAL PIECES : TOTAL MEIGHT	
TOTAL POSTAGE	
PRESORT VERIFICATION PERFORMED? (IF REQUIRED): :: YES :: NO	: ROUND STAMP : (REQUIRED) : PAYMENT DATE
I CERTIFY THAT THIS MAILING HAS BEEN INSPECTED : FOR EACH ITEM BELOW IF REQUIRED; [1] ELIGIBILITY FOR POSTAGE PRICES CLAIMED;	FAIRENI DATA
(3) PROPER COMPLETION OF POSTAGE STATEMENT; DATE MAILER!	OTIFIED : CONTACT : BY (INITIALS) :
USPS EMPLOYEE'S SIGNATURE : PRINT USPS EM	PLOYEE'S NAME TIME AM
PS FORM 3602-R, JANUARY 2014 PACSINILE, MAILSTREAM PLUS R08.2M00	: PN : :

PS PORM J602-R, JANUARY 2014
PACSINILE, MAILSTREAM PLUS R08.2M00

PH

THIS FORM AND MAILING STANDARDS ARE AVAILABLE ON POSTAL EXPLORER AT PRIUSPS.COM

# Florida Public Utilities (FPU) Co. Proposed Electric Service rate increase.

8/16/14

There is a Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) Hearing on Aug. 20, 2014 at 5 pm at the Atlantic Recreation Center on a proposed FPU electric rate increase. I suggest customers of FPU read the below analysis of that proposed rate increase and that they attend the 8/20/14 PSC Public Hearing to have their voice heard.

# Interim Residential Rate Increase – effective 8/9/14:

The new FPU interim Energy Rate of \$.02224/kwh is 14% higher than the present Energy Rate (\$22.24/\$19.58= 1.136). This has already been approved by the PSC.

# Proposed Residential Rate Increase - effective Jan. 2015:

The Proposed Customer Charge is \$16.00/mo. That is a  $\underline{33\%}$  increase. (\$16/\$12 = 1.33) This charge is incurred even if you use zero electricity. The Proposed Energy Usage charge is is an  $\underline{11\%}$  increase (\$.02170/\$.01958) for usage less than 1000kwh/mo. and is a  $\underline{75\%}$  increase (\$.03420/\$0.1958) for usage over 1000kwh/mo.

I have been told the proposed higher rate for the energy charge over 1000kwh/mo. is a new charge. This new charge results in a 75% increase, over using the current energy charge, for usage greater than 1000kwh/mo.. This new charge is intended to motivate conservation.

Residential Bill for 1000kwh/mo. customer:

	Current	Proposed	Increase %
Customer Charge	\$ 12.00	\$ 16.00	33%
<b>Energy Charge</b>	\$ 19.58	\$ 21.70	<u>11%</u>
FPU Svc Charges	\$ 31.58	\$ 37.70	19% FPU Rate Increase Proposal
Fuel Charges	\$ 89.75	\$ 89.75	0% To be increased at another time
Total Bill	\$121.33	\$127.45	5% PSC communicated rate increase

Residential Bill for a 2000kwh/mo. Customer:

	Current	Proposed	Increase %
rge	\$ 12.00	\$ 16.00	33%
e 1	\$ 19.58	\$ 21.70	11% (1 <sup>st</sup> 1000 kwh)
e 2	\$ 19.58	\$ 34.20	75% (2 <sup>nd</sup> 1000 kwh – new charge)
rges	\$ 51.16	\$ 71.90	40% FPU Rate Increase Proposal
1	\$ 89.75	\$ 89.75	0% To be increased at another time
2	\$102.25	\$102.25	0% To be increased at another time
	\$243.10	\$263.90	9%
	e 1 e 2 rges	rge \$ 12.00 e 1 \$ 19.58 e 2 \$ 19.58 rges \$ 51.16 1 \$ 89.75 2 \$102.25	rge \$ 12.00 \$ 16.00 e 1 \$ 19.58 \$ 21.70 e 2 \$ 19.58 \$ 34.20 rges \$ 51.16 \$ 71.90  1 \$ 89.75 \$ 89.75 2 \$ 102.25 \$ \$102.25

The FPU is asking for rate increases that amount to 19% for a 1000kwh/mo. customer usage and 40% for a 2000kwh.mo. customer usage, on the FPU service part of the total bill. In addition, we can expect future increases on the fuel portion of the bill. The PSC communicated rate increase of 5% (4.6%) on the total bill for a 1000kwh/mo. customer is a misleading representation of the proposed FPU rate increase.

# **Business Customer proposed rate increase:**

The business rates are broken down into small, medium and large customers.

Small Business proposed rates: Customer charge up 33% and Energy charge up 34% Medium Business proposed rates: Customer charge up 25% and Energy charge up 68%. Large Business proposed rates: Customer charge up 33% and Energy charge up 50%.

# **FPU Investment improvements**

The first example of improvements made by FPU for the community is the replacement of smaller wood transmission line poles by much larger cement poles. As a resident of Amelia Island, and a customer of FPU, I do not consider the replacement of the smaller wood poles by much larger (pole volume looks 3 to 4 times the size) cement transmission poles an improvement. Amelia Island is a special and beautiful place. It is an island whose economy relies on tourists, resorts, a beach rentals and a retirement housing market. That requires Amelia Island to be a beautiful place to live and to visit. I believe the FPU new very large cement poles are an eye-sore along the island's main road, A1A that is used daily by all on Amelia Island and by tourists.

The south-end of Amelia Island (Plantation and Summer Beach) has underground facilities and wonderful tree canopies. Those properties are among the highest value for ownership, rentals and resorts. If we have underground facilities for the Plantation and Summer Beach areas of Amelia Island then why not a long range plan for the whole island? In 2011 a Fernandina Beach City Committee recommended that all future facility hardening projects be done with underground facilities rather than with above ground investments. I am sure that the majority of Amelia Island residents, and key stakeholders, agree with that recommendation. An FPU representative was quoted in the News Leader (8/13/14) as saying that 55% of Amelia Island facilities are currently underground.

I do not feel the above FPU very significant proposed rate increases have been justified. I do not feel the improvement plans of FPU have included the desires of the community for underground facilities rather than overhead facilities.

# **Recommendations:**

I propose the Florida PSC:

- 1. Deny the very high Jan. 2015 FPU proposed rate increases.
- 2. Request FPU to develop a more reasonable rate increase proposal.
- 3. Require FPU to update their 2008 storm hardening plan to utilize underground facilities on Amelia Island, instead of more very large cement poles.
- 4. Continue the 8/9/14 FPU interim residential energy rate increase of 14% until 12/31/14 (5 months) at which time this interim rate will revert back to the prior energy rate.

# Reasonable Rate Increase:

What is a reasonable rate increase? When I received the PSC letter on the proposed rate increase implying rates were going to be increased 4.62%, and the same 4.62% increase was reported in the Fernandina Beach News Leader on 8/13/14, it seemed reasonable although higher than inflation.

I think a reasonable increase would be 4.62% applied to the rates actually under consideration. The FPU rates being considered for increase could be allowed an increase of up to 4.62%.

The new energy charge for residential customers, a 75% increase for all energy usage above 1000kwh/mo., should be eliminated since 75% increase is an unreasonable rate increase. Proposing a 75% rate increase to force conservation is also inconsistent with FPU claiming they need a rate increase because revenues are declining. If FPU revenues are declining apparently people are already cutting back on their electrical energy use and do not need a 75% rate increase to force them to do so.

Phillip Scanlan 1832 Village Ct.

Amelia Island, FL 32034

phillipscanlan@comcast.net

Home: 904-491-8852 Cell: 904-206-3334