From: Ruth McHargue

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2016 4:11 PM

To: Consumer Correspondence

Cc: Diane Hood

Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 160021

Attachments: FW PSC Contact Form; FPL request re gas plants and rates, hearing for 11-29-16; FW

PSC Contact Form

Customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood

Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2016 3:48 PM

To: Ruth McHargue

Subject: To CLK Docket 160021

Copies on file. DHood

From: mary turpen <maturpen1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2016 4:50 PM

To: Consumer Contact

Subject: FPL request re gas plants and rates, hearing for 11-29-16

Please do not approve this request. I have questions about necessity. I have already seen the legislature override the PSC rules on this with the biomass plant in Gainesville, so not sure if the rule you use is just meaningless words now or not.

The rates are high enough. Not all of us are retired northerners with comfortable incomes.

Let the investors who benefit from an increasing quarterly rate of return, actually invest some capital in the company from which they profit.

Citizens of the state only have the choice to not use power as we have no competition between companies to keep rates and services competitive. What we have are you all and a Rule that I've already seen overridden and ignored. Not even spun. Just ignored.

Furthermore, the plants release at lest 2 toxic substances, one of which I seem to remember is methane. These are highly injurious to people with existing respiratory impairments, not to mention general health. 4% or whatever the amount is adds up when you live in the area or the drift. The effects on plants, wildlife are negative.

The space required means further destruction of natural habitat, trees, damage to water, etc. Despite what seems to be the corporate view, these "unused" spaces serve necessary functions.

Are these plants even producing for the areas where they will be located? If you must build them, put them next to the people they serve and maybe the people who profit from them, although I doubt they are in state primarily.

Vote no, please. Serve the people.

M.A. Turpen Micanopy, Fl. 32667.

From: Benjamin Legaspi

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2016 1:56 PM

To: Consumer Contact **Subject:** FW: PSC Contact Form

----Original Message-----

From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [mailto:contact@psc.state.fl.us]

Sent: Monday, November 28, 2016 11:37 AM

To: Webmaster

Cc: <u>jvanleer@rsmas.miami.edu</u> Subject: PSC Contact Form

Contact from a Web user

Contact Information: Name: John Van Leer

Company: University of Miami Primary Phone: (305) 215-2347 Secondary Phone: (305) 421-4044 Email: jvanleer@rsmas.miami.edu

Response requested? Yes

CC Sent? Yes

Comments:

As a resident who lives near sea level, I experience blue sky flooding due to accelerating sea level rise. I wish to express my opposition to building new fossil fuel plants in FPL's service area. I also have had a 6kW Solar PV array fpr the last 5 years, which supplies my home and feeds excess power into the grid, by net metering. If regulatory climate were changed to encourage mass adoption of solar energy, Florida could be doing its part to slow sea level rise, so we can continue to live in the most beautiful coastal state. Adopting a plan which accelerates fossil fuel burning will shorten my residency in Miami, and be a contributing factor in my selling off my coastal home. I sit on the 4 County Climate Compact Committee on Sea Level Rise which indicates accelerating flooding in our near term future, enhanced by a slowing of the Gulf Stream.

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Benjamin Legaspi Tuesday, November 29, 2016 8:52 AM Consumer Contact FW: PSC Contact Form
Original Message From: contact@psc.state.fl.us [ms] Sent: Monday, November 28, 201 To: Webmaster Subject: PSC Contact Form	
Contact from a Web user	
Contact Information: Name: James Freeman Company: Primary Phone: (239) 908-1543 Secondary Phone: (239) 908-1543 Email: jvfreeman@live.com	}
Response requested? No CC Sent? No	
Comments: Dear folks,	
I oppose FPL's rate hike request for gas-burning power plants that are unnecessary. I am particularly concerned that the new billion-dollar expenditures on gas plants in FPL's rate increase proposal will needlessly expose me and my fellow Floridians to more expensive electricity now and in the future. I want FPL to invest in affordable solar energy instead.	
P.S. The gas plants are clearly a boondoggle, and the fracking that provides the natural gas used to fuel them is the most destructive force ever used to damage the planet, destabilizing and even liquifying miles of bedrock, releasing poisons like strontium and uranium and putting us at risk for earthquakes where there had been none before. I don't care how bad renewable energy would jeopardize fossil fuel jobs and the whole economy because I'm already at the economic bottom thanks to slash&burn greedy Wall St., but I do care about conservation and protecting the planet.	
Thank you,	
Jim Freeman Estero, Fl.	