

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

BEFORE THE
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

DOCKET NO. 20190072-EI

PETITION FOR A LIMITED
PROCEEDING TO APPROVE SECOND
SOLAR BASE RATE ADJUSTMENT,
BY DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA,
LLC.

_____ /

PROCEEDINGS: PREHEARING CONFERENCE

COMMISSIONERS
PARTICIPATING: DONALD J. POLMANN
PREHEARING OFFICER

DATE: Wednesday, June 26, 2019

TIME: Commenced: 9:30 a.m.
Concluded: 10:55 a.m.

PLACE: Betty Easley Conference Center
Room 148
4075 Esplanade Way
Tallahassee, Florida

REPORTED BY: DEBRA R. KRICK
Court Reporter and
Notary Public in and for
the State of Florida at Large

PREMIER REPORTING
114 W. 5TH AVENUE
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
(850) 894-0828

1 APPEARANCES:

2 DIANNE M. TRIPLETT, ESQUIRE, 299 First Avenue
3 North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701; and MATTHEW R.
4 BERNIER, ESQUIRE, 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800,
5 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740, appearing on behalf of
6 Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

7 J.R. KELLY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; CHARLES REHWINKEL,
8 DEPUTY PUBLIC COUNSEL; Office of Public Counsel, c/o the
9 Florida Legislature, 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812,
10 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of
11 the Citizens of the State of Florida.

12 JAMES W. BREW, ESQUIRE and LAURA A. WYNN,
13 ESQUIRE, Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC, 1025
14 Thomas Jefferson Street, Northwest, Eighth Floor, West
15 Tower, Washington, DC, 20007, appearing on behalf of
16 White Spring Agricultural Chemicals, Inc., d/b/a PCS
17 Phosphate - White Springs.

18 JON C. MOYLE, JR., ESQUIRE, KAREN A. PUTNAL,
19 and IAN E. WALDICK, ESQUIRES, Moyle Law Firm, P.A., 118
20 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301,
21 appearing on behalf of Florida Industrial Power Users
22 Group.

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

2 JENNIFER CRAWFORD, KURT SCHRADER, ESQUIRES,
3 FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak
4 Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on
5 behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

6 KEITH HETRICK GENERAL COUNSEL; MARY ANNE
7 HELTON, ESQUIRE, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540
8 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850,
9 adviser to the Florida Public Service Commission.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: This is the prehearing
3 conference for Duke Energy Florida, LLC, proceeding
4 to approve second SoBRA adjustment.

5 Good morning, everyone. Today is June 26th,
6 2019. It is 9:37, and I will now call this
7 prehearing conference to order.

8 Staff. Please read the notice.

9 MR. SCHRADER: By notice issued June 11th,
10 2019, this time and place was set for a prehearing
11 conference in Docket No. 20190072-EI. The purpose
12 of the prehearing was set out more fully in the
13 notice.

14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
15 Schrader.

16 We will now take appearances.

17 MR. BERNIER: Good morning, Commissioner.
18 Matt Bernier for Duke Energy. I would also like to
19 enter an appearance for Dianne Triplett.

20 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

21 MR. BERNIER: Thank you.

22 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: FIPUG.

23 MR. WALDICK: Hi, Ian Waldick with the Moyle
24 Law Firm, representing the Florida Industrial Power
25 Users Group. I would also like to enter

1 appearances for Jon C. Moyle and Karen A. Putnal.

2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

3 PCS.

4 MR. BREW: Good morning, Commissioner. For
5 White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, PCS
6 Phosphate, I am James Brew, and I would like to
7 note an appearance for Laura Wynn.

8 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

9 MR. REHWINKEL: Good morning, Commissioner.
10 My name is Charles Rehwinkel, Deputy Public
11 Counsel, on behalf of the customers of Duke. And I
12 would also like to enter an appearance for J.R.
13 Kelly, the Public Counsel.

14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, sir.

15 Staff, are there any preliminary matters that
16 we need to address at this time?

17 MR. SCHRADER: We need to finish appearances
18 first of staff.

19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I did overlook that.
20 Thank you.

21 MR. SCHRADER: Kurt Schrader and Jennifer
22 Crawford for staff.

23 MR. HETRICK: Keith Hetrick, your General
24 Counsel.

25 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Do we need to recognize

1 appearances for anyone else since I am already
2 making errors?

3 MR. SCHRADER: I think we're --

4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
5 Schrader.

6 MR. SCHRADER: Certainly, Commissioner.

7 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Are there any
8 preliminary matters --

9 MR. SCHRADER: Not at this time, sir.

10 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: -- other than
11 correcting the prehearing officer?

12 MR. SCHRADER: My apologies. Not at this
13 time, sir.

14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: No, I thank you
15 sincerely. That is part of your job. You are
16 doing very well so far.

17 Does any other party have any preliminary
18 matters, or shall we proceed? We are all in
19 agreement. Let's move on.

20 We are going to look at the draft prehearing
21 order, and I will go through section by section. I
22 want the parties to let me know if there are any
23 corrections or changes that should be made to the
24 draft prehearing order, and we will do this as
25 quickly as we can, and -- or as slowly as you would

1 like, because I have all morning.

2 Let's see, I think we will go immediately to
3 page two, case background. Any corrections,
4 changes, from any of the parties? Seeing none.

5 We will move to Section II, conduct of the
6 proceedings. No changes.

7 Section III, jurisdiction. I see no changes.

8 Section IV, procedure for handling
9 confidential information, Mr. Schrader.

10 MR. SCHRADER: Staff will note that when
11 confidential information is used in the hearing,
12 parties must have copies for the Commissioners,
13 necessary staff and the court reporter in red
14 envelopes clearly marked with the nature of the
15 contents.

16 Any party wishing to examine the confidential
17 material that is not subject to an order granting
18 confidentiality shall be provided a copy in the
19 same fashion as provided to the Commissioners
20 subject to the execution of any appropriate
21 protective agreement with the owner of the
22 material.

23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Any questions or
24 comments on that? Okay.

25 Section V.

1 MR. SCHRADER: Staff suggests that witness
2 testimony be no longer than three minutes --
3 witness summary testimony.

4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So are the
5 parties clear on the three minutes for the witness
6 summaries? Any concern, any questions, objections
7 to that? I see none.

8 Thank you, staff.

9 So we conclude, witnesses shall be given up to
10 three minutes to present their summaries at the
11 hearing. All right. Very good. Thank you.

12 Section VI, we have order of witnesses, Mr.
13 Schrader. We have --

14 MR. SCHRADER: We have three direct witnesses,
15 Matthew G. Stout, Thomas G. Foster and Benjamin
16 M.H. Borsch.

17 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Do we have any
18 witnesses that can be stipulated, or is there
19 discussion on that that we've held?

20 MR. SCHRADER: There are no stipulations of
21 witness testimony at this time, but the parties may
22 stipulate before the prehearing. Staff will
23 confirm with the Commissioner that any identified
24 witness can be excused. And if the Commissioners
25 don't have any questions of these witnesses, the

1 witnesses may be excused from the hearing, and his
2 or her testimony and exhibits entered into the
3 record as -- at the hearing as though read.

4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Everybody understands
5 where we are with that, right?

6 So there was a question on expertise of
7 witnesses that was raised, Mr. Schrader --

8 MR. SCHRADER: Yes, Commissioner.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: -- can you address
10 that, please?

11 MR. SCHRADER: FIPUG, in its prehearing
12 statement, has objected to, quote, "any expert
13 witness not designated as an expert and expressly
14 offered as an expert witness with the areas of
15 expertise identified."

16 Staff would note that FIPUG has not complied
17 with the requirements of the OEP in this matter
18 which is order number PSC-2019-0061-PCO-EI, Section
19 5 subpart (a) -- or Part A, subpart (8), parties
20 identify each witness to whom they object, and
21 state with specificity the portions of their
22 testimony by page and line number to which the
23 party objects.

24 Staff recommends that due to FIPUG's failure
25 to comply with the requirements of the OEP to

1 question the expertise of any witnesses that FIPUG
2 should not be allowed to voir dire any witnesses at
3 the July 9th final hearing.

4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Waldick,
5 representing FIPUG, I agree with the staff
6 explanation. I believe in recent years the OEP has
7 been clear on this issue and -- on the process for
8 objecting to expertise. And my position here is
9 that FIPUG will not be allowed to voir dire the
10 witnesses at the upcoming hearing.

11 So do you need any further clarification on
12 this? Is there any comment or --

13 MR. WALDICK: No, understood, Commissioner.

14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.

15 MR. WALDICK: Yeah.

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good. So we are
17 clear on that. We simply move forward.

18 MR. WALDICK: Yes, sir.

19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good.

20 MR. WALDICK: Yes, sir. Thank you.

21 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. Let's move
22 to Section VII. This is basic positions for each
23 of the parties.

24 We have a deadline here at -- what we are
25 looking for is any changes that can be identified

1 today, and we will go ahead and allow discussion or
2 comments on the basic positions for today. I will
3 look to staff here to confirm what your
4 requirements are for a deadline, but I understand
5 what we are looking at is close of business on
6 June 27, which is --

7 MR. SCHRADER: That would be correct, yes,
8 tomorrow --

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Tomorrow.

10 MR. SCHRADER: -- June 27th, by close of
11 business.

12 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: This is on basic
13 positions, so are there any changes that the
14 parties would care to identify today, basic
15 positions? Okay.

16 MR. REHWINKEL: We have none.

17 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So we have no -- no
18 changes that you will be offering on those, okay.

19 All right. Section VIII, issues and
20 positions. Staff, are there any contested issues?

21 MR. SCHRADER: No, Commissioner. However,
22 staff will note that for Issues 4 through 7 and 9,
23 OPC has taken no position at this time, with FIPUG
24 adopting OPC's position. In addition, PCS
25 Phosphate takes no position on Issues 2 through 10.

1 If the parties do not take a position on the
2 issues, per the OEP their position will be changed
3 to no position in the prehearing order.

4 Per the OEP, if a party fails to enter a
5 position on an issue by the time of the prehearing
6 conference, the party waives the opportunity to
7 conduct cross-examination on the issue as well as
8 file a post-hearing brief on that issue.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Any comments from the
10 parties? Are we all in agreement with this
11 understanding?

12 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, we are prepared to make
13 the proper amendments at this time as we go through
14 the issues.

15 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay, Mr. Brew?

16 MR. BREW: Yes, I was going to say the same
17 thing.

18 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay, Mr. Waldick, are
19 we prepared to move forward through the issues?

20 MR. WALDICK: Yes, sir.

21 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Bernier?

22 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir.

23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

24 Okay, we will take these in numerical order,
25 and I will simply identify the issue, and then we

1 will have an opportunity for each of the parties to
2 speak up. I will go in turn here from, starting to
3 my left with the utility and move down the row
4 here.

5 So Issue 1 -- I don't need to go ahead and
6 read the issue statement. Y'all have those. So
7 Issue 1, Mr. Bernier.

8 MR. BERNIER: We have no change.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: No change.

10 FIPUG?

11 MR. WALDICK: No change.

12 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And PCS
13 Phosphate?

14 MR. BREW: No changes to 1.

15 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Public Counsel, Issue
16 1?

17 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, we are going to
18 make a slight change to Issue 1. I will read it
19 for the record today, but all of the changes that I
20 read, I will email to the staff when I return to my
21 office today, so they had have it before the end of
22 the day.

23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Excellent, thank
24 you. Go ahead.

25 MR. REHWINKEL: But on Issue 1, after the word

1 "appears", where it starts off "it appears", I just
2 am going to add "on the face of the filing" after
3 the word "appears". So it will read: "It appears
4 on the face of the filing, these costs are less
5 than or equal to," et cetera.

6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And as you
7 indicated, you will send that in writing. Thank
8 you.

9 Okay, so that covers Issue 1. Any other
10 comments on Issue 1?

11 Issue 2, Mr. Bernier?

12 MR. BERNIER: We have no change.

13 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: No change.

14 Mr. Waldick?

15 MR. WALDICK: No change.

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Brew?

17 MR. BREW: Commissioner, PCS is going to
18 change its position on Issues 2 and 3 to read:
19 "Adopts position of the Public Counsel."

20 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Rehwinkel?

21 MR. REHWINKEL: No change to our position.

22 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Any other comments on
23 Issue 2?

24 Issue 3, Mr. Bernier?

25 MR. BERNIER: No change.

1 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Waldick?

2 MR. WALDICK: No change.

3 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And, Mr. Brew, you
4 indicated Issue 3. You want to restate that,
5 please?

6 MR. BREW: Adopts the position of the Public
7 Counsel.

8 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

9 And, Mr. Rehwinkel?

10 MR. REHWINKEL: No change to ours.

11 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Any other comments on
12 Issue 3?

13 Issue 4?

14 MR. BERNIER: We have no change.

15 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: No change for DEF.

16 Issue 4, Mr. Waldick?

17 MR. WALDICK: No change for FIPUG.

18 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Brew, on Issue 4?

19 MR. BREW: On Issue 4, we are going to change
20 "no position at this time" to "no position".

21 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

22 Mr. Rehwinkel?

23 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, the Public
24 Counsel's position will -- should read now as
25 stated, "Duke has not demonstrated that the

1 projects have met all the criteria of paragraph
2 15."

3 And I will submit that to the staff in writing
4 today.

5 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So Public
6 Counsel has a change on Issue 4, and you will
7 provide that. Thank you, sir.

8 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, sir.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Any other comments on
10 Issue 4?

11 Moving to Issue 5. Mr. Bernier?

12 MR. BERNIER: No change, Commissioner.

13 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

14 Mr. Waldick, Issue 5?

15 MR. WALDICK: No change, Commissioner.

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Brew, on Issue 5?

17 MR. BREW: Change "no position at this time"
18 to "no position", please.

19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

20 Mr. Rehwinkel, Issue 5?

21 MR. REHWINKEL: Our position will go to no
22 position.

23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

24 Any other comments on Issue 5?

25 Issue 6, Mr. Bernier?

1 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir, to change it up, I do
2 have a change.

3 At the end of our currently stated position,
4 DEF would like to add: "For clarity, DEF intends
5 to submit for administrative approval by September
6 1, 2019, revised tariff sheets to reflect both the
7 revenue requirements for the Trenton and Lake
8 Placid Solar projects and the multiyear base rate
9 increase approved in the 2017 second RRSSA."

10 And I believe that language has been provided
11 to staff.

12 MR. SCHRADER: That's correct. And the
13 parties as well.

14 MR. BERNIER: And the parties.

15 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So just to
16 repeat that, you have read that into the record and
17 you have already provided that in writing?

18 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir, that's correct.

19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good.

20 And all the parties have that, and we confirm
21 that. I am seeing nods here. Mr. Rehwinkel,
22 Public Counsel has it as well?

23 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, Commissioner.

24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes, thank you. All
25 right. So all the parties do have that.

1 Mr. Waldick?

2 MR. WALDICK: No change for FIPUG.

3 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And, Mr. Brew,
4 on Issue 6?

5 MR. BREW: Commissioner, on Issues 6 and 7,
6 PCS changes its position from "no position at this
7 time" to read "no information has been provided by
8 the petitioner."

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. I will look to
10 staff and see if that position is appropriate,
11 sufficient, or do you have another comment, please?

12 MS. CRAWFORD: I think one of the things I
13 would struggle with with that amended position is
14 what end result PCS Phosphate would therefore urge,
15 if you do believe that the information has not been
16 sufficiently provided, or has not been provided at
17 all.

18 MR. BREW: Well, the question is: What are
19 the appropriate base rates? The answer is: That
20 information has not been provided.

21 So if I am being asked to state what the rates
22 are, and there is nothing in the petition, I am
23 just indicating that I don't have that information.

24 MS. CRAWFORD: I think I am still struggling
25 with the, therefore, the Commission should or

1 should not approve any adjusted rates? I am not --
2 I don't want to put words in your mouth, but I
3 am -- again, I feel like there is still a bit of a
4 conclusion that's left off from the position.

5 MR. BREW: The position is: At this time,
6 there have been no base rates provided that the
7 Commission can approve.

8 Just to save some time on this, Commissioner,
9 it's my expectation that when we get to the
10 following issues, on 8 and 9, that the parties are
11 going to take some time to wordsmith this to
12 address the fact that Duke intends to file, in
13 September, both tariff for the SoBRA applications
14 combined with the multiyear rate increase. And at
15 this time, we don't have the billing determinants
16 necessary to calculate the rates, so the answer to
17 what are the appropriate base rates, my answer --
18 my position is that there are no base rates in the
19 record.

20 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: If I can interpret your
21 statement, Mr. Brew, I believe you are indicating
22 that you don't see in the record information that
23 allows PCS Phosphate to opine on the rates and --

24 MR. BREW: Commissioner, normally in a clause
25 proceeding, when we get to this question, there

1 will be a chart that will show the proposed base
2 rates, usually by rate class. We don't have that
3 here.

4 So while the question may be standard, we
5 don't have the information necessary to answer that
6 question. Duke has not offered a substantive
7 answer at this point. They have provided a
8 proposed procedural answer, which is provide that
9 information later.

10 I am okay with that process, but I am betwixt
11 and between on how to answer this question.

12 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I understand.

13 What we are struggling with procedurally here
14 is not --

15 MR. BREW: I guess the easiest way to put it,
16 Commissioner, would be my position would be that
17 the base rates cannot be determined at this time.

18 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I understand.

19 MS. CRAWFORD: I think it's --

20 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: The issue is the
21 phrasing "at this time". So let's allow the
22 discussion that you referenced a few minutes ago to
23 occur, and we will come back to how we resolve your
24 statement on Issue 6, whether that can be finalized
25 today or --

1 MR. BREW: Yes, sir. That's fine.

2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: -- you come forward
3 with something tomorrow that doesn't end up being
4 interpreted, misinterpreted as kind of an
5 open-ended.

6 MR. BREW: Right.

7 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So we will leave it at
8 that for the moment --

9 MR. BREW: Thank you.

10 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: -- but we will try to
11 address it by the close of this prehearing.

12 So let's move on to Public Counsel. Do you
13 have clarification or an edit on Issue No. 6?

14 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, on 6 and 7, I am
15 very confident that our position will change to
16 agree with PCS Phosphate, but we will anticipate in
17 the discussions that will ensue, and we will get it
18 resolved, but that will be our position.

19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. Mr.
20 Schrader, if you will make a note, please, that we
21 have outstanding item here for Public Counsel and
22 PCS Phosphate that we need to resolve on Issue No.
23 6?

24 MR. SCHRADER: Certainly.

25 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And, Mr. Waldick, your

1 position is to adopt whatever their position turns
2 out to be?

3 MR. WALDICK: Yes, Your Honor. And if their
4 position changes, then I would ask that I would be
5 able to run it by --

6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Of course.

7 MR. WALDICK: -- take it home and run it by
8 Jon Moyle before we submit something in writing
9 tomorrow.

10 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So your final
11 language may come tomorrow, but there is a deadline
12 for tomorrow, that's clear.

13 MR. WALDICK: Yes, sir. Understood.

14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. Let's just
15 leave Issue 6. I am not going to ask if there are
16 any other comments. We will move to Issue 7. Mr.
17 Bernier?

18 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir, I have a modification
19 to Issue 7 very similar to the modification to
20 Issue 6, and it reads -- at the end of the
21 currently stated position, it will read: "For
22 clarity, DEF intends to submit for administrative
23 approval by February 1, 2020, revised tariff sheets
24 to reflect the revenue requirements for the DeBary
25 Solar project and the Columbia project approved in

1 order number PSC-2019-0159-FOF-EI. Those tariff
2 sheets will include the items noted above in the
3 position to Issue 6."

4 And that has also been submitted in writing to
5 staff and the parties.

6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So similarly, I
7 will understand that the parties have that, and we
8 will move on.

9 Mr. Waldick, on Issue 7.

10 MR. WALDICK: 7, similarly as with Issue 6, we
11 don't change our position at this time, but FIPUG
12 asks that it be able to potentially change its
13 position if OPC changes its position by close of
14 business tomorrow.

15 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Well, I think
16 OPC is going to change their position, because no
17 position at this time is not a position.

18 So, Mr. Brew, are we in a similar circumstance
19 as on 7 as we were on 6?

20 MR. BREW: Yes, Commissioner. Our position on
21 7 will mirror what we come up with for 6.

22 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And Mr.
23 Rehwinkel?

24 MR. REHWINKEL: Likewise.

25 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Similar, all right. So

1 6 and 7 we will discuss perhaps later today.

2 Okay, 8 and 9, I understand -- let's come back
3 to those in just a minute.

4 Issue 10, Mr. Bernier, do you have anything
5 to -- any comments on Issue 10?

6 MR. BERNIER: No, sir. No changes.

7 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Waldick, Issue 10?

8 MR. WALDICK: No change.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Brew?

10 MR. BREW: No change.

11 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And, Mr. Rehwinkel?

12 MR. REHWINKEL: No change.

13 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good.

14 Let's hold the exhibit list and let me ask the
15 parties, how would you like to deal with Issues 8
16 and 9?

17 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, I will speak for
18 the group here.

19 I think -- we discussed with staff prior to
20 the start of the hearing that we would like a few
21 minutes to huddle with the company and the staff
22 and all parties to try to find a way to perhaps
23 adjust the wording of the issue and perhaps work on
24 some agreed position language, or some language
25 that would respond to the adjustment in the issues

1 that we heard from Duke on Issues --

2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good.

3 MR. REHWINKEL: -- 5 and 6. So I think we
4 probably just need 10 or 15 minutes to do that.

5 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. I am looking at
6 the clock in the back here, you want to break
7 until -- we can take until 10:15 or 10:20?

8 MR. REHWINKEL: That should be enough.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. Well, let's
10 give you adequate time, hopefully we will be
11 successful. Let's come back at 20 minutes past the
12 hour. I think that will be hopefully sufficient
13 time.

14 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you.

15 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. We will
16 recess here until 10:20.

17 (Brief recess.)

18 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. It took a little
19 bit longer than we had hoped, but sometimes we have
20 to do what we have to do.

21 So I -- we are back on the record. I
22 understand how the timing of the real events of
23 building projects and the project costs, the sales
24 forecasts, all of the things coming to the, they
25 complicate the ability of the company and the

1 parties to reach closure on their positions, and
2 extraordinary effort that's required in cases like
3 this to get all the ducks in a row.

4 So I appreciate the parties working
5 collectively with the company and staff to clarify
6 the issues, the issue statements, getting the words
7 hopefully to a place of better understanding. So
8 thank you for taking the time in the break. It
9 looked like everybody was working all together. I
10 have no idea what you talked about --

11 MR. BERNIER: I'm not sure I knew either.

12 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: -- but I didn't see any
13 heated arguments, so maybe you can bring me up to
14 date on where we are.

15 We have a number of issues we kind of left on
16 the table, 6, 7, 8, 9. I don't know if I should
17 let the staff or the company or the parties, so
18 maybe somebody give me a clue who wants to talk
19 first.

20 MR. HETRICK: Commissioner, I would be happy
21 to speak up.

22 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Please.

23 MR. HETRICK: So we met with the parties and
24 have some language changes for Issues 8 and 9,
25 which I think everyone agrees to. And after that,

1 we -- that impacted Issues 6 and 7, and we would
2 like to work our way back to Issues 6 and 7 after
3 we deal with Issues 8 and 9. So if we could, I
4 might start by looking at Issue 8.

5 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So if I
6 understand, what we will do is read something into
7 the record and then we will have revisions,
8 obviously, that will be documented in writing?

9 MS. CRAWFORD: Yes, sir. And for clarity,
10 once the prehearing has concluded, staff will
11 memorialize those changes, circulate them to the
12 parties to make sure they have been accurately
13 captured, and that will be what parties convey to
14 us any revised positions to the extent they need to
15 clarify them in writing.

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And we still
17 have -- we are going to have a coincident deadline
18 on turnaround for this for tomorrow?

19 MS. CRAWFORD: I think tomorrow by close of
20 businesses -- I am getting nods -- would still be
21 adequate. Certainly, if that turns out not to be
22 the case, we would urge parties to contact us as
23 quickly as possible.

24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.

25 MS. CRAWFORD: That seems realistic.

1 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So we will have
2 turnaround here pretty quick?

3 MS. CRAWFORD: Yes, sir. But we will go ahead
4 and just, on the record, try to hopefully correctly
5 encapsulate what we discussed.

6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. Understood.
7 Okay, Mr. Hetrick.

8 MR. HETRICK: Yeah, thank you, Commissioner.
9 And as I finish with Issue 8, I might ask Jennifer
10 to sort of explain that context, the reason why
11 we've changed it. The same thing, applies -- the
12 parties. And the same concept applies to Issue 9.

13 But Issue 8 is, we would change this issue,
14 the actual issue itself to read as follows:

15 "Should the Commission give staff
16 administrative authority to approve the specific
17 revenue requirements and billing determinants for
18 the Trenton and Lake Placid Solar projects to be
19 included in the revised tariffs to be filed by
20 September 1, 2019?"

21 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.

22 MR. REHWINKEL: The Public Counsel agrees with
23 that formulation of the issue.

24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.

25 MR. BREW: PCS is fine with the formulation of

1 the issue, and would revise its position on that
2 issue to be "no position".

3 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Any -- let's just get a
4 nod or a comment on the issue statement from each
5 of the parties. I understand from Mr. Brew and Mr.
6 Rehwinkel.

7 Mr. Waldick, are you okay with the issue
8 statement?

9 MR. WALDICK: Yes, sir. FIPUG is all right
10 with the restatement of the issue.

11 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And, Mr.
12 Bernier?

13 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir. Duke agrees as well.

14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. So then we
15 can wrap up on the positions. DEF and OPC had
16 written out positions here. Mr. Bernier, you have
17 a rewritten position that you want to address or
18 bring to us tomorrow?

19 MR. BERNIER: At this time, I think we are
20 good with the position as stated, but reserve the
21 right to make a modification by the close of
22 business tomorrow.

23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And, Mr.
24 Waldick, your position here is adopting the OPC
25 position?

1 MR. WALDICK: I believe that's going to be our
2 final position on this issue, but I would also like
3 to reserve the opportunity.

4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Of course.

5 MR. WALDICK: Sure.

6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And, Mr. Brew, you are
7 changing to no position?

8 MR. BREW: Yes, sir.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you.

10 Mr. Rehwinkel, you have a written statement
11 here as to the position. Do you wish to comment on
12 that now or reserve that to providing a written
13 statement tomorrow?

14 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, I think that our
15 position is going to be slightly modified.

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.

17 MR. REHWINKEL: Then it will say: Yes, comma,
18 the to will go to a little T to, and it will say:
19 To the extent the Commission finds that Duke has
20 successfully met the criteria in paragraph 15 of
21 the 2017 settlement agreement, and has found
22 affirmatively on Issues 1 through 5, period. And
23 then the rest of that will be stricken. But I will
24 provide a cleaned up version of that to staff
25 today.

1 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. We will look
2 forward to that.

3 Okay. Any other comments on Issue 8?
4 Anything from staff on Issue 8? Any further
5 remarks?

6 So staff will provide something out confirming
7 what we just discussed from your efforts. We have
8 some forthcoming cleanup work from the parties,
9 notwithstanding the simple statements that we've
10 heard on no position, and so forth. Mr. Bernier
11 will confirm and/or revise everything that we just
12 discussed. So I think we are done with Issue 8.

13 Moving on to Issue 9, Mr. Hetrick, do you have
14 something for us?

15 MR. HETRICK: Yes, sir.

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you. Go ahead.

17 MR. HETRICK: Issue 9 would be revised to read
18 as follows:

19 "Should the Commission give staff
20 administrative authority to approve the specific
21 revenue requirements and billing determinants for
22 the DeBary Solar project to be included in the
23 revised tariff to be filed by February 1, 2020?"

24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Bernier, you
25 understand and agree with that statement?

1 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir, we do.

2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Waldick?

3 MR. WALDICK: Yes, sir.

4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Brew, is
5 that your understanding?

6 MR. BREW: Yes, sir.

7 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Rehwinkel?

8 MR. REHWINKEL: Same, yes, sir.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good. So I think
10 we have clarity on statement for Issue No. 9.

11 Let's take a look at the position of the
12 company and the parties, Mr. Bernier.

13 MR. BERNIER: On Issue 9, the same position as
14 Issue 8, I think it will be the same, but we will
15 take a look --

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Thank you.

17 MR. BERNIER: -- by close of business
18 tomorrow.

19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Waldick.

20 MR. WALDICK: Same position as No. 8. We
21 believe it will be the same, but we would like the
22 opportunity to supplement that.

23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. I understand.

24 Mr. Brew?

25 MR. BREW: PCS's position will default down to

1 no position.

2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, sir.

3 And, Mr. Rehwinkel?

4 MR. REHWINKEL: It will be revised to be the
5 same as our position on Issue 8.

6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So you will
7 provide that to us. Thank you.

8 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Staff, anything else on
10 No. 9?

11 Okay. Any further comments, discussion on
12 Issue 9? Seeing none.

13 We have already addressed Issue 10 earlier
14 this morning. Let's go back to No. 6. Does staff
15 have any comments? General Counsel?

16 MR. HETRICK: Yes, sir.

17 Issues 6 and 7 can be revised accordingly
18 based upon the changes in Issues 8 and 9. Issue 6
19 actually coincides with Issue 8, and Issue 7
20 coincides with Issue 9. So we would propose some
21 minor wording changes to Issue 6 first.

22 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.

23 MR. HETRICK: And it would read as follows:

24 "Can the appropriate base rates needed to
25 collect the estimated annual revenue requirement

1 for the proposed Trenton and Lake Placid Solar
2 projects, projected to be effective in the first
3 billing cycle of January 2020, be calculated at
4 this time?"

5 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Let's check with
6 the parties.

7 Mr. Bernier, you are --

8 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir, we are good with that.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: You are good with that.
10 Mr. Waldick?

11 MR. HETRICK: If I might.

12 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I am sorry. Go ahead,
13 Mr. Hetrick.

14 MR. HETRICK: Matt, I thought you were going
15 to change the position slightly to say, no, DEF
16 cannot --

17 MR. BERNIER: I was. I thought he was going
18 to come back for the position --

19 MR. HETRICK: Okay.

20 MR. WALDICK: -- but I will make that
21 position.

22 MR. HETRICK: In the position. Okay. I
23 apologize.

24 MR. BERNIER: No problem.

25 MR. WALDICK: FIPUG is all right with the

1 restatement of the issue, Commissioner.

2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Brew?

3 MR. BREW: PCS is fine with the restatement.

4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And, Mr. Rehwinkel?

5 MR. REHWINKEL: And Public Counsel.

6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So we are clear,
7 we are good on the revision to the issue statement.
8 Let's go to the positions.

9 Mr. Bernier?

10 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir. DEF will revise its
11 position to add: "No, prior to our position as
12 stated previously." I think that will do it.

13 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Waldick?

14 MR. WALDICK: FIPUG's position will remain the
15 same.

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Brew?

17 MR. BREW: PCS's position will be simply "no".

18 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So we change
19 that to one word.

20 And, Mr. Rehwinkel?

21 MR. REHWINKEL: Commissioner, I gave some
22 language to Mr. Hetrick that I would ask him to
23 read. I didn't have a pen and pencil with me when
24 we worked it out.

25 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Hetrick, do

1 you have language for OPC? If you could read that,
2 please?

3 MR. HETRICK: Yes, sir.

4 I think, and correct me if I misstated this,
5 Charles, but I believe it was, "no, but staff can
6 administratively approve base rates pursuant to
7 Issue 8."

8 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So we have that
10 on the record, and we will capture that
11 accordingly.

12 Any other comments or discussion on Issue No.
13 6?

14 All right. We will go to Issue No. 7, Mr.
15 Hetrick.

16 MR. HETRICK: Yes, sir. It would be revised
17 Issue 7 as proposed -- as agreed upon by all the
18 parties is proposed to be revised as follows, and
19 staff agrees with this revision as well.

20 Issue 7 would read, instead of what's there,
21 it would read as follows:

22 "Can the appropriate base rates needed to
23 collect the estimated annual revenue requirement
24 for the proposed DeBary Solar project, projected to
25 be effective in the first billing cycle of

1 April 2020, be calculated at this time?"

2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. Rather than
3 go down the list, I will just ask if there is any
4 opposition? I see none.

5 Okay. Go to the positions on Issue 7, Mr.
6 Bernier?

7 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir. DEF would make the
8 same changes as in Issue 6. We would add a "no,"
9 prior to our previous position.

10 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. That's a simple
11 change.

12 Mr. Waldick, on your position on Issue 7?

13 MR. WALDICK: FIPUG's position remains the
14 same.

15 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Brew, Issue
16 7?

17 MR. BREW: The same as Issue 6. It changes to
18 "no"?

19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Single word
20 "no".

21 And, Mr. Rehwinkel, on Issue 7?

22 MR. REHWINKEL: The same as Issue 6 except
23 that the 8 will change to 9.

24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And Mr. Hetrick
25 has read that into the record the one change that

1 you just identified.

2 Any other comments on Issue 7?

3 Okay. Anything from staff on Issue 7? Does
4 that close that issue?

5 MR. HETRICK: Yes, sir.

6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good.

7 Okay. We've addressed all of the Issues 1
8 through 10 at this time, if I understand it. Is
9 that -- are we up to date there, Mr. Schrader?

10 MR. SCHRADER: That would be correct,
11 Commissioner, unless anyone has --

12 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Looking down the
13 list here, I believe we are up to exhibit list, if
14 I am correct.

15 MR. SCHRADER: That is correct.

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Schrader?

17 MR. SCHRADER: Yes. Staff has prepared a
18 comprehensive exhibit list which lists all prefiled
19 exhibits and those exhibits staff wishes to include
20 in the record. The draft list was given to the
21 parties to see if there were any changes or
22 objections to the CEL or to the introduction of
23 staff's exhibits being entered into the record.

24 At this time, we would like to see if there
25 are any changes that need to be made to the

1 parties' exhibits, and if there are any objections
2 to the entry of staff's proposed exhibits into the
3 record.

4 I did want to note that Duke has sent over a
5 few proposed revisions that staff is looking at
6 now.

7 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Are there any changes
8 to the exhibit list from any of the parties or the
9 company?

10 MR. BERNIER: We have no other changes than
11 what we circulated previously.

12 MR. REHWINKEL: Can I ask, Mr. Schrader, does
13 this have the discovery -- the documents that just
14 came in yesterday the lease PODs?

15 MR. SCHRADER: I am sorry?

16 MR. REHWINKEL: There were some documents that
17 were served. I don't -- I thought we received some
18 discovery --

19 MR. SCHRADER: Yeah, the comprehensive exhibit
20 list sheet that y'all received did reflect the most
21 recent discovery that came in, I believe, was it
22 the 20 -- yeah, it came in a days ago. Yes, we did
23 update that.

24 MR. REHWINKEL: Is this the one that had the
25 lease documents in it?

1 MR. SCHRADER: That's correct.

2 MR. REHWINKEL: Okay. I think we are okay
3 with this.

4 What I would ask, Commissioner, is I just
5 received some documents yesterday from the company.
6 I was printing them out this morning. I haven't
7 had a chance to review them, but I can give my
8 confirmation on this by the deadline that you have
9 established for the position revisions, if that
10 would work?

11 MR. SCHRADER: That would be fine with us.

12 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Any other
13 comments on the exhibit list? Any other
14 clarifications, anything else from staff on
15 exhibits? Thank you.

16 On to Section X, proposed stipulations, Mr.
17 Schrader.

18 MR. SCHRADER: Staff notes that there are no
19 proposed stipulations at this time.

20 MS. CRAWFORD: Commissioner Polmann, if I may.
21 I would ask, however, with the amendments to the
22 issues and the positions that we've discussed today
23 that parties take a second look. If there are any
24 issues that can be stipulated, we would certainly
25 entertain any discussions in that regard.

1 So if you do think there might be some
2 possibility, just reach out to staff. We would be
3 happy to work with you in that regard.

4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Staff is always happy
5 to work with you in stipulating anything that can
6 be stipulated.

7 And I would note some similarities in this
8 particular docket to some things that the
9 Commission has seen. I know every case is
10 different, as we found in your break, every case
11 has its challenges, but you are certainly aware
12 that there are a number of Commissioners who sit up
13 here that look favorably upon things that come
14 forward in a simple package. Although, there are
15 some of us who have made some comments about
16 favoring those simple packages and then having
17 questions about them. But in something that looks
18 very similar to what we've seen before, the simple
19 package occasionally is welcomed.

20 And I don't know what your inclinations are in
21 this type, but it may well lend itself down that
22 pathway. So if there is any inclination along
23 those lines, I know you have had a very fruitful
24 discussion here today. So if I were one to
25 encourage that, then I would be encouraging it.

1 We've got a couple of weeks here before this
2 comes to hearing. This might be one of those
3 opportunities, so I look forward to the next step.

4 Pending motions?

5 MR. SCHRADER: Staff notes there are no
6 pending motions at this time.

7 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Confidentiality
8 motions, anything there?

9 MR. SCHRADER: Yes. We would note there are
10 two pending confidentiality motions at this time.
11 I'm sorry, I couldn't get that out. Staff will
12 work to address those prior to the hearing.

13 MR. BERNIER: And if I may, Commissioner.

14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes.

15 MR. BERNIER: There is a third we filed
16 yesterday afternoon that we added, and I can get
17 you that language any everything if it wasn't
18 provided.

19 MR. SCHRADER: Okay.

20 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So however many
21 we end up with, we will keep track of them.

22 MR. BERNIER: Yes, sir.

23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Post-hearing
24 procedures, Mr. Schrader.

25 MR. SCHRADER: Staff notes that post-hearing

1 briefs be limited to -- I am sorry, staff
2 recommends that post-hearing briefs limited to 40
3 pages inclusive of attachments should briefs be
4 necessary.

5 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I am sure staff would
6 welcome the opportunity to not have any briefs. I
7 hear that from them on occasion. It kind of
8 lightens their burden.

9 Does everybody agree if you happen to want to
10 write a brief that 40 pages would be sufficient?

11 MR. REHWINKEL: It is inconceivable that if we
12 ends up writing a brief it will be anywhere near 40
13 pages, so that's --

14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: 40 would be sufficient?

15 MR. REHWINKEL: Absolutely.

16 MR. BREW: 40 is fine.

17 MR. WALDICK: 40 is fine.

18 MR. BERNIER: I completely agree and share
19 staff's desire to not have a brief.

20 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So we look
21 forward to a limited number of pages, if any. So
22 we have agreement on that.

23 Mr. Schrader.

24 MR. SCHRADER: Yes, staff recommends that a
25 summary of each position set off with asterisks

1 should be included in each post-hearing statement,
2 and that if a prehearing position is longer than 50
3 words, it must be reduced to no more than 50 words.

4 Staff notes that briefs will be due July 30th,
5 2019.

6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: If necessary.

7 Okay. Let's move on to rulings. What do we
8 have here?

9 MR. SCHRADER: Staff recommends that the
10 prehearing officer make a ruling that opening
11 statements, if any, should not exceed five minutes
12 per party unless any party chooses to waive its
13 opening statement.

14 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So when we get to
15 hearing, if parties wish to have an opening
16 statement -- y'all are familiar with our Chairman
17 and how he enjoys brief prehearing, or brief
18 opening statements.

19 I am wondering, we have three parties, and if
20 we have five minutes per party and the company,
21 that's a lot of minutes. So I am not going to ask
22 the company. I think five minutes is probably a
23 reasonable amount, although you are often known to
24 be pretty brief, but I am wondering if the three
25 parties need a collective 15 minutes. Should I

1 just ask you to kind of offer up something as the
2 combined effort and then kind of share your
3 minutes?

4 MR. REHWINKEL: If we go to hearing and if I
5 make an opening, I wouldn't need more than a minute
6 or two.

7 MR. BREW: I wouldn't need more than a couple
8 of minutes. If we wanted to collectively share 10
9 minutes, that would be fine.

10 MR. WALDICK: I don't --

11 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I am not going to ask
12 you to speak for Mr. Moyle, but if you are going to
13 be here presenting --

14 MR. WALDICK: I'm not sure if I will be here.
15 I think it will be Jon, so --

16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Does Jon need 10 minute
17 himself?

18 MR. WALDICK: I don't think he does. I think
19 it will be fine to split 10 minutes.

20 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So if we give
21 the parties collectively 10 minutes, and y'all
22 share that however you decide, that would be more
23 than adequate. But if y'all collectively did five
24 minutes, I am sure that the Commission, as a body,
25 would be pleased.

1 So let's set it up that the parties
2 collectively will have -- will share 10 minutes,
3 and the company will be encouraged to be brief, but
4 no more than five minutes.

5 MR. BERNIER: I would take the under on that
6 five minutes.

7 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Very good. Thank you,
8 sir. And if you want to waive your opening
9 statement, that would be great.

10 Okay. So, Mr. Schrader, you want to review
11 for us other rulings or summarize anything that was
12 noteworthy here?

13 MR. SCHRADER: Certainly, Commissioner.

14 So just witness summaries. So witnesses shall
15 be given three minutes to present their summaries.
16 FIPUG will not be allowed to voir dire witnesses.
17 And if necessary, post-hearing briefs will be
18 limited to 40 pages, inclusive of attachments.

19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And then just to
20 confirm, we addressed many of the issue statements
21 here on the record today. We've had commitments
22 from folks, and our due date for that tomorrow is
23 close of business.

24 MR. SCHRADER: Close of business, that would
25 be good, tomorrow.

1 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And what time is close
2 of business? Do we stay open until midnight, or
3 what do we do?

4 MS. CRAWFORD: 5:00.

5 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: 5:00 p.m. Okay.

6 All right. Any other comments -- are there
7 any other matters to address in this prehearing
8 conference, Mr. Schrader?

9 MR. SCHRADER: Staff is not aware of any other
10 matters to address at this time.

11 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Do the parties have any
12 other comments or matters that you wish to bring up
13 at this time? Because we are just about done, last
14 chance.

15 Okay. Seeing no additional matters, this
16 concludes the prehearing for Docket 20190072-EI.

17 And thank you very much for being here. I
18 appreciate your collective effort. I think we
19 accomplished much.

20 MR. BERNIER: Thank you, Commissioner.

21 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thanks. Have a good
22 day and safe travels.

23 (Proceedings concluded at 10:55 a.m.)

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF FLORIDA)
COUNTY OF LEON)

I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
time and place herein stated.

IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
and that this transcript constitutes a true
transcription of my notes of said proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
financially interested in the action.

DATED this 3rd day of July, 2019.



DEBRA R. KRICK
NOTARY PUBLIC
COMMISSION #GG015952
EXPIRES JULY 27, 2020