

Stephanie U. Eaton
336.631.1062
seaton@spilmanlaw.com
*Licensed in FL, NC and SC

August 28, 2020

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Adam Teitzman
Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20200092-EI; In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Please find enclosed for filing in the above-referenced case on behalf of Walmart Inc. the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Steve W. Chriss.

Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/ Stephanie U. Eaton
Stephanie U. Eaton (Florida Bar No. 165610)
seaton@spilmanlaw.com

Derrick Price Williamson
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050
dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com

SUE:sds
Enclosures
c: Parties of Record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to the following parties this 28th day of August, 2020.

Kenneth A. Hoffman
Florida Power & Light Company
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301
ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Dianne.Triplett@Duke-Energy.com

John T. Burnett
Christopher T. Wright
Jason Higginbotham
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
john.t.burnett@fpl.com
christopher.wright@fpl.com
Jason.higginbotham@fpl.com

Paula K. Brown
Tampa Electric Company
P. O. Box 111
Tampa FL 33601-0111
regdept@tecoenergy.com

Mark Bubriski
Gulf Power Company
134 West Jefferson Street
Tallahassee FL 32301
mark.bubriski@nexteraenergy.com

Jennifer Crawford
Shaw Stiller
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Room 110
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
jcrawfor@psc.state.fl.us
sstiller@psc.state.fl.us

Russell A. Badders
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520
Russell.Badders@nexteraenergy.com

J. R. Kelly
Charles Rehwinkel
Patty Christensen
Stephanie Morse
Thomas A. (Tad) David
A. Miereille Fall-Fry
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
kelly,jr@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us
david.tad@leg.state.fl.us
fall-fry.mireille@leg.state.fl.us

Matthew R. Bernier
Duke Energy Florida
106 Eat College Avenue
Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301-7740
matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com
flregulatorylegal@duke-energy.com

Certificate of Service
Docket No. 20200092-EI
Page 2

James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Suite 800 West
Washington, DC 20007-5201
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr., Esquire
Karen A. Putnal, Esquire
c/o Moyle Law Firm
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee FL 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com

/s/ Stephanie U. Eaton
Stephanie U. Eaton

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery : DOCKET NO. 2020092-EI
Clause. :
: Filed: August 28, 2020

DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF

STEVE W. CHRISS

ON BEHALF OF

WALMART INC.

Contents

Introduction 1

Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations 4

Rate Design for Recovery of SPP Costs 6

Exhibits

Exhibit SWC-1: Witness Qualifications Statement

Exhibit SWC-2: Stipulation between Walmart Inc., Tampa Electric Company, Duke Energy Florida, LLC, Gulf Power Company, and Florida Power & Light Company, filed July 20, 2020, in Docket Nos. 20200067-EI, 20200069-EI, 20200070-EI, and 20200071-EI, and approved at July 28, 2020 Prehearing Conference

Exhibit SWC-3: Duke Energy Florida, LLC's Response to Walmart Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories, No. 3 and First Request for Production of Documents, No. 1

1 **Introduction**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND OCCUPATION.**

3 A. My name is Steve W. Chriss. My business address is 2608 SE J St., Bentonville,
4 Arkansas 72716. I am employed by Walmart Inc. ("Walmart") as Director, Energy
5 Services.

6 **Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THESE DOCKETS?**

7 A. I am testifying on behalf of Walmart.

8 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE.**

9 A. In 2001, I completed a Master of Science in Agricultural Economics at Louisiana State
10 University. From 2001 to 2003, I was an Analyst and later a Senior Analyst at the
11 Houston office of Econ One Research, Inc., a Los Angeles-based consulting firm. My
12 duties included research and analysis on domestic and international energy and
13 regulatory issues. From 2003 to 2007, I was an Economist and later a Senior Utility
14 Analyst at the Public Utility Commission of Oregon in Salem, Oregon. My duties
15 included appearing as a witness for PUC Staff in electric, natural gas, and
16 telecommunications dockets. I joined the energy department at Walmart in July 2007
17 as Manager, State Rate Proceedings. I was promoted to Senior Manager, Energy
18 Regulatory Analysis, in June 2011. I was promoted to my current position in October
19 2016, and the position was re-titled in October 2018. My Witness Qualifications
20 Statement is attached as Exhibit SWC-1.

1 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC**
2 **SERVICE COMMISSION ("COMMISSION")?**

3 A. Yes. I testified in Docket Nos 20110138-EI, 20120015-EI, 20130040-EI, 20130140-EI,
4 20140002-EG, 20160021-EI, 20160186-EI, and 20190061-EI.¹

5 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE OTHER STATE**
6 **REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?**

7 A. Yes. I have submitted testimony in over 210 proceedings before 40 other utility
8 regulatory commissions. I have also submitted testimony before legislative
9 committees in Kansas, Missouri, North Carolina, and South Carolina. My testimony
10 has addressed topics including, but not limited to, cost of service and rate design,
11 return on equity ("ROE"), revenue requirements, ratemaking policy, large customer
12 renewable programs, qualifying facility rates, telecommunications deregulation,
13 resource certification, energy efficiency/demand side management, fuel cost
14 adjustment mechanisms, decoupling, and the collection of cash earnings on
15 construction work in progress ("CWIP").

¹ I filed testimony in Docket Nos. 20200067-EI, 20200069-EI, 20200070-EI, and 20200071-EI, but that testimony was withdrawn and the issues raised in my testimony were deferred to Docket No. 20200092-EI by Stipulation filed in those Dockets on July 20, 2020, Exhibit SWC-2, and granted at the July 28, 2020 Prehearing Conference in those Dockets.

1 **Q. DO YOU HOLD ANY ENERGY-RELATED MEMBERSHIPS WITHIN THE STATE OF**
2 **FLORIDA?**

3 A. Yes. I am a member of the Florida Advisory Council on Climate and Energy.²

4 **Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING EXHIBITS IN YOUR TESTIMONY?**

5 A. Yes. I am sponsoring the Exhibits listed in the Table of Contents.

6 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS IN FLORIDA.**

7 A. As shown on Walmart's website, Walmart operates 384 retail units and eight
8 distribution centers and employs over 106,000 associates in Florida. In fiscal year
9 ending 2020, Walmart purchased \$7.4 billion worth of goods and services from
10 Florida-based suppliers, supporting over 87,000 supplier jobs.³

11 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS WITHIN THE SERVICE**
12 **TERRITORIES OF EACH OF THE UTILITIES THAT SUBMITTED 2020-2029**
13 **TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION STORM PROTECTION PLANS FOR WHICH COST**
14 **RECOVERY IS BEING SOUGHT IN THIS DOCKET.**

15 A. Walmart has 73 retail units and one distribution center served by Duke Energy Florida,
16 LLC ("DEF"), 149 retail units and four distribution centers served by Florida Power &
17 Light Company ("FPL"), 28 retail units served by Gulf Power Company ("Gulf"), and 36
18 retail units and one distribution center served by Tampa Electric Company ("TECO").⁴

² <https://www.fdacs.gov/Energy/Florida-Advisory-Council-on-Climate-and-Energy>

³ <http://corporate.walmart.com/our-story/locations/united-states#/united-states/florida>

⁴ FPL, Gulf, DEF, and TECO are collectively referred to as "Utilities."

1 **Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations**

2 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

3 A. Pursuant to Rule 25-6.031 F.A.C., the Commission opened Docket No. 20200092-EI to
4 examine the Storm Protection Plan Recovery Clause ("SPPRC") for the Utilities. The
5 purpose of my testimony is to address cost recovery for DEF's 2020-2029 Transmission
6 and Distribution Storm Protection Plan ("SPP") that was submitted pursuant to Rule
7 25-6.030 of the Florida Administrative Code, specifically addressing the issue of rate
8 design.

9 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE WALMART'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION.**

10 A. Walmart's recommendations to the Commission are as follows:

11 1) Walmart does not take a position on the Utilities' proposed SPP cost allocation
12 methodologies; however, to the extent that alternative cost of service models or
13 modifications to the models for each utility are proposed by other parties, Walmart
14 reserves the right to address any such proposals.

1 2) Walmart does not oppose the proposed rate designs for SPP cost recovery put forth
2 by FPL, Gulf, and TECO, which would recover SPP costs from demand-metered
3 customers through a \$/kW demand charge.⁵

4
5 3) The Commission should reject the proposed rate design of DEF, which recovers SPP
6 costs from demand-metered customers through a \$/kWh energy charge. Instead, the
7 Commission should require DEF to charge demand-metered customers on a demand,
8 or \$/kW, charge for the reasons explained below.⁶

9 **Q. DOES THE FACT THAT YOU MAY NOT ADDRESS AN ISSUE OR POSITION ADVOCATED**
10 **BY DEF INDICATE WALMART'S SUPPORT?**

11 A. No. The fact that an issue is not addressed herein or in related filings should not be
12 construed as an endorsement of, agreement with, or consent to any filed position.

13

⁵FPL: Direct Testimony of Renae B. Deaton, Exhibit RBD-1, page 14; Par. 27 of the July 27, 2020 Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission at the August 10, 2020 hearing; Gulf: Direct Testimony of Renae B. Deaton, Exhibit RBD-1, page 12; Par. 27 of the July 27, 2020 Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission at the August 10, 2020 hearing; TECO: Direct Testimony of William R. Ashburn, page 7, line 23 to line 25. Walmart notes that when Gulf originally submitted its SPP, it proposed a rate design for SPP cost recovery through a \$/kWh energy charge; however, pursuant to negotiations among the Office of Public Counsel ("OPC"), Walmart, FPL, and Gulf, a Settlement Agreement was entered on July 27, 2020 ("July 27, 2020 Settlement Agreement"), which was approved by the Commission on August 10, 2020. In the July 27, 2020 Settlement Agreement, paragraph 27, Gulf agreed to recover SPP costs from demand-metered customers through a \$/kW demand charge. Walmart supports this change in rate design.

⁶ DEF entered into a Settlement Agreement dated July 17, 2020 and filed on July 20, 2020 in Docket No. 20200069-EI ("SPP Case"), to which Walmart took no position, and a second Settlement Agreement on August 10, 2020 in this Docket with OPC and White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate ("PCS Phosphate") that would accept a \$/kWh rate design.

1 **Rate Design for Recovery of SPP Costs**

2 **Q. GENERALLY, WHAT IS WALMART'S POSITION ON SETTING RATES BASED ON THE**
3 **UTILITY'S COST OF SERVICE?**

4 A. Walmart advocates that rates be set based on the utility's cost of service for each rate
5 class. This produces equitable rates that reflect cost causation, sends proper price
6 signals, and minimizes price distortions.

7 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COSTS TO BE INCURRED AND RECOVERED**
8 **BY EACH UTILITY IN EXECUTING ON THEIR RESPECTIVE SPPs?**

9 A. My understanding is that Rule 25-6.030 of the Florida Administrative Code targets the
10 enhancement of a utility's transmission and distribution infrastructure in order to
11 reduce restoration costs and outage times associated with extreme weather in order
12 to improve overall service reliability.

13 **Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION**
14 **INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS ARE FIXED AND DO NOT CHANGE WITH THE AMOUNT OF**
15 **ENERGY CONSUMED BY CUSTOMERS?**

16 A. Yes.

17 **Q. IN YOUR EXPERIENCE, ARE TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION COSTS**
18 **TRADITIONALLY ALLOCATED TO CUSTOMERS USING DEMAND-BASED ALLOCATORS?**

19 A. Yes, as the fixed transmission and distribution costs are incurred to ensure that the
20 utilities can meet the instantaneous peak customer demands across their systems.
21 My general understanding of Florida's Utilities is that they typically allocate their
22 transmission demand costs based on each class's contribution to the respective

1 utility's 12 monthly coincident peaks ("12 CP").⁷ Additionally, my general
2 understanding is that the Utilities typically allocate their distribution demand costs
3 based on each class's non-coincident peak demand ("NCP" for DEF, Gulf, and TECO,
4 and "GCP" for FPL).⁸

5
6 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROPOSED RATE DESIGN PROPOSED BY**
7 **DEF IN THIS COST RECOVERY DOCKET?**

8 A. My understanding is that DEF proposed \$/kWh energy charges from both demand and
9 non-demand metered classes.⁹ DEF is aware that this rate design differs from that of
10 FPL, Gulf and TECO, stating in discovery responses that "it appears from the filings the
11 other companies have agreed to bill on a demand basis from demand customers in
12 2021."¹⁰

13 **Q. DOES WALMART OPPOSE THE PROPOSED RATE DESIGNS PUT FORTH BY FPL, GULF,**
14 **AND TECO?**

15 A. No. FPL, Gulf, and TECO have appropriately designed the proposed SPP cost recovery
16 rates to reflect cost causation.

⁷ Each utility may treat specific costs differently. As an example, FPL allocates transmission pull-offs for transmission-level customers on a customer basis. See Direct Testimony of Renae B. Deaton, page 22, line 2 to line 3, *Petition for rate increase by Florida Power & Light Company*, Docket No. 20160021-EI (filed Mar. 15, 2016).

⁸ Some distribution costs may be classified as customer-related, but it does not appear that any of the Utilities propose to classify any SPP costs in that manner.

⁹ Direct Testimony of Thomas G. Foster, Exh. No. ____ (TGF-1), page 14.

¹⁰ DEF's Aug. 26, 2020 Resp. to Walmart's First Set of Interrogatories, Int. No. 3, and POD No. 1, Exhibit SWC-3.

1 **Q. DOES WALMART HAVE A CONCERN WITH THE PROPOSED RATE DESIGN PUT FORTH**
2 **BY DEF IN THIS CASE AND BY VIRTUE OF A PARTIAL SETTLEMENT?**

3 A. Yes. By proposing \$/kWh energy rates for demand metered customers, DEF proposes
4 to recover SPP costs differently than how those costs will be incurred and allocated to
5 customers.

6 **Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN.**

7 A. As described earlier, the Utilities will incur demand-related costs as they execute on
8 their respective SPPs. Demand costs are fixed costs incurred by the Utilities to size
9 their systems such that they can meet the peak kW demands imposed by their rate
10 classes and do not vary with changes in how many kWh of energy are consumed by
11 their customers. These demand-related costs should be recovered in a manner that
12 reflects the way in which they are incurred and allocated.

13 **Q. DOES THE RECOVERY OF DEMAND-RELATED COSTS THROUGH AN ENERGY CHARGE**
14 **VIOLATE COST CAUSATION PRINCIPLES?**

15 A. Yes. The shift in recovering demand-related costs through an energy charge, as
16 proposed by DEF, violates cost causation principles, which hold that, to the extent
17 possible, costs should be allocated to, and recovered from customers on the same
18 basis (*i.e.*, demand-related costs should be recovered through demand charges and
19 energy-related costs should be recovered through energy charges).

1 **Q. DOES RECOVERY OF DEMAND-RELATED COSTS THROUGH AN ENERGY CHARGE**
2 **CREATE INTRA-CLASS COST SHIFTS?**

3 A. Yes. The change in recovering demand-related costs from per kW demand charges to
4 per kWh energy charges results in a shift in demand cost responsibility from lower
5 load factor customers to higher load factor customers. Two customers can have the
6 same level of demand and cause the utility to incur the same amount of fixed cost,
7 but because one customer uses more kWhs than the other, that customer will pay
8 more of the demand cost than the customer that uses fewer kWhs. This results in a
9 misallocation of cost responsibility as higher load factor customers overpay for the
10 demand-related costs incurred by the utilities to serve them. In other words, higher
11 load factor customers are paying for a portion of the demand-related costs that are
12 incurred to serve lower load factor customers simply because of the manner in which
13 the utility recovers those costs in rates.

14 **Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE A GENERAL ILLUSTRATION OF THIS SHIFT IN DEMAND COST**
15 **RESPONSIBILITY?**

16 A. Yes. Assume the following:

17 1) A utility has only two customers (Customer 1 and Customer 2), with individual
18 peak demands of 20 kW for a total system load of 40 kW.

19 2) The annual revenue requirement or cost to the utility associated with the
20 investment to serve these customers is \$2,000, which will be recovered each year.
21 Each customer is responsible for one-half of the cost, or \$1,000 of demand-related
22 or fixed costs per customer.

1 3) Customer 1 has a monthly demand of 20 kW and a load factor of 60 percent and
2 consumes 105,120 kWh/year (20 kW * 60% * 8760 hours).

3 4) Customer 2 has a monthly demand of 20 kW and a load factor of 30 percent and
4 consumes 52,560 kWh/year (20 kW * 30% * 8760 hours).

5 **Q. IN YOUR ILLUSTRATION, IF THE DEMAND-RELATED COSTS WERE RECOVERED**
6 **THROUGH A DEMAND CHARGE ON A PER KW BASIS, WHAT WOULD THE PER KW**
7 **CHARGE BE?**

8 A. The charge would be \$4.17 per kW-month ($\$2,000 / 40 \text{ kW} / 12 \text{ months}$). Each
9 customer would then pay \$1,000 for the demand-related cost they impose on the
10 system ($20 \text{ kW} * \$4.17/\text{kW} * 12$).

11 **Q. IN YOUR ILLUSTRATION, IF THE DEMAND-RELATED COSTS WERE RECOVERED ON AN**
12 **ENERGY BASIS, WHAT WOULD THE PER KWH CHARGE BE?**

13 A. If customers were charged on a per kWh basis, the energy charge would be 1.27 cents
14 per kWh ($\$2,000 / 157,860 \text{ kWh}$), where the \$2,000 is the total cost, and 157,860 kWh
15 represents the total annual energy sales.

16 **Q. IN YOUR ILLUSTRATION, WHAT WOULD EACH CUSTOMER PAY UNDER THE PER KWH**
17 **CHARGE OF 1.27 CENTS PER KWH?**

18 A. Customer 1, the customer with the higher load factor of 60 percent, would pay \$1,333
19 ($\$0.0127/\text{kWh} * 105,120 \text{ kWh}$). Customer 2, the customer that has the lower load
20 factor would pay \$667 ($\$0.0127/\text{kWh} * 52,560 \text{ kWh}$).

1 **Q. ARE THE RESULTING ENERGY BASED CHARGES IN YOUR ILLUSTRATION**
2 **REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNDERLYING COSTS?**

3 A. No. As the example makes clear, if a utility recovers its demand-related costs through
4 energy-based charges, it will over-collect from one customer and under-collect from
5 the other. The fixed costs are equally incurred by Customer 1 and Customer 2;
6 however, under the per kWh scenario, the utility would recover \$333 more from
7 Customer 1 (a higher load factor customer) than its cost responsibility and \$333 less
8 from Customer 2 (a lower load factor customer) than its cost responsibility. In other
9 words, Customer 1 would be subsidizing one-third of Customer 2's cost responsibility.

10 **Q. WOULD THE RECOVERY OF SPP REVENUE REQUIREMENT THROUGH THE DEMAND**
11 **CHARGE BE BENEFICIAL TO DEF?**

12 A. Yes. By recovering a large percentage of a class revenue requirement through energy
13 charges, a utility subjects itself to under and over-recovery of its revenue requirement
14 due to fluctuations in customer usage. As such, issues such as weather and the
15 economy will have a greater impact on the utility's cost recovery versus a rate design
16 in which an appropriate amount of revenue requirement is collected through the
17 demand charge.

18 **Q. WHAT IS WALMART'S RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION ON THIS ISSUE?**

19 A. The Commission should reject DEF's proposed and settlement-based rate design,
20 which recovers SPP costs from demand-metered customers through a \$/kWh energy
21 charge. Instead, the Commission should require DEF to charge demand-metered
22 customers on a demand, or \$/kW, charge.

1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

2 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause. : DOCKET NO. 20200092-EI
:
:

EXHIBITS OF
STEVE W. CHRISS
ON BEHALF OF
WALMART INC.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause. : DOCKET NO. 20200092-EI
:
:

EXHIBIT SWC-1 OF
STEVE W. CHRISS
ON BEHALF OF
WALMART INC.

Steve W. Chriss

Walmart Inc.

Business Address: 2608 SE J Street, Bentonville, Arkansas 72716

EXPERIENCE

July 2007 – Present

Walmart Inc., Bentonville, AR

Director, Energy Services (October 2018 – Present)

Director, Energy and Strategy Analysis (October 2016 – October 2018)

Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis (June 2011 – October 2016)

Manager, State Rate Proceedings (July 2007 – June 2011)

June 2003 – July 2007

Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Salem, OR

Senior Utility Analyst (February 2006 – July 2007)

Economist (June 2003 – February 2006)

January 2003 - May 2003

North Harris College, Houston, TX

Adjunct Instructor, Microeconomics

June 2001 - March 2003

Econ One Research, Inc., Houston, TX

Senior Analyst (October 2002 – March 2003)

Analyst (June 2001 – October 2002)

EDUCATION

2001	Louisiana State University	M.S., Agricultural Economics
1997-1998	University of Florida	Graduate Coursework, Agricultural Education and Communication
1997	Texas A&M University	B.S., Agricultural Development B.S., Horticulture

PRESENT MEMBERSHIPS

Arizona Independent Scheduling Administrators Association, Board

Arizonans for Electric Choice & Competition, Chairman

Edison Electric Institute National Key Accounts Program, Customer Advisory Group

Florida Advisory Council for Climate and Energy

Renewable Energy Buyers Alliance, Advisory Board

PAST MEMBERSHIPS

Southwest Power Pool, Corporate Governance Committee, 2019

TESTIMONY BEFORE REGULATORY COMMISSIONS

2020

North Carolina Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219: Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 2 of 23

Missouri Case No. ER-2019-0374: In the Matter of the Empire District Electric Company's Request for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area.

North Carolina Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214: In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Texas Docket No. 49831: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Authority to Change Rates.

2019

Missouri Case No. ER-2019-0335: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Decrease its Revenues for Electric Service.

Michigan Case No. U-20561: In the Matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

Indiana Cause No. 45253: Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC Pursuant to Ind. Code §§ 8-1-2-42.7 and 8-1-2-61, For (1) Authority to Modify its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service Through a Step-In of New Rates and Charges Using a Forecasted Test Period; (2) Approval of New Schedules of Rates and Charges, General Rules and Regulations, and Riders; (3) Approval of a Federal Mandate Certificate Under Ind. Code § 8-1-8.4-1; (4) Approval of Revised Electric Depreciation Rates Applicable to its Electric Plant in Service; (5) Approval of Necessary and Appropriate Accounting Deferral Relief; and (6) Approval of a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism for Certain Customer Classes.

Arizona Docket No. E-01933A-19-0228: In the Matter of the Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realize a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of the Properties of Tucson Electric Power Company Devoted to its Operations Throughout the State of Arizona and for Related Approvals.

Georgia Docket No. 42516: In Re: Georgia Power's 2019 Rate Case.

Colorado Proceeding No. 19AL-0268E: Re: In the Matter of Advice No. 1797-Electric of Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado P.U.C. No. 8-Electric Tariff to Implement Rate Changes Effective on Thirty Days' Notice.

New York Case No. 19-E-0378: Proceeding on the Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Electric Service.

New York Case No. 19-E-0380: Proceeding on the Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Electric Service.

Maryland Case No. 9610: In the Matter of the Application of Baltimore Gas and Electric Company for Adjustments to its Electric and Gas Base Rates.

Nevada Docket No. 19-06002: In the Matter of the Application by Sierra Pacific Power Company, D/B/A NV Energy, Filed Pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) and NRS 704.110(4), Addressing its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Electric Customers.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 3 of 23

Florida Docket No. 20190061-EI: In Re: Petition of Florida Power & Light Company for Approval of FPL SolarTogether Program and Tariff.

Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-126: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates – Test Year 2020.

Wisconsin Docket No. 5-UR-109: Joint Application of Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Gas LLC for Authority to Adjust Electric, Natural Gas, and Steam Rates – Test Year 2020.

New Mexico Case No. 19-00158-UT: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Approval of PNM Solar Direct Voluntary Renewable Energy Program, Power Purchase Agreement, and Advice Notice Nos. 560 and 561.

Indiana Cause No. 45235: Petition of Indiana Michigan Power Company, and Indiana Corporation, for Authority to Increase its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service through a Phase In Rate Adjustment; and for Approval of Related Relief Including: (1) Revised Depreciation Rates; (2) Accounting Relief; (3) Inclusion in Rate Base of Qualified Pollution Control Property and Clean Energy Project; (4) Enhancements to the Dry Sorbent Injection System; (5) Advanced Metering Infrastructure; (6) Rate Adjustment Mechanism Proposals; and (7) New Schedules of Rates, Rules and Regulations.

Iowa Docket No. RPU-2019-0001: In Re: Interstate Power and Light Company.

Texas Docket No. 49494: Application of AEP Texas Inc. for Authority to Change Rates.

Arkansas Docket No. 19-008-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2019-00050: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for Determination of the Fair Rate of Return on Common Equity Pursuant to § 56-585.1:1 of the Code of Virginia.

Indiana Docket No. 45159: Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Pursuant to Indiana Code §§ 8-1-2-42.7, 8-1-2-61 and Indiana Code §§ 1-2.5-6 for (1) Authority to Modify its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service Through a Phase In of Rates; (2) Approval of New Schedules of Rates and Charges, General Rules and Regulations, and Riders; (3) Approval of Revised Common and Electric Depreciation Rates Applicable to its Electric Plant in Service; (4) Approval of Necessary and Appropriate Accounting Relief; and (5) Approval of a New Service Structure for Industrial Rates.

Texas Docket No. 49421: Application of Centerpoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC for Authority to Change Rates.

Nevada Docket No. 18-11015: Re: Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, Filed Under Advice No. 491, to Implement NV Greenenergy 2.0 Rider Schedule No. NGR 2.0 to Allow Eligible Commercial Bundled Service Customers to Voluntarily Contract with the Utility to Increase Their Use of Reliance on Renewable Energy at Current Market-Based Fixed Prices.

Nevada Docket No. 18-11016: Re: Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, Filed Under Advice No. 614-E, to Implement NV Greenenergy 2.0 Rider Schedule No. NGR 2.0 to Allow Eligible Commercial Bundled Service Customers to Voluntarily Contract with the Utility to Increase Their Use of Reliance on Renewable Energy at Current Market-Based Fixed Prices.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 4 of 23

Georgia Docket No. 42310: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2019 Integrated Resource Plan and Application for Certification of Capacity From Plant Scherer Unit 3 and Plant Goat Rock Units 9-12 and Application for Decertification of Plant Hammond Units 1-4, Plant McIntosh Unit 1, Plant Langdale Units 5-6, Plant Riverview Units 1-2, and Plant Estatoah Unit 1.

Wyoming Docket Nos. 20003-177-ET-18: In the Matter of the Application of Cheyenne Light, Fuel and Power Company D/B/A Black Hills Energy For Approval to Implement a Renewable Ready Service Tariff.

South Carolina Docket No. 2018-318-E: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC For Adjustments in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs.

Montana Docket No. D2018.2.12: Application for Authority to Increase Retail Electric Utility Service Rates and for Approval of Electric Service Schedules and Rules and Allocated Cost of Service and Rate Design.

Louisiana Docket No. U-35019: In Re: Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Authorization to Make Available Experimental Renewable Option and Rate Schedule ERO.

Arkansas Docket No. 18-037-TF: In the Matter of the Petition of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. For Its Solar Energy Purchase Option.

2018

South Carolina Docket No. 2017-370-E: Joint Application and Petition of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and Dominion Energy, Inc., for Review and Approval of a Proposed Business Combination Between SCANA Corporation and Dominion Energy, Inc., as may be Required, and for a Prudency Determination Regarding the Abandonment of the V.C. Summer Units 2 & 3 Project and Associated Customer Benefits and Cost Recovery Plans.

Kansas Docket No. 18-KCPE-480-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00173: Petition of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc. for Permission to Aggregate or Combine Demands of Two or More Individual Nonresidential Retail Customers of Electric Energy Pursuant to § 56-577 A 4 of the Code of Virginia.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00174: Petition of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP and Sam's East, Inc. for Permission to Aggregate or Combine Demands of Two or More Individual Nonresidential Retail Customers of Electric Energy Pursuant to § 56-577 A 4 of the Code of Virginia.

Oregon Docket No. UM 1953: In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, Investigation into Proposed Green Tariff.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00179: Application of Appalachian Power Company for Approval of an 100% Renewable Energy Rider Pursuant to § 56-577.A.5 of the Code of Virginia.

Missouri Docket No. ER-2018-0145: In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.

Missouri Docket No. ER-2018-0146: In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 2020092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 5 of 23

Kansas Docket No. 18-WSEE-328-RTS: In the Matter of the Joint Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Oregon Docket No. UE 335: In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision.

North Dakota Case No. PU-17-398: In the Matter of the Application of Otter Tail Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Utility Service in North Dakota.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00179: Application of Appalachian Power Company for Approval of an 100 Percent Renewable Energy Rider Pursuant to § 56-577 A 5 of the Code of Virginia.

Missouri Case No. ET-2018-0063: In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Approval of 2017 Green Tariff.

New Mexico Case No. 17-00255-UT: In the Matter of Southwestern Public Service Company's Application for Revision of its Retail Rates Under Advice Notice No. 272.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00157: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for Approval of 100 Percent Renewable Energy Tariffs for Residential and Non-Residential Customers.

Kansas Docket No. 18-KCPE-095-MER: In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy, Inc. for Approval of the Merger of Westar Energy, Inc. and Great Plains Energy Incorporated.

North Carolina Docket No. E-7, Sub 1146: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Louisiana Docket No. U-34619: In Re: Application for Expedited Certification and Approval of the Acquisition of Certain Renewable Resources and the Construction of a Generation Tie Pursuant to the 1983 and/or/1994 General Orders.

Missouri Case No. EM-2018-0012: In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated for Approval of its Merger with Westar Energy, Inc.

2017

Arkansas Docket No. 17-038-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval to Acquire a Wind Generating Facility and to Construct a Dedicated Generation Tie Line.

Texas Docket No. 47461: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorization and Related Relief for the Wind Catcher Energy Connection Project.

Oklahoma Cause No. PUD 201700267: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for Approval of the Cost Recovery of the Wind Catcher Energy Connection Project; A Determination There is Need for the Project; Approval for Future Inclusion in Base Rates Cost Recovery of Prudent Costs Incurred by PSO for the Project; Approval of a Temporary Cost Recovery Rider; Approval of Certain Accounting Procedures Regarding Federal Production Tax Credits; Waiver of OAC 165:35-38-5(E); And Such Other Relief the Commission Deems PSO is Entitled.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 6 of 23

Nevada Docket No. 17-06003: In the Matter of the Application of Nevada Power Company, d/b/a NV Energy, Filed Pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) and (4), Addressing Its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Customers.

North Carolina Docket No. E-2, Sub 1142: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Oklahoma Cause No. PUD 201700151: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and the Electric Service Rules, Regulations and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Kentucky Case No. 2017-00179: Electronic Application of Kentucky Power Company for (1) a General Adjustment of its Rates for Electric Service; (2) an Order Approving its 2017 Environmental Compliance Plan; (3) an Order Approving its Tariffs and Riders; (4) an Order Approving Accounting Practices to Establish Regulatory Assets and Liabilities; and (5) an Order Granting All Other Requested Relief.

New York Case No. 17-E-0238: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation for Electric and Gas Service.

Virginia Case No. PUR-2017-00060: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for Approval of 100 Percent Renewable Energy Tariffs Pursuant to §§ 56-577 A 5 and 56-234 of the Code of Virginia.

New Jersey Docket No. ER17030308: In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric Company for Approval of Amendments to its Tariff to Provide for an Increase in Rates and Charges for Electric Service Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.1, for Approval of a Grid Resiliency Initiative and Cost Recovery Related Thereto, and for Other Appropriate Relief.

Texas Docket No. 46831: Application of El Paso Electric Company to Change Rates.

Oregon Docket No. UE 319: In the Matter of Portland General Electric Company, Request for a General Rate Revision.

New Mexico Case No. 16-00276-UT: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice No. 533.

Minnesota Docket No. E015/GR-16-664: In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Ohio Case No. 16-1852-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, In the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

Texas Docket No. 46449: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Arkansas Docket No. 16-052-U: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates, Charges, and Tariffs.

Missouri Case No. EA-2016-0358: In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 7 of 23

and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line.

Florida Docket No. 160186-Ei: In Re: Petition for Increase in Rates by Gulf Power Company.

2016

Missouri Case No. ER-2016-0179: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri Tariffs to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service.

Kansas Docket No. 16-KCPE-593-ACQ: In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy, Inc. for Approval of the Acquisition of Westar Energy, Inc. by Great Plains Energy Incorporated.

Missouri Case No. EA-2016-0208: In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Permission and Approval and a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Offer a Pilot Distributed Solar Program and File Associated Tariff.

Utah Docket No. 16-035-T09: In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 34, Renewable Energy Tariff.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537359: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. West Penn Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537352: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Electric Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537355: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537349: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Metropolitan Edison Company.

Michigan Case No. U-17990: In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for Other Relief.

Florida Docket No. 160021-EI: In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

Minnesota Docket No. E-002/GR-15-816: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16AL-0048E: Re: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1712-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Replace Colorado PUC No.7-Electric Tariff with Colorado PUC No. 8-Electric Tariff.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16A-0055E: Re: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of its Solar*Connect Program.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2016-0023: In the Matter of the Empire District Electric Company of Joplin, Missouri for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of the Company.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 2020092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 8 of 23

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 40161: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2016 Integrated Resource Plan and Application for Decertification of Plant Mitchell Units 3, 4A and 4B, Plant Kraft Unit 1 CT, and Intercession City CT.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201500273: In the Matter of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

New Mexico Case No. 15-00261-UT: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice Notice No. 513.

2015

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 44688: Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service Company for Authority to Modify its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service and for Approval of: (1) Changes to its Electric Service Tariff Including a New Schedule of Rates and Charges and Changes to the General Rules and Regulations and Certain Riders; (2) Revised Depreciation Accrual Rates; (3) Inclusion in its Basic Rates and Charges of the Costs Associated with Certain Previously Approved Qualified Pollution Control Property, Clean Coal Technology, Clean Energy Projects and Federally Mandated Compliance Projects; and (4) Accounting Relief to Allow NIPSCO to Defer, as a Regulatory Asset or Liability, Certain Costs for Recovery in a Future Proceeding.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 44941: Application of El Paso Electric Company to Change Rates.

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142: In the matter of the Application of UNS Electric, Inc. for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realized a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of the Properties of UNS Electric, Inc. Devoted to its Operations Throughout the State of Arizona, and for Related Approvals.

Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 4568: In Re: National Grid's Rate Design Plan.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201500208: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and the Electric Service Rules, Regulations and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 4220-UR-121: Application of Northern States Power Company, A Wisconsin Corporation, for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 15-015-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-E-0283: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-G-0284: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Gas Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-E-0285: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Electric Service.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 9 of 23

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-G-0286: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Gas Service.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR: In the Matter of the Application Seeking Approval of Ohio Power Company's Proposal to Enter Into an Affiliate Power Purchase Agreement for Inclusion in the Power Purchase Agreement Rider.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-124: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 15-034-U: In the Matter of an Interim Rate Schedule of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Imposing a Surcharge to Recover All Investments and Expenses Incurred Through Compliance with Legislative or Administrative Rules, Regulations, or Requirements Relating to the Public Health, Safety or the Environment Under the Federal Clean Air Act for Certain of its Existing Generation Facilities.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 15-WSEE-115-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-17767: In the Matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 43695: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 15-KCPE-116-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Michigan Case No. U-17735: In the Matter of the Application of the Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for Other Relief.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00396: Application of Kentucky Power Company for a General Adjustment of its Rates for Electric Service; (2) an Order Approving its 2014 Environmental Compliance Plan; (3) an Order Approving its Tariffs and Riders; and (4) an Order Granting All Other Required Approvals and Relief.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00371: In the Matter of the Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of its Electric Rates.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00372: In the Matter of the Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of its Electric and Gas Rates.

2014

Ohio Public Utilities Commission Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Provide for a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

West Virginia Case No. 14-1152-E-42T: Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company, Both d/b/a American Electric Power, Joint Application for Rate Increases and Changes in Tariff Provisions.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 10 of 23

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201400229: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Commission Authorization of a Plan to Comply with the Federal Clean Air Act and Cost Recovery; and for Approval of the Mustang Modernization Plan.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2014-0258: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariff to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service.
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428742: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. West Penn Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428743: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Electric Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428744: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428745: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Metropolitan Edison Company.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-141368: In the Matter of the Petition of Puget Sound Energy to Update Methodologies Used to Allocate Electric Cost of Service and For Electric Rate Design Purposes.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-140762: 2014 Pacific Power & Light Company General Rate Case.

West Virginia Public Service Commission Case No. 14-0702-E-42T: Monongahela Power Company and the Potomac Edison Company Rule 42T Tariff Filing to Increase Rates and Charges.

Ohio Public Utilities Commission Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO an Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 14AL-0660E: Re: In the Matter of the Advice Letter No. 1672-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Rate Changes Effective July 18, 2014.

Maryland Case No. 9355: In the Matter of the Application of Baltimore Gas and Electric Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service.

Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2014-UN-132: In Re: Notice of Intent of Entergy Mississippi, Inc. to Modernize Rates to Support Economic Development, Power Procurement, and Continued Investment.

Nevada Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 14-05004: Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for Authority to Increase its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Electric Customers and for Relief Properly Related Thereto.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 14-035-T02: In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 32, Service From Renewable Energy Facilities.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 11 of 23

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 140002-EG: In Re: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-123: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Connecticut Docket No. 14-05-06: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00026: Application of Appalachian Power Company for a 2014 Biennial Review for the Provision of Generation, Distribution and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00033: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to Va. Code § 56-249.6.

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224 (Four Corners Phase): In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-13-868: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company, for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-035-184: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EC-2014-0224: In the Matter of Noranda Aluminum, Inc.'s Request for Revisions to Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Large Transmission Service Tariff to Decrease its Rate for Electric Service.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300217: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma to be in Compliance with Order No. 591185 Issued in Cause No. PUD 201100106 Which Requires a Base Rate Case to be Filed by PSO and the Resulting Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 13-2386-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

2013

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300201: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for Commission Authorization of a Standby and Supplemental Service Rate Schedule.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 36989: Georgia Power's 2013 Rate Case.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Gulf Power Company.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 2020092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 12 of 23

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 267: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Transition Adjustment, Five-Year Cost of Service Opt-Out.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 13-0387: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariff Filing to Present the Illinois Commerce Commission with an Opportunity to Consider Revenue Neutral Tariff Changes Related to Rate Design Authorized by Subsection 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2013-0004: In Re: MidAmerican Energy Company.

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. EL12-061: In the Matter of the Application of Black Hills Power, Inc. for Authority to Increase its Electric Rates. (filed with confidential stipulation)

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 13-WSEE-629-RTS: In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 263: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Request for a General Rate Revision.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-028-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Docket No. PUE-2013-00020: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for a 2013 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130040-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric Company.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2013-59-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, for Authority to Adjust and Increase Its Electric Rates and Charges.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 262: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Request for a General Rate Revision.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER12111052: In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Jersey Central Power & Light Company For Review and Approval of Increases in and Other Adjustments to Its Rates and Charges For Electric Service, and For Approval of Other Proposed Tariff Revisions in Connection Therewith; and for Approval of an Accelerated Reliability Enhancement Program ("2012 Base Rate Filing")

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 1026: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 264: PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, 2014 Transition Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utilities Commission of California Docket No. 12-12-002: Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for 2013 Rate Design Window Proceeding.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 13 of 23

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket Nos. 12-426-EL-SSO, 12-427-EL-ATA, 12-428-EL-AAM, 12-429-EL-WVR, and 12-672-EL-RDR: In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company Approval of its Market Offer.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-12-961: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket E-2, Sub 1023: In the Matter of Application of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. For Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

2012

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 40443: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2012-218-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Increases and Adjustments in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs and Request for Mid-Period Reduction in Base Rates for Fuel.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-KCPE-764-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-GIMX-337-GIV: In the Matter of a General Investigation of Energy-Efficiency Policies for Utility Sponsored Energy Efficiency Programs.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 120015-EI: In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-10-002: Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) for Authority to Update Marginal Costs, Cost Allocation, and Electric Rate Design.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 11-035-200: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2012-00051: Application of Appalachian Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER11080469: In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric for Approval of Amendments to Its Tariff to Provide for an Increase in Rates and Charges for Electric Service Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.1 and For Other Appropriate Relief.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 39896: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 14 of 23

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EO-2012-0009: In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Notice of Intent to File an Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11AL-947E: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1597-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Changes Effective December 23, 2011.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0721: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariffs and Charges Submitted Pursuant to Section 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 38951: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Approval of Competitive Generation Service tariff (Issues Severed from Docket No. 37744).

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-06-007: Southern California Edison's General Rate Case, Phase 2.

2011

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224: In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201100087: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2011-271-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Authority to Adjust and Increase its Electric Rates and Charges.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2011-2256365: Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for Approval to Implement Reconciliation Rider for Default Supply Service.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 989: In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 110138: In Re: Petition for Increase in Rates by Gulf Power Company.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 11-06006: In the Matter of the Application of Nevada Power Company, filed pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers to recover the costs of constructing the Harry Allen Combined Cycle plant and other generating, transmission, and distribution plant additions, to reflect changes in the cost of capital, depreciation rates and cost of service, and for relief properly related thereto.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 998 and E-7, Sub 986: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Corporation and Progress Energy, Inc., to Engage in a Business Combination Transaction and to Address Regulatory Conditions and Codes of Conduct.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 15 of 23

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00037: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a 2011 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0279 and 11-0282 (cons.): Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service and Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00045: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-035-124: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Maryland Public Utilities Commission Case No. 9249: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light for an Increase in its Retail Rates for the Distribution of Electric Energy.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E002/GR-10-971: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-16472: In the Matter of the Detroit Edison Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

2010

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket No. 10-2586-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications, and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10A-554EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of a Number of Strategic Issues Relating to its DSM Plan, Including Long-Term Electric Energy Savings Goals, and Incentives.

Public Service Commission of West Virginia Case No. 10-0699-E-42T: Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company Rule 42T Application to Increase Electric Rates.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201000050: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 31958-U: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2010 Rate Case.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 16 of 23

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-100749: 2010 Pacific Power & Light Company General Rate Case.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-254E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Black Hills Energy's Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-245E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Public Service Company of Colorado Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase II*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 217: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER Request for a General Rate Revision.

Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2010-AD-57: In Re: Proposal of the Mississippi Public Service Commission to Possibly Amend Certain Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Verified Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan Pursuant to Ind. Code § 8-1-2.5-1, *ET SEQ.*, for the Offering of Energy Efficiency Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management Programs and Associated Rate Treatment Including Incentives Pursuant to a Revised Standard Contract Rider No. 66 in Accordance with Ind. Code §§ 8-1-2.5-1 *ET SEQ.* and 8-1-2-42 (a); Authority to Defer Program Costs Associated with its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; Authority to Implement New and Enhanced Energy Efficiency Programs, Including the Powershare® Program in its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; and Approval of a Modification of the Fuel Adjustment Clause Earnings and Expense Tests.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 37744: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and to Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2009-489-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Adjustments and Increases in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2009-00459: In the Matter of General Adjustments in Electric Rates of Kentucky Power Company.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00125: For acquisition of natural gas facilities Pursuant to § 56-265.4:5 B of the Virginia Code.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-010-U: In the Matter of a Notice of Inquiry Into Energy Efficiency.

Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 09-12-05: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-084-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. For Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 2020092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 17 of 23

Missouri Public Service Commission Docket No. ER-2010-0036: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Public Service Commission of Delaware Docket No. 09-414: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light Company for an Increase in Electric Base Rates and Miscellaneous Tariff Charges.

2009

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00030: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a Statutory Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase I*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-23: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority To Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 09AL-299E: Re: The Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado with Advice Letter No. 1535 – Electric.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-008-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Docket No. PUD 200800398: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 08-12002: In the Matter of the Application by Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, filed pursuant to NRS §704.110(3) and NRS §704.110(4) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers, begin to recover the costs of acquiring the Bighorn Power Plant, constructing the Clark Peak, Environmental Retrofits and other generating, transmission and distribution plant additions, to reflect changes in cost of service and for relief properly related thereto.

New Mexico Public Regulation Commission Case No. 08-00024-UT: In the Matter of a Rulemaking to Revise NMPRC Rule 17.7.2 NMAC to Implement the Efficient Use of Energy Act.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43580: Investigation by the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, of Smart Grid Investments and Smart Grid Information Issues Contained in 111(d) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. § 2621(d)), as Amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II (February 2009)*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2008-251-E: In the Matter of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s Application For the Establishment of Procedures to Encourage Investment in Energy

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 2020092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 18 of 23

Efficient Technologies; Energy Conservation Programs; And Incentives and Cost Recovery for Such Programs.

2008

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 08A-366EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for approval of its electric and natural gas demand-side management (DSM) plan for calendar years 2009 and 2010 and to change its electric and gas DSM cost adjustment rates effective January 1, 2009, and for related waivers and authorizations.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 07-035-93: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$161.2 Million Per Year, and for Approval of a New Large Load Surcharge.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan for the Offering of Energy Efficiency, Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 07-12001: In the Matter of the Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company for authority to increase its general rates charged to all classes of electric customers to reflect an increase in annual revenue requirement and for relief properly related thereto.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 07A-420E: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado For Authority to Implement and Enhanced Demand Side Management Cost Adjustment Mechanism to Include Current Cost Recovery and Incentives.

2007

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UG 173: In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Staff Request to Open an Investigation into the Earnings of Cascade Natural Gas.

2006

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 180/UE 181/UE 184: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY Request for a General Rate Revision.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 179: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY Request for a general rate increase in the company's Oregon annual revenues.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase II*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

2005

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I Compliance*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UX 29: In the Matter of QWEST CORPORATION Petition to Exempt from Regulation Qwest's Switched Business Services.

2004

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

TESTIMONY BEFORE LEGISLATIVE BODIES

2020

Regarding Missouri Senate Joint Resolution 34: Written testimony submitted to the Missouri Senate Transportation, Infrastructure and Public Safety Committee, January 30, 2020.

2019

Regarding North Carolina Senate Bill 559: Written testimony submitted to the North Carolina Committee on Agriculture/Environment/Natural Resources, April 17, 2019.

Regarding Missouri Senate Joint Resolution 25: Written testimony submitted to the Missouri Senate Committee on Judiciary, March 28, 2019.

Regarding South Carolina House Bill 3659: Written testimony submitted to the South Carolina Senate Committee on Judiciary, March 14, 2019.

Regarding Kansas Senate Bill 69: Written testimony submitted to the Kansas Committee on Utilities, February 19, 2019.

2018

Regarding Missouri Senate Bill 564: Testimony before the Missouri Senate Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, Energy and the Environment, January 10, 2018.

2017

Regarding Missouri Senate Bill 190: Testimony before the Missouri Senate Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, Energy and the Environment, January 25, 2017.

2016

Regarding Missouri House Bill 1726: Testimony before the Missouri House Energy and Environment Committee, April 26, 2016.

2014

Regarding Kansas House Bill 2460: Testimony Before the Kansas House Standing Committee on Utilities and Telecommunications, February 12, 2014.

2012

Regarding Missouri House Bill 1488: Testimony Before the Missouri House Committee on Utilities, February 7, 2012.

2011

Regarding Missouri Senate Bills 50, 321, 359, and 406: Testimony Before the Missouri Senate Veterans' Affairs, Emerging Issues, Pensions, and Urban Affairs Committee, March 9, 2011.

AFFIDAVITS

2015

Supreme Court of Illinois, Docket No. 118129, Commonwealth Edison Company et al., respondents, v. Illinois Commerce Commission et al. (Illinois Competitive Energy Association et al., petitioners). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District.

2011

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11M-951E: In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Company of Colorado Pursuant to C.R.S. § 40-6-111(1)(d) for Interim Rate Relief Effective on or before January 21, 2012.

ENERGY INDUSTRY PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Panelist, Expanding Future Procurement Options, REBA Connect: Virtual Member Summit 2020, May 13, 2020.

Panelist, Renewable Energy Options for Large Utility Customers, NARUC Center for Partnership & Innovation Webinar Series, January 16, 2020.

Panelist, Pathways to Integrating Customer Clean Energy Demand in Utility Planning, REBA: Market Innovation webinar, January 13, 2020.

Panelist, Should Full Electrification of Energy Systems be Our Goal? If it's No Longer Business as Usual, What Does That Mean for Consumers?, National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates 2019 Annual Meeting, San Antonio, Texas, November 18, 2019.

Panelist, Fleet Electrification, Federal Utility Partnership Working Group Seminar, Washington, DC, November 8, 2019.

Panelist, Tackling the Challenges of Extreme Weather, Edison Electric Institute Fall National Key Accounts Workshop, Las Vegas, Nevada, October 8, 2019.

Panelist, Fleet Electrification: Tackling the Challenges and Seizing the Opportunities for Electric Trucks, Powering the People 2019, Washington, D.C., September 24, 2019.

Panelist, From the Consumer Perspective, Mid-American Regulatory Conference 2019 Annual Meeting, Des Moines, Iowa, August 13, 2019.

Panelist, Redefining Resiliency: Emerging Technologies Benefiting Customers and the Grid, EPRI 2019 Summer Seminar, Chicago, Illinois, August 12, 2019.

Panelist, Energy Policies for Economic Growth, 2019 Energy Policy Summit, NCSL Legislative Summit, Nashville, Tennessee, August 5, 2019.

Panelist, Gateway to Energy Empowerment for Customers, Illumination Energy Summit, Columbus, Ohio, May 15, 2019.

Panelist, Advancing Clean Energy Solutions Through Stakeholder Collaborations, 2019 State Energy Conference of North Carolina, Raleigh, North Carolina, May 1, 2019.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 21 of 23

Panelist, Fleet Electrification: Getting Ready for the Transition, Edison Electric Institute Spring National Key Accounts Workshop, Seattle, Washington, April 8, 2019.

Panelist, Where the Fleet Meets the Pavement, Which Way to Electrification of the U.S. Transportation System?, Washington, D.C., April 4, 2019.

Panelist, Improving Renewable Energy Offerings: What Have We Learned?, Advanced Energy Economy Webinar, March 26, 2019.

Speaker, National Governors Association Southeast Regional Transportation Electrification Workshop, Nashville, Tennessee, March 11, 2019.

Speaker, Walmart Spotlight: A Day in the Life of a National Energy Manager, Touchstone Energy Cooperatives Net Conference 2019, San Diego, California, February 12, 2019.

Panelist, National Accounts: The Struggle is Real, American Public Power Association Customer Connections Conference, Orlando, Florida, November 6, 2018.

Panelist, Getting in Front of Customers Getting Behind the Meter Solutions, American Public Power Association Customer Connections Conference, Orlando, Florida, November 6, 2018.

Panelist, Sustainable Fleets: The Road Ahead for Electrifying Fleet Operations, EEI National Key Accounts 2018 Fall Workshop, San Antonio, Texas, October 23, 2018.

Panelist, Meeting Corporate Clean Energy Requirements in Virginia, Renewable Energy Buyers Alliance Summit, Oakland, California, October 15, 2018.

Panelist, What Are the Anticipated Impacts on Pricing and Reliability in the Changing Markets?, Southwest Energy Conference, Phoenix, Arizona, September 21, 2018.

Speaker, Walmart's Project Gigaton – Driving Renewable Energy Sourcing in the Supply Chain, Smart Energy Decisions Webcast Series, July 11, 2018.

Panelist, Customizing Energy Solutions, Edison Electric Institute Annual Convention, San Diego, California, June 7, 2018.

Powering Ohio Report Release, Columbus, Ohio, May 29, 2018.

Panelist, The Past, Present, and Future of Renewable Energy: What Role Will PURPA, Mandates, and Collaboration Play as Renewables Become a Larger Part of Our Energy Mix?, 36th National Regulatory Conference, Williamsburg, Virginia, May 17, 2018.

Panelist, Sustainability Milestone Deep Dive Session, Walmart Global Sustainability Leaders Summit, Bentonville, Arkansas, April 18, 2018.

Panelist, The Customer's Voice, Tennessee Valley Authority Distribution Marketplace Forum, Murfreesboro, Tennessee, April 3, 2018.

Panelist, Getting to Yes with Large Customers to Meet Sustainability Goals, The Edison Foundation Institute for Electric Innovation Powering the People, March 7, 2018.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 22 of 23

Panelist, The Corporate Quest for Renewables, 2018 NARUC Winter Policy Summit, Washington, D.C., February 13, 2018.

Panelist, Solar and Renewables, Touchstone Energy Cooperatives NET Conference 2018, St. Petersburg, Florida, February 6, 2018.

Panelist, Missouri Public Service Commission November 20, 2017 Workshop in File No. EW-2017-0245.

Panelist, Energy and Climate Change, 2017-18 Arkansas Law Review Symposium: Environmental Sustainability and Private Governance, Fayetteville, Arkansas, October 27, 2017.

Panelist, Customer – Electric Company – Regulator Panel, Edison Electric Institute Fall National Key Accounts Workshop, National Harbor, Maryland, October 12, 2017.

Panelist, What Do C&I Buyers Want, Solar Power International, Las Vegas, Nevada, September 12, 2017.

Panelist, Partnerships for a Sustainable Future, American Public Power Association National Conference, Orlando, Florida, June 20, 2017.

Panelist, Corporate Renewable Energy Buyers in the Southeast, SEARUC 2017, Greensboro, Georgia, June 12, 2017.

Panelist, Transitioning Away from Traditional Utilities, Utah Association of Energy Users Annual Conference, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 18, 2017.

Panelist, Regulatory Approaches for Integrating and Facilitating DERs, New Mexico State University Center for Public Utilities Advisory Council Current Issues 2017, Santa Fe, New Mexico, April 25, 2017.

Presenter, Advancing Renewables in the Midwest, Columbia, Missouri, April 24, 2017.

Panelist, Leveraging New Energy Technologies to Improve Service and Reliability, Edison Electric Institute Spring National Key Accounts Workshop, Phoenix, Arizona, April 11, 2017.

Panelist, Private Sector Demand for Renewable Power, Vanderbilt Law School, Nashville, Tennessee, April 4, 2017.

Panelist, Expanding Solar Market Opportunities, 2017 Solar Power Colorado, Denver, Colorado, March 15, 2017.

Panelist, Renewables: Are Business Models Keeping Up?, Touchstone Energy Cooperatives NET Conference 2017, San Diego, California, January 30, 2017.

Panelist, The Business Case for Clean Energy, Minnesota Conservative Energy Forum, St. Paul, Minnesota, October 26, 2016.

Panelist, M-RETS Stakeholder Summit, Minneapolis, Minnesota, October 5, 2016.

Panelist, 40th Governor's Conference on Energy & the Environment, Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet, Lexington, Kentucky, September 21, 2016.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
Witness Qualification Statement
Exhibit SWC-1, Page 23 of 23

Panelist, Trends in Customer Expectations, Wisconsin Public Utility Institute, Madison, Wisconsin, September 6, 2016.

Panelist, The Governor's Utah Energy Development Summit 2015, May 21, 2015.

Mock Trial Expert Witness, The Energy Bar Association State Commission Practice and Regulation Committee and Young Lawyers Committee and Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Section of the D.C. Bar, Mastering Your First (or Next) State Public Utility Commission Hearing, February 13, 2014.

Panelist, Customer Panel, Virginia State Bar 29th National Regulatory Conference, Williamsburg, Virginia, May 19, 2011.

Chriss, S. (2006). "Regulatory Incentives and Natural Gas Purchasing – Lessons from the Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Presented at the 19th Annual Western Conference, Center for Research in Regulated Industries Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition, Monterey, California, June 29, 2006.

Chriss, S. (2005). "Public Utility Commission of Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Salem, OR. Report published in June, 2005. Presented to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon at a special public meeting on August 1, 2005.

Chriss, S. and M. Radler (2003). "Report from Houston: Conference on Energy Deregulation and Restructuring." USAEE Dialogue, Vol. 11, No. 1, March, 2003.

Chriss, S., M. Dwyer, and B. Pulliam (2002). "Impacts of Lifting the Ban on ANS Exports on West Coast Crude Oil Prices: A Reconsideration of the Evidence." Presented at the 22nd USAEE/IAEE North American Conference, Vancouver, BC, Canada, October 6-8, 2002.

Contributed to chapter on power marketing: "Power System Operations and Electricity Markets," Fred I. Denny and David E. Dismukes, authors. Published by CRC Press, June 2002.

Contributed to "Moving to the Front Lines: The Economic Impact of the Independent Power Plant Development in Louisiana," David E. Dismukes, author. Published by the Louisiana State University Center for Energy Studies, October 2001.

Dismukes, D.E., D.V. Mesyanzhinov, E.A. Downer, S. Chriss, and J.M. Burke (2001). "Alaska Natural Gas In-State Demand Study." Anchorage: Alaska Department of Natural Resources.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause. : DOCKET NO. 20200092-EI
:
:

EXHIBIT SWC-2 OF
STEVE W. CHRISS
ON BEHALF OF
WALMART INC.

**BEFORE THE
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In re: Review of 2020-2029 Storm : DOCKET NO. 20200067-EI
Protection Plan pursuant to Rule 25-6.030, :
F.A.C., Tampa Electric Company. :

In re: Review of 2020-2029 Storm : DOCKET NO. 20200069-EI
Protection Plan pursuant to Rule 25-6.030, :
F.A.C., Duke Energy Florida, LLC. :

In re: Review of 2020-2029 Storm : DOCKET NO. 20200070-EI
Protection Plan pursuant to Rule 25-6.030, :
F.A.C., Gulf Power Company. :

In re: Review of 2020-2029 Storm : DOCKET NO. 20200071-EI
Protection Plan pursuant to Rule 25-6.030, :
F.A.C., Florida Power & Light Company. : Filed: July 20, 2020

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, Walmart Inc. ("Walmart"), Tampa Electric Company ("TECO"), Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF"), Gulf Power Company ("Gulf"), and Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") (collectively, "Companies") have signed this Stipulation;

WHEREAS, on April 29, 2020, Walmart submitted Petitions to Intervene in the four Storm Protection Plan ("SPP") Dockets: 20200067-EI (TECO); 20200069-EI (DEF); 20200070 (Gulf); and 20200071 (FPL) (collectively, "SPP Dockets");

WHEREAS, on July 13 2020, Walmart submitted its proposed Issues to the parties for inclusion in Staff's Issues list for the Hearing, which Issues were supported by Walmart's pre-filed Direct Testimony of its witnesses Steve W. Chriss and Lisa V. Perry, filed May 26, 2020;

WHEREAS, one of the proposed Issues that Walmart submitted was as follows:

Issue No. 1 Should the Commission reject the proposed illustrative SPP rate designs of DEF and Gulf, which recover SPP costs from demand-metered customers through a \$/kWh energy charge or defer that issue to the SPP Clause Docket, 20200092-EI?;

WHEREAS, on July 16, 2020, the parties participated in an Informal Conference during which the Companies and Staff opined that Walmart's proposed Issue No. 1 was an issue for the SPP Clause Docket, 20200092-EI;

WHEREAS, following the Informal Conference, Walmart contacted all interested parties regarding their position on its proposed Stipulation. The Office of Public Counsel and Florida Industrial Power Users Group indicated that they do not take a position. White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs has not responded as of the time of this filing; and

WHEREAS, based upon the Companies' agreement to defer the Issue, Walmart agrees to defer proposed Issue No. 1 to the SPP Clause Docket, 20200092-EI, upon entry of a Stipulation to that effect.

THEREFORE, Walmart hereby stipulates that its Issue No. 1 may be withdrawn from the SPP Dockets and deferred for the Commission's consideration in the SPP Clause Docket, 20200092-EI, and agrees that the parties may offer revisions to the phrasing of the issue in that Docket in accordance with the standard issue identification process.

July 20, 2020

By /s/Stephanie U. Eaton

Stephanie U. Eaton (FL State Bar No. 165610)

SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC

110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500

Winston-Salem, NC 27103

seaton@spilmanlaw.com

Counsel to Walmart Inc.

By /s/Malcolm N. Means

James D. Beasley
J. Jeffrey Wahlen
Malcolm N. Means
Ausley & McMullen
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com

Counsel to Tampa Electric Company

By /s/Matthew R. Bernier

Matthew R. Bernier
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301
matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Counsel to Duke Energy Florida, LLC

By /s/Christopher T. Wright _____

Christopher T. Wright
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
Christopher.wright@fpl.com

Counsel to Gulf Power Company

By /s/Christopher T. Wright _____

Christopher T. Wright
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
Christopher.wright@fpl.com

Counsel to Florida Power & Light Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail to the following parties this 20th day of July, 2020.

James D. Beasley
J. Jeffrey Wahlen
Malcolm N. Means
Ausley & McMullen
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302
jbeasley@ausley.com
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com

Paula Brown, Manager
Regulatory Coordination
Tampa Electric Company
P.O. Box 111
Tampa, FL 33601
regdept@tecoenergy.com

Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Dianne.Triplett@Duke-Energy.com

Matthew R. Bernier
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301
matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com
flregulatorylegal@duke-energy.com

Kenneth A. Hoffman
Mark Bubriski
Gulf Power Company
134 W. Jefferson Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
ken.hoffman@fpl.com
mark.bubriski@nexteraenergy.com

Russell A. Badders
Gulf Power Company
One Energy Place
Pensacola, FL 32520
russell.badders@nexteraenergy.com

John T. Burnett
Jason A. Higginbotham
Christopher T. Wright
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
john.t.burnett@fpl.com
jason.higginbotham@fpl.com
Christopher.wright@fpl.com

Charles Murphy
Rachael Dziechciarz
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Room 110
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us
rdziehc@psc.state.fl.us

Certificate of Service

Docket Nos. 20200067-EI, 20200069-EI, 20200070-EI, and 20200071-EI

Stipulation

Page 2

Exhibit SWC-2, Page 9 of 9

J. R. Kelly
Charles Rehwinkel
A. Mireille Fall-Fry
Thomas A. (Tad) David
Patricia A. Christensen
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
fall-fry.mireille@leg.state.fl.us
david.tad@leg.state.fl.us
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us

James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Suite 800 West
Washington, DC 20007-5201
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr., Esquire
Karen A. Putnal, Esquire
c/o Moyle Law Firm
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee FL 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com

/s/ Stephanie U. Eaton
Stephanie U. Eaton

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause. : DOCKET NO. 20200092-EI
:
:

EXHIBIT SWC-3 OF
STEVE W. CHRISS
ON BEHALF OF
WALMART INC.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
DEF's Resp. to Walmart's First Set of Interrogatories, Int. No. 3, and POD No. 1
Exhibit SWC-3, Page 1 of 3
BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost
Recovery Clause

Docket No. 20200092-EI

Dated: August 26, 2020

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC'S RESPONSE TO
WALMART INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NOS. 1-4)

Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF") responds to Walmart Inc.'s ("Walmart") First Set of Interrogatories to DEF (Nos. 1-4) as follows:

INTERROGATORIES

1. Under the proposed Settlement Agreement among DEF, the Florida Industrial Power Users Group ("FIPUG"), White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs ("PCS"), and Office of Public Counsel ("OPC") in the Storm Protection Plan ("SPP") Cost Recovery Clause Docket, 20200092-EI, is DEF's intent is to recover from ratepayers the cost of its SPP programs in 2021 through an energy charge?

Response:

Yes. The first Settlement Agreement filed on July 17, 2020 in Paragraph 7(b) addresses the allocation of costs. Under the second proposed Settlement Agreement filed on August 10, 2020 in Docket No. 20200092-EI the Parties agree that DEF should implement the SPPCRC rate factors as shown on DEF exhibit TGF-1, page 14, for 2021, but that such rates shall not be deemed precedential for future SPPCRC purposes.

FIPUG was not a signatory but counsel for FIPUG indicated they take no position on the motions.

2. Is it DEF's position that using an energy charge instead of a demand charge adequately reflects cost causation on an intra-class basis? If so, please explain?

Response:

Yes. Please refer to Witness Foster's rebuttal testimony in Docket 20200069-EI, filed on July 1, 2020, generally Section IV discusses DEF's billing methodology, and specifically DEF's position on this question is discussed on Page 10 lines 15-21.

3. Is DEF aware if the other Florida Investor-Owned Utilities ("IOUs") – Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL"), Gulf Power Company ("Gulf"), and Tampa Electric Company ("TECO") – intend to recover the cost of their respective SPP programs in 2021 through a demand charge?

Response:

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
DEF's Resp. to Walmart's First Set of Interrogatories, Int. No. 3, and POD No. 1
Exhibit SWC-3, Page 2 of 3

DEF is without specific knowledge of the other companies' specific intent; it appears from the filings the other companies have agreed to bill on a demand basis from demand customers in 2021.

4. Is DEF aware if the other Florida IOUs – FPL, Gulf, and TECO – intend to recover the cost of their respective SPP programs after 2021 through a demand charge?

Response:

DEF is without specific knowledge of the other companies' future intentions and the agreements filed by each company speak for themselves.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200092-EI
DEF's Resp. to Walmart's First Set of Interrogatories, Int. No. 3, and POD No. 1
Exhibit SWC-3, Page 3 of 3
BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Storm Protection Plan Cost
Recovery Clause

Docket No. 20200092-EI

Dated: August 25, 2020

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC'S RESPONSE TO
WALMART INC.'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (NO. 1)

Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF") responds to Walmart Inc.'s ("Walmart") First Request for Production of Documents (No. 1) as follows:

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

1. Please produce all documents relied upon to respond to these Interrogatories.

Response:

DEF has no responsive documents other than those publicly available in this docket. For Interrogatory 1.1, please see the 2020 SPP/SPPCRC Agreement Filed July 17, 2020 and the Settlement Agreement filed August 10, 2020. For Interrogatory 1.2, please see Geoff Foster's prefiled direct testimony and for Interrogatory 1.3, please see the filings/testimonies/settlement agreements filed by FPL, Gulf Power, and TECO in this docket.