AUSLEY MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

123 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

August 19, 2021

VIA: ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. Adam J. Teitzman Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket 20210034-EI, Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric Company

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Attached for filing in the above docket is Tampa Electric Company's Response to Staff's Second Data Request (No. 1), propounded on August 12, 2021.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

J. Æffry Wahlen

JJW/ne Attachment

cc: All parties of record

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Tampa Electric Company's responses to Staff's 2nd Data Request (No. 1), have been furnished by electronic mail on this 19th day of August 2021 to the following:

Charles Murphy
Theresa Tan
Melinda Marzicol
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us
ltan@psc.state.fl.us
mmarzico@psc.state.fl.us

Richard Gentry
Charles Rehwinkel
Anastacia Pirrello
Stephanie Morse
Mary Wessling
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
gentry.richard@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
pirrello.anastacia@leg.state.fl.us
morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us

Stephanie U. Eaton Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500 Winston-Salem, NC 27103 seaton@spilmanlaw.com

Barry A. Naum Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, PA 17050 bnaum@spilmanlaw.com Florida Industrial Power Users Group Jon Moyle Karen Putnal c/o Moyle Law Firm 118 N. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com mqualls@moylelaw.com

Federal Executive Agencies
Thomas A. Jernigan
Holly L. Buchanan, Maj, USAF
Scott L. Kirk, Maj, USAF
Arnold Braxton, TSgt, USAF
Ebony M. Payton
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1
Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida 32403
thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil
holly.buchanan.1@us.af.mil
scott.kirk.2@us.af.mil
arnold.braxton@us.af.mil
ebony.payton.ctr@us.af.mil

Mr. Robert Scheffel Wright
John LaVia, III
Gardner, Bist, Wiener, Wadsworth, Bowden,
Bush, Dee, LaVia & Wright, P.A.
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, FL 32308
shef@gbwlegal.com
jlavia@gbwlegal.com

WCF Hospital Utility Alliance
Mark F. Sundback
William M. Rappolt
Andrew P. Mina
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP
2099 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 100
Washington, D.C. 20006-6801
msundback@sheppardmullin.com
wrappolt@sheppardmullin.com
amina@sheppardmullin.com

TORNEY

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 1 BATES PAGES: 1 - 3 FILED: AUGUST 19, 2021

- 1. Please refer to TECO's 2021 Stipulation and Settlement Agreement (SA), Exhibit G "Depreciation Calculations," TECO witness Avellan's Direct Testimony and MFR Schedules B-7 and B-9 for the questions below:
 - a. The afore-referenced Direct Testimony, page 30, and the MFR Schedule B-9, page 10 of 30, indicated that the projected 2022 total depreciation amount, when using TECO's originally proposed depreciation rates, is \$493,324,106. However, the SA Exhibit G, page 6, presented that the 2022 depreciation amount is \$488,021,816 under "Original Proposed 2022 Depr Rates." Please explain the difference.
 - b. Specifically for the General Plant Group, the Direct Testimony, page 30, and the MFR Schedule B-9, page 8 of 30, Column (4) on Line 40, indicated that the projected 2022 depreciation accrual amount is \$30,351,000 (or, \$4,986,730 for Vehicles and \$25,364,420 for General which makes the total of \$30,351,150) when using TECO's originally proposed depreciation rates. In contrast, the SA Exhibit G, page 6, indicated that the 2022 depreciation amount for the General Plant Group is \$25,620,467 under "Original Proposed 2022 Depr Rates." Please explain the difference and elaborate on why the Vehicle-related depreciation amount is not included in the SA Exhibit G.
 - c. Referring to SA Exhibit G, page 6, please clarify whether the Vehicle-related depreciation amount is included in the calculated depreciation amount under "Revised Depr Rate 2022 Total," and provide explanation if it is not included.
 - d. MFR Schedule B-7, page 8 of 30, indicated that at the beginning of 2022, General Plant Accounts 39202 "Light Trucks Energy Delivery" and 39203 Heavy Trucks Energy Delivery" would have plant balances of \$23,754,000 and 53,940,000, respectively. MFR Schedule B-9, page 8 of 30, indicated that the 2022 "Total Depreciation Accrued" in these accounts would be \$1,926,000 and 2,805,000, respectively. However, these two accounts are not included in the SA Exhibit G "Depreciation Calculations," pages 1-6. Please explain why.

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 1 BATES PAGES: 1 - 3

FILED: AUGUST 19. 2021

A. a. There is a reconciliation between reserve accruals on MFR Schedule B-9 and depreciation expense on MFR Schedule C-6 in FERC 403, 404 and 406, which the 2021 Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("2021 Agreement") Exhibit G was designed to support the settlement amount of \$376,000,000.

ARO plant account reserve accruals are balance sheet deferred not expensed. FP&L and Union Hall acquisition adjustment plant account reserve accruals are below the line expensed in FERC 425. ED Transportation L/H Vehicle plant account reserve accruals are an allocation of expense. LED Depreciation Expense is a 373.00 Lighting reserve adjustment that is expensed and recovered through the ECCR clause.

2021 Agreement Exhibit G supports MFR Schedule C-6 = \$488,021,816 MFR Schedule B-9 total reserve accruals = \$493,324,106 Reconciliation Difference = (\$5,302,290)

MFR Schedule B-9 reverse accruals not included on MFR Schedule C-6		
114.02-FPL Acquisition Adj	= (\$	41,901)
114.03-Union Hall Acquisition Adj	= (\$	9,059)
317.00 ARO Costs-Steam	= (\$	5,014,177)
347.00 ARO Costs-Other	= (\$	321,687)
374.00 ARO Costs-Distribution	= (\$	147,003)
399.10 ARO Costs-General	= \$	10,580)
392.02 ED Trans Equip - L Vehicle	= (\$	1,925,813)
392.03 ED Trans Equip - H Vehicle	= (\$	2,804,871)
Subtotal	= (\$ '	10.275.090)

MFR Schedule B-9 reserve adjustment included on MFR Schedule C-6 373.00 Street Light & Signal Sys = \$ 4,972,800

Reconciliation Difference Explained = (\$ 5,302,290)

b. ED Transportation L/H Vehicle plant account reserve accruals are an allocation of expense not included on MFR Schedule C-6 for depreciation expense.

2021 Agreement Exhibit G supports MFR Schedule C-6 = \$ 25,620,467 MFR Schedule B-9 General Plant Group = \$ 30,351,000 Reconciliation Difference = (\$ 4,730,533)

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST REQUEST NO. 1 BATES PAGES: 1 - 3 FILED: AUGUST 19, 2021

MFR Schedule B-9 reverse accruals not included on MFR Schedule C-6 392.02 ED Trans Equip - L Vehicle = (\$ 1,925,813) 392.03 ED Trans Equip - H Vehicle = (\$ 2,804,871) $\frac{\text{MFR Schedule B-9 rounding in } \$ \times 1,000}{\text{Reconciliation Difference Explained}}$ = (\$ 4,730,533)

- c. Please see response to a and b above.
- d. Please see response to a and b above.