CORRESPONDENCE 3/17/2022 DOCUMENT NO. 01969-2022

Antonia Hover

From: Antonia Hover on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2022 9:27 AM

To: 'mhealey@c2iinc.com'
Cc: Consumer Contact

Subject: FW: Docket #20200226-SU Central Sewer

Attachments: Central Sewer.docx

Good Morning, Mark Healey.

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20200226, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Thank you!

Toní Hover

Commission Deputy Clerk I Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399

Phone: (850) 413-6467

From: Mark G. Healey <mhealey@c2iinc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 9:07 PM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: Docket #20200226-SU Central Sewer

Please see attached letter

Thanks,

Mark G. Healey

Cell: (678)-576-7223 Office:(404) 351-1085

Mark Healey 5069 Bocilla Dr. Placida, Fl 33946

March 16, 2022

Reference: Proposed sewer project on Palm Island Docket #20200226-SU

My wife and I live on Palm/Don Pedro Island.

We understand a private utility company (Environmental Utilities LLC) is attempting to gain the right to build a central sewer on the island(s). As an engineer who works in the water and wastewater industry for the municipal market, I find what has transpired very concerning. On a typical municipal project, the County would hire a consulting engineering firm to provide a study and determine the need for the project. As far as I know there has been no study to determine the need for central sewer on the island.

After the study and assuming a need is determined, a consulting engineering firm would perform a design. Once again, I know of no independent design that has been submitted for approval.

Once a need is established, a design approved and a budget submitted the project typically will go out to public bid to <u>qualified</u> contractors. Although there are different ways to implement a project including various design-build methods this project has somehow has not gone down the acceptable path:

- No need has been established
- No independent design completed

In addition, Environmental Utilities has not completed any other projects of similar scope and complexity and would not be considered qualified.

Also, Environmental Utilities has not provided evidence they have the financial resources to perform the project.

In addition to lack of need and design the Environmental Utilities proposal will cause a significant initial cost (and continuing additional costs) to the residents and a negative impact to our yards.

We urge the Public Service Commission to deny this request.

Sincerely,

Mark G. Healey