CORRESPONDENCE 10/19/2022 DOCUMENT NO. 09623-2022

Iris Rollins

From: Office of Chairman Fay

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2022 4:41 PM **To:** Commissioner Correspondence

Subject: FW: Minimum Base Bill

Please place the email below in Docket No. 20210015

Thanks

From: Danni Sadler <bli>dateduo@comcast.net>

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2022 12:31 PM

To: Office of Chairman Fay <Commissioner.Fay@psc.state.fl.us>

Subject: Minimum Base Bill

Chairman Fay... I read over the narrative accompanying your picture and the accomplishments described were very impressive. I am, however, outraged by the recent disclosure (8 days ago) on my FPL bill that a bill was passed in January – by you and your fellow cohorts – making it mandatory for those of us who consume less than \$25 in electric service to have \$25 extra tacked on to our monthly charge!!! This, so we are supposed to believe, to help make things more fair for those who don't have solar and/or simply don't use much power. Do you think we are really that stupid? Installing solar was (and still is) touted as the way to go to reduce future electric bills, to do our part to create reusable energy and lessen the need for fossil fuels, and to earn that oh so desirable tax credit on 26% of the cost. How dare you have the audacity to bamboozle the folks who were led to believe all of the above would occur? My husband and I indebted ourselves for more than \$60,000, payable over 20 years so that we could achieve what was being offered.

How did I find out that the FL Public Service Commission was responsible? It wasn't easy. The FPL website isn't telling. A search there on *minimum base bill* returns nothing – even though the words "minimum base bill" now appear in the billing statement. And, for the most part, using other search engines won't return it either. Basically, they will divulge what the bill is, but not who created it. I guess I got lucky when I finally was able to determine it was you. I say it was YOU because it was passed in January, the 1st month of your chairmanship. I guess the news release commending the governor for vetoing FPL's request to be allowed to rebate to solar users -- for kwh sold back -- only a fraction of what they charge per kwh used was a sneaky way to keep the public from knowing what was really going on. You know, kind of like instilling a false sense of relief that the original terms would stay in play. Silly us.

You and your fellow commissioners should be ashamed of yourselves. You all know that having solar is NOT going to raise the monthly costs for the customers who don't have it. It should make electric power more available where it is needed for, oh I don't know, all those electric cars that are supposed to be dotting the highways soon, or maybe the elimination of brownouts on heavy usage days, or...??? I hope that when more people become aware of this travesty, particularly people in influential positions, you and your group will be held accountable and possibly forced to rescind this abomination. If I can do anything to make that happen you can be assured I will.

Danni Sadler