## FILED 11/28/2022 DOCUMENT NO. 11730-2022 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORID.	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	
4		DOCKET NO. 20220001-EI
5	In re: Fuel and p	
6	performance incen	use with generating tive factor. /
7		/
8		VOLUME 3
9		PAGES 336 - 516
10	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING
11	COMMISSIONERS	
12	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ANDREW GILES FAY COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
13		COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER MIKE LA ROSA
14		COMMISSIONER GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO
15	DATE:	M Thursday, November 18, 2022
16	TIME:	Commenced: 9:30 a.m. Concluded: 4:57 p.m.
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
18		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
19		Tallahassee, Florida
20	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter
21	APPEARANCES:	(As heretofore noted.)
22		
23		PREMIER REPORTING 112 W. 5TH AVENUE
24		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828
25		

1	I N D E X	
2	WITNESS:	PAGE
3		
4	GARY P. DEAN	
5	Examination by Mr. Bernier	342 345
6	Prefiled Direct Testimony inserted Examination by Mr. Rehwinkel	345 386 449
7	Examination by Mr. Brew Examination by Mr. Wright	468 490
8	Examination by Mr. Moyle Examination by Ms. Brownless Further Examination by Mr. Bernier	490 510 511
9	Further Examination by Mr. Bernier	211
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1		EXHIBITS		
2	NUMBER:		ID	ADMITTED
3	70	Midcourse Correction Letter	341	513
4	71	Rule 2506.0424 FAC	341	513
5	72	Estimated Actual Petition	342	513
6	73	Duke's Midcourse Correction Letter	469	514
7	74	Estimated impact of recovery	470	514
9	75	Transcript from 8/3/2021 Agenda Conference	471	514
10	2-7	As identified in the CEL		512
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Transcript follows in sequence from Volume 3 2.) 4 CHAIRMAN FAY: All right. Good morning, 5 What I am going to do it first is give a everyone. little structure to our day just so we can plan 6 7 accordingly with counsel and witnesses as to how we 8 are going to move forward. 9 The plan is to take up our four witnesses this 10 morning and go through cross and any redirect on 11 those. And then depending on where those end at 12 some point, we will probably take a break at that 13 time after some discussion about the best way to 14 proceed, either formally, as mentioned kind of in 15 the Prehearing Order, or potentially through the 16 closing argument process. So at that time we can 17 take that up and just be prepared to do that. And 18 then as we come back this afternoon, we would 19 either be making a decision at that point, or 20 potentially moving forward with either a briefing 21 or a recommendation process. 22 So that's our plan for the day. I wish I 23 could give you sort of a more general time as to 24 when lunch will be, but it will depend on when we 25 break for those witnesses.

1 Also with that, I want to make sure that we 2 have our colleague, Commissioner Passidomo, on the 3 line just to confirm that she's present for this 4 part of the hearing. 5 MS. PASSIDOMO: I am on the line. Thanks, Mr. Chairman. 6 7 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Good to hear 8 you, Commissioner Passidomo. 9 All right. With that, Commissioners, let me 10 make sure that we don't have any preliminary matters, Ms. Brownless, at this time. 11 12 Okay. Duke, if you can bring your witness 13 back up. 14 MR. BERNIER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We 15 call Gary Dean to the stand, please. 16 Mr. Chairman, while he is MR. REHWINKEL: 17 coming up, would you like to identify these 18 exhibits? 19 CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. Let's go ahead and do 20 that. 21 MR. REHWINKEL: So I have the first exhibit to 22 give a number to would be the, what I have entitled 23 Midcourse Correction Letter, and this is the four 24 midcourse correction letters filed by the 25 companies.

1 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. 2 MR. REHWINKEL: Would 70 be the next number? 3 Okay. 4 Yes. MS. BROWNLESS: 5 CHAIRMAN FAY: I also have 70. Is that 6 correct, Ms. Brownless? 7 MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. 8 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. 9 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 70 was marked for 10 identification.) 11 MR. REHWINKEL: Then the next one would be the 12 Midcourse Correction Rule, 25-6.0424. 13 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. 71. 14 MR. REHWINKEL: That's 71. 15 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 71 was marked for 16 identification.) 17 MR. REHWINKEL: And then I have an exhibit 18 that is just the petition without all the 19 attachments for July 27th, 2022, for the four 20 companies. 21 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. 22 MR. REHWINKEL: We will make that 72? 23 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yep. 24 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Sure.

1 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 72 was marked for 2 identification.) 3 CHAIRMAN FAY: All right. With that, Mr. 4 Bernier, you are recognized whenever you are ready. 5 MR. BERNIER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Oh, actually, Mr. Bernier, let 6 CHAIRMAN FAY: 7 me do this first. Let's take Mr. Dean, and we have 8 Mr. Yupp, Bores and Ms. Rusk in the house. Ιf 9 y'all could just stand real quick, we will go ahead 10 and swear all four you in make sure we do that in 11 one swoop. 12 (Whereupon, all witnesses were sworn in by 13 Chairman Fay.) 14 CHAIRMAN FAY: Let the record show that all four witnesses confirmed. 15 16 With that, you can sit back down, Mr. Dean. Ι 17 will turn you over to Mr. Bernier. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 MR. BERNIER: 19 Whereupon, 20 GARY P. DEAN 21 was called as a witness, having been previously duly 22 sworn to speak the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 23 but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 24 EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. BERNIER:

1	Q Welcome back, Mr. Dean.
2	Will you please state your name and provide
3 3	your business address for the record?
4	A My name is Gary Dean. Business address is 299
5 1	lst Avenue North, St. Petersburg, Florida, 33701.
6	Q And you were just sworn in as a witness,
7 0	correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Thank you.
10	And for whom do you work and what is your job
11 t	title?
12	A I work with Duke Energy Florida, LLC. My job
13 t	title is Rates and Regulatory Strategy Manager.
14	Q And thank you.
15	And have you prepared and caused to be filed
16 1	in this proceeding amended true-up testimony and
17 e	exhibits on May 18th, 2022?
18	A Yes, I have.
19	Q Thank you.
20	And have you caused to be filed prepared
21	direct testimony on July 27th, 2022, along with an
22 e	exhibit?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Thank you.
25	And have you also caused to be prepared and

1	filed on September 2nd, 2022, direct testimony and an
2	exhibit?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And do you have those testimonies and exhibits
5	with you today?
6	A I do.
7	Q Thank you.
8	Do you have any corrections to make?
9	A No.
10	Q Thank you.
11	And if I were to ask you the same questions
12	here today, would your answers be the same?
13	A They would. Yes.
14	Q All right. Thank you very much.
15	MR. BERNIER: Mr. Chairman, we would ask that
16	those testimonies be read into the record.
17	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Without objection, show
18	that testimony entered into the record as though
19	read.
20	MR. BERNIER: Thank you.
21	(Whereupon, prefiled direct testimony of Gary
22	P. Dean was inserted.)
23	
24	
25	
L	

**DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC** DOCKET NO. 20220001-EI Fuel and Capacity Cost Recovery Actual True-Up for the Period January 2021 - December 2021 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF Gary P. Dean April 1, 2022 Please state your name and business address. Q. Α. My name is Gary P. Dean. My business address is 299 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701. By whom are you employed and in what capacity? Q. I am employed by Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF" or the "Company"), as Α. Rates and Regulatory Strategy Manager. What are your responsibilities in that position? Q. Α. I am responsible for regulatory planning and cost recovery for DEF. These responsibilities include completion of regulatory financial reports and analysis of state, federal and local regulations and their impacts on DEF. In this capacity, I am responsible for DEF's Final True-Up, Actual/Estimated Projection and Projection Filings in the Fuel Adjustment Clause, Capacity Cost Recovery Clause and Environmental Cost Recovery Clause.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

345

Q. Please describe your educational background and professional 17 experience. 18

I joined DEF on April 27, 2020 as the Rates and Regulatory Strategy Α. 1 Manager. Prior to working at DEF, I was the Senior Manager, Optimization 2 for Chesapeake Utilities Corporation ("CUC"). In this role, I was 3 responsible for all pricing related to the company's natural gas retail 4 business. Prior to working at CUC, I was the General Manager, Electric 5 Operations for South Jersey Energy Company ("SJEC"). In that capacity I 6 held P&L and strategic development responsibility for the company's 7 electric retail book. Prior to working at SJEC I had various positions 8 associated with rates and regulatory affairs. In these positions I was 9 responsible for all rate and regulatory matters, including tariff and rate 10 11 design, financial modeling and analysis, and ensuring accurate rates for I received a Master of Business Administration from Rutgers 12 billing. University and a Bachelor of Science degree in Commerce and 13 14 Engineering, majoring in Finance, from Drexel University.

- 15
- 16

# Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide DEF's Fuel Adjustment Clause
 final true-up amount for the period of January 2021 through December 2021,
 and DEF's Capacity Cost Recovery Clause final true-up amount for the same
 period.

- 21
- 22

# Q. Have you prepared exhibits to your testimony?

- 2 -

Yes, I have prepared and attached to my true-up testimony as Exhibit No. Α. 1 (GPD-1T), a Fuel Adjustment Clause true-up calculation and related 2 schedules; Exhibit No. (GPD-2T), a Capacity Cost Recovery Clause true-3 up calculation and related schedules; Exhibit No. (GPD-3T), Schedules A1 4 through A3, A6, and A12 for December 2021, year-to-date; and Exhibit No. 5 (GPD-4T), with DEF's capital structure and cost rates. Schedules A1 6 through A9, and A12 for the year ended December 31, 2021, were filed with 7 the Commission on January 20, 2022. 8 9 What is the source of the data that you will present by way of testimony 10 Q. 11 or exhibits in this proceeding? Unless otherwise indicated, the actual data is taken from the books and 12 Α. records of the Company. The books and records are kept in the regular 13 14 course of business in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices, and provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts 15 16 as prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and any 17 accounting rules and orders established by this Commission. The Company 18 relies on the information included in this testimony and exhibits in the conduct of its affairs. 19 20 Would you please summarize your testimony? 21 Q.

A. Per Order No. PSC-2022-0061-PCO-EI, the total estimated 2021 period ending fuel under-recovery is \$415.46 million. The actual under-recovery for

1		2021 is \$412.52 million, resulting in a final fuel adjustment true-up over-
2		recovery amount of \$2.94 million. Exhibit No(GPD-1T).
3		
4		Per Order Nos. PSC-2021-0442-FOF-EI and PSC-2021-0442A-FOF-EI, the
5		estimated 2021 capacity cost recovery true-up amount was an over-recovery
6		of \$2.7 million. The actual amount for 2021 was an over-recovery of \$6.0
7		million, resulting in a final capacity true-up over-recovery amount of \$3.3
8		million. Exhibit No(GPD-2T).
9		
10		FUEL COST RECOVERY
11	Q.	What is DEF's jurisdictional ending balance as of December 31, 2021
12		for fuel cost recovery?
13	Α.	The actual ending balance as of December 31, 2021 for true-up purposes is
14		an under-recovery of \$412,524,152, as shown on Exhibit No. (GPD-1T).
15		
16	Q.	How does this amount compare to DEF's estimated 2021 ending
17		balance included in the Company's December 17, 2021 Midcourse
18		Filing?
19	Α.	The actual true-up amount for the January 2021 - December 2021 period is
20		an under-recovery of \$412,524,152, which is \$2,934,170 lower than the re-
21		projected year end under-recovery balance of \$415,458,323, as shown on
22		Exhibit No(GPD-1T).
23		
		- 4 -

1 C 2 A 3 4 5
3 4
4
5
6
7 0
8
9 A
10
11
12
13 <b>G</b>
14
15
16
17 A
17
18
18
18 19
18 19 20
17 A

purchases, partially offset by lower coal generation and qualifying facilities 1 2 costs. 3 Does this period ending true-up balance include any noteworthy 4 Q. adjustments to fuel expense? 5 Α. Yes. Noteworthy adjustments are shown on Exhibit No. (GPD-3T) in the 6 footnote to line 6b on page 1 of 2, Schedule A2. 7 8 9 Consistent with Order No. PSC-2018-0240-PAA-EQ dated May 8, 2018, DEF included an adjustment of approximately \$13.20 million system (\$13.13 10 million retail) for amortization of the Florida Power Development, LLC 11 qualifying facility regulatory asset partially offset by a credit of approximately 12 \$7.21 million system (\$7.21 million retail) related to Crystal River 4 13 14 replacement power costs approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0466-FOF-EI. These adjustments are shown on Exhibit No. (GPD-3T), in the footnotes 15 16 to Line 6b on page 1 of 2, Schedule A2, and on line 3, page 1 of 2, Schedule 17 A1. 18 Q. Did DEF make an adjustment for changes in coal inventory based on an 19 20 **Aerial Survey?** Yes. DEF included an adjustment of \$4.7 million to coal inventory attributable 21 Α. 22 to the semi-annual aerial surveys conducted on May 4, 2021 and October 26, 23 2021, in accordance with Order No. PSC-1997-0359-FOF-EI, Docket No.

1		19970001-EI. This adjustment represents 2.85% of the total coal consumed
2		at the Crystal River facility in 2021.
3		
4	Q.	Did DEF exceed the economy sales threshold in 2021?
5	Α.	Yes. DEF did exceed the gain on economy sales threshold of \$1.7 million in
6		2021. As reported on Schedule A1-2, Line 11a, the gain for the year-to-date
7		period through December 2021 was \$2.9 million. Consistent with Order No.
8		PSC-01-2371-FOF-EI, shareholders retain 20% of the gain in excess of the
9		three-year rolling average. For 2021, that amount is approximately \$0.2
10		million.
11		
12	Q.	Has the three-year rolling average gain on economy sales included in
13		the Company's filing for the November 2021 hearings been updated to
14		incorporate actual data for all of year 2021?
15	Α.	Yes. DEF has calculated its three-year rolling average gain on economy
16		sales, based entirely on actual data for calendar years 2019 through 2021,
17		as follows:
18		
19		Year <u>Actual Gain</u>
20		2019 \$ 1,649,136
21		2020 \$ 1,223,709
22		2021 <u>\$2,855,389</u>
23		Three-Year Average <u>\$1,909,411</u>

1		
2		CAPACITY COST RECOVERY
3		
4	Q.	What is the Company's jurisdictional ending balance as of December
5		31, 2021 for capacity cost recovery?
6	Α.	The actual ending balance as of December 31, 2021 for true-up purposes is
7		an over-recovery of \$6,031,782, as shown on Exhibit No. (GPD-2T).
8		
9	Q.	How does this amount compare to the estimated 2021 ending balance
10		included in the Company's Actual/Estimated Filing?
11	Α.	When the estimated 2021 over-recovery of \$2,718,273 is compared to the
12		\$6,031,782 actual over-recovery, the final capacity true-up for the twelve-
13		month period ended December 2021 is an over-recovery of \$3,313,509, as
14		shown on Exhibit No(GPD-2T).
15		
16	Q.	Is this true-up calculation consistent with the true-up methodology
17		used for the other cost recovery clauses?
18	Α.	Yes. The calculation of the final net true-up amount follows the procedures
19		established by the Commission.
20		
21	Q.	What factors contributed to the actual period-end capacity over-
22		recovery of \$3.3 million?

1	Α.	Exhibit No (GPD-2T, sheet 1 of 3) compares actual results to the original
2		projection for the period. The \$3.3 million over-recovery is primarily due to
3		lower capacity costs.
4		
5		OTHER MATTERS
6		
7	Q.	What capital structure and cost rates did DEF rely on to calculate the
8		revenue requirement rate of return for the period January 2021 through
9		December 2021?
10	Α.	DEF used the capital structure and cost rates consistent with the language in
11		Order No. PSC-2020-0165-PAA-EU. The capital structure and cost rates
12		relied on to calculate the revenue requirement rate of return for the period
13		January 2021 through December 2021 are shown on Exhibit No(GPD-
14		4T).
15		
16	Q.	Does this conclude your direct true-up testimony?
17	Α.	Yes.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

**DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC** 

354

### DOCKET NO. 20220001-EI

# Fuel and Capacity Cost Recovery Actual True-Up for the Period January 2021 - December 2021

# AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF Gary P. Dean

May 18, 2022 Please state your name and business address. 1 Q. Α. My name is Gary P. Dean. My business address is 299 First Avenue North, 2 St. Petersburg, Florida 33701. 3 4 By whom are you employed and in what capacity? 5 Q. I am employed by Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF" or the "Company"), as 6 Α. Rates and Regulatory Strategy Manager. 7 8 What are your responsibilities in that position? 9 Q. 10 Α. I am responsible for regulatory planning and cost recovery for DEF. These responsibilities include completion of regulatory financial reports and 11 analysis of state, federal and local regulations and their impacts on DEF. In 12 this capacity, I am responsible for DEF's Final True-Up, Actual/Estimated 13 Projection and Projection Filings in the Fuel Adjustment Clause, Capacity 14 Cost Recovery Clause and Environmental Cost Recovery Clause. 15 16 Q. Please describe your educational background and professional 17 experience. 18

I joined DEF on April 27, 2020 as the Rates and Regulatory Strategy Α. 1 Manager. Prior to working at DEF, I was the Senior Manager, Optimization 2 for Chesapeake Utilities Corporation ("CUC"). In this role, I was 3 responsible for all pricing related to the company's natural gas retail 4 business. Prior to working at CUC, I was the General Manager, Electric 5 Operations for South Jersey Energy Company ("SJEC"). In that capacity I 6 held P&L and strategic development responsibility for the company's 7 electric retail book. Prior to working at SJEC I had various positions 8 associated with rates and regulatory affairs. In these positions I was 9 responsible for all rate and regulatory matters, including tariff and rate 10 11 design, financial modeling and analysis, and ensuring accurate rates for I received a Master of Business Administration from Rutgers 12 billing. University and a Bachelor of Science degree in Commerce and 13 14 Engineering, majoring in Finance, from Drexel University.

- 15
- 16

### Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide DEF's Fuel Adjustment Clause
 final true-up amount for the period of January 2021 through December 2021,
 and DEF's Capacity Cost Recovery Clause final true-up amount for the same
 period.

- 21
- 22

# Q. Have you prepared exhibits to your testimony?

- 2 -

Yes, I have prepared and attached to my true-up testimony as Exhibit No. Α. 1 (GPD-1T), a Fuel Adjustment Clause true-up calculation and related 2 schedules; Exhibit No. (GPD-2T), a Capacity Cost Recovery Clause true-3 up calculation and related schedules; Exhibit No. (GPD-3T), Schedules A1 4 through A3, A6, and A12 for December 2021, year-to-date; and Exhibit No. 5 (GPD-4T), with DEF's capital structure and cost rates. Schedules A1 6 through A9, and A12 for the year ended December 31, 2021, were filed with 7 the Commission on January 20, 2022. 8 9 What is the source of the data that you will present by way of testimony 10 Q. 11 or exhibits in this proceeding? Unless otherwise indicated, the actual data is taken from the books and 12 Α. records of the Company. The books and records are kept in the regular 13 14 course of business in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices, and provisions of the Uniform System of Accounts 15 16 as prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, and any 17 accounting rules and orders established by this Commission. The Company 18 relies on the information included in this testimony and exhibits in the conduct of its affairs. 19 20 Would you please summarize your testimony? 21 Q.

A. Per Order No. PSC-2022-0061-PCO-EI, the total estimated 2021 period ending fuel under-recovery is \$415.46 million. The actual under-recovery for

1		2021 is \$412.52 million, resulting in a final fuel adjustment true-up over-
2		recovery amount of \$2.94 million. Exhibit No(GPD-1T).
3		
4		Per Order Nos. PSC-2021-0442-FOF-EI and PSC-2021-0442A-FOF-EI, the
5		estimated 2021 capacity cost recovery true-up amount was an over-recovery
6		of \$2.7 million. The actual amount for 2021 was an over-recovery of \$5.6
7		million, resulting in a final capacity true-up over-recovery amount of \$2.9
8		million. Exhibit No(GPD-2T).
9		
10		FUEL COST RECOVERY
11	Q.	What is DEF's jurisdictional ending balance as of December 31, 2021
12		for fuel cost recovery?
13	Α.	The actual ending balance as of December 31, 2021 for true-up purposes is
14		an under-recovery of \$412,524,152, as shown on Exhibit No(GPD-1T).
15		
16	Q.	How does this amount compare to DEF's estimated 2021 ending
17		balance included in the Company's December 17, 2021 Midcourse
18		Filing?
19	Α.	The actual true-up amount for the January 2021 - December 2021 period is
20		an under-recovery of \$412,524,152, which is \$2,934,170 lower than the re-
21		projected year end under-recovery balance of \$415,458,323, as shown on
22		Exhibit No(GPD-1T).
23		
		- 4 -

1	Q.	How was the final true-up ending balance determined?
2	Α.	The amount was determined in the manner set forth on Schedule A2 of the
3		Commission's standard forms previously submitted by the Company monthly,
4		updated to reflect the True-Up WACC as prescribed in Order No. PSC-2020-
5		0165-PAA-EU.
6		
7	Q.	What factors contributed to the period-ending jurisdictional net over-
8		recovery of \$2,934,170 shown on your Exhibit No(GPD-1T)?
9	Α.	The \$2.9 million is driven primarily by increased generation and purchased
10		power costs of \$7.1 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, offset by \$9.2
11		million higher sales.
12		
13	Q.	Please explain the components shown on Exhibit No(GPD-1T),
14		sheet 6 of 6, which helps to explain the \$3.5 million unfavorable system
15		variance from the projected cost of fuel and net purchased power
16		transactions.
17	Α.	Exhibit No(GPD-1T), sheet 6 of 6 is an analysis of the system dollar
18		variance for each energy source in terms of three interrelated components;
19		(1) changes in the <u>amount</u> (mWh's) of energy required; (2) changes in the
20		heat rate of generated energy (BTU's per kWh); and (3) changes in the
21		unit price of either fuel consumed for generation (\$ per million BTU) or energy
22		purchases and sales (cents per kWh). The \$3.5 million unfavorable system
23		variance is mainly attributable to higher natural gas generation and firm

purchases, partially offset by lower coal generation and qualifying facilities 1 2 costs. 3 Does this period ending true-up balance include any noteworthy 4 Q. adjustments to fuel expense? 5 Α. Yes. Noteworthy adjustments are shown on Exhibit No. (GPD-3T) in the 6 footnote to line 6b on page 1 of 2, Schedule A2. 7 8 9 Consistent with Order No. PSC-2018-0240-PAA-EQ dated May 8, 2018, DEF included an adjustment of approximately \$13.20 million system (\$13.13 10 million retail) for amortization of the Florida Power Development, LLC 11 qualifying facility regulatory asset partially offset by a credit of approximately 12 \$7.21 million system (\$7.21 million retail) related to Crystal River 4 13 14 replacement power costs approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0466-FOF-EI. These adjustments are shown on Exhibit No. (GPD-3T), in the footnotes 15 16 to Line 6b on page 1 of 2, Schedule A2, and on line 3, page 1 of 2, Schedule 17 A1. 18 Q. Did DEF make an adjustment for changes in coal inventory based on an 19 20 **Aerial Survey?** Yes. DEF included an adjustment of \$4.7 million to coal inventory attributable 21 Α. 22 to the semi-annual aerial surveys conducted on May 4, 2021 and October 26, 23 2021, in accordance with Order No. PSC-1997-0359-FOF-EI, Docket No.

1		19970001-EI. This adjustment represents 2.85% of the total coal consumed
2		at the Crystal River facility in 2021.
3		
4	Q.	Did DEF exceed the economy sales threshold in 2021?
5	Α.	Yes. DEF did exceed the gain on economy sales threshold of \$1.7 million in
6		2021. As reported on Schedule A1-2, Line 11a, the gain for the year-to-date
7		period through December 2021 was \$2.9 million. Consistent with Order No.
8		PSC-01-2371-FOF-EI, shareholders retain 20% of the gain in excess of the
9		three-year rolling average. For 2021, that amount is approximately \$0.2
10		million.
11		
12	Q.	Has the three-year rolling average gain on economy sales included in
13		the Company's filing for the November 2021 hearings been updated to
14		incorporate actual data for all of year 2021?
15	Α.	Yes. DEF has calculated its three-year rolling average gain on economy
16		sales, based entirely on actual data for calendar years 2019 through 2021,
17		as follows:
18		
19		Year <u>Actual Gain</u>
20		2019 \$ 1,649,136
21		2020 \$ 1,223,709
22		2021 <u>\$2,855,389</u>
23		Three-Year Average <u>\$1,909,411</u>

1		
2		CAPACITY COST RECOVERY
3		
4	Q.	What is the Company's jurisdictional ending balance as of December
5		31, 2021 for capacity cost recovery?
6	Α.	The actual ending balance as of December 31, 2021 for true-up purposes is
7		an over-recovery of \$5,568,698, as shown on Exhibit No. (GPD-2T).
8		
9	Q.	How does this amount compare to the estimated 2021 ending balance
10		included in the Company's Actual/Estimated Filing?
11	Α.	When the estimated 2021 over-recovery of \$2,718,273 is compared to the
12		\$5,568,698 actual over-recovery, the final capacity true-up for the twelve-
13		month period ended December 2021 is an over-recovery of \$2,850,425, as
14		shown on Exhibit No(GPD-2T).
15		
16	Q.	Is this true-up calculation consistent with the true-up methodology
17		used for the other cost recovery clauses?
18	Α.	Yes. The calculation of the final net true-up amount follows the procedures
19		established by the Commission.
20		
21	Q.	What factors contributed to the actual period-end capacity over-
22		recovery of \$2.9 million?
		- 8 -

1	Α.	Exhibit No (GPD-2T, sheet 1 of 3) compares actual results to the original
2		projection for the period. The \$2.9 million over-recovery is primarily due to
3		lower capacity costs.
4		
5		OTHER MATTERS
6		
7	Q.	What capital structure and cost rates did DEF rely on to calculate the
8		revenue requirement rate of return for the period January 2021 through
9		December 2021?
10	Α.	DEF used the capital structure and cost rates consistent with the language in
11		Order No. PSC-2020-0165-PAA-EU. The capital structure and cost rates
12		relied on to calculate the revenue requirement rate of return for the period
13		January 2021 through December 2021 are shown on Exhibit No(GPD-
14		4T).
15		
16	Q.	Does this conclude your direct true-up testimony?
17	Α.	Yes.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

			363
1 2		DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC	
2		DOCKET NO. 20220001-EI	
4 5		Fuel and Capacity Cost Recovery Actual/Estimated True-Up Amounts	
6		January 2022 through December 2022	
7		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF	
8		GARY P. DEAN	
9		July 27, 2022	
10			
11	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
12	Α.	My name is Gary P. Dean. My business address is 299 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue North,	
13		St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.	
14			
15	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission in	
16		Docket No. 20220001-EI?	
17	Α.	Yes. I provided direct testimony on April 1, 2022 and amended direct	
18		testimony on June 8, 2022.	
19			
20	Q:	Has your job description, education, background and professional	
21		experience changed since that time?	
22	Α.	No.	
23			
24	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
		- 1 -	
I	I		

The purpose of my testimony is to present for Commission approval the Α. actual/estimated fuel and capacity cost recovery true-up amounts of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF" or the "Company") for the period of January 2022 through December 2022.

#### Q. Do you have an exhibit to your testimony?

7 Yes. I have prepared Exhibit No. (GPD-2), which is attached to my Α. prepared testimony, consisting of two parts. Part 1 consists of Schedules 8 9 E1-B through E9, which include the calculation of the 2022 actual/estimated fuel and purchased power true-up balance, and a 10 schedule to support the capital structure components and cost rates relied 11 12 upon to calculate the return requirements on all capital projects recovered through the fuel clause as required per Order No. PSC-2020-0165-PAA-13 14 EU. Part 2 consists of Schedules E12-A through E12-C, which include the 15 calculation of the 2022 actual/estimated capacity true-up balance. The calculations in my exhibit are based on actual data from January through 16 17 June 2022 and estimated data from July through December 2022.

# FUEL COST RECOVERY

20

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

- What is the amount of DEF's 2022 estimated fuel true-up balance and 21 Q. how was it developed? 22
- 23 DEF's estimated fuel true-up balance is a \$1,308,956,670 under-Α.
- 24 recovery. The calculation begins with the actual under-recovered

			3
1		balance of \$749,621,955 taken from Schedule A2, page 2 of 2, line 13,	
2		for the month of June 2022. This balance plus the estimated July	
3		through December 2022 monthly true-up calculations comprise the	
4		estimated \$1,308,956,670 under-recovered balance at year-end. The	
5		increase in the currently projected 2022 under-recovery is primarily due	
6		to sizable increases in natural gas prices. The projected December 2022	
7		true-up balance includes interest which is estimated from July through	
8		December 2022 based on the average of the beginning and ending	
9		commercial paper rate applied in June. That rate is 0.120% per month.	
10			
11	Q.	How does the current forecast of fuel costs on Schedule E3 for July	
12		through December 2022 compare with the same period forecast used	
13		in the Company's 2022 Mid-Course Correction Filing approved in	
14		Order No. PSC-2022-0061-PCO-EI?	
15	Α.	Light oil increased \$1.91/mmbtu (10%). Coal and natural gas increased	
16		\$1.04/mmbtu (30%) and \$4.87/mmbtu (93%), respectively.	
17			
18	Q.	Have any adjustments been made to estimated fuel costs for the	
19		period January 2022 through December 2022?	
20	Α.	Yes. Consistent with Order No. PSC-2018-0240-PAA-EQ dated June 8,	
21		2018, DEF included an adjustment of approximately \$12.43 million	
22		(grossed up to approximately \$12.45 million from retail to system) for the	
23		amortization of Florida Power Development, LLC qualifying facility	
24		regulatory asset from January 2022 through December 2022. This	

adjustment is included on Schedule E1-B, line A5, columns Jan Actual 1 through Dec Estimated. There was no coal inventory adjustment 2 3 attributable to the semi-annual aerial survey conducted on May 9, 2022 in accordance with Order No. PSC-1997-0359-FOF-EI in Docket No. 4 1997001-EI. 5 6 7 Does DEF expect to exceed the three-year rolling average gain on Q. non-separated power sales in 2022? 8 9 Α. Yes. DEF estimates the total gain on non-separated sales during 2022 10 will be \$3,059,660 which exceeds the three-year rolling average of Consistent with Order No. PSC-2000-1744-PAA-EI, 11 \$1,909,411. shareholders retain 20% of the gains in excess of the three-year rolling 12 average. For 2022, this is estimated to be \$230,050. 13 14 CAPACITY COST RECOVERY 15 16 17 Q. What is DEF's 2022 estimated capacity true-up balance and how was it developed? 18 DEF's estimated capacity true-up balance is an \$6,747,100 over-recovery. 19 Α. 20 The estimated true-up calculation begins with the actual under-recovered 21 balance of \$1,847,396 as of June 2022. This balance plus the estimated July through December 2022 monthly true-up calculations comprise the 22 23 estimated \$6,747,100 over-recovered balance at year-end. The projected 24 December 2022 true-up balance includes interest which is estimated from

			3
1		July through December 2022 based on the average of the beginning and	
2		ending commercial paper rate applied in June. That rate is 0.120% per	
3		month.	
4			
5	Q.	What are the primary drivers of the estimated year-end 2022 capacity	
6		over-recovery?	
7	Α.	The \$6.7 million over-recovery is primarily attributable to additional SoBRA	
8		credits in May 2022 through August 2022 per the Rate Mitigation Plan	
9		approved in Order No, PSC-2021-0425-FOF-EI.	
10			
11	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?	
12	Α.	Yes.	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
		- 5 -	
		- 5 -	

			368
1 2		DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC	
3		<b>Доскет No. 20220001-ЕІ</b>	
4 5 6		Fuel and Capacity Cost Recovery Actual/Estimated True-Up Amounts January 2022 through December 2022	
7 8		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GARY P. DEAN	
9		July 27, 2022	
10			
11	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
12	Α.	My name is Gary P. Dean. My business address is 299 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue North,	
13		St. Petersburg, Florida 33701.	
14			
15	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission in	
16		Docket No. 20220001-EI?	
17	Α.	Yes. I provided direct testimony on April 1, 2022 and amended direct	
18		testimony on June 8, 2022.	
19			
20	Q:	Has your job description, education, background and professional	
21		experience changed since that time?	
22	Α.	No.	
23			
24	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?	
		- 1 -	

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present for Commission approval the actual/estimated fuel and capacity cost recovery true-up amounts of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF" or the "Company") for the period of January 2022 through December 2022.

# Q. Do you have an exhibit to your testimony?

7 Yes. I have prepared Exhibit No. (GPD-2), which is attached to my Α. prepared testimony, consisting of two parts. Part 1 consists of Schedules 8 9 E1-B through E9, which include the calculation of the 2022 actual/estimated fuel and purchased power true-up balance, and a 10 schedule to support the capital structure components and cost rates relied 11 12 upon to calculate the return requirements on all capital projects recovered through the fuel clause as required per Order No. PSC-2020-0165-PAA-13 14 EU. Part 2 consists of Schedules E12-A through E12-C, which include the 15 calculation of the 2022 actual/estimated capacity true-up balance. The calculations in my exhibit are based on actual data from January through 16 17 June 2022 and estimated data from July through December 2022.

# FUEL COST RECOVERY

20

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. What is the amount of DEF's 2022 estimated fuel true-up balance and
   how was it developed?
- A. DEF's estimated fuel true-up balance is a \$1,308,956,670 under-
- recovery. The calculation begins with the actual under-recovered

- 2 -

			3
1		balance of \$749,621,955 taken from Schedule A2, page 2 of 2, line 13,	
2		for the month of June 2022. This balance plus the estimated July	
3		through December 2022 monthly true-up calculations comprise the	
4		estimated \$1,308,956,670 under-recovered balance at year-end. The	
5		increase in the currently projected 2022 under-recovery is primarily due	
6		to sizable increases in natural gas prices. The projected December 2022	
7		true-up balance includes interest which is estimated from July through	
8		December 2022 based on the average of the beginning and ending	
9		commercial paper rate applied in June. That rate is 0.120% per month.	
10			
11	Q.	How does the current forecast of fuel costs on Schedule E3 for July	
12		through December 2022 compare with the same period forecast used	
13		in the Company's 2022 Mid-Course Correction Filing approved in	
14		Order No. PSC-2022-0061-PCO-EI?	
15	Α.	Light oil increased \$1.91/mmbtu (10%). Coal and natural gas increased	
16		\$1.04/mmbtu (30%) and \$4.87/mmbtu (93%), respectively.	
17			
18	Q.	Have any adjustments been made to estimated fuel costs for the	
19		period January 2022 through December 2022?	
20	Α.	Yes. Consistent with Order No. PSC-2018-0240-PAA-EQ dated June 8,	
21		2018, DEF included an adjustment of approximately \$12.43 million	
22		(grossed up to approximately \$12.45 million from retail to system) for the	
23		amortization of Florida Power Development, LLC qualifying facility	
24		regulatory asset from January 2022 through December 2022. This	

adjustment is included on Schedule E1-B, line A5, columns Jan Actual 1 through Dec Estimated. There was no coal inventory adjustment 2 3 attributable to the semi-annual aerial survey conducted on May 9, 2022 in accordance with Order No. PSC-1997-0359-FOF-EI in Docket No. 4 1997001-EI. 5 6 7 Does DEF expect to exceed the three-year rolling average gain on Q. non-separated power sales in 2022? 8 9 Α. Yes. DEF estimates the total gain on non-separated sales during 2022 10 will be \$3,059,660 which exceeds the three-year rolling average of Consistent with Order No. PSC-2000-1744-PAA-EI, 11 \$1,909,411. shareholders retain 20% of the gains in excess of the three-year rolling 12 average. For 2022, this is estimated to be \$230,050. 13 14 CAPACITY COST RECOVERY 15 16 17 Q. What is DEF's 2022 estimated capacity true-up balance and how was it developed? 18 DEF's estimated capacity true-up balance is an \$6,747,100 over-recovery. 19 Α. 20 The estimated true-up calculation begins with the actual under-recovered 21 balance of \$1,847,396 as of June 2022. This balance plus the estimated July through December 2022 monthly true-up calculations comprise the 22 23 estimated \$6,747,100 over-recovered balance at year-end. The projected

371

24 December 2022 true-up balance includes interest which is estimated from

			3
1		July through December 2022 based on the average of the beginning and	
2		ending commercial paper rate applied in June. That rate is 0.120% per	
3		month.	
4			
5	Q.	What are the primary drivers of the estimated year-end 2022 capacity	
6		over-recovery?	
7	Α.	The \$6.7 million over-recovery is primarily attributable to additional SoBRA	
8		credits in May 2022 through August 2022 per the Rate Mitigation Plan	
9		approved in Order No, PSC-2021-0425-FOF-EI.	
10			
11	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?	
12	Α.	Yes.	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
∠4			
		- 5 -	

		DOCKET NO. 20220001-EI
		Fuel and Capacity Cost Recovery Factors January 2023 through December 2023
		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GARY P. DEAN
		September 2, 2022
1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	Α.	My name is Gary P. Dean. My business address is 299 1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue North, St.
3		Petersburg, Florida 33701.
4		
5	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission in Docket
6		No. 20220001-EI?
7	А.	Yes, I provided direct testimony on April 1, 2022 and July 27, 2022, and
8		amended direct testimony on June 8, 2022.
9		
10	Q.	Has your job description, education, background and professional
11		experience changed since that time?
12	А.	No.
13		
14		
	1	

-1-

### Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present for Commission approval the fuel and
 capacity cost recovery factors of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF" or the
 "Company") for the period of January 2023 through December 2023.

5

6

15

16

17

### Q. Do you have an exhibit to your testimony?

7 A. Yes. I have prepared Exhibit No. (GPD-3), consisting of Parts 1, 2 and 3. Part 8 1 contains DEF's fuel cost forecast assumptions. Part 2 contains fuel cost 9 recovery ("FCR") schedules E1 through E10, H1 and the calculation of the 10 inverted residential fuel rate. I have also included a schedule to support the capital structure components and cost rates relied upon to calculate the return 11 12 requirements on all capital projects recovered through the fuel clause as required 13 by Order No. PSC-2020-0165-PAA-EU. Part 3 contains capacity cost recovery ("CCR") schedules. 14

### FUEL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

### 18 Q. Please describe the fuel cost factors calculated by the Company for the 19 projection period.

A. Schedule E1 shows the calculation of the Company's jurisdictional fuel cost
 factor of 6.257 ¢/kWh. This factor consists of a fuel cost for the projection

- 2 -

1	period of 5.7335 $\phi$ /kWh (adjusted for jurisdictional losses), an estimated prior
2	period under-recovery true-up of 0.4446 ¢/kWh, a GPIF penalty of 0.0005
3	¢/kWh, and a Clean Energy Connection ("CEC") Program bill credit of 0.0793
4	¢/kWh. Using this factor, Schedule E1-D shows the calculation and supporting
5	data for the Company's levelized fuel cost factors for service taken at
6	secondary, primary and transmission metering voltage levels. To perform this
7	calculation, effective jurisdictional sales at the secondary level are calculated
8	and 1% and 2% metering reduction factors are applied to primary and
9	transmission sales, respectively (forecasted at meter level). This is consistent
10	with the methodology used in the development of the CCR factors.
11	
12	Schedule E1-D, lines 11-12 show the Company's proposed tiered rates of 5.961
13	¢/kWh for the first 1,000 kWh and 7.031 ¢/kWh above 1,000 kWh. These rates
14	are developed in the "Calculation of Inverted Residential Fuel Rates" schedule
15	in Part 2 of my exhibit.
16	
17	Schedule E1-E develops the Time of Use ("TOU") multipliers of 1.228 On-Peak,
18	1.006 Off-Peak and 0.746 Super Off-Peak, consistent with paragraph 15 of DEFs
19	2021 Settlement Agreement approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI. The
20	multipliers are then applied to the levelized fuel cost factors for each metering

-3-

<ul> <li>bills during the projection period.</li> <li>Q: What is the amount of the 2022 net true-up balance that DEF has incluin the fuel cost recovery factor for 2023?</li> <li>DEE has included a prejected under recovery of \$175,780,261. This are</li> </ul>	ount
<ul> <li>Q: What is the amount of the 2022 net true-up balance that DEF has inclu</li> <li>in the fuel cost recovery factor for 2023?</li> </ul>	ount
5 in the fuel cost recovery factor for 2023?	ount
( A DEE has included a projected under recovery of \$175,700,001. This are	
6 A. DEF has included a projected under-recovery of \$175,789,361. This among	No.
7 includes \$123,418,788 from the Rate Mitigation Plan approved in Order	
8 PSC-2021-4025-FOF-EI and \$52,370,573 of the midcourse correction among	ount
9 approved in Order No. PSC-2022-0061-PCO-EI that are to be recovered in 20	)23.
10	
<b>Q.</b> What is the change in the levelized residential fuel factor for the projec	tion
12 period from the fuel factor currently in effect?	
13 A. The 2023 projected levelized residential fuel factor of 6.266 $\phi$ /kWh is an increase	ase
14 of 1.479 ¢/kWh or 30.9% from the 2022 revised levelized residential fuel fa	ctor
15 of 4.787 ¢/kWh from DEF's mid-course filing.	
16	
17 <b>Q.</b> Please explain the increase in the 2023 fuel factor compared with the 2	022
18fuel factor.	
19 A. The primary drivers of the increase in the 2023 fuel factor are an increase	e in
20 jurisdictional fuel and purchased power expense of \$679M and a decrease	e in
21 the prior period true-up of \$209.5M.	

-4-

2

3

## Q. Have you made any adjustments to your estimated fuel costs for the period January through December 2022?

A. Yes. Consistent with Order No. PSC-2018-0240-PAA-EQ, dated May 8, 2018,
DEF included a retail adjustment of \$12.26M (grossed up to approximately
\$12.27M from retail to system) for the January through December 2023
amortization of the Florida Power Development, LLC, qualifying facility
regulatory asset.

9

Per the Stipulation approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-El, issued on
 January 26, 2021, DEF has included \$31.4M of costs associated with the 2023
 projected bill credits for the DEF CEC Program as shown on Exhibit GPD-3,
 Schedule E1, line 25. As approved by this Order, bill credits are recovered
 through DEF's fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause.

15

### 16 **Q.** Will DEF continue the tiered rate structure for residential customers?

A. Yes, DEF will continue to use inverted rate design for residential fuel factors to
 encourage energy efficiency and conservation. Specifically, the Company will
 use a two-tiered fuel charge whereby the charge for a residential customer's
 monthly usage in excess of 1,000 kWh (second tier) is priced 1.07 cents per
 kWh higher than the charge for the customer's usage up to 1,000 kWh (first

377

-5-

1		tier). The 1,000-kWh price change breakpoint is reasonable in that
2		approximately 71% of all residential energy is consumed in the first tier and
3		29% in the second tier. The Company believes the 1.07 cent higher per unit
4		price, targeted at the second tier of the residential class energy consumption,
5		will promote energy efficiency and conservation. This inverted rate design was
6		incorporated in the Company's base rates per the 2021 Settlement Agreement
7		approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI.
8		
9	Q.	How was the inverted fuel rate calculated?
10	А.	Exhibit GPD-3, Inverted Fuel Rates, shows the calculation of the fuel cost factors
11		for the two tiers of the residential rate. The two factors are calculated on a
12		revenue neutral basis so that the Company will recover the same fuel costs as it
13		would under the traditional levelized approach. The two-tiered factors are
14		determined by first calculating the amount of revenues that would be generated
15		by the overall levelized residential factor of 6.266 $\phi$ /kWh shown on Schedule E1-
16		D. The two factors are then calculated by allocating the total revenues to the
17		two tiers for residential customers based on the total annual energy usage for
18		each tier.
19		
20		
21		

1	Q.	How do DEF's projected gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales
2		for 2023 compare to the incentive benchmark?
3	Α.	The total gain on non-separated sales for 2023 is estimated to be \$3,524,270
4		which is above the benchmark of \$2,379,586. 100% of gains below the
5		benchmark and 80% of gains above the benchmark will be distributed to
6		customers based on the sharing mechanism approved by the Commission in
7		Order No. PSC-2000-1744-PAA-EI. Therefore, since the total gain on non-
8		separated sales is above the benchmark, \$228,937 of the gains will be retained
9		for shareholders. The benchmark was calculated based on the average of actual
10		gains for 2020 and 2021 of \$1,223,709 and \$2,855,389, respectively, and
11		estimated gains for 2022 of \$3,059,660 in accordance with Order No. PSC-2000-
12		1744-PAA-EI.
13		
14	Q.	Please explain the entry on Schedule E1, line 11, "Fuel Cost of Stratified
15		Sales."
16	Α.	DEF has several wholesale contracts with SECI. One contract provides for the
17		sale of supplemental energy to supply the portion of their load in excess of
18		SECI's own resources. The fuel costs charged to SECI for supplemental sales
19		are calculated on a "stratified" basis in a manner which recovers the higher cost
20		of intermediate/peaking generation used to provide the energy. There are other
21		contracts with SECI and Reedy Creek for fixed amounts of base, intermediate,

-7-

1 peaking, solar and plant-specific capacity. DEF is crediting average fuel cost of 2 the appropriate strata in accordance with Order No. PSC-1997-0262-FOF-EI. 3 The fuel costs of wholesale sales are normally included in the total cost of fuel and net power transactions used to calculate the average system cost per kWh 4 5 for fuel adjustment purposes. However, since the fuel costs of the stratified and 6 plant-specific sales are not recovered on an average system cost basis, an 7 adjustment has been made to remove these costs and related kWh sales from 8 the fuel adjustment calculation in the same manner that interchange sales are 9 removed from the calculation.

10

# Q. Please give a brief overview of the procedure used in developing the projected fuel cost data from which the Company's fuel cost recovery factor was calculated.

14 Α. The process begins with a fuel price forecast and a system sales forecast. 15 These forecasts are input into the Company's production cost simulation model 16 information, generating along with purchased power unit operating 17 characteristics, maintenance schedules, incremental delivered fuel prices and 18 other pertinent data. The model then computes system fuel consumption and 19 fuel and purchased power costs. This information is the basis for the calculation 20 of the Company's fuel cost factors and supporting schedules.

21

- 8 -

1	Q.	What is the source of the system sales forecast?
2	Α.	System sales are forecasted by the DEF Load Forecasting and Fundamentals
3		Department using inputs including a sales-weighted 30-year average of weather
4		conditions at the St. Petersburg, Orlando and Tallahassee weather stations,
5		population projections from the Bureau of Economic and Business Research at
6		the University of Florida, and State of Florida economic assumptions from
7		Moody's Analytics. The Energy Information Agency (EIA) surveys of class
8		energy consumption for the South Atlantic Region are incorporated as well.
9		
10	Q.	What is the source of the Company's fuel price forecast?
11	А.	The fuel price forecasts are based on a combination of third-party forecasts and
12		forward contracts currently in place. Additional details and forecast assumptions
13		are provided in Part 1 of my exhibit.
14		
15	Q.	Are current fuel prices the same as those used in the development of the
16		projected fuel factor?
17	Α.	No. Fuel prices can change significantly from day to day. Consistent with past
18		practices, DEF will continue to monitor fuel prices and update the Projection
19		Filing prior to the November Hearing if changes in fuel prices warrant such an

20

update.

-9-

Q.	Is the 2021 GPIF penalty discussed in the March 16, 2022 direct testimony
	of Mary Ingle Lewter included in 2023 rates?
А.	Yes. The GPIF penalty of \$206,463 is included on Schedule E1, line 24.
	CAPACITY COST RECOVERY CLAUSE
Q.	Please explain the schedules that are included in Exhibit_(GPD-3) Part 3.
А.	The following schedules are included in my exhibit:
	Schedule E12-A – Calculation of Projected Capacity Costs – Year 2023
	Schedule E12-A, page 1, includes estimated 2023 calendar year system
	capacity payments to Qualifying Facilities ("QF") and other power suppliers. The
	retail portion of the capacity payments is calculated using separation factors
	consistent with the 2021 Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission in
	Order No. PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI.
	The recovery of estimated Dry Casket Storage costs, also referred to as
	Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation ("ISFSI") costs, are included
	Schedule E12-A, page 1, line 35. The calculation of Total Recoverable Capacity
	& ISFSI costs are shown on line 36.
	А. <b>Q</b> .

- 10 -

1	Schedule E12-A, page 2, provides the dates and MWs associated with the QF
2	and purchase power contracts.
3	
4	<u> Schedule E12-B – Calculation of Estimated/Actual True-Up - Year 2022</u>
5	Schedule E12-B calculates the estimated true-up capacity over-recovered
6	balance for the calendar year 2022 of \$6,747,100. This schedule was also
7	included in Exhibit GPD-2, Schedule E12-A to my direct testimony filed on July
8	27, 2022. The balance on Schedule E12-B is carried forward to Schedule E12-
9	A, page 1, line 33 to be refunded to customers from January through December
10	2023.
11	
12	Schedule E12-D – Calculation of Energy and Demand Percent by Rate Class
13	Schedule E12-D is the calculation of the 12CP and 25% average demand
14	allocators for each rate class. Schedule E12-D also includes the uniform
15	percentage calculation and allocation of the ISFSI revenue requirement to the
16	rate classes.
17	
18	Schedule E12-E – Calculation of Capacity Cost Recovery Factors by Rate Class
19	Schedule E12-E calculates the CCR factors for capacity costs for each rate class
20	based on the 12CP and 25% annual average demand allocators and ISFSI costs
21	from Schedule E12-D. The factors for the Residential, General Service Non-

- 11 -

1 Demand, General Service (GS-2) and Lighting secondary delivery rate class in 2 cents per kWh are calculated by multiplying total recoverable jurisdictional 3 capacity from Schedule E12-A by the class demand allocation factor, and then dividing by estimated effective sales at the secondary metering level. The factor 4 5 for ISFSI in cents per kWh is calculated by dividing recoverable costs allocated 6 on Schedule E12-D by estimated effective sales at the secondary metering level. 7 The factors for primary and transmission rate classes reflect the application of 8 metering reduction factors of 1% and 2% from the secondary factor, respectively. 9 The factors allocate capacity costs to rate classes in the same way as would be 10 allocated if recovered in base rates. ISFSI costs are allocated to rate classes by 11 applying a uniform percent increase as approved in Order No. PSC-2016-0425-12 PAA-EI. Pursuant to the 2013 Revised and Restated Stipulation and Settlement 13 Agreement approved in Order No. PSC-13-0598-FOF-EI, DEF has prepared the 14 billing rates for the demand (General Service Demand, Curtailable, and 15 Interruptible) rate classes to be on a kilo-watt (kW) rather than a kilo-watt-hour 16 (kWh) basis. These changes are reflected on Schedule E12-E in columns 11 17 through 13. 18 19

20

- 12 -

1	Q.	Has DEF used the most recent load research information in the
2		development of its capacity cost allocation factors?
3	A.	Yes. The 12CP load factor relationships from DEF's most recent load research
4		conducted for the period April 2020 through March 2021 are incorporated into the
5		capacity cost allocation factors. This information is included in DEF's Load
6		Research Report filed with the Commission on July 31, 2021.
7		
8	Q.	What is the 2023 projected average retail CCR factor?
9	Α.	The 2023 average retail CCR factor is 1.162 ¢/kWh, made up of capacity of
10		1.145 ¢/kWh and ISFSI costs of 0.017 ¢/kWh.
11		
12	Q.	Please explain the change in the CCR factor for the projection period
13		compared to the CCR factor currently in effect.
14	A.	The total projected average retail CCR rate of 1.162 ¢/kWh is 0.126 ¢/kWh, or
15		12%, higher than the current 2022 factor of 1.036 $\phi$ /kWh. This increase is
16		primarily due higher Qualifying Facility capacity costs and recovery of the DOE
17		spent fuel claim as approved in the 2021 Settlement Agreement approved in
18		Oder No. PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI.
19		
20	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
21	Α.	Yes

1 MR. BERNIER: And we will waive summary and 2 tender the witness for cross. 3 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Thank you, Mr. 4 Bernier. 5 OPC, you are recognized, whenever you are ready, Mr. Rehwinkel. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. REHWINKEL: 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 10 And good morning, Mr. Dean. Q 11 Α Good morning. 12 I'm only going to be asking you questions from 0 13 your September 2nd testimony --14 Α Okay. 15 -- and attachments. 0 16 So you are the witness provided to support the actual estimated true-up for 2022 period, is that 17 18 criteria right? 19 Α Yes. 20 And it you are also available to Q Okay. 21 explain the basis for the forecast fuel cost amount that 22 you have included in your filing for your projected 2023 23 costs? 24 Α Yes, I can explain that. 25 You are here today to support the 2022 0 Okay.

(850) 894-0828

1 recovery amount that was filed on September 2nd, is that 2 right? 3 Α Can you say that again? 4 You are here to support the 2022 portion of Q 5 the recovery amount for -- that was included in your September 2nd petition true-up? 6 7 We filed an estimated number at that time on Α 8 the recovery for the December --9 Q Okay. 10 -- projected balance. Α That was part of the 11 actual estimate filing. 12 So the actual estimated is taking 0 All right. 13 the projection from 2021 as adjusted for the midcourse 14 correction and then updating that with more with actual 15 information, is that right, 2022? 16 Α Can you restate that? 17 Let me -- I will just withdraw the question. 0 18 There are three pieces to the fuel factor. 19 There is the true-up from the past year, there is the 20 actual estimated, which trues up the prior year's 21 projection for the current year, right? 22 Right. Α 23 And then there is the projection for the 0 24 coming year? 25 Α Yes. There is a -- there is a true-up from

(850) 894-0828

1 2021, and then there is an actual estimate, which is six 2 months of actuals and six months of estimates for 2022, 3 and then we have a projected year for '23. 4 Right. Now, you projected '22 this time last Q 5 year in the fuel hearing, right? We would have projected, yes, it would have 6 Α 7 been part of our '22 projection filing last year. 8 Q All right. But that projection got amended by 9 a midcourse correction, correct? We filed an update in, I believe it was 10 Α Yes. 11 in December of '21 for a midcourse correction. 12 So your actual estimated is refining 0 Okay. 13 that projection as amended by the midcourse correction for the 2022 portion of this three-part fuel factor 14 determination, is that right? 15 16 Α Yeah. The actual estimate is providing an update for the projected year-end balance of '22. 17 18 Okay. And that projected year-end balance 0 19 was -- was revised, if you will, by the midcourse 20 correction? 21 The -- I am sorry, I am not sure I understand Α 22 the question. 23 In 2021, in the hearing cycle, you Okav. 0 projected a 2022 fuel cost, right? 24 25 Right. А

(850) 894-0828

Q Right after that, the -- you filed an update to projection that became the midcourse correction, correct?

A Maybe I am misunderstanding you, but let me say it this way and see if this helps. We filed our projection filing in 2021, we made a '22 projection filing. It would have been around early September --

Q Right?

8

-- of '21. 9 Α And then my recollection is, I 10 don't have it with me, but near the end in December we 11 filed a middle course correction, and that was for a 12 rate implementation, I believe, in March of '22. And 13 then we again made our normal, our typical annual actual 14 estimate filing earlier this year in July, I think it 15 was July 27th, providing an updated balance projection 16 for December of '22.

17 Okay. What you filed in December that went 0 into effect, I think it was -- was it April 1st 18 19 midcourse correction? 20 Α I believe it was March 1st. 21 March 1st, okay. And that was, what three --0 22 what was the amount, 314,000? 23 I think it was approximately 314 million. Α That was a revision to the projection 24 0 Okay.

25 for fuel costs for 2022, was it not?

1	A It was an update to our projection.
2	Q Okay. All right. I am sorry about that. I
3	think we are on the same
4	A I do too.
5	Q and then this actual this actual
6	estimated filing that you have made in this hearing
7	cycle is an update to that revised projection for 2022,
8	correct?
9	A It's it's an update for the I wouldn't
10	say it was an update for the midcourse projection, but
11	it's our annual actual estimate filing to provide the
12	Commission and the parties with where we are estimating
13	at that point in time where we will be at the end of
14	this year.
15	Q Okay. Let me do one more try at this.
16	If there are no midcourse corrections in the
17	normal fuel hearing cycle, your AE, or actual estimated
18	filing is just going to be an update with more current
19	actual information of the projection that was made in
20	the prior year's fuel cycle for the current year, is
21	that right?
22	A Yes, essentially. It's providing an update of
23	the projection for the year.
24	Q And what was different about the AE filing
25	this year is that that 2021 projection of 2022 had been

(850) 894-0828

1 revised by the midcourse correction, correct? 2 Α It was an update to that projection. I see 3 where you are going. Yes, it was an update from our 4 midcourse as part of our annual process. 5 Okay. Do you have Exhibit 70? Q This is the -it's the IOU midcourse correction letter. 6 It may be in 7 that pile that's on your right there. 8 Α Oh, I think I have it here in my folder. 9 Okay. Q 10 You are talking about our midcourse Α 11 notification? 12 Your letter dated March 29, 2022. 0 13 Α Yes, I have it here. 14 And you are familiar with this letter, Q Okay. 15 are you not? 16 Α I am. In this -- this letter is what you 17 Okay. 0 18 filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 25-6.0424 --19 Α That is correct. 20 0 -- correct? 21 And this letter says that you have identified 22 a under-recovery in excess of 10 percent, in the first 23 paragraph there it says: Nonetheless, for the reasons explained below, DEF does not believe a midcourse 24 25 correction is warranted or practical at this time, but

believes it is more appropriate for the company to continue to monitor the under-recovery balance. Did I read that right?

4 A Yes.

Q Okay. And then the next two paragraphs are what the company provided to explain why they would not be seeking a midcourse correction, is that right?

8 A Yes, it is.

9 In this letter, you say -- if you look Q Okay. 10 in the second paragraph, about a little past halfway 11 down, it says: While DEF cannot predict whether natural 12 gas prices will decrease enough to avoid a midcourse 13 correction, it does not believe there is a chance -- it 14 does believe there is a chance that waiting and 15 analyzing the natural gas price forecast at a future 16 date may mitigate, parentheses, although not entirely avoid, close parentheses, the amount of costs it must 17 18 recover from customers in this request. DEF believes 19 that volatility of natural gas prices warrants the 20 maintaining of the current fuel clause recovery factors 21 as established by the Commission in Order No. PSC-2022-0061-PCO-EI, is that right? 22 23 Yes, that's right. Α 24 0 Okav. Is it your testimony or opinion that 25 this statement here meets the -- the rule requirement

(850) 894-0828

1 that you demonstrate that a midcourse correction is not 2 practical? 3 Mr. Chairman, I am going to MR. BERNIER: 4 object to the extent he is asking for a legal 5 conclusion. 6 MR. REHWINKEL: May I respond? 7 Yeah, Mr. Rehwinkel, go ahead. CHAIRMAN FAY: 8 MR. REHWINKEL: We -- we have this legal 9 conclusion objection all the time, but we are -- we 10 are in this room with professionals who that deal 11 with these things daily, and the Commission rules 12 are not some sort of tax code that only lawyers 13 implement. 14 All these professionals read the rules and 15 they -- we can go through a voir dire of what of this man knows about how midcourse correction rules 16 17 work, but it is just simply not the case that 18 lawyers are the only ones that can read a rule and 19 take the steps to implement it. So I -- I can ask 20 him if he understands the rule and he understands 21 and implements it in his job. 22 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. So to this point, Mr. 23 Bernier, because I know this -- I presume, Mr. 24 Rehwinkel, this might not be the last time this 25 I agree with Public Counsel on question comes up.

(850) 894-0828

1 I mean, I think the witness is fully capable this. 2 of, within their expertise, answering the question. 3 I said this before. We talk about not being Mr. Rehwinkel's point is well taken. 4 lawyers. We 5 are not asking for an interpretation of a legal If you can state based on the document in 6 opinion. 7 front of you how you believe what that language 8 means, but it's also appropriate to say that that's 9 beyond the scope of what you may or may not know. 10 I just -- I can't speak to your expertise of that. 11 So if that's the case for all the witnesses, 12 they can respond that way, but I am going to 13 overrule the objection and go ahead and let you ask 14 the question, Mr. Rehwinkel. 15 MR. BERNIER: Mr. Chairman. 16 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. 17 MR. BERNIER: Could I ask, though, if he is 18 going to ask him about the rule that he put the 19 rule in front of him? 20 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, I think that's fair. Т 21 presume his exhibit -- next exhibit is the -- is 22 that correct? 23 So Exhibit 72 -- I mean MR. REHWINKEL: Yes. 24 71, I think it is, is the midcourse correction 25 rule.

1 THE WITNESS: If I have a copy with me. 2 MR. REHWINKEL: Okay. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN FAY: Do you have a copy of that, 5 Mr. Dean? 6 THE WITNESS: I do. 7 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. 8 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 9 So -- and I appreciate the spirit of Mr. Q 10 Bernier's objection and request. So let me -- let's --11 let me withdraw the last question and ask this: Are you familiar with the midcourse correction rule? 12 13 I am familiar with it. Α Yes. 14 In and your job, it's something you Q Okay. 15 have to be familiar with and be able to implement, or 16 participate in the implementation of? It's helpful to be familiar with the rule. 17 Α 18 Yes. 19 0 Okav. So let's go to paragraph two of the 20 midcourse correction rule, and I am going to read the 21 rule and ask you a question about it, I will read this 22 paragraph. 23 In the event that the absolute value It says: 24 of the overrecovery or under-recovery either of fuel --25 either for fuel cost recovery or capacity cost recovery

1 is 10 percent or greater, the utility shall promptly 2 notify the Commission by letter delivered to the 3 Commission Clerk, the notification of a 10-percent or greater estimated overrecovery or under-recovery shall 4 5 include a petition -- petition for midcourse correction to the fuel cost recovery or capacity cost recovery 6 7 factors, or shall include an explanation of why a midcourse correction is not practical. This section in 8 9 no way precludes a utility from requesting a midcourse 10 correction prior to reaching the 10-percent threshold 11 requiring Commission notification. 12 Does it look like I read that right? 13 Α Yes. 14 And is this your -- you are familiar with this Q 15 provision? 16 Α I -- I am. Yes. 17 Okay. And this letter, the March 29 letter, 0 18 was filed as a means of complying with this 19 subparagraph, or this paragraph of the rule, is that 20 right? 21 Α It's my understanding of this rule that Yes. 22 when an IOU, DEF in this case, reaches the 10 percent threshold, they are to provide a notification to the 23 24 Commission, in which case we did, as well as notifying 25 the parties. And then we can file a letter as well

(850) 894-0828

explaining why we do not think it's practical at this time to file a midcourse correction, or we could file a petition for a midcourse correction.

So Duke Energy complied with the rule by
filing its letter explaining -- that's the letter before
you, the March 29th -- explaining why it was not
practical at this time.

8 Q When you -- what -- what is your understanding 9 of what practical means in the context of the rule and 10 your letter? What would be impractical about making a 11 midcourse correction?

12 A I would -- I don't -- obviously, as we've 13 already said, I am not an attorney. I may not have a 14 legal definition of it. But to me, I look at this as my 15 understanding of explaining what would be a reasonable 16 explanation for not filing for a midcourse correction.

17 And in this case, as we cited in the letter, 18 there was a, you know, in a way, in a sense, 2022 has 19 been, to some extent, a very unique year, as we pointed 20 out in this letter. There was an ongoing war. A lot of 21 other events such as heightened inflation as the year 22 progressed, increasing LNG exports, increasing domestic 23 demand, supply chain issues, a lot of events, to name a 24 few, that created a lot of volatility in 2022. And we 25 saw a lot of volatility in the natural gas pricing as

(850) 894-0828

1 well.

2 So to me, that was a -- seeing this volatility 3 was a practical reason to file this letter and say that 4 our approach, at that time and today, is to kind of wait 5 and see if the market stabilizes and get more actual data together to provide a more accurate price for our 6 7 customers. Okay. On September 2nd, the petition that 8 Q 9 contained your testimony -- and that's Exhibit 72, if you have a copy of that. 10 11 Α I do. 12 Oh, I am sorry. I apologize. Exhibit 72 is 0 13 your July 27th petition. 14 Α I have that also. 15 In -- on -- in your September 2nd 0 Okav. 16 petition -- do you have that with you in your -- that was what your September 2nd testimony was supporting, is 17 that right? 18 19 Α Yes, I had the September 2nd one here also. 20 So on July 27th, you filed a midcourse Q Okav. 21 correction with a -- an actual estimated under-recovery 22 of \$1,308,956,670, is that right? 23 I think you may have said midcourse Α correction, but we filed -- that's our actual estimated 24 25 filing.

(850) 894-0828

1	Q Yeah.
2	A It was approximately 1.3 billion that we were
3	estimating
4	Q Okay.
5	A for the under-recovery at the end of '22
6	that includes approximately 176 million that was
7	previously approved by the Commission. So that nets to
8	about a 1.1 billion
9	Q Right.
10	A figure.
11	Q So the amount applicable to 2022 was 1.1
12	billion, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q All right. So when I talk to you today, I am
15	going to talk about 175 million and a 1.1 billion, but
16	you know what I am talking about, is that right?
17	A I do. Yes.
18	Q Okay. So this 1.3 billion that was shown as
19	an overall under-recovery in your July 27th petition,
20	that you asked the Commission in this petition to
21	make to approve that for recovery in January of 2023
22	as part of the recovery, is that right?
23	A We talked about both. Are you talking about
24	the actual estimate? I want to make sure I am looking
25	at the right

1	Q Well, let's do this. Let's go to that
2	July 27th petition, and go to the second page. In the
3	wherefore clause, do you see that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q It says: Wherefore, Duke Energy Florida, LLC,
6	respectfully requests the Commission: 1, approve the
7	\$1,308,956,670 under-recovery as the actual estimated
8	fuel cost recovery true-up amount for the period January
9	'22 through December '22 2022, right?
10	A Give me one minute. I am just reading it.
11	Q Okay.
12	A We did in the actual estimate, yes, that's
13	what it says. And then we came back in our September
14	projection filing in the petition noting and I will
15	read it from the projection filing: regarding the
16	remaining '22 2022 under-recovered amount, DEF
17	proposes to provide an updated calculation closer to the
18	end of 2022 or early in 2023 to be considered by the
19	Commission in early '23, and implemented following
20	appropriate customer notice.
21	Q Right. But I want to focus on the time
22	between your March 29 letter, where you said it wouldn't
23	be practical to make a midcourse correction, and
24	July 27th, where you ask the Commission to include the
25	identified under-recovery, the 175 plus the 1.1 billion,
L	

(850) 894-0828

1 in the factor beginning January 1, isn't that what the 2 July 27th petition asks? 3 What it says here is to approve the Α 4 approximate 1.3 billion under-recovery as the actual 5 estimated fuel cost recovery true-up amount for the period January 2022 through December 2022. 6 I think what 7 you are asking is does it say to include that in rates? 8 I know that may be typical, but again, we came back in 9 our projection filing --10 Right. Q 11 Α -- with an update to that. 12 I will get to September 2nd. But as of July 0 13 -- July 27th, year in and year out, as a part of this 14 three-part process to develop the levelized fuel factor 15 for the coming year, the company has already filed a 16 true-up from the prior year, right? That's the May --17 April filing. 18 Α Right. 19 And then when you get to the AE filing in 0 20 July, you say, here's the amount. That's the next one. 21 It's put on the shelf with the first amount. And then 22 you get a projected filing in September and say, 23 here's what we think it's going to be in the third year. Put those three together, that becomes your factor. 24 25 Year in and year out, that's the way it's done, right?

(850) 894-0828

1 That is my understanding that has the -- that Α 2 is how the process has been in the past, is my 3 understanding, and that's typical. But as I said earlier, I think most of us would agree, or if not 4 5 everyone, that 2022 has not been a typical year in the natural gas market. As I cited earlier, there are many 6 7 events that have taken place this year that all happened 8 in one year that caused an extreme volatility in the 9 natural gas, ma. 10 So, yes, that may be the typical process in 11 the past, but, again, this has certainly not been a 12 typical year. But in July 20 -- on July 27th, you filed this 13 0 14 petition, and it was, as ordinarily done, part of the 15 process to build the factor for the next year, July --16 for 2023, right? 17 But given the type of year Α That is right. 18 this was, and it not being typical, DEF, Duke Energy 19 Florida, thought at that time, and continues to think at 20 this time, that the best approach would be to wait and 21 get more updated actual information, actual data, and be 22 able to provide a more accurate price for our customers. 23 So in your September 2nd petition that 0 24 contained your testimony and the supporting schedules, 25 and using the exact same process that the company has

(850) 894-0828

1	used for many years, you identified a projected total
2	under-recovery amount for 2022 of 1.3 billion, that
3	included the 175 million deferred from 2021
4	under-recovery balance, is that right?
5	A That's right. I think the schedule you are
6	referring to is comes from the actual estimate
7	schedule that we resubmit in our projection filing.
8	Q Yes.
9	A It's still a 1.3 billion in total.
10	Q I think that's E1-B, is that right?
11	A I am just taking a look.
12	Q Page two.
13	A Yes. It's E1-B, Exhibit GBD-3, part three,
14	page two of two.
15	Q Okay. So as of September 2nd, did you believe
16	that the best estimate of DEF's total 2020 fuel cost
17	under-recovery for all of '22, including both actual
18	data already known plus projected costs for the
19	remainder of the year was 1.308,956,670?
20	A That was our estimate at the time, and we, as
21	I stated a few minutes ago, we thought did was best to
22	really to get a more accurate estimate would be to wait
23	and holding more actual data. So I would not
24	characterize it as a best estimate. It was an estimate
25	at that time based on information we had at that time.

(850) 894-0828

1 0 So in your letter, you said that you were going to monitor and -- the information and then update? 2 With the volatility in the pricing that 3 Α Yes. 4 we were seeing, we felt that was the best approach. 5 Between July 27th and September 2nd, Q Okay. the number didn't change, though, right? 6 7 That is correct. We submitted our actual Α 8 estimate schedule --9 Okay. Q 10 -- and proposed that we wait until we get more Α 11 updated actual data so we could provide a more accurate 12 estimate. 13 So today, on, what is it, November 18th, do 0 14 you have a better estimate? 15 Α What's that? I am sorry. 16 0 Yeah. Today, November 18th, do you have a 17 better estimate? 18 For our projected year-end balance? Α 19 Yes, for 2022. 0 20 We have not put together our information yet Α 21 in filing for our midcourse correction. We will be 22 filing that between now and the end of the year, or in 23 January. 24 I can tell you that as of the close for 25 October, for our actuals, we were in the ballpark of 1.2

(850) 894-0828

1 billion under-collected. And we do not see, at this 2 time, November and December having a material impact. 3 So we still think we will be somewhere in line in total 4 with the 1.3 billion with our actual estimate filing. 5 Q Okay. Of course, that's sitting here today, and at 6 Α 7 But, you know, back then, in the summer, this time. 8 there was a lot of volatility in the market. It was 9 difficult to get a very accurate or great projection at 10 that time. 11 For example, we know when -- when we looked 12 back in the summertime, as we were making our actual 13 estimate and our projection filings, the -- we looked at 14 the -- when you look at June, July and August, at that 15 time, we compare it back to January. June's price --16 the June pricing that we looked at was an increase of 17 almost somewhere in the neighborhood of 134 percent 18 compared to that same price back in January. In July, 19 it was about 44 percent. So we had this extreme jump, 20 and then it dropped down to 44 percent. And then in 21 August, that went back up to about 139 percent. 22 So there was a lot of -- again, a lot of 23 volatility, which is why we thought it was the best 24 approach to wait and get more actual data together. 25 Just so I understand your \$1.2 billion number, 0

(850) 894-0828

1 which is at the end of October, is that right? Is that 2 relative to the 1.3 or the 1.1? 3 Α The 1.2 billion is relative to the 1.3. Τn 4 other words, the 1.2 billion -- I should have clarified, 5 that. Thank you. The 1.2 billion at the end of October was all 6 7 So when you take out the previously approved 176 in. 8 million, that leaves us about one billion, which 9 approximates our actual estimate filing. If you look in 10 October balance, it's in that neighborhood. 11 Q Okay. Thank you. 12 By asking the Commission to approve the \$1.3 13 billion in July 27th for recovery beginning in January 14 1, 2023, would it be fair to say that Duke abandoned the 15 option to receive quicker recovery using the midcourse 16 correction rule? 17 Α I am not clear on the question. Can you --18 Okay. 0 19 Α -- restate that? Thank you. 20 0 Yes. 21 So this July 27th petition, where you -- we 22 went through the wherefore clause. 23 Α Right. 24 0 This would indicate that you are not asking to 25 recover this \$1.3 billion balance any sooner than

(850) 894-0828

Α

January 1, 2023, would that be fair?

1

2

3

4

5

6 that would roll into the rates for the beginning every 7 to the year, but we, at that time, were requesting that 8 number as our estimate at the time.

in that clause is, my understanding of that, is that is

giving our estimate of what we were projecting for the

under-recovery at the end of the year. I know typically

That -- the 1.3 billion you are referring to

9 So under the normal fuel process, this is Step Q 10 2, Step 3, this is September where you do your 11 projection, and those three, we are sitting here today, 12 you put those together and that's -- the intent is that 13 that money will be with the true-up from '21, that 14 number, and then the projection for '23, those will be recovered no sooner than January 1, 2023, is that right? 15 16 Α Yes. That's my understanding. That's the 17 typical process, again, 2022 not being a typical year, 18 but that's the typical process.

19 Q So what I am trying to understand is by
20 putting that number in the July 27th petition, has Duke,
21 for all intents and purposes, decided that they are not
22 going to seek a midcourse correction before -- to be
23 effective more January 1, 2023?

A At this time, our plan is to make a midcourse correction filing sometime between now and the end of

(850) 894-0828

1 this year, or in January. 2 Q I am asking back on July 27th, had you -- had 3 the decision been made we are not going to try to 4 recover this money any sooner than January 1, '23? 5 I am not sure. I don't know if it was decided Α at that point --6 7 Okay. Fair enough? Q 8 Α -- or not. 9 Again, at that time, with the volatility in 10 the market, we were trying to do what we felt was best 11 for our customers and provide them with a very accurate 12 price, and felt that the best approach was to wait and 13 see if there would be some calming in the volatility in 14 the markets, which is -- has been working out that way 15 that we have been seeing. The markets today, in the 16 natural gas area, are still volatile, but not as volatile as they were a few months AHCA. 17 18 So in your September 2nd petition, would it be 0 19 fair to say that for the first time ever, the company 20 reversed course from what was requested on July 27th, 21 and did not ask the Commission to include a -- this 22 significant \$1.1 billion amount in the recovery factor 23 for January 1, 2023? 24 I am not sure if it is or is not the first Α 25 time.

(850) 894-0828

1	Q Okay.
2	A I Am not aware if it is or is not.
3	Q All right. Are you aware of any other time
4	when Duke said, we don't want to recover the AE amount
5	in the upcoming fuel cost recovery factor?
6	A I am not aware if they have or have not done
7	that.
8	Q Okay. How long have you been doing this?
9	A I have been with the company three years this
10	April.
11	Q Okay. You would agree with me that the filing
12	you made on July 27th, the AE filing in that petition,
13	did not preclude or prevent the company from pursuing
14	and filing a midcourse correction petition before the
15	end of 2022?
16	A It's my understanding the company could have
17	filed a midcourse petition, as well as a letter, like we
18	did in March, explaining why it was not practical at
19	that time.
20	Q Okay. You were not prevented from filing a
21	midcourse correction at any time after filing your March
22	29th notification letter, were you?
23	A That's correct. My understanding is we could
24	have filed a midcourse correction had we opted to. But
25	again, given the year and the not being a typical year,
L	

all the events that occurred creating a lot of
volatility in the natural gas market, Duke Energy was
doing what it feels is best for its customers and
waiting to get more actual data. It was really about
providing an accurate price for our customers and price
stability.

Q Your customers have an accurate price signal
now for what 2023 is going to look like?

9 A They will when we file our -- our middle 10 course. And they also had an idea, they have an idea, 11 based on what our actual estimate filing was.

12 **Q** 

Okay.

13 We -- we have been very transparent throughout Α 14 the process. We filed our midcourse notification back in March, as per the rule, the midcourse rule. 15 We 16 complied with that. We notified the parties and the We gave our plan at the time, which was to 17 Commission. 18 wait and see if the markets and the volatility would 19 calm down. We filed our typical annual filings, the 20 actual estimate filing in July, and we filed in early 21 September our projection filing. 22 The only exception I am aware of at this point

is we did not propose to include a projected under-recovery in rates, as we made it known in our projection filings. So we have been very transparent

1 through the process, and the numbers have been out there 2 in the filings. 3 By not including the numbers in rates, you are 0 4 not collecting the under-recovered balance, though, 5 right? The -- the numbers by not being in rates, we 6 Α 7 wouldn't be collecting the under-recovery right now 8 anyway --9 In January 1. Q 10 Α -- if would be coming January. But we will 11 like I said, filing a midcourse correction -be, 12 0 Okay. 13 -- and proposing a rate recovery at that time. Α 14 On -- in your September 2nd petition, in Q paragraph six, you said this, when talking about 15 16 basically not seeking recovery of the July 27th under --17 AE balance: 18 By doing so, the future fuel cost recovery 19 filing will reflect actual gas prices, actual sales and actual revenues in order to substantially improve the 20 21 accuracy of the FCR factor that will be used to recover 22 the incurred fuel costs and mitigate the possibility 23 that the company's forecast may result in a significant 24 overrecovery should fuel prices moderate as the year 25 progresses, is that right?

1 Α Yes, that's what I am reading in paragraph 2 six. 3 Q Okay. Duke has received two midcourse 4 corrections within the past 12 months, right? We had the midcourse correction in December 5 Α of -- I think we filed in December of '21. 6 And then, 7 yes, I believe we had one other one that I recall. 8 Q Back in August or --9 Α Somewhere in that timeline, yes. I don't 10 remember the exact date, but --11 Q Maybe 12 months is wrong, but let's say the 12 last 16 months? 13 Somewhere in the neighborhood, yes. Α Okay. And are -- is Duke proposing that, from 14 Q 15 what we just read from that petition in paragraph sixth 16 on September 2nd, are you proposing that there be a new process of always waiting for actuals when truing up a 17 18 prior estimated -- estimate or projection? 19 Α No, no we are not proposing a new process. 20 Again, we are just, given the type of year this has 21 been, and the volatility in the natural gas pricing, we 22 felt for this year, and at this time, this was the best 23 approach for our customers. 24 Aren't actuals always superior to projections 0 25 or estimates when it comes time to getting cost

(850) 894-0828

1 recovery?

A That's probably something I would have to give more thought to. I mean, it's going to provide you with a more accurate price. But the timing, if we are looking for a January rate change to take place, you would have to wait until after December, obviously, so it would be a change in the process.

But, again, we are not proposing a change in 9 the process going forward. We are just saying that this 10 year, given the events that have taken place and the 11 volatility in the market, we just felt it would be best 12 to get updated and actual information, provide the more 13 accurate piece for our customers.

14 Again, you got to -- you know, looking back in -- earlier in the summer, there was a lot of volatility, 15 16 like I gave you the percentages earlier. It -- it could 17 have gone either way. We -- we -- nobody back then, I 18 think, could have predicted where we would be today and 19 where we will be at the end of the year, and the prices 20 could have -- they could have dropped. They could have 21 continued to go up. But we saw a lot of volatility and 22 felt this was the best approach.

Q Well, you don't have any visibility into
whether these events could be repeat again in 2023, do
you?

1 Α I don't have that visibility, but there were 2 -- there were a lot of events that all happened in one 3 I would like to think that that would be vear. 4 unlikely, but I guess nobody can predict that. 5 So if we have something that approaches the 0 volatility that we have next year, are we going to do 6 7 this all over again, where are we going to wait and wait and wait until actuals come in? 8 9 I will object, Mr. Chairman. MR. BERNIER: 10 It's calls for speculation. 11 CHAIRMAN FAY: It does call for speculation, 12 Mr. Rehwinkel. I don't know if he can predict 13 what's going to happen next year. 14 MR. REHWINKEL: That's what we are --15 CHAIRMAN FAY: I think -- I think your 16 question point, though, of is this a repetitive 17 action or not has been asked and answered. 18 MR. REHWINKEL: Okay. 19 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 20 So let's go to page two of your testimony, and 0 21 I want to direct you to lines 20 through 21. 22 CHAIRMAN FAY: You are in the September 23 testimony, Mr. Rehwinkel? 24 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes, yes, Mr. Chairman. 25 Which page? THE WITNESS: I am sorry.

(850) 894-0828

1 BY MR. REHWINKEL:

2 Q Page two. 3 You state there: Schedule E1 shows the 4 calculation of the company's jurisdictional fuel cost 5 factor of 6.257 cents per kilowatt hour, is that right? 6 Α Yes. 7 Is 6.25 cents per kilowatt hour the Q Okay. 8 correct and most accurate fuel cost factor to charge 9 customers for the year 2023? 10 That is for the price for customers reflected Α in the '23 projected costs. It does not include the 11 12 projected under-recovery for '22. 13 So my -- the answer to my question would be 0 14 no, and then your explanation, it's not the most correct 15 and accurate one? 16 MR. BERNIER: I will object, Mr. Chairman. 17 That's mischaracterizing his testimony. 18 Mr. Dean, are you able to CHAIRMAN FAY: 19 answer a yes or no on that and then give an 20 explanation? 21 I think the explanation was THE WITNESS: 22 needed to provide clarification, that the '22 23 under-recovery was not included in that price, Mr. 24 Chairman. 25 MR. REHWINKEL: My question was: Is it the

1 most accurate fuel cost factor for the year 2023? 2 And I didn't get a yes or no to that. I qot a --3 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. Mr. Dean, are you able 4 to answer yes or no to that? 5 I would say, yes, for the THE WITNESS: projected 2023 costs that we put in the projection. 6 7 I think you need clarification around that. 8 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 9 So 6.257, we talked earlier about the Okav. 0 10 three pieces that go into the factor for the coming 11 year, and this 6.257 includes an accumulation of all three factors, doesn't it? 12 13 It does not include the 2022 under-recovery. Α 14 That will be included when we make our midcourse 15 correction filing. 16 0 Okay. So it's -- on page four, lines six 17 through nine, you state this, if you can -- I will let 18 you get there. 19 Α Okay. I am there. 20 DEF has included a projected under-recovery of 0 21 \$175,789,361. This amount includes \$123,418,788 from 22 the rate mitigation plan approved in Order No. PSC-2021-04 -- 4025-FOF-EI, and 52,370,573 of the 23 midcourse correction amount approved in Order No. 24 25 PSC-2022-0061-PCO-EI that ought to be recovered in 2023,

1 is that right? 2 Α Yes. 3 Q Okay. So when it says, projected 4 under-recovery, that's not really accurate because these 5 numbers don't have anything to do with 2022, do they? I am sorry, where are you reading projected 6 Α 7 under-recovery in there? 8 Q Well, it says --9 Α Oh, the very beginning. Okay. Give my one 10 minute to read this. 11 It is accurate, but the question that's being 12 asked, the O&A in here, is asking about the 2022 net 13 true-up balance that is included in the fuel cost 14 recovery factor for '23. And in this case, it is the 15 176 million approximately that we included in our '23 16 rates. 17 It is a historical amount related to 2021, 0 18 right, the 176? 19 Α It's -- it is a historical amount related to 20 2021, right, the 176? It is an amount related to the 21 rate mitigation plan, 123 million, which comes out of 22 2021. And then the 52 million is the remaining amount 23 from our midcourse correction filing in December --24 Q Okay. 25 -- of '21. Α That was a part of the 314

(850) 894-0828

1 million. 2 Q Okay. So the 53 million is the only part that 3 has any relationship to 2022, is that right? 4 Α I believe so. Yes. I think the 123 was part 5 of the rate mitigation plan that was part of a '21 projection. 6 7 When you prepared your June 27th petition and 0 8 derived that \$1.3 billion number, when would -- if you 9 can recall, when -- when would you have prepared that of 10 calculation? 11 Α I am sorry, can you ask that again? 12 We -- we talked about your July 27th 0 Yeah. 13 petition? 14 Α Yes. 15 And it had the \$1.3 billion number in it? 0 16 Α Right. 17 As of what date would that number have been 0 18 current prior to July 27th? Would it have been sometime 19 in June? 20 I am not sure. I -- can you clarify the Α 21 I am not sure what you are asking. question? 22 Well, I mean, when -- when would that number 0 have been developed, the 1.3 billion, to put it in the 23 24 July 27th petition? 25 It would have been leading up to the filing Α

1	itself.
2	Q Okay.
3	A We would have been preparing a filing, and at
4	that time.
5	Q We talked here today, you gave you gave us
6	an estimate of \$1.2 billion as of October 31st, is it
7	that do I have that right?
8	A Yes. It was approximately 1.2 billion as of
9	October 31st
10	Q So
11	A and if you take out the previously approved
12	176, you are about one billion
13	Q Right.
14	A Approximately
15	Q So what I am trying to understand is what's
16	the correl what would be in the cutoff date for
17	the the number that went into the 1.3 billion so you
18	could put it in this petition? Don't you usually have a
19	couple off that's so many days back in the past?
20	A Oh, you mean in preparation for the filing?
21	Q Yes, sir.
22	A I I don't know that offhand. I am sure it
23	would be at least a few weeks, but I do not know the
24	exact timeline.
25	Q Would it be would it be pretty accurate to

1	say it's probably sometime in late June?
2	A I don't know for sure.
3	Q Okay. Was the the when you prepared
4	the the \$18.3 billion number, would you have had an
5	actual amount through the end of June?
6	A Yes. The the actual estimate is six months
7	of actuals
8	Q Okay.
9	A so that would have been January through
10	June of '22 actuals.
11	Q What was that amount? Do you know how much
12	was actual?
13	A The are you asking about the balance at
14	that time?
15	Q Yes, sir.
16	A I am not sure. Oh, it would be in our filing.
17	Let me take a look.
18	Q Would it be in that B3 schedule?
19	A The El-B, yes.
20	Q Yeah, E1-B I mean.
21	A Yeah. The total balance at that time, as of
22	the end of June of '22, was approximately 749 million.
23	Q Okay. That number was never going to change
24	the more you waited through the year, is that right?
25	A That was an actual at that time.

1	Q Okay. On page eight, lines 14 through 20, you
2	talk about the fuel forecast process, is that right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And is there anything new or unusual
5	about that, or is this this is how you do it each and
6	every year?
7	A This is pretty much how it's done each year,
8	yes.
9	Q Okay. And you have been doing this for about
10	three years now?
11	A Approximately three years. Yes.
12	Q Okay. And so was it done this way I mean,
13	you picked up the mantel from someone else and you just
14	carried forward the process that was done before you got
15	there?
16	A I have been I know I put in testimony last
17	year, and this is a very similar paragraph and a similar
18	process.
19	Q All right. And this was the process that
20	derived last year's forecast for 2022, right?
21	A Yes. That's correct.
22	Q Okay. On page nine, lines 15 through 20, the
23	question there says: Are current fuel prices the same
24	as those used in the development of the projected fuel
25	factors? And the answer is: No. Fuel prices can
L	

1 change significantly from day-to-day. Consistent with 2 the past practices -- I am sorry, consistent with past 3 practices, DEF will continue to monitor fuel prices and 4 update the projection filing prior to the November 5 hearing if changes in fuel prices warrant such an update. 6 7 Do you see that? 8 Α Yes, I see that. 9 Did you file any update before this -- this Q 10 hearing? 11 Α Let me see -- I am just reading it again. 12 We provided the projection filing obviously, 13 which we are reading from. And we said we would provide 14 a midcourse correction filing before the end of the year 15 or in early 2023 at this time. 16 But as of the beginning of this hearing, fuel 0 prices -- changes in fuel prices did not warrant an 17 18 update to the \$1.3 billion number because you brought 19 the same number? 20 Α We were -- as I said, we were going to -- we 21 are going to provide an update as part of our midcourse 22 correction, so --23 0 Okay. 24 Α -- when that time comes, we will provide an 25 update then.

(850) 894-0828

1 You -- you call it a midcourse correction, but 0 2 is -- is a midcourse -- is a correction that occurs 3 wholly outside of 2022, is it a midcourse correction to I mean, your -- it sounds like an after-course 4 2022? 5 correction. 6 Α I am not sure on the exact terminology, but --7 Q Okay. 8 Α -- that's how I have been referencing it. 9 It's midcourse, I look at it that way. It's my 10 understanding because we are doing it for the '22 11 period. 12 All right. When did you prepare the 2023 0 13 projection that is incorporated in your September 2nd 14 petition? What would that have been, as of what date? 15 Α The preparation for it would have been a 16 similar process that I mentioned earlier with the actual It's -- there is not -- there is a process 17 estimate. 18 that we go through for putting all the schedules 19 together. It's not a specific day, but we would have 20 finalized it, obviously, at the time of the filing. 21 So end of July is -- is when you file your --0 22 your mid -- your AE, and the beginning of September is 23 when you file your projection? There is just basically a month, maybe a month and a week between those two, 24 25 right?

1 A Right.

2 Q Is there anything between the July 27th filing 3 with respect to volatility and predictability of gas 4 prices that's changed such that you have better 5 confidence in your -- in your projection for '23 that 6 allows you to say, we are going to put this number in, 7 or we are going to charge this number to customers and 8 put it in the 6.257 number?

9 The -- between the two filings, the -- again, Α 10 I will go back to this past summer, there was a lot of 11 volatility, as I mentioned earlier. There is the prices 12 we were seeing from when we looked at June, July and 13 August, which is in that timeframe of our actual 14 estimate filing and projection filing. The prices, when 15 we compared them to early January, had gone in June up 16 134 percent, July was 44 percent increase. So vou had 17 this big drop. And then the price went up again to 18 139 percent.

19 So it's -- that volatility is why, when we 20 came out in your projection filing, we wanted to wait 21 and get more updated actuals.

 22
 Q
 You say projection, but you meant the AE

 23
 filing?

 24
 A

 25
 Q

 Q
 Okay.

1 -- we made it -- I think in the petition Α 2 there, we said we would be filing for a midcourse 3 correction later in the year or in early '23. So we would be proposing our '22 balance at that time. 4 5 In June and July of 2022, when you are Q preparing the A -- AE petition, the -- you did not know 6 7 or have more certainty about fuel prices for 2023 than 8 you did for the rest of 2022, did you? 9 The -- the pricing in 2023, the natural gas Α 10 prices that we were seeing are volatile, but the pricing 11 that we were observing, the ups and down increases and 12 decreases in pricing in '23 were not as volatile as 13 So we were more comfortable, and comfortable with 2022. 14 the '23 projection. So by us deferring the '22 numbers 15 in under-recovery to get more actual data, we don't see that impacting 2023, because the volatility was not at 16 17 the level that '22 was. 18 As of July 31st and August 30th of this year, 0 19 how far out into the remainder of the year was DEF 20 purchasing material amounts of fuel for the remainder of 21 2022? 22 Say those dates again. Α 23 Yeah, let -- the end of August -- the end of 0 July and the end of August. I mean, how much -- how 24 25 much your -- what percentage of your remaining natural

(850) 894-0828

1 gas supply was not locked up in a contract as of the end 2 of July?

A That is not something I am familiar with. I -- it's my understanding, based on prior settlements, that DEF does not have a hedging program in place, but I am not familiar with the natural gas purchasing practices, not familiar enough that I could speak to it.

Q Okay. As of today, November 18th, how much occurrent constraints of 2022 have actually changed to materially affect the company's estimated \$1.3 billion under-recovery for this year?

12 A The -- we are still seeing volatility in the 13 natural gas market, but it is not to the extreme that we 14 were seeing a few months ago, back in the summer. So at 15 this point, we don't see November and December having a 16 material impact on the balance.

17 So again, where we are seeing the balance now 18 at, you know, net of the hundred -- approximate 176 19 million, we are at about one billion, give or take, for 20 end of October. And we see December coming kind of in 21 line with what our actual estimate filing was showing as 22 the estimate.

23 Q I am -- I have a long series of questions here 24 that I want to see if I can shortcut by asking you a 25 simple question.

[	
1	If you well, let's go to your Schedule El
2	if we can. And this is GPD-3, Part 2, page one of one.
3	A All right. In the projection filing, correct?
4	Q Yes, sir.
5	A Okay.
6	Q Are you there?
7	A Yes.
8	Q So at the very, very bottom, on line 27,
9	that's the 6.257, a number that we discussed in your
10	testimony, right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And if we go to the next page well, let's,
13	before we go to the next page, on line 22, there is the
14	prior period true-up Schedule E1-A, that's the EA
15	portion of the factor, right, the .4446?
16	A Yes, that equates to the 176 million we have
17	been discussing.
18	Q Okay. And if we go to E1-A, this shows the
19	derivation of that .4446. It's rounded, I guess. It
20	has .4455, right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. And what we see here on line four,
23	that's the 1.308,956,670 number, right?
24	A Yes. That's correct.
25	Q And line five shows the that the 1.133,

1	that's the \$1.1 billion number that we've talked about
2	in shortcut shorthand, right?
3	A Right.
4	Q It's being reversed out to leave just the 176
5	to use to develop the 445 factor
6	A Right.
7	Q right?
8	Okay. So would you agree with me that if you
9	took the line four 1.308,956,670 number, and you put it
10	on line six, and you then spread it over the sales for
11	the projected sales for 2023, would you agree with me
12	that if you flow that all the way through, you allocated
13	it to the first and second tier usage, that you would
14	come up with an additional \$27 a month for the average
15	thousand-kilowatt-hour customer?
16	A That and that's taking line four, the 1.3
17	billion
18	Q Yes, sir.
19	A and putting that into line six?
20	Q Yes.
21	A I have not made that calculation.
22	Q Okay.
23	A But I can say you are probably in that
24	ballpark.
25	Q Okay. All right. That would save a whole
L	

1 I can -- I can take you through the math where lot. 2 we --3 Α Your number again was 27? 4 \$27? Q 5 Α Okay. Yeah, because I --6 Q 7 Α Ballpark. 8 Q Okay. All right. That will -- that will save 9 us a lot of time. 10 So I got \$27.36 before applying the gross 11 receipts tax and the RAF to it which is a kind of a 12 fairly minor gross-up there? 13 Α Okay. 14 So let's go to E-10 if we can. This is in Q 15 your GPD-3, E-10. 16 Α Okay. I am there. 17 0 All right. So right now, you have, in the 18 fuel cost recovery line, this shows the build-up of a typical residential bill with the bottom line of \$170.68 19 20 using the 6.257 factor for fuel, right? 21 Α Yes. 22 And when we see \$59.61 for fuel, that's 0 23 because it's been ratioed down a little bit for the first year? 24 25 Yeah, that's the first year. Α That's correct.

1 So if we take my ballpark number of \$27 0 Okay. 2 and we -- we add it -- you would just take that \$27 3 increment and add it to the 170, so your number now is 196, 197 for the typical bill, if you put in the \$1.3 4 5 billion to collect from customers, is that right? Well, as I said earlier, I haven't made the 6 Α 7 calculation, but I -- I would have to look at it to get 8 a more exact number, but I think you may have to still, 9 I will say ratio that down for the first year possibly, 10 but I would have to look at that closer. 11 Okay. All right. Well, let's just go to E1-D Q 12 if we can real quick. 13 And, Charles, what is that MS. BROWNLESS: 14 exhibit number? 15 MR. REHWINKEL: It's still in -- it's Exhibit 16 GPO in the -- in the --17 MR. BERNIER: Suzanne, it's Exhibit No. 7 on 18 the comprehensive exhibit list, if that's what you 19 are asking. 20 MS. BROWNLESS: I am just trying to look at 21 what it's labeled on the testimony. 22 MR. REHWINKEL: Yeah. It is -- it is Exhibit 23 GPD-3, Part 2, Schedule E1-D. 24 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 25 Are you there? 0

1	A Yep.
2	Q So what I did is on line 11, you have a fuel
3	factor for to the first tier of 5.961?
4	A Correct.
5	Q You see that? And that's that's what you
6	used for E-10, the fuel piece, right, for the
7	thousand-kilowatt-hour?
8	A Yes. Yes. That's back on our that goes
9	back to the E-10 that he would just looked at earlier.
10	Q So if it in cranking the number, the
11	revised number that you took the 1.3 and you spread it
12	over the units, I just took the relationship of line
13	six, which is that 6257 and the 5961, which was .95 and
14	a whole bunch of numbers after that, and I multiplied it
15	by a new factor that you would get if you spread the 1.3
16	billion over projected sales.
17	A Okay.
18	Q That would that relationship work?
19	A I think that may get you close. Like I said,
20	I haven't done the calculation, and nobody during the
21	discovery process, none of the parties asked for that
22	calculation
23	Q Okay.
24	A I have not performed it.
25	Q All right. So subject to check, would you

1 agree that if you put -- spread the \$1.3 billion -- if you put the \$1.3 billion in your total recovery, that 2 3 you would be close to \$200 a month for a 1,000-kilowatt-hour customer? 4 5 Subject to check, I think you would probably Α be maybe a little below --6 7 Okay? Q 8 Α -- the 200. 9 All right. So -- now you are coming in Q sometime after this hearing and you are going to file, 10 11 we will call it a midcourse correction after '22. 12 Α Okay. 13 And there is no way that you could put that in 0 14 probably in less than two months, is that right? So 15 March 1st would be the earliest time you could get a 16 correction if you file under the schedule you are 17 talking about? 18 If we filed in December, January, we would Α probably be looking at a March or April implementation, 19 20 There is a -- there is a process to it, not only ves. 21 putting the billing rates into the system, but also the 22 notification to customers as well. 23 Right. You got to get to an agenda and 0 24 everything like that? 25 Α Yes.

1 So to the extent that that -- that that 0 Okay. amount -- let's just use, subject to check, \$27 -- every 2 3 month -- and if you are only going to recover the under-recovery for '22 in '23, every month you wait to 4 5 recover that, the monthly balance goes up, all other things being equal, about eight percent, right, 1/12th? 6 7 The monthly balance? Can you clarify what Α 8 monthly balance. 9 I mean the monthly bill impact. Q 10 So say that again. I am sorry, I was trying Α 11 to think of balance --12 Yeah, I apologize. I didn't say that right. 0 13 So every month that you wait to implement 14 that, and assuming you are only go to recover it within the calendar year of '23, every month you wait, the 15 16 customer bill impact, assuming you spread it evenly -and I know sales fluctuate -- it would go up eight 17 18 percent, right? 19 Α I am not sure. I don't know where you are 20 getting the eight percent from. 21 1/12th.Q 22 Oh. I would have to -- I haven't made that Α 23 calculation honestly. I would have to think about that some more. But again, let's keep in mind, we are 24 25 talking about the 1.3 billion is where you are coming

(850) 894-0828

1 from, and that's the \$27? 2 Q Right. 3 Α Okay. Yeah, I would have to -- I would have to make some sort of calculation, I think. 4 5 Whatever the number was, if you could -- if Q you started recovering a factor over a 12-month period, 6 7 and you start on January 1, you are going to spread that 8 based on your sales distribution and other weather 9 factors, and it's going to be recovered 1/12th, give or 10 take, for the year, right? 11 Α Well, it will be recovered over the sales 12 volumes --13 Right. 0 Yeah. 14 -- not necessarily 1/12th exactly, but over Α 15 the sales volumes over that period of time, that would 16 be reflected in our rates. 17 0 Right. So every year -- every month that you 18 don't collect that, then that amount has to be spread 19 over the next 11 months. And then if you wait two 20 months, then you only have 10 months to recover it, you 21 got to take those two months and spread it over the 22 remaining two months, is that -- do you understand what 23 I am asking? 24 Α It would depend on the period of time --25 0 Okay.

1 Α -- that we would be spreading the recovery 2 over. 3 Q So what is the plan for the company -- what is 4 the recovery period that the company is going to propose 5 for 2023 under this midcourse correction? And, Mr. Chairman, just for the 6 MR. BERNIER: 7 record, I do have to object. This was the exact 8 issue that was deemed to be premature and was 9 excluded. So just for the record. 10 Yeah. Mr. Rehwinkel, you have CHAIRMAN FAY: 11 done a pretty good job of not getting into the 12 contested issues which were rejected for the 13 carrying costs, but for the total number, it does 14 sound like you are trying to be inclusive of what 15 would be, and so --16 MR. REHWINKEL: I thought the ruling was that 17 the Commission wasn't going to decide it as an 18 issue but we could cross on it. 19 CHAIRMAN FAY: Correct. You can still cross 20 on it, but the issue that's being raised is the 21 inclusiveness of that number, and I think you can't 22 get to a total number with some form of speculation 23 that would include carrying costs. And so I think 24 your line of questioning has been appropriate up to 25 this point, but maybe if you can not be inclusive

1 of what that total number is, I think he could 2 answer the question appropriately. Otherwise, we 3 are getting into those contested issues. 4 MR. REHWINKEL: Well, my question is, to the 5 witness, is what is the period that they are going to propose regardless of what that -- the number 6 7 is. 8 CHAIRMAN FAY: And that's a fair question. 9 In at this time, we feel it's THE WITNESS: 10 best to collect the under-recovery for 2022 over a 11 12-month period. In will help avoid any potential 12 pancaking of rates should the costs increase in the 13 future. 14 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 15 So your thinking -- your best thinking 0 Okay. 16 right now is that you will not propose a period of less 17 than 12 months? 18 Our proposed thinking -- our thinking at this Α 19 time is proposing a 12-month recovery period. 20 Okay. What carrying costs will you propose 0 21 for that 12-month period? 22 MR. BERNIER: Again, Mr. Chairman, we are 23 right there. 24 Mr. Rehwinkel, that is getting CHAIRMAN FAY: 25 into the contested issue. And I understand what

(850) 894-0828

1 your argument is, but that ruling was clear, and as 2 soon as you get into those numbers, it's a question 3 of what the future would be. 4 Do you have a different way of asking 5 essentially what those -- if there would be a rate impact, if there would be a number impact without 6 7 trying to ask specifically what number that would 8 be? Because that requires speculation on his part as to exactly when that filing would be and what 9 10 that total number would be. 11 MR. REHWINKEL: I think -- well, Mr. Chairman, 12 I think that we are going to have to do the proffer 13 thing. 14 Can I jump in on this? MR. MOYLE: 15 Yeah, go ahead, Mr. Moyle. CHAIRMAN FAY: 16 We have the record of the MR. MOYLE: 17 conversation yesterday, and my recollection of that 18 was when we were arguing those Issues A and B, it 19 was analyzed under a motion for reconsideration, a 20 legal standard, and it was ruled against for the 21 consumer parties. But I also remember that 22 Commissioner Clark asked staff the question with 23 respect to the content, and could that content be 24 the subject of cross-examination. And I think Ms. 25 Brownless said, you know, that could be relevant to

(850) 894-0828

1

other matters and should be fair game.

2 And I have some similar questions that I plan 3 to ask, if permitted, again, to try to get at the 4 overall issue of what are consumers looking at 5 potentially for the upcoming year, and get the best information we can today. And, you know, it's that 6 7 Issue 8 or 10 in there says what are the costs 8 going forward. It's squared -- squared up as an issue in the case and --9

10 And, Mr. Moyle, your questions CHAIRMAN FAY: 11 are to that -- what that number would be, or just 12 would that be a possible recovery that would 13 increase that number? Because if you're -- that's 14 what I am trying to understand. Are you trying to 15 get at what that number would be if there is a 16 decision made at a certain date --

17MR. MOYLE: I think I am trying to --18CHAIRMAN FAY: -- or just a general.

19 MR. MOYLE: -- get at is to understand things 20 like, okay, if they are going to do it over 12 21 months, is it 12 months starting January 1, or 22 March 1, and run for 12 months? Would it be 24 23 months just so we have a picture as to what the 24 principle amount is and what the interest is so we 25 can make business decision from there.

1 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. And I think with the 2 witness' knowledge -- and I will let the utility 3 respond -- with the witness' knowledge as to if 4 that were to occur or what that would look like, I 5 think, within his expertise, he could answer that.

But I do think, to your point, at some point 6 7 it requires him to make a -- a affirmative 8 statement as to this is what would happen in the 9 future, and this is how it would be calculated, and 10 I am not sure he can do that. He is welcome if he 11 feels he has the knowledge to do so, but I would 12 imagine that's difficult at this point in time for 13 him to do.

14 So let me let the utility respond, and then I 15 think I have made my point clear as far as that 16 carrying cost number and what's been thrown out. Ι 17 understand your argument, Mr. Rehwinkel, and I will 18 -- I will tolerate, to a certain extent, you 19 getting to the point as to what those changes would 20 look like, and maybe what the impact would be to 21 the ratepayer, which is what you previously --22 MR. REHWINKEL: Let me withdraw that question 23 and try to do what you suggested, which is get at 24 it a different way, and let me -- let me ask 25 this --

1 CHAIRMAN FAY: And just real quick, Mr. 2 Rehwinkel, to be fair to the parties --3 MR. REHWINKEL: Okay. 4 CHAIRMAN FAY: -- I am going to allow Mr. 5 Bernier to weigh in on this before you, it sounds likes, cure this whole issue, which is it sounds 6 7 like a positive way to go, but let me let Mr. 8 Bernier weigh in additional. 9 MR. BERNIER: And I appreciate that. I was 10 I am not trying to going to try to do the same. 11 get into a proffer situation here, or anything like 12 that. All I am trying to say if he has questions 13 that Mr. Dean knows the answer to and can answer, 14 you know, from his knowledge, that's one thing. Ι 15 just didn't want this to devolve into a debate that 16 seemed had been set for another date when we 17 actually make a filing on, you know, trying to make 18 these arguments. 19 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. And it sounds like 20 everyone sort of agrees on that. And, Mr. 21 Rehwinkel, maybe you could sort of ask that in a 22 different format where we don't require that sort 23 of --24 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN FAY: -- calculation. And he can

(850) 894-0828

<ul> <li>obviously say he doesn't know what that would be,</li> <li>but I am I think it's important for the</li> <li>Commission to have some information on this and so</li> <li>that's why I want to continue to allow it.</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Okay.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN FAY: Be mindful of what's been</li> <li>excluded.</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: I appreciate that Mr.</li> <li>Chairman.</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to</li> <li>your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it</li> <li>B-3 GPD-3 E1-B.</li> <li>MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo?</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>filing, correct?</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q All right. I am there.</li> <li>Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> <li>there is Sections A, B and C, and in C there is line</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Commission to have some information on this and so</li> <li>that's why I want to continue to allow it.</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Okay.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN FAY: Be mindful of what's been</li> <li>excluded.</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: I appreciate that Mr.</li> <li>Chairman.</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to</li> <li>your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it</li> <li>B-3 GPD-3 E1-B.</li> <li>MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo?</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>filing, correct?</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>A All right. I am there.</li> <li>Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>that's why I want to continue to allow it.</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Okay.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN FAY: Be mindful of what's been</li> <li>excluded.</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: I appreciate that Mr.</li> <li>Chairman.</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to</li> <li>your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it</li> <li>B-3 GPD-3 E1-B.</li> <li>MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo?</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>filing, correct?</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>A All right. I am there.</li> <li>Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
5       MR. REHWINKEL: Okay.         6       CHAIRMAN FAY: Be mindful of what's been         7       excluded.         8       MR. REHWINKEL: I appreciate that Mr.         9       Chairman.         10       BY MR. REHWINKEL:         11       Q       And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to         12       your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it         13       B-3 GPD-3 E1-B.         14       MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo?         15       MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.         16       THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection         17       filing, correct?         18       BY MR. REHWINKEL:         19       Q         20       A         21       Q         22       All right. I am there.         23       Q
<ul> <li>6 CHAIRMAN FAY: Be mindful of what's been</li> <li>7 excluded.</li> <li>8 MR. REHWINKEL: I appreciate that Mr.</li> <li>9 Chairman.</li> <li>10 BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>11 Q And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to</li> <li>12 your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it</li> <li>13 B-3 GPD-3 El-B.</li> <li>14 MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that El-B, bravo?</li> <li>15 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>16 THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>17 filing, correct?</li> <li>18 BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>19 Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>20 A All right. I am there.</li> <li>21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
7 excluded. 8 MR. REHWINKEL: I appreciate that Mr. 9 Chairman. 10 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 11 Q And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to 12 your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it 13 B-3 GPD-3 E1-B. 14 MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo? 15 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes. 16 THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection 17 filing, correct? 18 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 19 Q Yes. Yeah. 20 A All right. I am there. 21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see
<ul> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: I appreciate that Mr.</li> <li>Chairman.</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to</li> <li>your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it</li> <li>B-3 GPD-3 E1-B.</li> <li>MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo?</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>filing, correct?</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>A All right. I am there.</li> <li>Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Chairman.</li> <li>10 BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>11 Q And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to</li> <li>12 your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it</li> <li>13 B-3 GPD-3 E1-B.</li> <li>14 MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo?</li> <li>15 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>16 THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>17 filing, correct?</li> <li>18 BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>19 Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>20 A All right. I am there.</li> <li>21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
10 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 11 Q And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to 12 your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it 13 B-3 GPD-3 El-B. 14 MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that El-B, bravo? 15 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes. 16 THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection 17 filing, correct? 18 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 19 Q Yes. Yeah. 20 A All right. I am there. 21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see
<ul> <li>Q And, Mr. Dean, let me let me take you to</li> <li>your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it</li> <li>B-3 GPD-3 E1-B.</li> <li>MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo?</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>filing, correct?</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>A All right. I am there.</li> <li>Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
<pre>12 your Schedule B-3. I mean, I am I keep calling it 13 B-3 GPD-3 E1-B. 14 MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo? 15 MR. REHWINKEL: Yes. 16 THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection 17 filing, correct? 18 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 19 Q Yes. Yeah. 20 A All right. I am there. 21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</pre>
<ul> <li>B-3 GPD-3 E1-B.</li> <li>MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that E1-B, bravo?</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>filing, correct?</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>A All right. I am there.</li> <li>Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, is that El-B, bravo?</li> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>filing, correct?</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>Q A All right. I am there.</li> <li>Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection</li> <li>filing, correct?</li> <li>BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>A All right. I am there.</li> <li>Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
16THE WITNESS: We are still in the projection17filing, correct?18BY MR. REHWINKEL:19Q20A20A21QQAll right. I am there.21QAll right. So if you go down to, you see
<pre>17 filing, correct? 18 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 19 Q Yes. Yeah. 20 A All right. I am there. 21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</pre>
<ul> <li>18 BY MR. REHWINKEL:</li> <li>19 Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>20 A All right. I am there.</li> <li>21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>19 Q Yes. Yeah.</li> <li>20 A All right. I am there.</li> <li>21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see</li> </ul>
20 A All right. I am there. 21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see
21 Q All right. So if you go down to, you see
22 there is Sections A, B and C, and in C there is line
23 seven, it says, interest provision.
24 A Yes, I see that.
Q Okay. So the and then each month there is

1 an interest provision. And we then go to the next page that has all 12, the end of the 12 months. 2 The -- in C, 3 line seven, the interest provision is 9,317,092, do you 4 see that? 5 Α Yes. That's correct. 6 0 So you have carrying costs baked into the 7 1.308 number, right? 8 Α Yes. 9 Is that the commercial paper rate? Q 10 Α That is. 11 Q Is that the same rate that you intend to 12 propose for your 12-month recovery of this midcourse 13 correction? 14 Just for the record, again, Mr. MR. BERNIER: 15 Chairman, it's the exact question. 16 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, it requires a 17 speculation, but, Mr. Dean, you can either state if 18 you do or don't know it otherwise. 19 MR. REHWINKEL: I can ask it this way: Do 20 you -- do you -- well, I asked if they were going 21 to use the same rate that they used for this. 22 That's my question. 23 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, I know, and that's -just so we don't sort of go around in a circle on 24 25 this, Mr. Dean, if you know that information, you

(850) 894-0828

443 1 are welcome to answer that question, otherwise, we 2 are going to move on. 3 THE WITNESS: At this time, the company feels 4 the best approach to be to collect that 5 under-recovery, as I said a few minutes ago, over a 12-month period, and that would help avoid any 6 7 pancake of a rate if there should be future And at this time, that proposal would 8 increases. 9 be at the commercial paper rate. 10 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 11 Q Okay. Thank you very much. 12 You would agree, would you not, that DEF 13 entirely on its own made an election to not begin 14 recovery of the 2022 under-recovery balance from

15 customers as soon as possible?

16 Α I would say that DEF complied with the 17 midcourse ruling that we talked about earlier, in that 18 it filed notification to the Commission, as well as 19 notifying the parties, and made everybody aware of their 20 plan, and provided an explanation as to why it was not 21 practical at that time for a midcourse correction. 22 Well, the decision to wait from March 29th to 0

23 sometime after January 1, that was your decision, right?
24 Your, meaning DEF's.

25 A That was a decision that came about with the

1 extreme volatility we were seeing in the market and the 2 events that had taken place this year causing a lot of 3 that volatility that we felt was in the best interest of 4 customers to, again, provide, you know, to get more 5 actual data, provide a more accurate price. Okay. Let me ask it this way: 6 Q The Commission 7 didn't tell you to wait, did they? 8 Α No. 9 The customers didn't ask you to wait, did Q 10 they? 11 Α The customers -- this is something, again, 12 that we were doing in the -- what we felt was in the 13 best interest of our customers. 14 But the -- so the customers did not ask you to Q 15 wait until after January 1, did they, to recover this 16 amount? If you know. Again, we were doing what was in the -- what 17 Α 18 we felt was in the best interest of the customers. We 19 have -- you know, we have to keep in mind, DEF has 20 somewhere in the neighborhood of 1.9 million customers 21 that we are serving, so we are trying to look out for 22 their best interest. 23 MR. BERNIER: Mr. Chairman. 24 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. 25 I apologize. Could I have just MR. BERNIER:

(850) 894-0828

1	a quick minute to confer with Mr. Rehwinkel?
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. Take a few minutes.
3	MS. BROWNLESS: While they are conferring, may
4	we take a short break, please?
5	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. We will take a
6	five-minute break.
7	MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you.
8	(Brief recess.)
9	CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Rehwinkel, you lost some or
10	your intervenors over here. All right.
11	MR. REHWINKEL: They better come back, because
12	I am almost done.
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Bernier and Mr. Rehwinkel,
14	are we
15	MR. BERNIER: I appreciate it.
16	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, absolutely. And just
17	real quick, I understand the complexity of dealing
18	with this issue and where we are in the legal
19	posture, and so, Mr. Rehwinkel, I am not intending
20	to keep you away from that questioning, but that
21	ruling has been made, and so I will continue to
22	affirmatively state that point, that we've we
23	are in that posture.
24	MR. REHWINKEL: Yeah, i am I am out of
25	those weeds.
L	

(850) 894-0828

1 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Now, just to be clear, 2 for the other witnesses, do you have similar lines 3 of questioning, or you can maybe efficiently --4 MR. REHWINKEL: I will probably address it the 5 same way I did with him at that point on the interest provision. 6 7 CHAIRMAN FAY: On the interest component, or 8 any sort of carrying cost component, okay. 9 MR. REHWINKEL: I am going to ask them if it's 10 going to be anything different than what they've 11 done here. 12 CHAIRMAN FAY: Got you. And that's a fair 13 question. 14 Okay, Mr. Bernier, did you have anything you wanted to add before --15 16 MR. BERNIER: I am good. Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Mr. Rehwinkel, you are recognized. 18 19 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 21 Mr. Dean, you made a fair point about the 0 22 number of customers you have, and not knowing who asked 23 you what, so I withdraw that question. I just want to 24 make sure I understand this, and see if I can get a yes 25 or no answer.

1 The decision to defer the cost was a decision 2 that Duke made, right? 3 Α It's a decision that Duke Energy made that it 4 felt would help benefit its customers, by getting, yes, 5 as I said, the more accurate information, better 6 pricing. 7 You talked -- let's -- the reasons you gave 0 8 for -- that we've gone through in your petition, where you said you were going to wait and get more actual 9 10 sales information and see if you can mitigate the 11 possibility that the forecast results that would 12 moderate your number? 13 You are referring back to the notification --Α 14 the midcourse notification? 15 Well, that, and the language that you put in 0 16 the petition, where you said -- it said: By doing so -yeah, it -- the future fuel cost recovery filing will 17 18 reflect actual gas prices, actual sales and actual 19 revenues in order to substantially improve. Do vou 20 remember that? 21 Parts of it. Can you point me to it in the Α 22 petition? 23 Yeah. 0 24 Α Is it the September 2nd petition? 25 Let's go to paragraph six of your 0 Yes.

(850) 894-0828

1 September petition, and it starts, by doing so, which is 2 the second --3 Α I am there. 4 -- line. And it goes all the way down to the Q 5 end of that paragraph. So my question I just want to ask you is if, 6 7 instead, you were in the same position and you had an 8 over -- you had \$1 billion of overrecovery, would you 9 still sit and wait and see if prices went back up so --10 before you made a refund to the customers? 11 Α I don't know the answer to that. I don't 12 At that point, it's very possible we would file know. 13 for a midcourse correction to decrease rates, but I 14 don't know. 15 If the -- I am just asking if you were in --0 16 in the opposite position, and there was volatility and 17 prices had precipitously dropped, would you do the exact 18 same thing as wait and wait and wait and see what 19 actuals were? 20 CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Rehwinkel --21 Mr. Chairman, he is asking --MR. BERNIER: 22 MR. REHWINKEL: I will withdraw the question. 23 Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN FAY: All right. 25 MR. REHWINKEL: That's all I have. Thank you.

1 Thank you, Mr. Dean. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 MR. BREW: My turn? 4 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. And, Mr. Brew, were you 5 here earlier when we addressed how we are moving forward with the witness as related to the 6 7 contested issues? Were you out of the room? 8 MR. BREW: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Go ahead. 10 But I'm going to ask them all over MR. BREW: 11 again. 12 CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. You have nowhere to be, 13 right? 14 MR. BREW: Right. 15 EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. BREW: 17 0 Good morning, Mr. Dean. 18 Good morning. Α 19 Do you have your July filing with you? 0 20 Α Are you talking about the actual estimate 21 filing? 22 The actual estimated filing on July 27th. 0 23 Α I do. 24 0 And your testimony and exhibits? 25 Α I do.

1	Q Would you go to your Exhibit GPD-2, Part 1,
2	the Schedule E1-B, which you talked about with Mr.
3	Rehwinkel a while ago?
4	A Yes. Give me one minute.
5	Q Sure.
6	A I am there.
7	Q Okay. And if we can skip to line 13, the
8	bottom line labeled, Total True-Up Balance, do you see
9	that?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And it starts out in January with a balance of
12	roughly 441 million?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And if we skip to June, that balance had grown
15	to 749.6 million?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q And that's those are that's an actual
18	number?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. And if we flip the page to page two of
21	two of that exhibit.
22	A Okay.
23	Q And am I correct that this page is the
24	estimated portion for the year, 2022?
25	A Which line are you looking at?

(850) 894-0828

1 Q Well, the table itself. 2 Α Oh, okay. Yes, this is the estimate. 3 Q Estimated months. 4 And if we go back down to line 13 again, total 5 true-up balance? 6 Α Okay. 7 You show it growing from June to July up to Q 8 858 million, is that right? 9 Yes, from -- to 858 million is our estimate. Α 10 And then it grows until we get to Q Okay. 11 December, and we see the 1.3 billion we talked about, is 12 that right? 13 Yes, that's right. Α 14 Okay. And is it correct that the true-up Q 15 balance Duke estimated would grow every single month 16 through that estimated period? 17 For this six-month period? Α 18 That's correct. 0 19 Α It shows it increasing from July through Yes. 20 December. 21 So every month, that true-up balance 0 Okay. 22 gets larger? 23 That's correct. Α 24 And between the June actual and the 1.3 0 25 billion, the estimated under-recovery would be growing

1 by \$559 million, right? 2 Α I don't have the number with me. 3 Q That's 1.3 billion minus the 749 actual. 4 Α It seems like it would be in that ballpark, 5 but I have not calculated it to be exact. Okay. And if you could go, then, to your 6 Q 7 September testimony filing, and the exhibit that's now 8 labeled GPD-3. 9 I am to the exhibit. Α 10 If we could go to the Schedule E1-B Q Okay. 11 again --12 Α Okay. 13 -- which shows January to June actuals, 0 14 including the true-up balances, is that right? 15 Α Yes. That's correct. 16 0 And it shows exactly the same numbers that you 17 submitted in July, right? 18 Α Yes, it does. 19 0 And if you flip the page to the estimated, 20 where we -- again, we have the total true-up balance of 21 1.3 billion, is that right? 22 Α Yes. 23 And the estimated amounts are exactly the same 0 24 as you submitted in July? 25 Α Yes. That is correct.

1	Q So you, effectively, as you said earlier, I
2	think you simply resubmitted that exhibit again?
3	A That is correct.
4	Q Okay. And you did not provide actuals for
5	July or August, right?
6	A That's correct. They are estimates.
7	Q Okay. So when you said earlier that the
8	company was looking to get more accurate information and
9	data, what you are telling us is between July and
10	September, your forecast for the under-recovery didn't
11	change by a penny, right?
12	A What we were saying is we were getting more
13	accurate data for our midcourse correction filing that
14	we plan to make.
15	Q But you you didn't say anything about a
16	midcourse filing, per se, in July, did you? You asked
17	for approval of the 1.1 or 1.3 billion?
18	A We we did not talk about that our July
19	filing, but it was in our projection filing, and I
20	believe you were asking about July and August I
21	thought that's what you were asking about which would
22	have been after the July filing was made.
23	Q No. Let me be clear.
24	In your July petition you went over this
25	with Mr. Rehwinkel the company's petition actually

(850) 894-0828

1 asked for approval of the 1.3 billion under-recovery for 2 2022, right? 3 Α It does. We filed that as our estimate for 4 approval. Yes. 5 Q Okay. 6 MR. BREW: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman, I am 7 trying to do some triage so I don't reask the 8 question that Mr. Rehwinkel asked. 9 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. That's fine, Mr. Brew. 10 BY MR. BREW: 11 Q I believe you said earlier, but I wanted to 12 confirm, you told Mr. Rehwinkel that at this point right 13 now, the company is reasonably confident in the \$1.3 14 billion under-recovery for 2022, because its remaining 15 fuel burn over the remaining months of the year is not 16 likely to change that materially, is that right? 17 What I was trying to say earlier is that our Α 18 -- when we look at our October actual balance it 19 approximates, which is about 1.2 billion. And if you 20 exclude the 175 million, it puts you at about one 21 That approximates the estimated October billion. 22 balance that we had for our actual estimate. And with 23 that, taken along with we don't expect November and 24 December to be materially different. We expect to be 25 somewhere in line, approximately, with our actual

(850) 894-0828

1 estimate filing. 2 Q So your actual estimate filing from the Okay. 3 exhibits we talked about earlier for October had estimated that the true-up balance for 2022 would have 4 5 grown to 1.17 billion, is that right? I was rounding to, like, 1.2 billion. 6 Α Yeah. 7 And that's about where we are as of the end of October 8 in actuals. 9 So that's what you told the Commission in 0 10 In September you filed the exact same number, is July. 11 that right --12 Α Yes --13 -- for October? 0 14 -- our projection filing. Α 15 And today you are telling us that number is 0 16 about right? 17 It's approximately in that ballpark. Α Yes. 18 And you don't see any change in the fuel costs 0 19 over November or December that's going to materially 20 reduce the estimated under-recovery? 21 We -- there is still volatility in the market, Α 22 but we don't see it materially impacting. 23 So the answer to my question is yes? 0 24 Α Can you restate the question? 25 You are not projecting that the remaining fuel 0

1 burn over November and December will materially reduce 2 the under-recovery that you have shown on your exhibits? I am saying it won't materially change the 3 Α 4 number. I don't know -- when you are talking about fuel 5 burn, I am thinking there is recoveries and there is fuel burn for costs -- that's related to costs. 6 I am 7 netting that out -- netting it out and saying that we 8 don't see the -- a material impact in the last few 9 months. 10 Are you expecting the under-recovery that you Q 11 have shown in your exhibits to materially change what 12 you know for the remainder of the year? Yes or no? 13 We don't see it materially changing from the Α 14 estimate that we had in the actual estimate. 15 Thank you. 0 16 Α You are welcome. 17 So to sum up, then, the estimated 0 18 under-recovery that you show today you are confident is 19 the best information that you are going to have? 20 I think the best information we would have is Α 21 if we continued with Duke Energy's plan, which is to 22 obtain actual data. And as I said earlier, then we 23 would make a filing that would provide the best estimate 24 at that time for a midcourse correction between now and 25 the end of the year, or in January.

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

1 But you just said that you don't expect there 0 2 to be a material change, isn't that right? 3 Α That's correct --4 All right. Q 5 -- I don't see it materially changing. Α But again, it's DEF's proposal that we would wait, because 6 7 the markets are still volatile and --8 Q Please stick to my question. 9 Α I thought I was doing that. 10 All right. Let's talk to your September Q 11 testimony Exhibit E1-C, please. 12 Α Okay. I am there. 13 On that page, under adjustment factors, 0 Okay. 14 which is item number three, item B, true-up factor, you 15 show a true-up factor of 0.445 cents per kilowatt hour, 16 do you see that? 17 Α I do. 18 How accurate is that relative to what you now 0 19 expect that factor will be? 20 Α The factor is accurate for the calculations 21 shown on that page, which is based on the 175 million. 22 I don't know how you are trying to make that relative to 23 what --24 0 Well, if you are going to recover not the 175, 25 but the 1.1 billion in addition to the 175 -- well, let

1 me step back. 2 Does the company plan to file to recover the 3 remaining 1.1 billion? 4 Α The company will be making a midcourse 5 correction filing between now and the end of the year, 6 or in January. 7 To recover the 1.1 billion? 0 Okay. 8 Α To recover the estimated under-recovery or 9 actual under-recovery at that time, depending on when we 10 make the filing. 11 Q Okay. And that number is currently 1.1 12 billion? 13 It's approximately 1.1 billion. Α 14 So you are going to -- the company is Q Okay. going to file that amount, or something very close to 15 16 it, for recovery next year? 17 Α That is our expectation at this point. Ιt 18 would be recovery over a 12-month period. 19 So when you propose to recover that, the 0 20 true-up amount is the 4 point -- 0.445 going to double, 21 triple, quadruple? Is it going to be 10 times higher? 22 Do you know? 23 Mr. Chairman, I think we went MR. BERNIER: 24 through this exercise with Mr. Rehwinkel, and we 25 are getting repetitive.

CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, we did. This does call
 for speculation.

Mr. Brew, I respect your approach to the line of questioning, but to your point, we are going to ask Mr. Dean to answer yes or no, and so I would be mindful of adding additional context into that question that he feels he has to then go beyond his explanation after that yes or no.

9 MR. LAWSON: Okay. Let me try it this way 10 then. Thank you.

11 BY MR. BREW:

12 Q The proposed true-up factor that you are 13 showing on your Exhibit E1-C, how accurate is that 14 relative to the information that you have regarding the 15 actual under-recovery for 2022?

16 Α I am not sure I am understanding the guestion. I feel like you are trying to relate apples and oranges. 17 18 I am not following this. They are two different 19 numbers. The .445 relates to the 175 million. And you 20 are asking that relative -- if I am understanding your 21 question, you are asking that in relationship to our 22 projected under-recovery for '22. 23 Well, what you have been repeatedly saying is 0 you wanted to focus on getting accurate data to give an 24

accurate factor to consumers. What I am asking is how

1 accurate is the factor that you have shown on your 2 exhibit compared to what you expect to recover? 3 Again, it's -- the projected under-recovered Α 4 balance of the approximate 1.1 billion net of the 175 is 5 not shown in the projection filing, as we stated in the It will be coming up in the midcourse. 6 filing. 7 Mr. Dean, he is asking CHAIRMAN FAY: 8 essentially, in your expertise, would that number 9 change, or how different would that number be? Ιf 10 you can't answer that, say so. If you can, provide 11 your explanation. 12 Oh, okay. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 I hadn't made that calculation, so I don't 14 know how that number will be. 15 BY MR. BREW: 16 0 Okav. So you have not done that calculation 17 vet? 18 Α I have not, no. It's -- again, we could refer 19 back to some questioning earlier with Mr. Rehwinkel, and 20 I believe he provided a number that I told him was in 21 the -- that seemed like it was in the ballpark, which 22 was in the 27, or 28-dollar range, I believe. 23 That was -- as I understood it, that was the 0 estimated bill impact for residential customer consuming 24 25 a thousand kilowatt hours per month. I was asking if

1 about the proposed true-up factor generally. But 2 basically it would go up also, wouldn't it? 3 Α It will go up. Yes. 4 And you don't know how much because you Q 5 haven't done that calculation yet? That is correct. I have not done that 6 Α 7 calculation, nor did it anybody during the discovery 8 process ask us for that calculation. 9 I just wanted to clear up some Q Okay. 10 uncertainties about what you said. You are relatively 11 confident about the dollar amount, the 1.3 billion 12 under-recovery, right? 13 I think what I was saying is at this time, we Α 14 feel that's reasonably -- that's our expectation. 15 0 Okay. And the company expects to file 16 December, January, at some time, to recover that full 17 amount, right? 18 Α Somewhere between now and the end of the year 19 or in January. 20 Okay. But you don't know yet when that will 0 21 be? 22 Α T do not. 23 Okay. You don't know exactly when recovery 0 would start, but you think it might be in March or 24 25 April?

1 Α That is correct. I think recovery would begin 2 in March or April. 3 And you don't know what the recovery period 0 4 would be, but you think you are going to ask for 12 5 months? At this time, we are looking at a 12-month 6 Α 7 collection period. 8 Q Okay. So from a consumer perspective, we have 9 no idea what the dollar impact would be on our rates, 10 when it would actually start, or how long it would 11 actually persist, right? 12 Can you ask that again? Α 13 In terms of, from a customer perspective, we 0 14 know \$1.1 billion is coming. We are not sure exactly 15 when. We are not sure exactly how long it's going to 16 last, right? 17 The when, as I said, we will be filing between Α 18 now and the end of the year, or in January. And the 19 expectation is that that would begin in March or April. 20 I thought earlier, again, with the 21 conversation, it was a residential impact was in the \$27 22 ballpark. On top of that, as I said earlier, if, you 23 know, the numbers have been out there, and you are citing them here today, as I am, the 1.3 billion, and we 24 25 are still approximating that number. That could have

(850) 894-0828

1	been asked you know, I wish it would have been asked
2	in the discovery process, I could have provided a
3	calculation during that time, but I don't have that
4	calculation today.
5	Q All right. Let's flip the page to page E1
6	your Schedule GPD-3, Part 2, Schedule E1-D.
7	A All right. I am there.
8	Q All right. Looking at line four, the total
9	amount to be recovered for your fuel, basically your
10	fuel budget is roughly 2.5 billion?
11	A Approximately.
12	Q And that's not including the remaining 1.1
13	billion 2022 under-recovery, right?
14	A Correct. It does not include the '22
15	under-recovery.
16	Q So adding that 1.1 billion would add roughly
17	another 40 percent to your fuel budget?
18	A I am not sure a percentage that is, but it
19	will add 1.1 billion, or whatever the number comes out
20	to be to make the filing.
21	Q All right. Would you agree with me that
22	that's a sizable change from what you are showing on the
23	on this sheet now in terms of the total fuel budget?
24	A I think the approximate 1.1 billion is
25	approximately 1.1 billion.

1	Q We can agree that 1.1 billion is a big number?
2	A It's 1.1 billion.
3	Q Okay. Your filing includes projections for
4	next year, right?
5	A The projection filing?
6	Q Yeah.
7	A Yes, it includes projections for 2023.
8	Q Including estimated fuel costs and for your
9	fleet by month, right?
10	A Where are you looking now? What schedule?
11	Q Well, actually I want to talk about there
12	are a number of schedules, but I actually want to talk
13	about your Schedule E1-E. Do you see it?
14	A Okay. I am there.
15	Q Okay. And just to be clear, this is part of
16	your testimony, and you are responsible for the
17	calculations on this exhibit?
18	A I put the schedule together, yes
19	Q Okay.
20	A with some assistance, yes.
21	Q All right. Now, this is the schedule where
22	the company takes its levelized factor and breaks it
23	down into the time of use factors?
24	A I am on Schedule E1, did you say, or E1-E?
25	Q E1-E.
L	

1 Α Oh, I am sorry. I misheard you. Bear with 2 Okay, I am there. me. 3 Q You got it? 4 And so would you agree with me that this is the schedule in which the company breaks down its 5 levelized factor by time of use? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Q Okay. And would you agree with me, for each 9 of the periods that it breaks energy prices down to, 10 which is on-peak, off-peak and super off-peak, the 11 company has developed a adjustment factor, a multiplier? 12 That was a little fast. Α Can you repeat that? 13 I am not sure. 14 Let me try it again. Q 15 The last line on the page shows a weighting 16 multiplier for each of the factors, right? 17 Α Yes. 18 And that factor is based on the 0 Okav. company's estimated marginal cost by month for the year, 19 20 right? 21 It's looking at the -- the marginal Α Yes. 22 costs in total. It does the calculation there. Yes. 23 And it's not only looking at the marginal cost 0 by month, it's looking at the marginal cost by month for 24 25 each of the periods, right?

1	A It looks it shows the marginal cost by
2	month, and it breaks it down between on-peak, off-peak
3	and super off-peak.
4	Q So the so is it fair to say that the
5	company not only has forecasts of fuel prices for the
6	year, but it's been able to break them down by the
7	marginal cost of its units by its relevant peak periods?
8	A It breaks it apart between three the different
9	periods on the schedule.
10	Q And the company has confidence in these
11	exhibits these estimates?
12	A These are the projections that were made at
13	that time based on fuel prices at that time.
14	Q Can you vouch for this exhibit?
15	A Yes, I put this schedule together.
16	Q Okay.
17	A Some of this is provided the details and
18	the that underlie all this are provided by another
19	area in the company, our fuel and systems optimization
20	team.
21	CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Brew, are you just are
22	you trying to get validation if the company
23	submitted this exhibit?
24	MR. BREW: I'm trying to establish that the
25	company's exhibit shows in detail how they have

(850) 894-0828

1	applied their fuel forecasts through the year.
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. You have established
3	that, but you want validation that he is the one
4	who
5	MR. BREW: Well, yes. I mean
6	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Go ahead.
7	MR. BREW: it is his exhibit.
8	CHAIRMAN FAY: I agree. It seemed like a
9	repetitive question, but go ahead.
10	BY MR. BREW:
11	Q Mr. Dean, given the extent of the 1.1 billion
12	2002 under-recovery, and the as yet unsettled questions
13	regarding the timing of filing the amounts, the bill
14	impacts and recovery period, wouldn't it make sense for
15	the company to sit down with relevant consumers and try
16	to sort those issues out?
17	A I am not sure what you mean. Can you expand
18	on that? What do you mean, sit down with consumers and
19	point sort them out?
20	CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Brew, he prepared the
21	projections for these numbers. For what he should
22	do after that, it calls for significant speculation
23	beyond his expertise.
24	MR. BREW: Okay. I will let it go.
25	Thank you, that's all I have.
L	

(850) 894-0828

1 CHAIRMAN FAY: Thank you.
2 Okay. Next, Nucor.
3 MR. BRISCAR: I have no questions.
4 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
5 Mr. Wright. Mr. Wright, you were in the room
6 when we discussed previously the contested issues?
7 MR. WRIGHT: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I sure was.
8 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. And I realize
9 that some of your, if not a good portion of your
10 questions, have probably been asked by OPC and PCS,
11 and so just be mindful as to the repetitiveness of
12 those questions.
13 MR. WRIGHT: I am working on it, Mr. Chairman.
14 Thank you.
15 CHAIRMAN FAY: Thank you.
16 MR. WRIGHT: I promise.
17 EXAMINATION
18 BY MR. WRIGHT:
19 Q Good morning, Mr. Dean.
20 A Good morning.
21 Q My name is Schef Wright. I am an attorney. I
<sup>22</sup> used to work here a long time ago, and I represent
23 customers. In this case, I represent the Florida Retail
24 Federation, who serves a bunch of your you serve a
25 bunch of our members.

1 Α Okay. 2 Q Okay. 3 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, if it's okay, can 4 we handle the housekeeping on my exhibits? 5 CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. That might make -- that makes 6 MR. WRIGHT: 7 some sense to me. So the first one labeled letter 8 CHAIRMAN FAY: 9 from, is that the --10 MR. WRIGHT: Yeah. 11 CHAIRMAN FAY: That would be 73? 12 That would be 73. And we can MR. WRIGHT: 13 call it --14 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. 15 It is part of Exhibit 70, but I MR. WRIGHT: 16 think it will -- I am going to have the same 17 corresponding exhibit for each of the other 18 utilities. I think it may be easier just to have 19 it. 20 CHAIRMAN FAY: It won't hurt to enter it. 21 So we call it Duke's Midcourse MR. WRIGHT: 22 Correction Letter. 23 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. 24 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 73 was marked for 25 identification.)

1	MR. WRIGHT: 74
2	MS. BROWNLESS: I'm sorry, Schef, I what
3	are you calling it? Letter from Matt Bernier, is
4	that 73?
5	MR. WRIGHT: Yes. And would the short
6	title I would give it would be Duke's MCC letter,
7	or Duke's 2020 March 29.
8	MS. BROWNLESS: Yeah.
9	CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Wright's point was only
10	that we already have a version of this on 70.
11	mr. bro: I have I just want to make sure
12	we have the right I understand the right numbers
13	from the right exhibit.
14	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Your next one, Mr.
15	Wright, estimated impact?
16	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yeah,
17	the next one is the estimated impact of recovery.
18	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
19	(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 74 was marked for
20	identification.)
21	CHAIRMAN FAY: And then the last one I have is
22	transcript.
23	MR. WRIGHT: Correct.
24	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
25	MR. WRIGHT: And that's too long it's

1	Agenda Conference on August 3rd, 2021.
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
3	(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 75 was marked for
4	identification.)
5	CHAIRMAN FAY: All right. We have them
6	labeled. Whenever you are ready, Mr. Wright, go
7	ahead.
8	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you. I am trying to get my
9	lists squared away. Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure.
11	BY MR. WRIGHT:
12	Q Okay. Ready, Mr. Dean?
13	A I am.
14	Q Good deal.
15	The company filed its midcourse notice letter
16	on March 29th of this year, correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q As of that date, was Duke already incurring
19	more fuel costs than it was recovering through its fuel
20	charges?
21	A I don't recall offhand.
22	Q Do you have a good idea in terms of a date as
23	to when Duke began to experience in this year, in 2022,
24	under-recoveries, which I would define as being at a
25	point in time where you have spent more on fuel than you

1 have recovered from your customers? That's something we, I mean, we could refer 2 Α 3 too in our actual estimate filing. 4 Was it, like, March, April, somewhere in Q 5 there, May? I could take a look at that. You are talking 6 Α 7 about the --8 Q If you can confirm that by looking at one of your exhibits, that would be great. 9 Thank you. 10 When you look at the AE filing -- or I Α Yep. 11 am sorry, the actual estimate filing we made, you will 12 see that beginning in January we had an under-recovered 13 But I will say that I don't know offhand if balance. 14 that's typical, because there is some seasonality to the 15 recovery -- the revenue recovery versus the cost and how 16 that nets out, but --17 Can you tell us were you under -- was the 0 18 company under-recovered as of the end of March? 19 Α Yes. As of the end of March, our actual 20 balance was almost 500,000 -- or 500 million. 21 Q Thank you. 22 These follow, but they are not numeric type --23 the next one is a not numeric type question. My next question is this: Is it a goal of Duke Energy Florida 24 25 to have its fuel cost recovery factors and fuel charges

(850) 894-0828

1 to accurately recover fuel costs from customers as those 2 costs are incurred? 3 Α Could you repeat the question? 4 Q Sure. 5 Is it a goal of Duke Energy Florida to have fuel charges that accurately recover fuel costs from 6 7 customers as those costs are incurred? 8 Α I don't know if I can say that's a goal. Ι 9 mean, it's -- the process we follow typically is going through the true-up filing, making our annual filings, 10 11 which is a true-up filing, our actual estimate filing 12 and our projection filing, with the exception, as I 13 stated earlier with Mr. Rehwinkel, that this was an 14 exceptional year, not such a typical year. But there is 15 a process in place that we typically follow. 16 0 Well, let me ask it this way: Do you try to 17 set your rates so that you recover the costs that you 18 are incurring as the year goes along? 19 Α A typical projection filing is to Yes. 20 recover during that year those costs that we anticipate 21 incurring, or estimating to incur. 22 These are kind of predicate questions, and I 0 23 think we can handle them quickly. 24 Your total estimated under-recovery for 2022, 25 per your filings, is about 1.3 billion, correct? And

(850) 894-0828

1 that's -- I got that off E1-A, line four. 2 Α Say that again. That's on E1-A? 3 Yeah. I think it's \$1.308 billion. That's 0 4 the total under -- total estimated under-recovery per 5 what you filed. That was our estimate at the time of our 6 Α Yes. 7 actual estimate filing. 8 Q And I think you responded to questions from Mr. Rehwinkel and Mr. Brew that you don't expect that to 9 10 be materially different as we get to the end of 2022, is 11 that fair? 12 Α That's fair. Yes. 13 Thanks. 0 14 I believe that you answered Mr. Rehwinkel -- a 15 question by Mr. Rehwinkel by saying that you were 16 approximately \$1.2 billion under-collected as of the 17 close of October. Did I hear of that correctly? 18 That's correct. Α Yes. 19 And does that mean that -- do I -- do I 0 20 understand that correctly to mean that that was the 21 actual difference between fuel expenditures in '22 22 versus recoveries through the fuel charges as of the end 23 of October? 24 That also included, I believe, the 175 million Α 25 for prior period true-up as well. So when you take that

(850) 894-0828

1 out, it's -- I think it's in the ballpark of one 2 billion, or 1.1 billion. 3 Just to be clear, isn't the one -- you were Q 4 not recovering -- okay --5 Yeah, so let me --Α You go ahead and tell me --6 0 7 Α Okay. 8 Q -- and clarify if you need to. 9 Α Let me make sure I am answering this 10 correctly. 11 Q Yeah. 12 Yeah, the -- clarify the 1.2 billion you are Α 13 asking me about, that was the number for October ending 14 balance. Is that what you are asking? 15 0 Yes. 16 Yes, that's 1.2 billion, and that Α Okav. 17 includes the 175 million as well. And the 175 million was a -- what was that? 18 0 Was that a projected 2022 under-recovery that was put 19 20 off for some reason? 21 That was a -- it was a combination of a Α 22 previously approved 123 million --23 Right. Q 24 -- approximately from our rate mitigation Α 25 plan, and the remaining amount of 52 million out of our

(850) 894-0828

1 314 million request that was approved in our midcourse 2 from last December. 3 Okay. You just mentioned your midcourse from Q last December. When did you file that, and when did 4 5 those adjusted fuel charges go into effect, if you recall? 6 7 Yes. I don't have the filing with me, but I Α 8 am pretty sure it was around -- I think it was the 9 middle of December, December 17th, somewhere in there. And I believe the rates, the billing rates went into 10 11 effect in March of this year. 12 0 Thank you. 13 So continuing on, you still are looking at 14 about one money -- well, your filing indicates you are 15 looking at an additional 2022 under-recovery of about 16 1.13 billion to be recovered in a future period, 17 correct? 18 About one -- approximately 1.1 billion to be Α 19 recovered. 20 And you think now that number is more 0 Right. 21 like a billion? 22 Α The one --23 The 1.2 minus 175? 0 24 Α Yeah. The one point -- so the 1.2 billion --25 let me try to get this -- see if I can clarify this.

(850) 894-0828

What I was trying to say earlier was our actual, as of the end of October, including 175 million, was 1.2 billion. That approximates what we estimated in our actual estimate for October. That was also about, I am rounding, but about 1.2 billion.

And given that we really don't see November 6 7 and December being materially different, we are 8 expecting that number to be -- our year end balance to 9 be in line with our actual estimates. Somewhere in the 10 neighborhood of 1.2 to 1.3 billion. Again, we -- and 11 that's including the 175 million. But we have not 12 prepared our midcourse yet, so we don't have all those 13 numbers together. I am trying to give you some 14 information how we see it as of right now.

15 0 I would like to -- putting a little more meat 16 on the bones that have been assembled by Mr. Rehwinkel and Mr. Brew, I would like to ask you to look at what 17 18 has now been marked as Exhibit 74. It's a simple little 19 exhibit that I prepared that shows what the rate, or the 20 impact on the levelized fuel cost recovery factor would 21 be if you were to recover the 1.1 billion over 12 months 22 worth of sales or 24 months worth of sales, if you 23 assumed that sales remain constant. Can you look at 24 that calculation? 25 CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Bernier, go ahead.

112 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

1 MR. BERNIER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am 2 going to object to this exhibit, and apparently the line of questioning that's about to follow. 3 This 4 is the exact issue that is being pushed and we've 5 talked about more than one time over the last two 6 days.

7 Mr. Wright, this is the exact CHAIRMAN FAY: 8 issue that's been addressed multiple times. If you are able to point to some of his exhibit or 9 10 testimony to ask him in general about the movement 11 of these numbers, that's appropriate, but I am not 12 going to have back and forth on these numbers that 13 we've already --

14 The \$1.1 billion number is in his MR. WRIGHT: 15 exhibits. The 39,534,801-megawatt hours is in his 16 exhibits.

17 One of the issues in this case is what are the 18 fuel factors, should -- what should the fuel 19 factors be next year? And we -- I just want -- and 20 he has also opened the door by claiming he has been 21 transparent, the company has been transparent about 22 telling customers what's what. I don't think there is anything in the record, 23 24 and we will come to this in a minute, that said --25

(850) 894-0828

that -- where they have told residential customers

1 what their bills are going to be, and this goes 2 directly to that. 3 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. And that's -- and once 4 again, Mr. Wright, I am fine with you asking the 5 questions --6 MR. WRIGHT: Okay. 7 -- this exhibit is not CHAIRMAN FAY: 8 appropriate. 9 It -- I will add that you --MR. WRIGHT: 10 It's getting into these costs, CHAIRMAN FAY: 11 and we've addressed it multiple times at this 12 I know you can ask it a way that doesn't point. 13 get to all these numbers. 14 Well, he was happy enough to MR. WRIGHT: 15 answer Mr. Rehwinkel's question about what the 16 ballpark impact would be of spreading it over 12 17 months. And he said it was about, you know, 24, 18 five, seven, eight dollars, and he said that --19 Mr. Dean said that himself two or three times. 20 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, he -- he answered that 21 question to the best of his ability with clarity 22 that he does not know what that number is. It was 23 more than sufficient to answer that question. Ιf 24 you have a similar question, you are welcome to ask 25 him, and with his expertise, he can answer it.

(850) 894-0828

1	MR. WRIGHT: Okay. I will try it that way and
2	we will leave this aside for now. I am not
3	abandoning it, but I will put it to the side.
4	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
5	MR. WRIGHT: I generally believe it's relevant
6	to issues in the case, but we will see where we
7	get.
8	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay.
9	BY MR. WRIGHT:
10	Q In earlier cross-examination, Mr. Dean, you
11	acknowledged that the didn't you acknowledge that the
12	impact on the levelized fuel cost recovery factor would
13	be about \$27 or so per megawatt hour of spreading the
14	1.1 billion over your 2023 sales?
15	A I believe that was a number Mr. Rehwinkel
16	provided me, and I told him that that was in the
17	ballpark.
18	Q Okay. Thank you.
19	A Yes.
20	Q That's good.
21	If you would, please, look at this is an
22	exhibit in your testimony, it's GPD-3. We have looked
23	at it some already. It's your Schedule E-10.
24	A We are in the projection filing
25	Q Yes, sir.

1 Α -- September? Okay. 2 Yes, sir. Q 3 Your E-10 exhibit shows the company's 4 projection of what residential customer charges on a per 5 rate item, or per rate component basis will be for 2023 as proposed by the company, correct? 6 7 That's correct. Α 8 Q And it shows an estimated rate impact on the 9 fuel cost recovery factor -- fuel cost recovery factor 10 increase rate increase proposed by the company of \$16 11 and change, \$16.23, and a total increase of 37 for --12 representing a percentage increase of 37.4 percent, 13 correct? 14 Α That is correct, yes, on E-10. There is not anything in this table that shows 15 0 16 any residential customer what the impact of the under-recovery would be, is there? 17 18 Not on this schedule. Α 19 0 Is there anywhere else that the company has 20 published to its residential customers what the likely 21 rates they will be paying next year are? 22 Α There is a -- in one of these schedules we 23 discussed earlier, it does show the approximate \$1.3 24 billion. 25 How does that translate to rate impacts? 0

1 A The -- the company did not provide a rate 2 impact specific to that number because it's planning to 3 provide an updated number with more actuals, and at that 4 time, we will have a rate impact that we will reflect.

Q So as we sit here today, the company has not told its residential customers what their likely fuel charges are going to be come, say, March of next year, have you?

9 A I am not aware. That's not in any of my 10 schedules. And as I had mentioned earlier, in the 11 discovery process, nobody even asked us that question to 12 provide such a number.

13 But, again, with the volatility, and the 14 nature of the events this year, we felt it was best to provide a number at the time of our midcourse filing to 15 16 provide a more accurate number. Had we provided the 17 number at that time, again, in hindsight, I mean, it 18 looks like we are on track and aligning with that actual 19 estimate filing, but we didn't know that back at that 20 time in the summer when we were making these filings. 21 For all we know, the rates could have gone up more, or 22 they could have dropped significantly, and --23 CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Dean, you answered the 24 question. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay.

1 BY MR. WRIGHT:

	DI MALGHI.
2	Q Just to confirm, I believe in response to a
3	question by Mr. Rehwinkel, you agreed that the
4	residential bill, when the 1.1 billion is rolled in per
5	a company proposed 12-month recovery period is going to
6	be in the vicinity of somewhere between \$190 and \$200
7	per thousand kWh?
8	A It was a rough figure. I thought it would be
9	somewhere, based on Mr. Rehwinkel's number he provided,
10	a little under the \$200 mark, as an estimate.
11	Q Are you familiar with what is called sometimes
12	the matching principle in utility rate setting?
13	A I think I have heard of it in the past.
14	Q Okay. I am going to ask you to look at
15	Exhibit, what has now been marked as Exhibit 75. This
16	is a transcript from the 2021 fuel docket hearing
17	regarding Duke's midcourse correction proposal.
18	A Which one is it again? The
19	Q It's the transcript.
20	A Oh, okay. Yep.
21	Q Thanks.
22	I am going to go ahead and read the statements
23	from the transcript that were made by a mutual friend of
24	ours. I am reading from page page three, line 20,
25	through page four, line 12, and I am leaving out
L	

1 unnecessary words, and names, and things like that. 2 The fuel clause exists to allow for the 3 matching of fuel expenditures and revenues as they are 4 being incurred. By its very nature, it depends on 5 projections, and projections, of course, are never going to match actual costs completely. When that happens, we 6 7 have the natural true-up in the clause. When we have an 8 under- or overrecovery, such as we have here today with 9 a 10-percent threshold, per the rule, when it's 10 practical, we file a petition. That's the petition you 11 have before you today. It exists to provide benefits to 12 customers in the form of rate smoothing instability, and 13 that's what we are hoping for here today. 14 Were you able to follow that through the 15 transcript? 16 Α A little bit. I felt like vou skipped some. 17 I did. 0 18 I lost you when you skipped a little Α Okay. 19 bit. 20 We have the transcript, so everybody can read 0 21 all the words if we they want to. I was trying to make 22 it as sensible as possible. 23 Α Okay. 24 0 And I am sure you recognize that those 25 statements were made by your attorney and my friend Mr.

1 Bernier, the company's attorney, in that proceeding. So 2 let's go back to the first sentence. 3 The fuel clause exists to allow for the 4 matching of fuel expenditures and revenues as they are 5 being incurred. Do you agree that this is appropriate ratemaking policy? 6 7 You are talking about the first phrase, where Α 8 it says: The fuel clause exists to allow for the 9 matching of fuel expenditures and revenues as they are 10 being incurred? 11 Q Yes, sir. And my question is: Do you agree 12 that's appropriate ratemaking policy? 13 I -- I don't know if I am the expert on policy Α 14 I could tell you that we are providing and questions. supporting the projections to provide a calculation of a 15 16 rate for recovery that approximates the expenditures for 17 the year. 18 Well, for -- you have agreed that you are 0 19 under-collected by \$1.2 billion -- and I'm going to --20 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I am going to take 21 that as an I don't know, which is an acceptable 22 answer and I will move on a little bit here. 23 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. 24 BY MR. WRIGHT: 25 You acknowledge that the company is 0

(850) 894-0828

1 under-collected by about \$1.2 billion through October in 2 its fuel charges, correct? 3 Α That's correct. 4 Okay. Would you assert that putting off Q 5 recovering that kind of money for most of a year is consistent with rate stability? 6 7 I think providing that -- by holding off and Α 8 waiting for a more stable market, where we can provide a 9 more accurate price to our customers, and provide them 10 with a stable price, it's giving them price stability. 11 Q Isn't what you really mean that it will 12 provide a more accurate price once you get around to it, 13 whenever that turns out to be? 14 Α No. 15 Pardon? 0 16 Α No. That's -- you are saying when we get 17 We are obtaining more actual data. around to it. No. 18 And I think we have -- I have been very clear here 19 today, that we will be providing that number between now 20 and the end of the year, or in January. 21 Your rates -- your fuel charges have been off 0 22 by more than \$1 billion this year relative to the costs 23 incurred, haven't they? 24 The under-collected amount that we are А 25 anticipating, like I said earlier, as of the end of

(850) 894-0828

October is \$1.2 billion, and that was based on projections from rates that are in place now from our midcourse filing. And as we have been talking about this morning, there has been a lot of volatility due to all of the events this year that caused the volatility and increases in natural gas prices, so it led us to an under-collection.

8 Q You have said a couple of times, I think in 9 response to Mr. Brew, that whatever is going to change 10 from November -- in November and December isn't going to 11 have a material effect on the under-recovery for the 12 total year, correct?

13 A That is accurate.

14 The Retail Federation's position, as Q Okay. 15 articulated in our prehearing statement, is that you 16 ought to start recovering sooner, rather than later, so that customers know what's going on and what to expect. 17 18 My question for you is this: Could Duke include at 19 least a part of the '22 actual estimated under-recovery 20 beginning in January?

A At this time, it's the company's proposal that we continue to obtain actual data and provide for a filing. And like I said, we will file before the end of the year, or in January. And I think that's what we are here to decide during this process. If that's -- that's

1	what we think is in the best interest of our customers,
2	but if the Commission were to choose to do otherwise, we
3	would we would do so.
4	CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Dean, I think his question
5	is: Could you idea it otherwise? So
6	THE WITNESS: That was
7	CHAIRMAN FAY: are you able to do it or
8	not? So could you file it earlier or not? Not
9	what has your company decided to do. Could you do
10	it or not?
11	THE WITNESS: If we were asked to do it I
12	am sorry, Mr. Chair, I am trying to understand.
13	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah. Correct. If you were
14	asked to do it, could you
15	THE WITNESS: Could we do it by January?
16	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yes, that's the question.
17	THE WITNESS: I think from a billing
18	perspective, we could get it in place for January.
19	Their may be some challenges. It's something I
20	would have check-in internally just from a process
21	standpoint more about the customer notification
22	process. I think I can't recall the exact rule,
23	but I think there is a 30-day notification process
24	to customers. So we are we would have to know
25	pretty soon. It's getting on a tight timeline.
1	

ſ

(850) 894-0828

1	I am sorry, I think I misunderstood the
2	question before.
3	BY MR. WRIGHT:
4	Q Fair enough. There were a lot of words in it.
5	A Yeah.
6	MR. WRIGHT: And thank you, Mr. Chairman, for
7	clarifying the question that I that I was
8	asking.
9	BY MR. WRIGHT:
10	Q Would you agree that beginning recovery of
11	some of the under-recovery in January, as opposed to
12	waiting to later in 2023, would better follow the
13	matching principle?
14	A When you when you talked about the matching
15	principle earlier, it was about the I am trying to
16	make sure I understand your question
17	Q Sure.
18	A about the matching principle being
19	recovering the costs as they are incurring.
20	Q Correct.
21	A Right. So can you with that in mind, can
22	you ask the question again
23	Q Sure.
24	A because there is a distinction.
25	Q Would you agree that starting recovery of the

1 under-recovery, whatever part of it it might be, in 2 January would provide a better matching of fuel 3 expenditures as rev -- and revenues as they are being 4 incurred as compared to a scenario of allowing the 5 balance of the under-recovery to continue to grow? I think it would be matching, in a sense, 6 Α 7 closer to what it's incurred, but keeping in mind it's 8 incurred during the '22 period, not in the '23 period. 9 Well, I -- will you agree that that Q Yeah. 10 horse is already out of the barn? The '22 costs 11 under-recovery has already been incurred, and we are 12 trying to deal with it, right? 13 We are at the 1.2 billion mark, as Α We -- yes. 14 I said, for October, so we are in under-recovery, yes. 15 Thanks very much for taking my questions. Q 16 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 CHAIRMAN FAY: Thank you, Mr. Wright. 18 Last but not least at all, Mr. Moyle, you are 19 recognized. 20 MR. MOYLE: Thank you. 21 EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. MOYLE: 23 Good afternoon. 0 24 Α Good afternoon, Mr. Moyle. 25 Have you testified before at the Commission 0

1 live like you are doing today?

A I have not.

2

3 There is a convention that's used that 0 Okav. 4 is in place to try to shorten cross-examination, where 5 the witness is asked to answer the question yes or no, and if the witness feels an explanation is needed, he 6 7 can move -- he or she can move on; but are you -- are 8 you familiar with that convention? Hopefully I can get 9 a yes or no to that.

10 A Yes. I have heard of that convention. It's 11 just unfortunate some of the questions I have heard 12 today were, I think, difficult for a yes or no because 13 they needed an explanation.

14 And can you use your best efforts to comply Q 15 with that convention as I ask my questions of you? 16 Α I will do my best, as I have been all morning. 17 I would have felt better with a yes. 0 18 Do you consider that you have expertise in 19 natural gas markets? 20 No, I would not say I have the expertise in Α 21 natural gas markets. We have a whole area that handles

22 that with Duke Energy.

Q Okay. And with respect to comments about natural gas markets, and volatility, and inflation, are those your comments or are those of someone else that

1 you are parroting back to us when you are providing 2 testimony? 3 Α Some of it is based on internal discussions. 4 You know, natural gas market is somewhat transparent, 5 where you can see the NYMEX pricing for the settled 6 prices, but I am not an expert in that area, but I can 7 observe some of it. 8 Q Okay. I don't want to spend a lot of time retreading ground that's already been covered, but you 9 10 were in the room yesterday, were you not? 11 Α Yes. 12 And I think you had heard that one of the 0 13 points that FIPUG members and others want to clearly 14 understand is what the rate impacts will be beginning 15 January 1, 2023. You were here when that was -- that 16 point was made, correct? 17 I did hear some discussion of that, yes. Α 18 I am just going to ask you in a 0 Okay. 19 narrative fashion to answer that question. And I don't think it would be dissimilar if the President of Duke 20 21 was walking down the hall and grabbed you and said, hey, 22 I am going to a meeting with a mid-box store. They 23 wants t know about what their fuel bills are going to look like, or what their rates are going to look like 24 25 for 2023. Could you, in a narrative fashion, answer the

1 question for what a customer -- customers can expect to 2 see in 2023 with respect to rate increases? 3 Α I am not sure what you are asking, actually. 4 It's --5 I am just asking -- asking you -- I mean, if Q you were at a cocktail party and said, are my rates 6 7 going to go up next year, and if so, by how much? Ι 8 mean, somebody would say -- you said that you are 9 responsible for rate strategy and rates in one of the 10 answers to your prior question. So if somebody just 11 says, what are the rates going to do in 2023, how would 12 you answer that? 13 I would --Α 14 Mr. Chairman, I am going to MR. BERNIER: object. 15 And one of the conventions that we follow 16 is repetition that we are trying to avoid here. 17 This is a guestion that has been asked and 18 answered. The rates for 2023 that we are propping 19 are in the filing. The rates that we anticipate 20 when the later filing is going to be made have not 21 been determined yet, and that is something that we 22 have covered ad nauseam so far --23 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, so --24 MR. BERNIER: -- so I think we are retreading. 25 And I would agree, Mr. Bernier. CHAIRMAN FAY:

(850) 894-0828

1 I mean, Mr. Dean has been asked this question a 2 number of ways for different customer classes, and 3 for Mr. Rehwinkel, he did acknowledge some form of estimation for the number, but otherwise, he hasn't 4 5 calculated and he can't answer that question. Right, but he hasn't -- he hasn't 6 MR. MOYLE: answered, except for Mr. Rehwinkel in a general 7 8 Ray, so that someone can go, okay, my rates are 9 going to go up by approximately two -- or up to 200 10 bucks for residential in the Duke service 11 territory. 12 Right. And if he can't answer CHAIRMAN FAY: 13 that guestion, then that's -- that's the end of 14 I don't understand what you are suggesting. that. 15 That's right. No, he did -- he MR. MOYLE: 16 did answer that previously. 17 CHAIRMAN FAY: Right. 18 So the question I am asking him --MR. MOYLE: 19 I gave him a chance to, in a narrative fashion, 20 say, here's what's going on with rates. Are they 21 similar for commercial and industrial? I mean, I

22 can dig into it and ask him -- let me come at it 23 another way.

24 CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, if you want to ask him if
25 it's a similar impact --

1 MR. MOYLE: Right. 2 CHAIRMAN FAY: -- then I think that's a fair 3 question. If you want to ask him what that number is walking down the hall, I don't think he can 4 5 answer that question. 6 MR. MOYLE: Okay. 7 BY MR. MOYLE: 8 Q With respect -- with respect -- you answered 9 approximately 200 bucks is what the typical residential 10 customer for Duke would be seeing coming up based in 11 response to a question from Mr. Rehwinkel, isn't that 12 correct? 13 I believe I said it would -- I thought it Α 14 would be under \$200. 15 Yeah, ballpark? Q 16 Α Ballpark. 17 Okay. Same question with respect to a 0 18 commercial customer? 19 Α Regarding commercial customer, I don't have 20 that number. 21 And an industrial customer? 0 Okav. 22 Α I don't have that number. And as I mentioned 23 earlier, you know, nobody had asked that during the 24 discovery process, so I didn't make the calculation. 25 Right. But these Commissioners, you 0

1 understand, they can ask you questions. It doesn't have 2 to be asked in discovery. You are the person most 3 knowledgeable from Duke here today to talk about fuel, 4 are you not? 5 Right, but I do not have the calculation with Α 6 me. 7 If we take a lunch break, could you do 0 Yeah. 8 the calculation? 9 CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Moyle. 10 Mr. Chair, I mean, we are trying MR. MOYLE: to get information about the future impacts of the 11 12 rates for customer classes, and we are struggling, 13 so --14 CHAIRMAN FAY: I understand that, but the 15 question has been asked and answered multiple 16 classes. He has provided the same answer multiple 17 times to you. I need you to move on. 18 BY MR. MOYLE: 19 If you could answer this question yes or no, I 0 20 would appreciate it. 21 Do you agree that the fuel clause exists to 22 allow for the matching of fuel expenditures and revenues 23 as they are being incurred? 24 Α Yes. That's my understanding. 25 Do you have an understanding of the phrase 0

(850) 894-0828

1 regulatory lag? 2 Α I do have an understanding to some extent. 3 Q What is your understanding? It's the -- give me a minute to just get my 4 Α 5 thoughts together, but essentially it's the lag between the time that a cost is incurred and the time it goes 6 7 into rates, generally speaking. 8 Q And isn't it true, as we sit here today, yes 9 or no, that some of the monies that Duke is seeking 10 recovery for were for costs incurred in calendar year 11 2021? 12 Α There is. There is the 175 million that was 13 prior period that we are talking about, yes. Okay. And you have testified that you have 14 Q 15 familiarity with the petition for midcourse correction 16 rule, correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 I am going to ask you some questions 0 Okay. 19 about that, if I could. 20 CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Moyle, do you need him to 21 have the language in front of him? If -- whatever he is most 22 MR. MOYLE: 23 comfortable with, it probably wouldn't hurt. 24 CHAIRMAN FAY: Do you still have that exhibit? 25 THE WITNESS: I have it. Yes.

1 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Go ahead. 2 BY MR. MOYLE: 3 And you are familiar with this rule. You have 0 4 read it many times as part of your job and probably been 5 briefed on it, is that right? I have read through it. 6 Α 7 Do you have an understanding as to what 0 8 midcourse refers to? 9 Α It's my understanding, from reading through 10 the rule, it's, during the year, filing for a 11 correction, or at least a letter explaining why a 12 midcourse correction is not necessary at that time. 13 And you are familiar that the way --0 Right. 14 the way the fuel clause has worked historically is that 15 the rates are set this time of year, we have hearings in 16 November, and then decisions are made, and typically the 17 rates go into effect in January of the upcoming year, 18 correct? 19 Α Yes. 20 Okay. And so the midcourse is referencing, Q 21 just by its definition, something in the middle of a 22 year, because fuel is done on an annual basis, correct? 23 Α From me, I interpret it -- again, my 24 interpretation is during the year, not necessarily 25 middle of the year, but at some point during the year.

(850) 894-0828

1 What's your understanding of mid? 0 2 Α I am basing it on when we filed midcourse 3 corrections in the past, I don't believe they were always in the middle of the year exactly, but it's 4 5 during the year. But again, I am not an attorney. It's not my legal definition. It's just how I have 6 7 interpreted it when I read the rule. 8 Q Yeah. Do you have an understanding of whether 9 mid is short for middle? 10 That's how I would take it under certain Α 11 circumstances. 12 You would agree there is nothing in this rule 0 13 that requires a utility to wait to seek a midcourse 14 correction, and can only do it when there is a 15 10-percent overrecovery or under-recovery, correct? 16 Α The part I am more familiar with is the 10 percent threshold, which is one of the reasons why it 17 18 doesn't, to me, necessarily have to happen during the 19 middle of the year. It's -- I think of it more as 20 exceeding the 10 percent threshold. 21 But my question was, did you -- don't 0 Right. 22 you understand this rule to not require a utility to 23 wait until there is a 10-percent threshold to make a 24 midcourse filing? 25 I would have to read it again. I have read it А

(850) 894-0828

1 before, but the part I am familiar with the most is the 2 10-percent threshold. 3 Okay. Well, let me -- let's --Q 4 Α It may or may not say that. I would have to 5 read through it again. Well, fortunately we have it right in front of 6 0 7 And if you would look at paragraph two and read the us. last sentence into the record? 8 9 Α This section in no way precludes a utility 10 from requesting a midcourse correction prior to reaching 11 the 10-percent threshold requiring Commission 12 notification. 13 What does that mean to you? 0 It sounds like we don't have to wait to reach 14 Α 15 the threshold, 10 percent. 16 Stated differently, the utility can come in 0 and seek changes at any point in time with respect to 17 18 the fuel? 19 Α That's how I am reading it at this time. 20 When you seek to recover fuel costs -- you had 0 21 this conversation with one of the other lawyers -- you 22 seek to recover the fuel cost with an interest rate 23 applied as well, do you not? 24 Α Say that again. I am sorry. 25 When you are seeking to recover an 0

(850) 894-0828

1 under-recovery of fuel, you also seek to have interest 2 calculated and applied and collected from ratepayers of 3 an interest rate associated with the under-recovery --4 Α Yes. 5 -- isn't that correct? 0 6 Α Yes. 7 There is nothing in this rule that talks about 0 8 an interest rate is, there? 9 I don't believe so. Α 10 Are you aware of anything anywhere in the Q 11 regulatory rules and regulations before this commission 12 that talks about an interest rate being applied to the 13 recovery of an under-collection? 14 Α I am not aware of such a rule. I am not sure 15 if there is or is not one. I know historically my 16 understanding is that that's the, you know, the 17 commercial paper rate has been what's been applied in 18 our typical process. 19 And if -- if you are not aware of a rule, 0 20 could -- could -- could there be another rate applied? 21 I don't know if there could or could not. Α 22 While you all have been waiting and gathering 0 23 information before actively seeking to recover your under-recovery -- let me just strike that and I will 24 25 start over again on that.

1 You have -- you confirmed you have been 2 waiting collecting data. You are not today, before this 3 commission, asking that they act and start collecting 4 rates for the under-recovery, correct? 5 We are pulling data together to make Α Correct. 6 a filing. 7 Okay. And you have been waiting for some time 0 8 before collecting -- collecting rates from ratepayers, 9 correct? 10 Α We have been waiting for the markets to become 11 less volatile and/or provide us with more updated and 12 accurate information. 13 And the markets you are referencing there are 0 14 the natural gas markets, correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 0 What has been happening with respect to the 17 markets relative to interest rates in that same period 18 of time that you have been waiting? 19 Α I am not too familiar with interest rates. Ι 20 do know the commercial paper rate has increased. Ι 21 don't know the magnitude. 22 Do you follow the press about what the federal 0 23 funds rates have been doing in the last three or four months? 24 25 Generally speaking, I have seen some А

(850) 894-0828

1 information about it, but I do not follow it in-depth. 2 Q Okay. If you assume that they have been going 3 up while you have been waiting, do you know would that 4 be something that you would expect customers to pay, to 5 pay the increased interest associated with the under-recoveries that have been prolonged? 6 7 It's my understanding that's the process, that Α 8 there is interest at the commercial paper rate, excuse 9 me, for under-recoveries, and if there were 10 over-recoveries, it would work the same way. That's my 11 understanding. 12 And do you have an understanding, if this 0 13 commission said, we want you to recover it offer a 14 longer period than 12 months, say, 24 months, that that 15 commercial paper rate would also apply? 16 MR. BERNIER: Mr. Chairman, this is --17 Hold on one second. CHAIRMAN FAY: 18 MR. BERNIER: -- the exact, what's the 19 carrying costs --20 MR. MOYLE: You said if he knows, he can say I 21 know or he can say I don't know. 22 CHAIRMAN FAY: It is -- it is repetitive. Т 23 do think you have answered essentially the 24 commercial paper rate is the only thing that you 25 have specific knowledge of as to what would apply.

(850) 894-0828

1 The term -- that question has been asked and 2 answered, and he state that he doesn't know. The 3 12 months was the only thing that he presumed. 4 But to your point, Mr. Moyle, if you have a 5 different answer than you did a few minutes ago on that question, you are welcome to provide it. 6 7 If I -- can you repeat the THE WITNESS: 8 question? I want to make sure I understand. 9 BY MR. MOYLE: 10 I will -- to save time, I won't ask for 0 Sure. 11 it to be read back. But just assume -- assume if the 12 Commission told you, we want you to recover it over 24 13 months, not 12 months, because that will spread it out, 14 it won't be as much, you know, on a calendar year, would 15 -- do you know, would the commercial paper rate also be 16 applied to that 24-month period? 17 Α I think, at this time, it's premature, as the 18 company will be putting its filing together. 19 So do you -- you don't know? 0 20 There is -- at this time, like I said, I think Α 21 it's premature. The company will be putting its filing 22 together and --23 Mr. Dean, your answer is that CHAIRMAN FAY: 24 you do not know, correct? 25 Well, yes, I do not know. THE WITNESS:

(850) 894-0828

1 Okay. CHAIRMAN FAY: 2 BY MR. MOYLE: 3 What's your definition of volatile? Q 4 Α For me, volatile, I look at it as being the --5 the changes, the ups and downs, when I use it, it's the natural gas, I think of the increasing and decreasing in 6 7 price. 8 Q Anything else? 9 Α In general, it's the ups and downs in the 10 And when I -- and to some extreme -- well, I pricing. 11 am probably not doing a very good job describing it, but 12 I am thinking about the ups and downs in the pricing. 13 That's essentially it. 14 Right. But you are -- I assume you follow the Q 15 natural gas markets? As I said earlier, I am not an expert in that 16 Α 17 market, but I do -- I see the pricing occasionally, the 18 NYMEX is somewhat transparent. 19 Do you know what the natural gas markets move 0 20 and fluctuate on a daily basis? 21 Α They do. Yes. 22 And that would mean that natural gas markets, 0 23 according to the definition you gave me, are volatile just in that price moves? 24 25 The markets, they are moving every day, А Yes.

(850) 894-0828

1 and even during the day. What we have been talking 2 about here, though, has been the more extreme for '22. 3 Okay. And in -- in -- I just want to Q 4 understand, because you all have complied with this rule 5 where you put in a letter that said, here, we are over 10 percent, but here's a reason why we are not -- we are 6 7 not seeking a midcourse correction, because it's not 8 practical. You said it's not practical because of, 9 quote, external events such as the Russian invasion of 10 Ukraine -- and I am quoting that from your March 29th, 11 2022 letter, correct? 12 Α We -- we gave that as an example. Yes. That 13 was one of the issues or events causing the volatility 14 in the natural gas market. 15 0 Okay. But that -- that -- that -- the fact of 16 incursion and bellicose activities taking place, war 17 activities taking place in a foreign country, that --18 that happens with some frequency, does it not? Iraq, 19 Iran, Africa, those are all countries that are --20 Mr. Moyle, you are asking him CHAIRMAN FAY: 21 to speak to the knowledge of other wars in other 22 companies in comparison. I mean, he stated that he 23 believes that and other variables are part of that 24 volatility. He has answered that question. 25 MR. MOYLE: Right. But what I am trying to do

1 is explore, and he is saying this is a unique set of facts and conditions that warrant us handling 2 3 the fuel clause in a completely different way, and the only one he identified specifically in the 4 5 letter is the Russian invasion of Ukraine. Ι think, you know, it's in the evidence. 6 I think I 7 should be allowed to ask him about other foreign 8 conflicts and how, you know, how they are different 9 from this one with respect to the impact of natural 10 qas markets. 11 CHAIRMAN FAY: I don't think he is has the 12 expertise to speak on those other foreign 13 conflicts, but --14 MR. MOYLE: Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN FAY: -- if you feel it's necessary 16 to show the non-uniqueness of the issue, you can 17 give other examples. I just think he stated that 18 he doesn't know. 19 MR. MOYLE: If he wants to tell me he doesn't 20 have expertise in that area and can't answer the 21 question, that would be fine. 22 CHAIRMAN FAY: I think that's basically what 23 Go ahead, Mr. Dean. he's saying. 24 THE WITNESS: I don't expertise in that area, 25 but there -- as I stated earlier, there were other

(850) 894-0828

<ul> <li>heightened inflation</li> <li>BY MR. MOYLE:</li> <li>Q Right</li> <li>A LNG exports increasing, increasing domestic</li> <li>demand, supply chain issues. So it's all that raveled</li> <li>up in one year.</li> <li>Q And you don't have expertise in any of those</li> <li>areas either, do you?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q Tell me what you know about LNG demand, and</li> <li>how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021?</li> <li>A I don't have those expertise, as I said</li> <li>earlier. But based on some conversations I have had</li> <li>head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing</li> <li>demand.</li> <li>Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply</li> <li>side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas</li> <li>markets?</li> <li>A I don't have the expertise in that area. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>9 Right</li> <li>A LNG exports increasing, increasing domestic</li> <li>demand, supply chain issues. So it's all that raveled</li> <li>up in one year.</li> <li>9 Q And you don't have expertise in any of those</li> <li>areas either, do you?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q Tell me what you know about LNG demand, and</li> <li>how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021?</li> <li>A I don't have those expertise, as I said</li> <li>earlier. But based on some conversations I have had</li> <li>head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing</li> <li>demand.</li> <li>Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply</li> <li>side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A LNG exports increasing, increasing domestic</li> <li>demand, supply chain issues. So it's all that raveled</li> <li>up in one year.</li> <li>Q And you don't have expertise in any of those</li> <li>areas either, do you?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q Tell me what you know about LNG demand, and</li> <li>how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021?</li> <li>A I don't have those expertise, as I said</li> <li>earlier. But based on some conversations I have had</li> <li>head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing</li> <li>demand.</li> <li>Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply</li> <li>side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas</li> <li>markets?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>demand, supply chain issues. So it's all that raveled</li> <li>up in one year.</li> <li>Q And you don't have expertise in any of those</li> <li>areas either, do you?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q Tell me what you know about LNG demand, and</li> <li>how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021?</li> <li>A I don't have those expertise, as I said</li> <li>earlier. But based on some conversations I have had</li> <li>head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing</li> <li>demand.</li> <li>Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply</li> <li>side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas</li> <li>markets?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>in one year.</li> <li>Q And you don't have expertise in any of those</li> <li>areas either, do you?</li> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q Tell me what you know about LNG demand, and</li> <li>how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021?</li> <li>A I don't have those expertise, as I said</li> <li>earlier. But based on some conversations I have had</li> <li>head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing</li> <li>demand.</li> <li>Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply</li> <li>side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas</li> <li>markets?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q And you don't have expertise in any of those</li> <li>9 areas either, do you?</li> <li>10 A I do not.</li> <li>11 Q Tell me what you know about LNG demand, and</li> <li>12 how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021?</li> <li>13 A I don't have those expertise, as I said</li> <li>14 earlier. But based on some conversations I have had</li> <li>15 head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing</li> <li>16 demand.</li> <li>17 Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply</li> <li>18 side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas</li> <li>19 markets?</li> </ul>
9 areas either, do you? 10 A I do not. 11 Q Tell me what you know about LNG demand, and 12 how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021? 13 A I don't have those expertise, as I said 14 earlier. But based on some conversations I have had 15 head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing 16 demand. 17 Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply 18 side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas 19 markets?
<ul> <li>A I do not.</li> <li>Q Tell me what you know about LNG demand, and</li> <li>how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021?</li> <li>A I don't have those expertise, as I said</li> <li>earlier. But based on some conversations I have had</li> <li>head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing</li> <li>demand.</li> <li>Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply</li> <li>side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas</li> <li>markets?</li> </ul>
11QTell me what you know about LNG demand, and12how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021?13A14I don't have those expertise, as I said14earlier. But based on some conversations I have had15head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing16demand.17QDo you have any insight with respect to supply18side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas19markets?
how that's different in 2022 as compared to 2021? A I don't have those expertise, as I said earlier. But based on some conversations I have had head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing demand. Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas markets?
A I don't have those expertise, as I said earlier. But based on some conversations I have had head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing demand. Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas markets?
14 earlier. But based on some conversations I have had 15 head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing 16 demand. 17 Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply 18 side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas 19 markets?
15 head internally, I read on occasion about the increasing 16 demand. 17 Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply 18 side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas 19 markets?
16 demand. 17 Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply 18 side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas 19 markets?
17 Q Do you have any insight with respect to supply 18 side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas 19 markets?
18 side issues, and how that's affecting the natural gas 19 markets?
19 markets?
20 A I don't have the expertise in that area. I
21 was just trying to cite some examples.
22 Q And same question with respect to inflation?
23 A Same answer.
24 MR. MOYLE: May I just have a minute, please?
25 CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure. We will need to give our

(850) 894-0828

1 court reporter a break here, depending on how much 2 longer you have, Mr. Moyle. 3 No, I am wrapping up. MR. MOYLE: 4 BY MR. MOYLE: 5 Have you all started working on a filing yet 0 for your midcourse correction? 6 7 That will be prepared in the Α We have not. 8 near future. 9 Is there a possibility that a decision could Q 10 be made to continue to collect data, and that the 11 midcourse correction not be forthcoming? 12 As I said earlier, we are planning to file Α 13 before the end of the year or in January. 14 But plans change sometimes, do they Q Right. 15 not? 16 Α Plans can change, but that's our timeline as 17 of now. 18 Is it your decision to make? 0 19 Α Excuse me? 20 Is it your decision to make to file the Q 21 midcourse petition? 22 Α That would not be my decision. No. 23 Who would make that decision? 0 24 Α I don't know exactly who it would be. There 25 would be others in the organization.

1 MR. MOYLE: Okay. That's all I have. Thank 2 you. 3 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Thank you. 4 Staff? 5 MS. BROWNLESS: Yes, sir. 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. BROWNLESS: 8 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Dean. 9 Good afternoon. Α 10 Can you tell me when the actual December 2022 Q 11 fuel cost data will be available? 12 The actuals for December? Α 13 0 Yes, sir. 14 They typically are available, I would say Α 15 probably in the second week of January. It's usually in 16 the seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth business day, give or 17 take. 18 Do you intend to wait until you have actual 0 19 December 2022 fuel costs before you file for recovery? 20 Α I am not sure at this point. That's why we --21 I have been saying between now and the end of the year, 22 or in January. That hasn't been decided. 23 Would you anticipate you would file Q Okay. before the end of January? 24 25 Did you say before the end of January? Α

(850) 894-0828

1	Q End of January. Yes, sir.
2	A Yes, before the end of January.
3	Q Thank you so much.
4	A You are welcome. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN FAY: Commissioners?
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: You know, all the
7	questions have been asked.
8	CHAIRMAN FAY: Commissioner, I think they've
9	all been asked. That's a good point, Commissioner
10	Clark.
11	Commissioner Passidomo, I didn't want to
12	forget about you. You better not be eating lunch,
13	Commissioner Passidomo. Are you there?
14	All right. Well, okay, with that, redirect,
15	Mr. Bernier?
16	MR. BERNIER: I will be very brief.
17	CHAIRMAN FAY: Sure.
18	FURTHER EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. BERNIER:
20	Q Mr. Dean, you have been asked an awful lot of
21	it questions about the midcourse correction letter that
22	we filed in the petition or excuse me, the midcourse
23	correction rule. You are familiar with those questions?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Are you aware of anything that would have

1	prevented any intervenor from filing a petition for a
2	midcourse correction to change our rates?
3	A I am not aware of anything.
4	Q Was any such filing made?
5	A No.
6	Q After the March 29th midcourse notification
7	letter was filed by DEF, did you receive any discovery
8	questions asking about any of the reasons that were
9	provided for why a midcourse correction would not have
10	been practical?
11	A I did not.
12	MR. BERNIER: Okay. That's all I have. Thank
13	you?
14	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. We don't have any
15	additional oh, no, we do have exhibits.
16	MR. BERNIER: I do have some exhibits. One
17	second, I am buried here. There we go.
18	Mr. Chairman, we would ask for Exhibits 2
19	through 7 to be admitted.
20	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Without objection, show
21	Exhibit 2 through 7.
22	(Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. 2-7 were received
23	into evidence.)
24	CHAIRMAN FAY: And then for the intervenors, I
25	want to make sure we get

1 MR. REHWINKEL: I would move 70 through 72, 2 please. 3 CHAIRMAN FAY: That's what I have, Mr. 4 Rehwinkel. So 70 through -- make sure the 5 utilities -- 70 through -- 70, 71, 72 for OPC without objection. 6 7 MR. BERNIER: No objection. 8 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Show those entered into 9 the record. 10 (Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. 70-72 were received 11 into evidence.) 12 MR. WRIGHT: And, Mr. Chairman, I move 73, 74 13 and 75. 14 MR. BERNIER: We will make the same objection to 74 we voiced earlier. 15 16 MR. WRIGHT: And my proffer is very, very 17 It relates directly to Issue 9, 10, 16 and simple. 18 20. 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Yeah, and I am not going 21 to object to it being in the record because that 22 data is already available in the other exhibits, 23 it's just compiled on this page, but let me make 24 sure I have -- 73 -- you have 73, 74 and 75, Mr. 25 Wright?

1 Yes, sir. Yeah. MR. WRIGHT: 2 Okay. With that, show 73, 74 CHAIRMAN FAY: 3 and 75 entered into the record. 4 (Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. 73-75 were received 5 into evidence.) 6 CHAIRMAN FAY: And is any other --7 Can Mr. Dean be excused? MR. BERNIER: 8 CHAIRMAN FAY: Mr. Dean, you are excused. 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 Travel safe. CHAIRMAN FAY: Thank you. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 12 (Witness excused.) 13 All right. Commissioners, with CHAIRMAN FAY: 14 that, we will plan on breaking for lunch. I know 15 that -- I quess Mr. Dean drew the short straw, but 16 as the first witness, potentially we have some of 17 that same questioning that will be sufficiently 18 produced for the other witnesses. We do plan on 19 making sure we get through those witnesses by the 20 end of the day. I don't know exactly what time 21 that means we will end depending on how much 22 questioning we have from the intervenors, but we 23 will address that at the time, and then if we can 24 do closing arguments, we will look that. If not, 25 we will brief on it.

1	So with that, plan on being back here I	
2	have 12:30. Let's be back here at 1:30, and	
3	continue then.	
4	Thank you.	
5	(Lunch recess.)	
6	(Transcript continues in sequence in Volume	
7	4.)	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA )
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 28th day of November, 2022.
19	
20	
21	A LI - A
22	DEBRA R. KRICK
23	NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #HH31926
24	EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2024
25	

(850) 894-0828