



June 24, 2026

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Adam J. Teitzman
Office of Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20260064-EI, Petition by Duke Energy Florida, LLC, for a limited proceeding to approve large load tariff

Dear Mr. Teitzman,

On behalf of Intervenor Florida Rising, Inc., I have enclosed the testimony of Karl Rábago. Please file these documents in Docket No. 20260064-EI. Please contact me if there are any questions regarding this filing.

/s/ Bradley Marshall
Florida Bar No. 98008
Email: bmarshall@earthjustice.org
Jordan Luebke
Florida Bar No. 1015603
Email: jluebke@earthjustice.org
flcaseupdates@earthjustice.org
Earthjustice
111 S. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850) 681-0031
Fax: (850) 681-0020

Counsel for Florida Rising

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy and correct copy of the foregoing was served on this 24th day of June, 2026, via electronic mail on:

Duke Energy Florida, LLC Dianne M. Triplett 299 1st Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33701 dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com Matthew R. Bernier Stephanie Cuello Robert Pickels 106 E. College Avenue, Ste. 800 Tallahassee, FL 32301 matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com flregulatorylegal@duke-energy.com robert.pickels@duke-energy.com	Office of Public Counsel Walt Trierweiler Charles J. Rehwinkel Octavio Simoes-Ponce Austin A. Watrous c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 trierweiler.walt@leg.state.fl.us rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us ponce.octavio@leg.state.fl.us watrous.austin@leg.state.fl.us
Florida Public Service Commission Office of the General Counsel Major Thompson Saad Farooqi 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399 major.thompson@psc.state.fl.us sfarooqi@psc.state.fl.us discovery-gcl@psc.state.fl.us	PCS Phosphate – White Springs James W. Brew Laura Wynn Baker Sarah B. Newman Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Suite E-3400 Washington, DC 20007-5201 jbrew@smxblaw.com lwb@smxblaw.com sbn@smxblaw.com
Florida Industrial Power Users Group Jon C. Moyle, Jr. c/o Moyle Law Group 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com mqualls@moylelaw.com	

DATED this 24th day of June, 2025.

/s/ Bradley Marshall
Attorney

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Duke Energy Florida,
LLC, for a limited proceeding to approve
large load tariff

DOCKET NO. 20260064-EI

DATED: June 24, 2026

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
KARL RÁBAGO

ON BEHALF OF
FLORIDA RISING

JUNE 24, 2026

1 **I. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW**

2 **Q: Please state your name, business name, and address.**

3 **A:** My name is Karl R. Rábago. I am the principal of Rábago Energy LLC, a
4 Colorado limited liability company, located at 3058 Leafdale Dr., Castle Rock,
5 CO 80109.

6 **Q: On whose behalf are you appearing in this proceeding?**

7 **A:** I appear here in my capacity as an expert witness on behalf of Florida Rising,
8 Inc. (“Florida Rising”).

9 **Q: Please list your formal educational degrees.**

10 **A:** I earned a Bachelor of Business Administration in Management from Texas
11 A&M University in 1977, a Juris Doctorate with Honors from The University of
12 Texas School of Law in 1984, a Master of Laws in Military Law from the U.S.
13 Army Judge Advocate General’s School in 1988, and a Master of Laws in
14 Environmental Law from the Pace University Elisabeth Haub School of Law in
15 1990.

16 **Q: Please summarize your experience and expertise in the field of electric utility**
17 **regulation.**

18 **A:** I have worked for 35 years in the utility industry and related fields, following my
19 honorable discharge from the U.S. Army, where I served as an Armored Cavalry
20 officer and a Judge Advocate. I am actively involved in a wide range of utility
21 regulatory and ratemaking issues across the United States. My previous
22 employment experience includes Commissioner with the Public Utility
23 Commission of Texas, Deputy Assistant Secretary with the U.S. Department of
24 Energy, Vice President with Austin Energy, Executive Director of the Pace
25 Energy and Climate Center, Managing Director with the Rocky Mountain

1 Institute, and Director with AES Corporation, among others. For the past 14
2 years, I have operated Rábago Energy LLC as a vehicle for my consulting and
3 expert witness work. My resume is attached as Exhibit KRR-1.

4 **Q: Have you ever testified before the Florida Public Service Commission**
5 **(“Commission”) or other regulatory agencies?**

6 **A:** I have submitted testimony before the Commission in the past in several
7 proceedings, including the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act
8 (“FEECA”) proceedings in 2014 (Docket Nos. 130199-EI, 130200-EI, 130201-
9 EI, and 130202-EI), the Florida Power & Light need determination case for the
10 Okeechobee Plant (Docket No. 150166-EI), the Gulf Power general rate case in
11 2017 (Docket No. 160186-EI), the Duke Energy Florida “Clean Energy
12 Connection” program application (Docket No. 20200176-EI), the Florida Power
13 & Light Company general rate case in 2021 (Docket No. 20210015-EI), the
14 Tampa Electric Company general rate case (Docket No. 20240026-EI), the Duke
15 Energy Florida general rate case in 2024 (Docket No. 20240025-EI), and most
16 recently the Florida Power & Light Company general rate case in 2025 (Docket
17 No. 20250011-EI). In the past fourteen years, I have submitted testimony,
18 comments, or presentations in proceedings in Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona,
19 California, Colorado, Connecticut, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Guam,
20 Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts,
21 Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Hampshire, New
22 York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, Vermont,
23 Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin. I have also testified before the U.S.
24 Congress and have been a participant in comments and briefs filed at several
25 federal agencies and courts. A listing of my previous testimony is attached as

1 Exhibit KRR-2.

2 **Q: Does your experience give you insights into the responsibilities and duties of**
3 **the Commission in this proceeding?**

4 **A:** Yes. As a public utility commissioner in Texas, I participated in making decisions
5 on hundreds of rate review, rulemaking, and planning decisions in cases
6 involving investor-owned, municipal, and cooperative electric and telephone
7 utilities. Those matters ranged widely, from ministerial annual interest rate
8 approvals, for example, to prudence and rate decisions on a \$12.4 billion nuclear
9 power plant, to mergers and acquisitions. I have appeared before hundreds of
10 commissioners and board members in formal, informal, and educational
11 proceedings in the years since. I have contributed to the writing and passage of
12 laws and rules in many jurisdictions and have made a career of advancing
13 regulatory and market opportunities for competitive alternatives to monopoly
14 control of essential services businesses, especially through the expanded
15 deployment and use of distributed energy resources. I am honored to have served
16 as a utility regulator and remain deeply respectful of the public interest obligation
17 that comes with the job.

18 **Q: Do you have any specific experience with electricity and other utility service**
19 **requirements of technology and data businesses?**

20 **A:** Yes. While I worked with Rocky Mountain Institute (now “RMI”), I studied the
21 practices typically followed in the design and construction of microchip
22 manufacturing facilities (“fabs”) and other large industrial facilities as leader of
23 RMI’s Natural Capitalism consulting and advisory practice. I worked with large
24 clients in identifying opportunities for cost-effective and sustainable design
25 improvements and operational changes relating to electricity and other utility

1 requirements. Later, when I served as vice president for distributed energy
2 services at Austin Energy, I led the “Key Accounts” team that provided customer
3 service support to tech companies like Apple, Samsung, and Dell, among others.
4 For the past 30 years, I have also served as a board member for the Center for
5 Resource Solutions, a not-for-profit organization based at The Presidio in San
6 Francisco, California. CRS manages the Green-e® certification program for
7 renewable energy supply and certificates. CRS establishes and encourages
8 adoption of best practices in clean and renewable energy claims and
9 substantiation. A significant fraction of Green-e Certified product sales each year
10 are to tech companies across the U.S.¹

11 **Q: What is the purpose of your testimony?**

12 **A:** The purpose of my testimony is to share my evaluation of DEF’s proposed Large
13 Load Customer Policy (“LLCP”) and Large Load Customer Agreement
14 (“LLCA”). I will address several ways in which DEF, through seeking the
15 Commission’s approval, attempts to contravene the requirements of recently
16 passed Florida legislation, S.B. 484,² as well as other legal principles and tenets
17 of regulatory law. I offer recommendations to the Commission on ensuring the
18 most efficient deployment of large load customer loads and facilities, particularly
19 for those deriving from data centers, and on best effectuating the intent of the
20 legislation.

21

22

¹ According to the 2025 CRS Annual Verification Report for Green-e certified product sales, nearly one-third of such sales were to tech companies. <https://resource-solutions.org/g2025/>.

² The enacted S.B. 484, now included as Chapter 2026-65, Laws of Florida, will soon be codified in relevant part as the newly created section 366.043, Florida Statutes. My testimony will cite these provisions to this forthcoming section 366.043.

1 **Q: How would you characterize Duke Energy Florida (“DEF”)'s proposal in**
2 **this proceeding?**

3 **A:** DEF proposes a large load “tariff” that fails to ensure large load customers such
4 as data centers bear the full costs of their service. The tariff lacks a rate schedule
5 and instead states that large load customers will take service under existing
6 general rates (GSD-1 & GSDT-1). These rates are already below parity and
7 subsidized by residential and small business customers and were not designed
8 with DEF’s duties under the new legislation to the general body of ratepayers in
9 mind. In the event of early termination of service, the tariff is inadequate to
10 prevent cost shifting onto other customer classes, with stranded costs borne by
11 general ratepayers.

12 **Q: What law and regulatory precedents guide the Commission’s decision in this**
13 **matter?**

14 **A:** Under the newly passed data center law, all public utilities must file a large load
15 customer tariff that includes certain minimum requirements, such as a rate
16 schedule that “reasonably ensure[s] that each large load customer bears its own
17 full costs of service and that such cost is not shifted to the general body of
18 ratepayers.” Such costs “include[], but [are] not limited to, connection,
19 incremental transmission, incremental generation, and other infrastructure costs;
20 operations and maintenance expenses; and any other costs required to serve a
21 large load customer.” § 366.043(3)(a), Fla. Stat. Furthermore, the law states
22 clearly that “[t]he risk of nonpayment of such costs may not be borne by the
23 general body of ratepayers.” *Id.* This tariff must pertain to every customer “with
24 an anticipated monthly peak load of 50 megawatts or more, calculated as the
25 highest average load over a 15-minute interval at a single location.” *Id.* §

1 366.043(2)(d). Each public utility must file a tariff that complies with these
2 requirements with the Commission for approval “[n]o later than October 1,
3 2026.” *Id.* § 366.043(8).

4 The Governor’s own words summarizing the legislation provide
5 guidance on its purpose and goals. In relevant part, the Governor has stated that
6 “SB 484 ensures that local governments maintain the authority to reject data
7 center development in their communities, [and] prevents data center costs from
8 being passed on to consumers, including electricity costs.”³ The Governor’s
9 office has specified that the new law “protects ratepayers” because it “[r]equires
10 large-scale users to pay their full cost of service” and “[p]revents financial risk
11 from being shifted to the public.” *Id.*

12 **Q: What specific elements of DEF’s proposal do you address in this testimony?**

13 **A:** My testimony will discuss and address:

- 14 • DEF’s proposal to defer the creation of large load customer rates in light
 - 15 of S.B. 484’s passage until its next rate proceeding;
 - 16 • The LLCP’s and LLCA’s early termination policy; and
 - 17 • The LLCP’s and LLCA’s security requirements.
- 18
19
20
21
22

³ Press Release, Ron DeSantis, Governor of Fla., Governor Ron DeSantis Signs Law to Protect Floridians from Subsidizing Data Centers (May 7, 2026), <https://www.flgov.com/eog/news/press/2026/governor-ron-desantis-signs-law-protect-floridians-subsidizing-data-centers>.

1 **II. ALLOWING LARGE LOAD CUSTOMERS TO TAKE SERVICE UNDER**
2 **EXISTING GENERAL SERVICE TARIFFS WILL NOT REASONABLY**
3 **ENSURE THAT THEY FULLY PAY FOR THE COSTS INCURRED TO**
4 **SERVE THEM**

5 **Q: How could a utility company reasonably ensure that the costs associated**
6 **with serving a large load customer are borne only by the large load**
7 **customer?**

8 **A:** A utility company may account for such costs by bifurcating the recovery
9 mechanisms into a rate for those embedded costs incurred and a rate for the
10 incremental costs resulting directly from the large load customer's service. A
11 large load customer's embedded costs may be allocated alongside the
12 distributions made to other customer classes and the general body of ratepayers
13 at a rate proceeding, with such rates adjusted to achieve parity. If a large load
14 customer's rates are below parity, a portion of the costs caused by serving that
15 customer will not be recovered from that customer but instead will be allocated
16 to the general body of ratepayers and recovered by increasing rates for other
17 customer classes. Due to the unprecedented nature of the energy demands of
18 large load customers such as data centers, existing general utility rates will
19 almost certainly inadequately account for the attendant costs of those customers.
20 Incremental costs, in contrast, are owed only by the large load customer, and the
21 rate structure must mirror that reality, most effectively by creating a wholly
22 separate rate for the recovery of those costs.

23 **Q: What do you mean by "incremental" and "embedded" costs?**

24 **A:** Incremental costs are those that, but for a customer taking service with the utility
25 company, would not be incurred. For a large load customer, these may include

1 generation costs, transmission costs, and infrastructure costs such as new
2 substations. Embedded costs, in contrast, refer to those costs that, in addition to
3 servicing the large load customer, also service other customers on that energy
4 grid, such as operation and maintenance costs for shared energy facilities,
5 equipment and systems serving multiple customer classes, administrative and
6 management costs, and dividends.

7 **Q: What provisions of DEF's proposal are relevant to the question of cost**
8 **allocation and recovery?**

9 **A:** DEF's petition does not itself contain rates for its potential large load customers
10 and instead states that "all large load customers will take service under the
11 existing GSD-1 or GSdT-1 rate, until DEF's next rate case filing. At that time,
12 DEF will propose a new rate schedule for large load customers that will meet the
13 objectives of the new legislation." DEF Petition at 4–5. Furthermore, the
14 Contributions in Aid of Construction provision ("CIAC") in the company's LLCp
15 relates to the large load customer's incremental costs and provides that the
16 "Customer shall make all payments required by and calculated pursuant to
17 Commission Rule 25-6.064, F.A.C. and Section 3.01 of the Company's Tariff.
18 Company requires Customer to pay 100% of the total estimated costs to extend
19 service in advance. Those payments are refunded over a period of up to five
20 years, less CIAC that would normally be paid by Customer, as base revenues are
21 collected. Any Customer funds not refunded at end of period (because the
22 Customer's load was insufficient) become nonrefundable." LLCp § 13.07.

1 **Q: Generally, how do these provisions function and how do they relate to the**
2 **requirements of section 366.043?**

3 **A:** If a qualifying large load customer takes service from DEF before the company’s
4 next rate case, such a customer would do so under either DEF’s GSD rate or
5 GSDT rate. These rates, set in DEF’s 2024 settlement agreement, predate section
6 366.043 and were therefore not designed to meet DEF’s obligations under the
7 new statute with respect to protecting its existing ratepayers from incremental
8 costs that could be incurred to serve potential new large load customers. DEF
9 does not assert that its current GSD and GSDT rates comply with section
10 366.043, but rather, that DEF will simply solve the problem later. That is, rather
11 than design a tariff that is compatible with section 366.043 and petition the
12 Commission for its approval by October 1, 2026, DEF states it will “propose a
13 new rate schedule” that will comply with the objectives of the new legislation
14 whenever it happens to file its next rate case. DEF Petition at 4–5. The existing
15 general rates are unlikely to ensure that solely large load customers are
16 responsible for recovering those costs, as the law requires. The company’s
17 proposal to defer cost of service rate determinations for large loads until its next
18 rate case means that the utility cannot credibly or reasonably claim that any loads
19 for which service is provided until that time will be under terms that meet the
20 requirements of the new statute. Those significant investments and other costs
21 incurred to serve large load customers that are not recovered by the GSD and
22 GSDT rates will fall to the general service rates of other customer classes. DEF’s
23 general rates are already far from parity, with residential and small business
24 customers significantly overpaying for their electricity service, while industrial

1 customers—including the GSD and GSDT classes—enjoy deep discounts.⁴
2 Coupled with this Commission’s practice of “gradualism,”⁵ DEF’s proposal
3 ensures that the full costs to serve data center customers are never recovered by
4 those customers themselves. In sum, DEF’s application asks the Commission to
5 approve a collateral attack on the effective date of the new law and thereby open
6 a huge window for shifting data center and large load costs to other customers.

7 The LLCP’s CIAC provision functions by requiring the large load
8 customer to fully pay its estimated incremental costs in advance and provides
9 that DEF will refund those payments “over a period of up to five years,” but not
10 including those CIAC costs “that would normally be paid” by such a customer.
11 By refunding these incremental costs, the company and its general ratepayers
12 essentially pay for these costs incurred solely by the large load customer, despite
13 the new law providing that “incremental transmission, incremental generation,
14 and other infrastructure costs,” as well as “any other costs required to serve a
15 large load customer,” must fall onto the large load customer to recover.
16 § 366.043(3)(a), Fla. Stat.

17 **Q: Hypothetically, if DEF contracted to serve a 1 GW customer through the**
18 **construction of a natural gas-powered electric plant before its next rate case,**
19 **what would be the estimated total cost of service for that customer?**

20 **A:** For one, data centers of that size and larger are not hypotheticals for DEF. The
21 Fort Meade city commission recently approved a gas-powered data center siting
22 for a 1.2 GW large load customer, with DEF slated as the utility company to

⁴ See DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement, Exhibit 5, page 21, PSC Docket No. 20240025-EI (July 22, 2024) (attached as Exhibit KRR-3).

⁵ See, e.g., *In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Peoples Gas Sys., Inc.*, PSC Docket No. 20220212-GU, 2023 WL 9106989 at *15 (Fla. Pub. Serv. Comm’n Dec. 27, 2023) (describing gradualism as a “recognized and generally accepted principle” in the Commission).

1 provide service.⁶ There is also reason to believe DEF has interest far greater than
2 “just” the 1.2 GW Fort Meade data center customer, with DEF in discovery
3 stating that it has completed transmission assessments for over 8 GW of potential
4 data center load. While I would not expect all that load to materialize, even a
5 fraction of that load would cause DEF to undertake enormous capital spending.

6 Under DEF’s 20% reserve margin, the company must produce a total of
7 1.44 GWs of electricity to service its Fort Meade customer.⁷ However, for
8 purposes of the present hypothetical of a 1.0 GW data center customer as in DEF
9 witness Chatelain’s testimony, 1.2 GWs of capacity would be required. While
10 total costs can depend on a variety of factors, a customer of that size can be
11 expected to, at a minimum, cost billions of dollars to serve. JEA’s recently
12 proposed 678 MW natural gas plant has an estimated total in-service capital cost
13 of \$1.57 billion, including the construction involved, the equipment for
14 interconnection, the costs of interest accrued during construction, and insurance.⁸
15 This is a useful figure, as DEF, through discovery, has refused to produce any
16 internal generation cost estimates. JEA’s estimated capital costs pertain to a
17 generation project in Florida that JEA proposes to start constructing soon. JEA’s
18 figures therefore represent the most accurate and contemporaneous cost estimate
19 available in this proceeding. Very conservatively assuming that DEF can

⁶ Alexis Jones, *Fort Meade Commissioners Pass Development Agreement for Data Center, Despite Pushback From Residents*, Spectrum News (Apr. 15, 2026), <https://baynews9.com/fl/tampa/news/2026/04/15/fort-meade-data-center-approved-despite-residents-concerns>; Jennifer Kveglis, *Fort Meade Moves Forward with Controversial \$1.6B Data Center Project Despite Community Opposition*, FOX 13 News (Apr. 14, 2026), <https://www.fox13news.com/news/fort-meade-data-center-project-community-opposition>.

⁷ 1.2 GW multiplied by 1.2 factor for 20% reserve margin equals 1.44 GW.

⁸ Petition for Determination of Need for JEA’s St. Johns River Power Park Unit 3, Testimony of Jody Brooks Ex. JB-2, at 26–27, Docket No. 20260087-EM (Fla. Pub. Serv. Comm’n June 4, 2026).

1 essentially double the size of JEA's proposed generation for a bit over a quarter
2 of the cost, and ignoring the additional costs associated with transmission and
3 line losses that projects like Fort Meade will undoubtedly accrue, a 1 GW
4 customer would cost DEF at least an estimated \$2 billion in capital costs to
5 service, just for generation.

6 As I stated, this ignores likely needed transmission upgrades, or whole
7 new transmission lines, which could easily cost hundreds of millions of dollars or
8 more. FPL just recently filed a transmission need determination proceeding to
9 carry, under normal conditions, roughly 700 MW of capacity into Miami-Dade
10 County—notably less capacity than would be needed to serve a serve a 1
11 gigawatt customer—and that alone is expected to have nominal costs of \$3.381
12 billion.⁹ This may or may not be a bit of an overestimate depending on associated
13 interconnection costs and how they are allocated to customer classes, but it is not
14 unreasonable to expect additional transmission costs of \$1 billion or more.

15 Returning to the generation costs, generously assuming only a 35 year-
16 life for this new generation project,¹⁰ and conservatively estimating capital costs
17 of just \$2 billion (given the capital structure of DEF, along with its current costs
18 of debt as reflected in its earnings surveillance reports and current return on

⁹ Hearing Exs. Vol. 4 from April 23, 2026 Hearing, Ex. 192, Depo. of Miguel A. Yanes, at 156–57, Docket No. 20260020-EI (Fla. Pub. Serv. Comm'n May 19, 2026) (capacity of proposed transmission line); Hearing Exs. Vol. 1 from April 23, 2026 Hearing, Ex. 37, at Int. No. 13, Attachment 1, Tab 9, Docket No. 20260020-EI (Fla. Pub. Serv. Comm'n May 19, 2026) (nominal cost of same transmission line).

¹⁰ The longer the lifespan of the project, the slower it will be to depreciate, and therefore, the longer interest and return on equity for it will need to be paid. Accordingly, while a longer service life will put downward pressure on annual costs, it will also substantially increase the total nominal cost of that project.

1 equity of 10.3%),¹¹ the total nominal cost would be roughly 2.5 times the capital
2 cost, or over \$4.5 billion. Again, this would just be for the incremental generation
3 needed to serve a 1 GW data center. Costs for a data center like Fort Meade, with
4 1.2 GWs of need, would obviously be higher.

5 **Q: Don't you think DEF would be in the best position to estimate its**
6 **incremental generation costs to serve a data center in its territory?**

7 **A:** Absolutely. With the information DEF has not provided, only order-of-magnitude
8 cost estimates are possible at this stage. DEF has access to far more information
9 than I do. Unfortunately, because DEF has refused to provide any discovery on
10 its estimated costs to serve data centers, nearby utilities provide the foundation
11 for cost estimates. These estimates make my point—DEF can't assure
12 compliance with the law in this or any other filing until it develops and proposes
13 cost-based estimates and rates that ensure no cost shifting.

14 **Q: What assurance does DEF give that it will recover the costs to serve data**
15 **centers/large load customers from those customers?**

16 **A:** None. While we have some revenue estimates from witness Chatelain based on
17 some assumptions when a large load customer takes service under GSD-1 and/or
18 GSDDT-1 rates, we have no estimates of costs to serve data centers from DEF.
19 Therefore, DEF gives no assurance at all that the revenue expected from large
20 load customers will cover the costs to serve those customers.

21 **Q: Is there reason to believe that costs will exceed revenues?**

22 **A:** Yes. As shown above, just the incremental costs to serve a 1 GW data center are
23 likely to be in the range of \$4.5 billion. This does not include embedded

¹¹ This is a conservative estimate given that the Commission keeps awarding higher returns on equity—against my advice—and DEF is likely to file a new rate case in 2027 for new rates to take effect in 2028 and is likely to seek a significantly higher return on equity.

1 generation costs (needed for when the incremental generation is offline for
2 maintenance), incremental transmission (possibly, as shown above, in the billions
3 of dollars), operations and maintenance expenses, and any other costs to serve
4 large load customers. Indeed, data collected by the Edison Electric Institute as of
5 June 2026 reveals that costs for a 1 GW data center frequently reach \$10
6 billion.¹² Yet, over the 20-year contract “required” by DEF, in the example given
7 by Mr. Chatelain, using the minimum bill “required” by DEF, there would only
8 be \$3.1454 billion in revenue, falling well over \$1 billion short of covering even
9 the incremental generation. By putting such customers onto GSD-1 and GSdT-1
10 rates, DEF is almost guaranteeing that large load customers will not be covering
11 the costs needed to serve them.

12 **Q: Why do you say that?**

13 **A:** According to DEF’s own data, the GSD-1 and GSdT-1 classes are below parity,
14 with a parity index under the 2024 settlement of 0.91. Exhibit KRR-3 at 24. This
15 is in comparison with the residential and GS (small business) classes which
16 remain above parity under the 2024 settlement.

17 **Q: What impact will adding large load customers have on parity?**

18 **A:** Properly attributing the costs to serve large load customers to their rate class,
19 GSD-1 or GSdT-1 under DEF’s proposal, would mean, in the example of the 1
20 GW data center, adding \$2 billion—or more—in electric plant in service costs to
21 the rate base, in addition to all other costs associated with serving that customer.
22 Based on Mr. Chatelain’s testimony, the minimum bill associated with this
23 customer would be \$157.3 million. That minimum bill is nowhere near the

¹² Edison Electric Inst., *Large Load Projects and Tariffs* (June 2026), <https://www.eei.org/-/media/Project/EEI/Documents/Issues%20and%20Policy/List%20of%20Large%20Customer%20Projects%20and%20Tariffs>.

1 revenue needed to match the costs associated with the incremental and embedded
2 transmission, generation, operating, and maintenance costs needed to serve that
3 1 GW data center, necessarily driving down the parity index of GSD-1 and
4 GSDT-1 classes further.

5 **Q: Do you have an estimate of the size of this parity shift?**

6 **A:** No. DEF has refused to provide any costs estimates for servicing large load
7 customers, but I would expect it to be a considerable shift when properly
8 attributing all incremental and embedded costs to the GSD-1 and GSDT-1
9 classes.

10 **Q: What are the implications of this parity shift?**

11 **A:** I understand that the Commission uses a practice called gradualism. I understand
12 that, as practiced in Florida, it means that the Commission will not give a rate
13 class an increase beyond 1.5x the system average in a general rate case. If just
14 one data center comes online with the kinds of impacts we are discussing, the
15 costs are properly attributed to their rate class, and the Commission applies its
16 gradualism practice, it will be almost impossible to bring the GSD class up to
17 parity. As a result, classes that are above parity, like residential and small
18 business GS, are more likely to stay above parity, all but guaranteeing a
19 significant cost shift onto the general body of customers if large load customers
20 actually materialize (as they seem to be doing) and take service under the GSD
21 rates, as DEF proposes, which do not even recover existing costs, let alone any
22 new costs to serve large load customers. The result of DEF's request to ignore the
23 law and not propose new, compliant rates for large load customers and to instead
24 shift that determination to an unscheduled future general rate case could be seen
25 as a gaming strategy to take advantage of the Commission's gradualism approach

1 to shift costs away from data centers and further circumvent the intent of the new
2 law.

3 **Q: What about DEF's argument that it will create a separate large load**
4 **customer class in the next rate case?**

5 **A:** As I understand it, DEF has pledged it will create a separate class for large load
6 customers and will then properly attribute the costs of that class to that class's
7 ratepayers. However, there is nothing to enforce this pledge. DEF, as shown by
8 their own admission, maintains that this is the proceeding to determine their
9 compliance with the new data center legislation. *See* DEF response to Florida
10 Rising's First Request for Admission, at 1 (attached as Exhibit KRR-4). I am
11 forced to assume that if the Commission approves these "tariffs" as compliant,
12 DEF would have no reason to later propose a separate rate class for large load
13 customers.

14 **Q: Under DEF's proposed tariff and its current rates from the 2024 Settlement**
15 **Agreement, how would DEF be able to recover the costs to serve data**
16 **centers?**

17 **A:** DEF would not be able to recover such costs under current rates and would likely
18 seek to recover them in its next rate base proceeding. As DEF admits, the GSD
19 rates were not designed to recover the costs of large load customers. Exhibit
20 KRR-4 at 2. Still, the GSD rates are the rates DEF proposes to use with large
21 load customers. Even with a rate freeze, DEF will still incur costs to serve any
22 new large load customers, as DEF admits, and the result will be that rates for
23 residential and small business customers will go up to pay for a cost shift.

24 **Q: Why do you say that?**

25 **A:** DEF has given no indication that it intends to charge large load customers the

1 incremental costs to serve them, in addition to a fairly allocated share of the
2 embedded costs to serve them. In the example I gave above, that means the
3 general body of customers, including residential and small business customers,
4 will be on the hook for the 1.2 GW power plant that would not have been brought
5 online but for the 1 GW data center customer. This would necessarily mean that
6 residential and small business customers are covering some of the incremental
7 generation costs to serve this data center customer, and that such costs will be
8 realized in base rates when DEF next seeks a base rate increase, expected early
9 next year. DEF has not given any indication that it intends to seek cost recovery
10 for the costs incurred to serve large load customers exclusively from those
11 customers.

12 **Q: Are there any other ways in which these provisions of DEF's proposal**
13 **violate legal or economic principles?**

14 **A:** As a general principle of administrative law, DEF's bait-and-switch tactics
15 concerning its large load customer tariff are suspect as a matter of regulatory
16 transparency. The company's unwillingness to share a modicum of its long-term
17 plans for its future large load customers such as the Fort Meade data center is an
18 impermissible kicking of the proverbial can down the road. The Commission and
19 DEF's general ratepayers are left with unjustifiable uncertainty as to how these
20 imminent and significant costs will be recovered.

21 In seeking Commission approval of its proposed tariff, DEF also
22 attempts to achieve piece-meal ratemaking, in violation of fundamental
23 principles for just and reasonable rates. Instead of establishing a holistic policy
24 with due consideration of impacts on all customer rates, DEF binds itself to a
25 rate-less policy and has yet to prepare or present rates based on the costs to serve

1 these large load customers.

2 Furthermore, DEF’s proposed policy does not require or even encourage
3 economic efficiency or flexibility. By failing to ensure parity for large load
4 customer rates through the introduction of a new, separately held rate class, DEF
5 risks substantial floating or unrecovered costs, with GSD and GSdT rates limited
6 by this Commission’s policy of gradualism. DEF’s LLCA, furthermore, promises
7 firm service to its large load customers, while maintaining the right to interrupt
8 service only for specified limited purposes: “to ensure grid stability, reduce the
9 likelihood or breadth of wider service outages, or ensure public safety during an
10 emergency or other exceptional circumstance.” LLCA § 4.

11 Load flexibility and on-site self-generation, as well as data center
12 efficiency measures, can reduce the system-wide costs of serving those loads,
13 reduce the costs of maintaining system reliability, and reduce the potential for
14 cost shifting to other customers—all goals of the new law. But these options are
15 ignored in DEF’s application. In sum, DEF’s refusal to address load flexibility
16 and efficiency and on-site self-generation ensures that large loads can be as
17 inefficient and inflexible as they chose, and that the added system costs of
18 serving such loads are socialized to customers that did not cause those costs.

1 **III. DEF’S PROPOSED EARLY TERMINATION POLICY AND SECURITY**
2 **POLICY DO NOT GUARANTEE FULL RECOVERY OF STRANDED**
3 **COSTS, AND DO NOT REASONABLY ENSURE THAT LARGE LOAD**
4 **CUSTOMERS WILL PAY FULLY FOR THE COSTS INCURRED TO**
5 **SERVE THEM**

6 **Q: How do DEF’s Large Load Customer Policy (“LLCP”) and Large Load**
7 **Customer Agreement (“LLCA”) handle early termination and the costs that**
8 **accrue as a result?**

9 **A:** The LLCP’s early termination policy states that if a large load customer
10 terminates its service agreement with DEF prior to reaching its full load ramp,
11 the customer must pay DEF a Termination Payment Obligation—“its actual costs
12 incurred to provide service.” LLCP § 13.05. If termination on the customer’s part
13 occurs before the expiration of the original contract term, “the Customer shall be
14 responsible for payment of a Termination Fee,” which is “equal to three years of
15 Minimum Monthly Bills plus the net book value of new facilities referenced in
16 section 13.07 [CIAC] below less any refundable portion of the upfront CIAC
17 payment, which has not yet been refunded.” *Id.* Before any such termination, the
18 customer must provide DEF with written notice, “no later than two years prior to
19 the requested date of termination of service.” *Id.*

20 Under the LLCA, the “actual costs incurred” are limited to those costs
21 “associated with the work in preparing to provide electric service to the site.”

22 LLCA § 8(a). If a large load customer terminates their service with DEF before
23 the required two-year notice period ends, that customer may be subject to
24 Termination Delay Damages, in addition to the Termination Fee or Termination
25 Payment Obligation. Termination Delay Damages equate to “the Minimum

1 Monthly Bills that would have otherwise been paid by the Customer over the
2 applicable two-year notice period if timely notice had been delivered.” *Id.* § 8(c).

3 **Q: Do these provisions reasonably ensure that a large load customer alone is**
4 **responsible for their stranded costs from early termination?**

5 **A:** No; the Termination Payment Obligation and Termination Fee leave unrecovered
6 costs on the table to fall onto the general body of ratepayers in other customer
7 classes. By enforcing the collection of only those costs associated with the
8 preparation of a site, the additional costs for construction of new power facilities,
9 operation and maintenance, fuel, and devaluation in investments, among others,
10 remain unaccounted for. DEF has not stated throughout the course of this
11 proceeding that the significant and potentially unrecovered costs represented by
12 these categories—indeed, likely the majority of the total cost of service—will be
13 recovered from the company’s shareholder profits. Instead, it appears that DEF is
14 prepared to recoup these costs through its typical mechanism of rate increases for
15 its general base rates. To go back to the 1 GW data center hypothetical, according
16 to witness Chatelain’s testimony, that customer would have a termination
17 payment of \$986.3 million, which is nowhere near that needed to cover the more
18 than \$4.5 billion in conservatively estimated incremental generation costs, let
19 alone *any other costs* to serve that customer, including transmission costs.

20 **Q: What does DEF’s LLCP state as to the security costs to be paid by the large**
21 **load customer?**

22 **A:** A customer subject to DEF’s LLCP must provide security to DEF in the amount
23 of a percentage of the customer’s Termination Payment Obligation or
24 Termination Fee. This Security Percentage depends on the size of the termination
25 fee and the customer’s credit review, with a minimum percentage of 10% if the

1 Termination Payment Obligation or Termination Fee exceeds \$100 million.
2 LLC § 13.04. The payment must be made as either (a) a standby irrevocable
3 Letter of Credit, or (b) in cash. *Id.*

4 **Q: Does this provision reasonably ensure that a large load customer alone is**
5 **responsible for their stranded costs?**

6 **A:** DEF’s security provision does not provide sufficient assurance that the stranded
7 costs of a potential early termination are fully recoverable from the large load
8 customer. A 10% security charge of an already insufficient termination fee
9 inspires little to no confidence that a data center customer’s incurred costs will
10 not pass, in significant part, onto other customer classes to recover, and appears
11 founded on an irrationally exuberant forecast of data center growth. A security
12 percentage of such a low amount suggests that DEF assumes a statistical
13 improbability: that the field of generative artificial intelligence (“AI”) fueling its
14 future large load data center customers will maintain even a fraction of its current
15 vitality in the long term. Analysts and investors have sounded the alarms of an AI
16 “bubble burst,” citing to clear indicators of a tenuous investment market—
17 mismatches between stock market valuations and the true state of the economy,
18 high earnings expectations, and a steeply divergent economy.¹³ A recent market
19 sell-off ended with AI data center “megacaps” losing hundreds of billions of
20 dollars in value.¹⁴ The data center market is unstable and volatile, both in the
21 near-term and long-term, creating a heightened risk of stranded costs and cost
22 shifting onto general ratepayers. Those general ratepayers are left as the bearers

¹³ *Is There an AI Stock Market Bubble, and is it Ready to Burst?*, BBC (June 5, 2026), <https://www.bbc.com/news/videos/c1m2mr7gr27o>; Nick Lichtenberg, ‘Yet Another Way in Which 2026 is Looking Like 1999’: Top Analyst Fears Bubble Popping with Investors and Wall Street Out Over Their Skis, *Fortune* (June 8, 2026), <https://fortune.com/2026/06/08/ai-boom-tech-stocks-bubble-fears-earnings-growth-chipmakers-ipo/>.

¹⁴ Lichtenberg, *supra* note 13.

1 of that significant risk, despite the new law's clear prohibition of this result.

2 Returning to the 1 GW data center example in witness Chatelain's
3 testimony, the security amount is \$67.2 million, which would be about 1.5% of
4 the total nominal costs of *just the incremental generation* needed to serve that
5 customer, let alone any other costs to serve that customer.¹⁵ DEF gives no
6 indication that it is prepared to pay the difference itself, leaving the general body
7 of customers on the hook for the rest of the costs. Could DEF find other uses for
8 that generation to serve the general body? Possibly, depending on load growth.
9 But if multiple GWs of new data center load appear, and the bubble bursts, with
10 such small security amounts compared to the many billions of dollars of potential
11 costs, there would seem to be billions of dollars of potential risk to the general
12 body of customers.

13 **IV. DEF'S PROPOSAL AND ITS DISCRETION OVER CUSTOMER**
14 **PROTECTIONS LEAVES RATEPAYERS WITH NO ASSURANCE THAT**
15 **THEIR INTERESTS ARE SAFEGUARDED**

16 **Q: DEF stated in its petition that the general body of ratepayers will receive a**
17 **benefit from its service of large load customers. Is this true?**

18 **A:** One would be hard pressed to find any meaningful, lasting benefits to general
19 ratepayers from these large load customers. Bringing large load customers such
20 as data centers onto a utility company's energy grid has been shown to raise
21 residential rates around the country exponentially.¹⁶ In the context of the state's

¹⁵ \$67.2 million divided into \$4.5 billion equals 1.49%.

¹⁶ See Miguel Yanez-Barnuevo, *Data Center Power Demands are Contributing to Higher Energy Bills*, Env't & Energy Study Inst. (Feb. 24, 2026), <https://www.eesi.org/articles/view/data-center-power-demands-are-contributing-to-higher-energy-bills>; Rachel Reed, *How Data Centers May Lead to Higher Electricity Bills*, Harv. L. Today (Sep. 3, 2025), <https://hls.harvard.edu/today/how-data-centers-may-lead-to-higher-electricity-bills/>.

1 current affordability crisis, many Floridians already face a significant energy
2 burden and struggle to pay their energy bills.¹⁷ DEF’s customers have not
3 avoided these problems; the company’s residential customer bills, in fact, rank
4 among the highest in the state.¹⁸ Adding onto these bills by introducing data
5 center loads will only exacerbate the issue—an issue that formed a basis of the
6 new legislation.¹⁹

7 While new construction and new facilities often promise vast
8 employment opportunities, most jobs brought by data centers prove to be short-
9 lived. Construction jobs end with the facility’s completion, and permanent jobs
10 remain relatively few in comparison with a facility’s size, often numbering only
11 in the dozens or low hundreds.²⁰

12 **Q: Do you have any other concerns regarding DEF’s proposal here?**

13 **A:** Yes. Virtually all the “protections” offered by the large load customer policy and
14 large load customer agreement that DEF has offered up for Commission approval
15 are illusory and without any concrete backstop. Instead, every major feature—

¹⁷ See Julia Cooper, *Half the Households in Florida Struggle to Make Financial Ends Meet, Major Report Shows*, WLRN (July 10, 2024), <https://www.wlrn.org/government-politics/2024-07-10/florida-alice-united-way-report-affordability>; Amy Green, *Florida Electricity Shutoffs Rank Among Nation’s Highest*, Inside Climate News (Apr. 21, 2026), <https://insideclimatenews.org/news/21042026/florida-electricity-customer-shutoffs/>.

¹⁸ See the published data from the U.S. Energy Information Administration’s (“EIA”) Forms 861 and 861-M, available at <https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/eia861/> and <https://www.eia.gov/electricity/data/eia861m/>.

¹⁹ Press Release, Ron DeSantis, *supra* note 3.

²⁰ Tom Dotan, *The AI Data-Center Boom is a Job-Creation Bust*, Wall Street J. (Feb. 25, 2025), https://www.wsj.com/tech/ai-data-center-job-creation-48038b67?mod=hp_lead_pos9; Press Release, Va. Econ. Dev. P’ship, CleanArc Data Centers Invests \$3 Billion in Caroline County (Nov. 20, 2025), <https://www.vedp.org/press-release/2025-11/cleanarc-caroline> (noting the creation of only 50 new jobs from a new “state-of-the-art” data center); Press Release, Terry McAuliffe, Governor of Va., Governor McAuliffe Announces Fourth Microsoft Expansion in Mecklenburg County (Nov. 13, 2015), <https://www.vedp.org/press-release/2015-11/mecklenburg-microsoft-expansion> (noting the creation of 200 new jobs from a Microsoft data center expansion).

1 including the security percentage and the early termination policy—are subject to
2 negotiation as long as the new “terms do not result in fewer customer protections
3 than what is included in this Large Load Customer Policy.”

4 **Q: Who determines if the changes result in fewer customer protections?**

5 A: It appears to be solely within DEF’s discretion, as there is no provision for
6 Commission or other review unless DEF determines that the changes “differ
7 materially from the approved form tariff.” By making customer protections
8 subject to its own discretion, even the few “customer protections” DEF has
9 offered could disappear.

10 **V. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION**

11 **Q: Please summarize your testimony.**

12 A: DEF’s proposed large load “tariff” is utterly insufficient to protect the general
13 body of ratepayers from subsidizing enormous costs for projects such as data
14 centers. Merely adding large load customers to existing customer classes that are
15 already below parity gives no assurance that those large load customers will pay
16 for their full costs. Indeed, using an example of a 1 GW large load customer,
17 rough estimates generously place revenue at \$1 billion below that needed to
18 recover costs, just for generation alone. The true costs of service, if DEF were to
19 ever provide the estimates requested, would undoubtedly show hundreds of
20 millions, if not billions, of additional unrecovered costs. Without rates that
21 account for the size of these energy demands and the costs that follow, general
22 ratepayers in other customer classes are left to foot the bill, further increasing the
23 parity gap between residential and small business customers and industrial
24 customers. General ratepayers may be stuck with even higher bills in the event of
25 an early termination—an increasingly likely occurrence, given the writing on the

1 wall of an AI bubble waiting to burst. DEF's policy accounts for only a
2 percentage of those potential stranded costs, leaving other ratepayers to bear the
3 risk. Even the minimal "consumer protections" provided in DEF's proposal are
4 mere facades, since DEF explicitly reserved the right to negotiate them away. For
5 the above reasons, the Commission should reject DEF's proposal and direct the
6 creation of a new tariff that complies with the recently enacted law.

7 **Q: Do you have any recommendations?**

8 A: Yes, the Commission should reject DEF's proposal in its entirety and direct DEF
9 to start over with a tariff that creates a new rate class to cover large load
10 customers. The rates in that class should be set to cover the costs of that new
11 class, including embedded and incremental costs.

12 **Q: Does this conclude your testimony?**

13 A: Yes.

Karl R. Rábago

Rábago Energy LLC

1350 Gaylord Street, Denver, Colorado 80206-2114
c/SMS: +1.512.968.7543 | e: karl@rabagoenergy.com

Employment

RÁBAGO ENERGY LLC

Principal: July 2012—Present. Consulting practice dedicated to providing business sustainability, expert witness, and regulatory advice and services to organizations in the clean and advanced energy sectors. Prepared and submitted testimony in more than 35 jurisdictions and 185 electricity and gas regulatory proceedings. Recognized national leader in development and implementation of innovative “Value of Solar” alternative to traditional net metering. Additional information at rabagoenergy.com.

- Chairman of the Board, Center for Resource Solutions (1997-present). Past chair of the Green-e Governance Board.
- Director, Colorado Electric Transmission Authority (2022-present).
- Director, Inside Climate News (2024-present)
- Advisor, Commission Shift (2021-present).
- Director, Solar United Neighbors (2018-2024).
- Director, Texas Solar Energy Society (2022-2024).

PACE ENERGY AND CLIMATE CENTER, PACE UNIVERSITY ELISABETH HAUB SCHOOL OF LAW

Senior Policy Advisor: September 2019—September 2020. Part-time advisor and staff member. Provided transitional expert witness, project management, and business development support on electric and gas regulatory and policy issues and activities.

Executive Director: May 2014—August 2019. Leader of a team of professional and technical experts and law students in energy and climate law, policy, and regulation. Secured funding for and managed execution of regulatory intervention, research, market development support, and advisory services. Taught Energy Law. Provided learning and development opportunities for law students. Additional activities:

- Director, Alliance for Clean Energy – New York (2018-2019).
- Director, Interstate Renewable Energy Council (IREC) (2012-2018).
- Co-Director and Principal Investigator, Northeast Solar Energy Market Coalition (2015-2017). The NESEMC was a US Department of Energy’s SunShot Initiative Solar Market Pathways project. Funded under a cooperative agreement between the US DOE and Pace University, the NESEMC worked to harmonize solar market policy and advance supportive policy and regulatory practices in the northeast United States.

AUSTIN ENERGY – THE CITY OF AUSTIN, TEXAS

Vice President, Distributed Energy Services: April 2009—June 2012. Executive in one of the largest public power electric utilities, serving more than one million people in central Texas. Responsible for management and oversight of energy efficiency, demand response, and conservation programs; low-income weatherization; distributed solar and other renewable energy technologies; green buildings program; key accounts relationships; electric vehicle infrastructure; and market research and product development. Executive sponsor of Austin Energy’s participation in an innovative federally funded smart grid demonstration project led by the Pecan

Street Project. Led teams that successfully secured over \$39 million in federal stimulus funds for energy efficiency, smart grid, and advanced electric transportation initiatives. Additional activities included:

- Director, Renewable Energy Markets Association. REMA is a trade association dedicated to maintaining and strengthening renewable energy markets in the United States.
- Member, Pedernales Electric Cooperative Member Advisory Board. Invited by the Board of Directors to sit on first-ever board to provide formal input and guidance on energy efficiency and renewable energy issues for the nation's largest electric cooperative.

THE AES CORPORATION

Director, Government & Regulatory Affairs: June 2006—December 2008. Director, Global Regulatory Affairs, provided regulatory support and group management to AES's international electric utility operations on five continents. Managing Director, Standards and Practices, for Greenhouse Gas Services, LLC, a GE Energy and AES venture committed to generating and marketing voluntary market greenhouse gas credits. Government and regulatory affairs manager for AES Wind Generation. Managed a portfolio of regulatory and legislative initiatives to support wind energy market development in Texas, across the United States, and in many international markets.

JICARILLA APACHE NATION UTILITY AUTHORITY

Director: 1998—2008. Located in New Mexico, the JANUA was an independent utility developing profitable and autonomous utility services that provided natural gas, water utility services, low-income housing, and energy planning for the Nation. Authored "First Steps" renewable energy and energy efficiency strategic plan with support from U.S. Department of Energy.

HOUSTON ADVANCED RESEARCH CENTER

Group Director, Energy and Buildings Solutions: December 2003—May 2006. Leader of energy and building science staff at a mission-driven not-for-profit contract research organization based in The Woodlands, Texas. Responsible for developing, maintaining, and expanding on technology development, application, and commercialization support programmatic activities, including the Center for Fuel Cell Research and Applications; the Gulf Coast Combined Heat and Power Application Center; and the High-Performance Green Buildings Practice. Secured funding for major new initiative in carbon nanotechnology applications in the energy sector.

- President, Texas Renewable Energy Industries Association. As elected president of the statewide business association, led and managed successful efforts to secure and implement significant expansion of the state's renewable portfolio standard as well as other policy, regulatory, and market development activities.
- Director, Southwest Biofuels Initiative. Established the Initiative as an umbrella structure for multiple biofuels related projects.
- Member, Committee to Study the Environmental Impacts of Wind Power, National Academies of Science National Research Council. The Committee was chartered by Congress and the Council on Environmental Quality to assess the impacts of wind power on the environment.
- Advisory Board Member, Environmental & Energy Law & Policy Journal, University of Houston Law Center.

Karl R. Rábago

CARGILL DOW LLC (NOW NATUREWORKS, LLC)

Sustainability Alliances Leader: April 2002—December 2003. Integrated sustainability principles into all aspects of a ground-breaking bio-based polymer manufacturing venture. Responsible for maintaining, enhancing, and building relationships with stakeholders in the worldwide sustainability community, as well as managing corporate and external sustainability initiatives.

- Successfully completed Minnesota Management Institute at University of Minnesota Carlson School of Management, an alternative to an executive MBA program that surveyed fundamentals and new developments in finance, accounting, operations management, strategic planning, and human resource management.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN INSTITUTE

Managing Director/Principal: October 1999—April 2002. Co-authored “Small Is Profitable,” a comprehensive analysis of the benefits of distributed energy resources. Provided consulting and advisory services to help business and government clients achieve sustainability through application and incorporation of Natural Capitalism principles.

- President of the Board, Texas Ratepayers Organization to Save Energy. Texas R.O.S.E. is a non-profit organization advocating low-income consumer issues and energy efficiency programs.
- Co-Founder and Chair of the Advisory Board, Renewable Energy Policy Project-Center for Renewable Energy and Sustainable Technology. REPP-CREST was a national non-profit research and internet services organization.

CH2M HILL

Vice President, Energy, Environment and Systems Group: July 1998—August 1999. Responsible for providing consulting services to a wide range of energy-related businesses and organizations, and for creating new business opportunities in the energy industry for an established engineering and consulting firm. Completed comprehensive electric utility restructuring studies for Colorado and Alaska.

PLANERGY

Vice President, New Energy Markets: January 1998—July 1998. Responsible for developing and managing new business opportunities for the energy services market. Provided consulting and advisory services to utility and energy service companies.

ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND

Energy Program Manager: March 1996—January 1998. Managed renewable energy, energy efficiency, and electric utility restructuring programs. Led regulatory intervention activities in Texas and California. In Texas, played a key role in crafting Deliberative Polling processes. Participated in national environmental and energy advocacy networks, including the Energy Advocates Network, the National Wind Coordinating Committee, the NCSL Advisory Committee on Energy, and the PV-COMPACT Coordinating Council. Frequently appeared before the Texas Legislature, Austin City Council, and regulatory commissions on electric restructuring issues.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Utility Technologies: January 1995—March 1996. Manager of the Department’s programs in renewable energy technologies and systems, electric energy systems, energy efficiency, and integrated resource planning. Supervised technology research, development and deployment activities in photovoltaics, wind energy, geothermal energy, solar thermal energy, biomass energy, high-temperature superconductivity, transmission and

Karl R. Rábago

distribution, hydrogen, and electric and magnetic fields. Managed, coordinated, and developed international agreements. Supervised development and deployment support activities at national laboratories. Developed, advocated, and managed a Congressional budget appropriation of approximately \$300 million.

STATE OF TEXAS

Commissioner, Public Utility Commission of Texas. May 1992–December 1994. Appointed by Governor Ann W. Richards. Regulated electric and telephone utilities in Texas. Co-chair and organizer of the Texas Sustainable Energy Development Council. Vice-Chair of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) Committee on Energy Conservation. Member and co-creator of the Photovoltaic Collaborative Market Project to Accelerate Commercial Technology (PV-COMPACT).

LAW TEACHING

Professor for a Designated Service: Pace University Elisabeth Haub School of Law, 2014–2019. Non-tenured member of faculty. Taught Energy Law. Supervised a student intern practice.

Associate Professor of Law: University of Houston Law Center, 1990–1992. Full time, tenure track member of faculty. Courses taught: Criminal Law, Environmental Law, Criminal Procedure, Environmental Crimes Seminar, Wildlife Protection Law.

Assistant Professor: United States Military Academy, West Point, New York, 1988–1990. Member of the faculty in the Department of Law. Honorably discharged in August 1990, as Major in the Regular Army. Courses taught: Constitutional Law, Military Law, and Environmental Law Seminar.

LITIGATION

Trial Defense Attorney and Prosecutor, U.S. Army Judge Advocate General's Corps, Fort Polk, Louisiana, January 1985–July 1987. Assigned to Trial Defense Service and Office of the Staff Judge Advocate.

NON-LEGAL MILITARY SERVICE

Armored Cavalry Officer, 2d Squadron 9th Armored Cavalry, Fort Stewart, Georgia, May 1978–August 1981. Served as Logistics Staff Officer (S-4). Managed budget, supplies, fuel, ammunition, and other support for an Armored Cavalry Squadron. Served as Support Platoon Leader for the Squadron (logistical support), and as line Platoon Leader in an Armored Cavalry Troop. Graduate of Airborne and Ranger Schools. Special training in Air Mobilization Planning and Nuclear, Biological and Chemical Warfare.

Karl R. Rábago

Formal Education

LL.M., Environmental Law, Pace University School of Law, 1990: Curriculum designed to provide breadth and depth in study of theoretical and practical aspects of environmental law. Courses included: International and Comparative Environmental Law, Conservation Law, Land Use Law, Seminar in Electric Utility Regulation, Scientific and Technical Issues Affecting Environmental Law, Environmental Regulation of Real Estate, Hazardous Wastes Law. Individual research with Hudson Riverkeeper Fund, Garrison, New York, on federal regulation of cooling water intake structures for electric power plants.

LL.M., Military Law, U.S. Army Judge Advocate General's School, 1988: Curriculum designed to prepare Judge Advocates for senior level staff service. Courses included: Administrative Law, Defensive Federal Litigation, Government Information Practices, Advanced Federal Litigation, Federal Tort Claims Act Seminar, Legal Writing and Communications, Comparative International Law.

J.D. with Honors, University of Texas School of Law, 1984: Attended law school under the U.S. Army Funded Legal Education Program, a fully funded scholarship awarded to 25 or fewer officers each year. Served as Editor-in-Chief (1983–84); Articles Editor (1982–83); Member (1982) of the Review of Litigation. Moot Court, Mock Trial, Board of Advocates. Summer internship at Staff Judge Advocate's offices. Prosecuted first cases prior to entering law school.

B.B.A., Business Management, Texas A&M University, 1977: ROTC Scholarship (3–yr). Member: Corps of Cadets, Parson's Mounted Cavalry, Wings & Sabers Scholarship Society, Rudder's Rangers, Town Hall Society, Freshman Honor Society, Alpha Phi Omega service fraternity.

Karl R. Rábago

Selected Publications

Utilities are Shedding Crocodile Tears over Community Solar “Cost-Shift,” Utility Dive Opinion (April 14, 2025).

The Future of Decentralized Electricity Distribution Networks: Ch. 14 – Performance-Based Regulation to Drive Transformation and Encourage DER Market Growth, contributing co-author with Jesse Hitchcock, Elsevier (2023).

Climate Change Law: An Introduction, contributing author (Introduction to Energy Law), Elgar (2021).

Distributed Generation Law, contributing author, American Bar Association Environment, Energy, and Resources Section (August 2020)

National Standard Practice Manual for Benefit-Cost Analysis of Distributed Energy Resources, contributing author, National Energy Screening Project (August 2020)

Achieving 100% Renewables: Supply-Shaping through Curtailment, with Richard Perez, Marc Perez, and Morgan Putnam, PV Tech Power, Vol. 19 (May 2019).

A Radical Idea to Get a High-Renewable Electric Grid: Build Way More Solar and Wind than Needed, with Richard Perez, The Conversation, online at <http://bit.ly/2YjnM15> (May 29, 2019).

Reversing Energy System Inequity: Urgency and Opportunity During the Clean Energy Transition, with John Howat, John Colgan, Wendy Gerlitz, and Melanie Santiago-Mosier, National Consumer Law Center, online at www.nclc.org (Feb. 26, 2019).

Revisiting Bonbright’s Principles of Public Utility Rates in a DER World, with Radina Valova, The Electricity Journal, Vol. 31, Issue 8, pp. 9-13 (Oct. 2018).

Achieving very high PV penetration – The need for an effective electricity remuneration framework and a central role for grid operators, with Richard Perez (corresponding author), Energy Policy, Vol. 96, pp. 27-35 (2016).

The Net Metering Riddle, Electricity Policy.com, April 2016.

The Clean Power Plan, Power Engineering Magazine (invited editorial), Vol. 119, Issue 12 (Dec. 2, 2015)

The ‘Sharing Utility:’ Enabling & Rewarding Utility Performance, Service & Value in a Distributed Energy Age, co-author, 51st State Initiative, Solar Electric Power Association (Feb. 27, 2015)

Rethinking the Grid: Encouraging Distributed Generation, Building Energy Magazine, Vol. 33, No. 1 Northeast Sustainable Energy Association (Spring 2015)

The Value of Solar Tariff: Net Metering 2.0, The ICER Chronicle, Ed. 1, p. 46 [International Confederation of Energy Regulators] (December 2013)

A Regulator’s Guidebook: Calculating the Benefits and Costs of Distributed Solar Generation, co-author with Jason Keyes, Interstate Renewable Energy Council (October 2013)

The ‘Value of Solar’ Rate: Designing an Improved Residential Solar Tariff, Solar Industry, Vol. 6, No. 1 (Feb. 2013)

Jicarilla Apache Nation Utility Authority Strategic Plan for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Development, lead author & project manager, U.S. Department of Energy First Steps Toward Developing Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency on Tribal Lands Program (2008)

A Review of Barriers to Biofuels Market Development in the United States, 2 Environmental & Energy Law & Policy Journal 179 (2008)

Karl R. Rábago

A Strategy for Developing Stationary Biodiesel Generation, Cumberland Law Review, Vol. 36, p.461 (2006)

Evaluating Fuel Cell Performance through Industry Collaboration, co-author, Fuel Cell Magazine (2005)

Applications of Life Cycle Assessment to NatureWorks™ Polylactide (PLA) Production, co-author, Polymer Degradation and Stability 80, 403-19 (2003)

An Energy Resource Investment Strategy for the City of San Francisco: Scenario Analysis of Alternative Electric Resource Options, contributing author, Prepared for the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, Rocky Mountain Institute (2002)

Small Is Profitable: The Hidden Economic Benefits of Making Electrical Resources the Right Size, co-author, Rocky Mountain Institute (2002)

Socio-Economic and Legal Issues Related to an Evaluation of the Regulatory Structure of the Retail Electric Industry in the State of Colorado, with Thomas E. Feiler, Colorado Public Utilities Commission and Colorado Electricity Advisory Panel (April 1, 1999)

Study of Electric Utility Restructuring in Alaska, with Thomas E. Feiler, Legislative Joint Committee on electric Restructuring and the Alaska Public Utilities Commission (April 1, 1999)

New Markets and New Opportunities: Competition in the Electric Industry Opens the Way for Renewables and Empowers Customers, EEBA Excellence (Journal of the Energy Efficient Building Association) (Summer 1998)

Building a Better Future: Why Public Support for Renewable Energy Makes Sense, Spectrum: The Journal of State Government (Spring 1998)

The Green-e Program: An Opportunity for Customers, with Ryan Wiser and Jan Hamrin, Electricity Journal, Vol. 11, No. 1 (January/February 1998)

Being Virtual: Beyond Restructuring and How We Get There, Proceedings of the First Symposium on the Virtual Utility, Kluwer Press (1997)

Information Technology, Public Utilities Fortnightly (March 15, 1996)

Better Decisions with Better Information: The Promise of GIS, with James P. Spiers, Public Utilities Fortnightly (November 1, 1993)

The Regulatory Environment for Utility Energy Efficiency Programs, Proceedings of the Meeting on the Efficient Use of Electric Energy, Inter-American Development Bank (May 1993)

An Alternative Framework for Low-Income Electric Ratepayer Services, with Danielle Jaussaud and Stephen Benenson, Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Integrated Resource Planning, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (September 1992)

What Comes Out Must Go In: The Federal Non-Regulation of Cooling Water Intakes Under Section 316 of the Clean Water Act, Harvard Environmental Law Review, Vol. 16, p. 429 (1992)

Least Cost Electricity for Texas, State Bar of Texas Environmental Law Journal, Vol. 22, p. 93 (1992)

Environmental Costs of Electricity, Pace University School of Law, Contributor–Impingement and Entrainment Impacts, Oceana Publications, Inc. (1990)

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 1 of 18

Date	Proceeding	Case/Docket #	On Behalf Of:
Dec. 21, 2012	VA Electric & Power Special Solar Power Tariff	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUE-2012-00064	Southern Environmental Law Center
May 10, 2013	Georgia Power Company 2013 IRP	Georgia Public Service Commission Docket # 36498	Georgia Solar Energy Industries Association
Jun. 23, 2013	Louisiana Public Service Commission Re-examination of Net Metering Rules	Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket # R-31417	Gulf States Solar Energy Industries Association
Aug. 29, 2013	DTE (Detroit Edison) 2013 Renewable Energy Plan Review (Michigan)	Michigan Public Utilities Commission Case # U-17302	Environmental Law and Policy Center
Sep. 5, 2013	CE (Consumers Energy) 2013 Renewable Energy Plan Review (Michigan)	Michigan Public Utilities Commission Case # U-17301	Environmental Law and Policy Center
Sep. 27, 2013	North Carolina Utilities Commission 2012 Avoided Cost Case	North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket # E-100, Sub. 136	North Carolina Sustainable Energy Association
Oct. 18, 2013	Georgia Power Company 2013 Rate Case	Georgia Public Service Commission Docket # 36989	Georgia Solar Energy Industries Association
Nov. 4, 2013	PEPCO Rate Case (District of Columbia)	District of Columbia Public Service Commission Formal Case # 1103	Grid 2.0 Working Group & Sierra Club of Washington, D.C.
Apr. 24, 2014	Dominion Virginia Electric Power 2013 IRP	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUE-2013-00088	Environmental Respondents
Apr. 25, 2014	North Carolina Utilities Commission 2014 Avoided Cost Case - Direct	North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket # E-100, Sub. 140	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
May 7, 2014	Arizona Corporation Commission Investigation on the Value and Cost of Distributed Generation	Arizona Corporation Commission Docket # E-00000J-14-0023	Rábago Energy LLC (invited presentation and workshop participation)
Jun. 2, 2014	North Carolina Utilities Commission 2014 Avoided Cost Case – Response (Corrected)	North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket # E-100, Sub. 140	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
Jun. 20, 2014	North Carolina Utilities Commission 2014 Avoided Cost Case – Rebuttal	North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket # E-100, Sub. 140	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 2 of 18

Jul. 23, 2014	Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act, Goal Setting – FPL, Duke, TECO, Gulf	Florida Public Service Commission Docket # 130199-EI, 130200-EI, 130201-EI, 130202-EI	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy
Sep. 19, 2014	Ameren Missouri's Application for Authorization to Suspend Payment of Solar Rebates	Missouri Public Service Commission File No. ET-2014-0350, Tariff # YE-2014-0494	Missouri Solar Energy Industries Association
Aug. 6, 2014	Appalachian Power Company 2014 Biennial Rate Review	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUE-2014-00026	Southern Environmental Law Center (Environmental Respondents)
Aug. 13, 2014	Wisconsin Public Service Corp. 2014 Rate Application	Wisconsin Public Service Commission Docket # 6690-UR-123	RENEW Wisconsin and Environmental Law & Policy Center
Aug. 28, 2014	WE Energies 2014 Rate Application	Wisconsin Public Service Commission Docket # 05-UR-107	RENEW Wisconsin and Environmental Law & Policy Center
Sep. 18, 2014	Madison Gas & Electric Company 2014 Rate Application	Wisconsin Public Service Commission Docket # 3720-UR-120	RENEW Wisconsin and Environmental Law & Policy Center
Sep. 29, 2014	SOLAR, LLC v. Missouri Public Service Commission	Missouri District Court Case # 14AC-CC00316	SOLAR, LLC
Jan. 28, 2016 (date of CPUC order)	Order Instituting Rulemaking to Develop a Successor to Existing Net Energy Metering Tariffs, etc.	California Public Utilities Commission Rulemaking 14-07-002	The Utility Reform Network (TURN)
Mar. 20, 2015	Orange and Rockland Utilities 2015 Rate Application	New York Public Service Commission Case # 14-E-0493	Pace Energy and Climate Center
May 22, 2015	DTE Electric Company Rate Application	Michigan Public Service Commission Case # U-17767	Michigan Environmental Council, NRDC, Sierra Club, and ELPC
Jul. 20, 2015	Hawaiian Electric Company and NextEra Application for Change of Control	Hawai'i Public Utilities Commission Docket # 2015-0022	Hawai'i Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism
Sep. 2, 2015	Wisconsin Public Service Company Rate Application	Wisconsin Public Service Commission Case # 6690-UR-124	ELPC
Sep. 15, 2015	Dominion Virginia Electric Power 2015 IRP	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUE-2015-00035	Environmental Respondents
Sep. 16, 2015	NYSEG & RGE Rate Cases	New York Public Service Commission Cases 15-E-0283, -0285	Pace Energy and Climate Center

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 3 of 18

Oct. 14, 2015	Florida Power & Light Application for CCPN for Lake Okeechobee Plant	Florida Public Service Commission Case 150196-EI	Environmental Confederation of Southwest Florida
Oct. 27, 2015	Appalachian Power Company 2015 IRP	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUE-2015-00036	Environmental Respondents
Nov. 23, 2015	Narragansett Electric Power/National Grid Rate Design Application	Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 4568	Wind Energy Development, LLC
Dec. 8, 2015	State of West Virginia, et al., v. U.S. EPA, et al.	U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit Case No. 15-1363 and Consolidated Cases	Declaration in Support of Environmental and Public Health Intervenor in Support of Movant Respondent-Intervenors' Responses in Opposition to Motions for Stay
Dec. 28, 2015	Ohio Power/AEP Affiliate PPA Application	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR	Environmental Law and Policy Center
Jan. 19, 2016	Ohio Edison Company, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and Toledo Edison Company Application for Electric Security Plan (FirstEnergy Affiliate PPA)	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO	Environmental Law and Policy Center
Jan. 22, 2016	Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO) Rate Case	Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 44688	Citizens Action Coalition and Environmental Law and Policy Center
Mar. 18, 2016	Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO) Rate Case – Settlement Testimony	Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 44688	Joint Intervenors – Citizens Action Coalition and Environmental Law and Policy Center
Mar. 18, 2016	Comments on Pilot Rate Proposals by MidAmerican and Alliant	Iowa Utility Board NOI-2014-0001	Environmental Law and Policy Center
May 27, 2016	Consolidated Edison of New York Rate Case	New York Public Service Commission Case No. 16-E-0060	Pace Energy and Climate Center
Jun. 21, 2016	Federal Trade Commission: Workshop on Competition and Consumer Protection Issues in Solar Energy - Invited workshop presentation	Federal Trade Commission - Solar Electricity Project No. P161200	Pace Energy and Climate Center
Aug. 17, 2016	Dominion Virginia Electric Power 2016 IRP	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUE-2016-00049	Environmental Respondents

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 4 of 18

Sep. 13, 2016	Appalachian Power Company 2016 IRP	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUE-2016-00050	Environmental Respondents
Oct. 27, 2016	Consumers Energy PURPA Compliance Filing	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-18090	Environmental Law & Policy Center, “Joint Intervenors”
Oct. 28, 2016	Delmarva, PEPCO (PHI) Utility Transformation Filing – Review of Filing & Utilities of the Future Whitepaper	Maryland Public Service Commission Case PC 44	Public Interest Advocates
Dec. 1, 2016	DTE Electric Company PURPA Compliance Filing	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-18091	Environmental Law & Policy Center, “Joint Intervenors”
Dec. 16, 2016	Development of New Alternative Net Metering Tariffs - Rebuttal of Unitil Testimony	New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission Docket No. DE 16-576	New Hampshire Sustainable Energy Association (“NHSEA”)
Jan. 13, 2017	Gulf Power Company Rate Case	Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 160186-EI	Earthjustice, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, League of Women Voters-Florida
Jan. 13, 2017	Alpena Power Company PURPA Compliance Filing	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-18089	Environmental Law & Policy Center, “Joint Intervenors”
Jan. 13, 2017	Indiana Michigan Power Company PURPA Compliance Filing	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-18092	Environmental Law & Policy Center, “Joint Intervenors”
Jan. 13, 2017	Northern States Power Company PURPA Compliance Filing	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-18093	Environmental Law & Policy Center, “Joint Intervenors”
Jan. 13, 2017	Upper Peninsula Power Company PURPA Compliance Filing	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-18094	Environmental Law & Policy Center, “Joint Intervenors”
Mar. 10, 2017	Eversource Energy Grid Modernization Plan	Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities Case No. 15-122/15-123	Cape Light Compact
Apr. 27, 2017	Eversource Rate Case & Grid Modernization Investments	Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities Case No. 17-05	Cape Light Compact
May 2, 2017	AEP Ohio Power Electric Security Plan	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 16-1852-EL-SSO	Environmental Law & Policy Center
Jun. 2, 2017	Vectren Energy TDSIC Plan	Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 44910	Citizens Action Coalition & Valley Watch
Jul. 26, 2017	Vectren Energy 2018-2020 Energy Efficiency Plan	Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 44927	Citizens Action Coalition

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 5 of 18

Jul. 28, 2017	Vectren Energy 2016-2017 Energy Efficiency Plan	Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 44645	Citizens Action Coalition
Aug. 1, 2017	Interstate Power & Light (Alliant) 2017 Rate Application	Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2017-0001	Environmental Law & Policy Center, Iowa Environmental Council, Natural Resources Defense Council, and Solar Energy Industries Assoc.
Aug. 11, 2017	Dominion Virginia Electric Power 2017 IRP	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUR-2017-00051	Environmental Respondents
Aug. 18, 2017	Appalachian Power Company 2017 IRP	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUR-2017-00045	Environmental Respondents
Aug. 23, 2017	Pennsylvania Solar Future Project	Pennsylvania Dept. of Environmental Protection - Alternative Ratemaking Webinar	Pace Energy and Climate Center
Aug. 25, 2017	Niagara Mohawk Power Co. d/b/a National Grid Rate Case	New York Public Service Commission Case # 17-E-0238, 17-G-0239	Pace Energy and Climate Center
Sep. 15, 2017	Niagara Mohawk Power Co. d/b/a National Grid Rate Case	New York Public Service Commission Case # 17-E-0238, 17-G-0239	Pace Energy and Climate Center
Oct. 20, 2017	Missouri PSC Working Case to Explore Emerging Issues in Utility Regulation	Missouri Public Service Commission File No. EW-2017-0245	Renew Missouri
Nov. 21, 2017	Central Hudson Gas & Electric Co. Electric and Gas Rates Cases	New York Public Service Commission Case # 17-E-0459, -0460	Pace Energy and Climate Center
Jan. 16, 2018	Great Plains Energy, Inc. Merger with Westar Energy, Inc.	Missouri Public Service Commission Case # EM-2018-0012	Renew Missouri Advocates
Jan. 19, 2018	U.S. House of Representatives, Energy and Commerce Committee	Hearing on “The PURPA Modernization Act of 2017,” H.R. 4476	Rábago Energy LLC
Jan. 29, 2018	Joint Petition of Electric Distribution Companies for Approval of a Model SMART Tariff	Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities Case No. 17-140	Boston Community Capital Solar Energy Advantage Inc. (Jointly authored with Sheryl Musgrove)
Feb. 21, 2018	Joint Petition of Electric Distribution Companies for Approval of a Model SMART Tariff	Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities Case No. 17-140 - Surrebuttal	Boston Community Capital Solar Energy Advantage Inc. (Jointly authored with Sheryl Musgrove)

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 6 of 18

Apr. 6, 2018	Narragansett Electric Co., d/b/a National Grid Rate Case Filing	Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 4770	New Energy Rhode Island (“NERI”)
Apr. 25, 2018	Narragansett Electric Co., d/b/a National Grid Power Sector Transformation Plan	Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 4780	New Energy Rhode Island (“NERI”)
Apr. 26, 2018	U.S. EPA Proposed Repeal of Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units, 82 Fed. Reg. 48,035 (Oct. 16, 2017) – “Clean Power Plan”	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2016-0592	Karl R. Rábago
May 25, 2018	Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc. Rate Case Filing	New York Public Service Commission Case Nos. 18-E-0067, 18-G-0068	Pace Energy and Climate Center
Jun. 15, 2018	Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc. Rate Case Filing	New York Public Service Commission Case Nos. 18-E-0067, 18-G-0068 – Rebuttal Testimony	Pace Energy and Climate Center
Aug. 10, 2018	Dominion Virginia Electric Power 2018 IRP	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUR-2018-00065	Environmental Respondents
Sep. 20, 2018	Consumers Energy Company Rate Case	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-20134	Environmental Law & Policy Center
Sep. 27, 2018	Potomac Electric Power Co. Notice to Construct Two 230 kV Underground Circuits	District of Columbia Public Service Commission Formal Case No. 1144	Solar United Neighbors of D.C.
Nov. 7, 2018	DTE Detroit Edison Rate Case	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-20162	Natural Resources Defense Council, Michigan Environmental Council, Sierra Club
Nov. 13, 2018	In re: Rate Rider RGB (Supplementary, Back-Up, or Maintenance Power	Alabama Public Service Commission Docket No. U-4226	James H. Bankston, Ralph B. Pfeiffer, Jr., GASP, Inc. (Southern Environmental Law Center)
Mar. 26, 2019	Guam Power Authority Petition to Modify Net Metering	Guam Public Utilities Commission Docket GPA 19-04	Micronesia Renewable Energy, Inc.
Apr. 4, 2019	Community Power Network & League of Women Voters of Florida v. JEA	Circuit Court Duval County of Florida Case No. 2018-CA-002497 Div: CV-D	Earthjustice
Apr. 16, 2019	Dominion Virginia Electric Power 2018 IRP – Compliance Filing	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUR-2018-00065	Environmental Respondents

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 7 of 18

Apr. 25, 2019	Georgia Power 2019 IRP	Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 42310	GSEA & GSEIA
May 10, 2019	NV Energy NV GreenEnergy 2.0 Rider	Nevada Public Utilities Commission Docket Nos. 18-11015, 18-11016	Vote Solar
May 24, 2019	Consolidated Edison of New York Electric and Gas Rate Cases – Misc. Issues	New York Public Service Commission Case Nos. 19-E-0065, 19-G-0066	Pace Energy and Climate Center
May 24, 2019	Consolidated Edison of New York Electric and Gas Rate Cases – Low- and Moderate-Income Panel	New York Public Service Commission Case Nos. 19-E-0065, 19-G-0066	Pace Energy and Climate Center
May 30, 2019	Connecticut DEEP Shared Clean Energy Facility Program Proposal	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection Docket No. 19-07-01	Connecticut Fund for the Environment
Jun. 3, 2019	New Orleans City Council Rulemaking to Establish Renewable Portfolio Standards	New Orleans City Council Docket No. UD-19-01	National Audubon Society and Audubon Louisiana
Jun. 14, 2019	Consolidated Edison of New York Electric and Gas Rate Cases – Rebuttal Testimony	New York Public Service Commission Case Nos. 19-E-0065, 19-G-0066	Pace Energy and Climate Center
Jun. 24, 2019	Program to Encourage Clean Energy in Westchester County Pursuant to Public Service law Section 74-a; Staff Investigation into a Moratorium on New Natural Gas Services in the Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. Service Territory	New York Public Service Commission Case Nos. 19-M-0265, 19-G-0080	Earthjustice and Pace Energy and Climate Center
Jul. 12, 2019	Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for the Determination of the Fair Rate of Return on Common Equity	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUR-2019-00050	Virginia Poverty Law Center
Jul. 15, 2019	New Orleans City Council Rulemaking to Establish Renewable Portfolio Standards – Reply Comments	New Orleans City Council Docket No. UD-19-01	National Audubon Society and Audubon Louisiana
Aug. 1, 2019	Interstate Power and Light Company – General Rate Case	Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2019-0001	Environmental Law & Policy Center and Iowa Environmental Council
Aug. 19, 2019	Consolidated Edison of New York Electric and Gas Rate Cases – Surrebuttal	New York Public Service Commission Case Nos. 19-E-0065, 19-G-0066	Pace Energy and Climate Center

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 8 of 18

Aug. 21, 2019	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection and Public Utility Regulatory Authority Joint Proceeding on the Value of Distributed Energy Resources - Comments	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection/Public Utility Regulatory Authority Docket No. 19-06-29	Connecticut Fund for the Environment and Save Our Sound
Sep. 10, 2019	Interstate Power and Light Company – General Rate Case - Rebuttal	Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2019-0001	Environmental Law & Policy Center and Iowa Environmental Council
Sep. 18, 2019	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection and Public Utility Regulatory Authority Joint Proceeding on the Value of Distributed Energy Resources – Comments and Response to Draft Study Outline	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection/Public Utility Regulatory Authority Docket No. 19-06-29	Connecticut Fund for the Environment, Save Our Sound, E4theFuture, NE Clean Energy Council, NE Energy Efficiency Partnership, and Acadia Center
Sep. 20, 2019	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection and Public Utility Regulatory Authority Joint Proceeding on the Value of Distributed Energy Resources – Participation in Technical Workshop 1	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection/Public Utility Regulatory Authority Docket No. 19-06-29 http://www.ctn.state.ct.us/ctnplayer.asp?odID=16715	Connecticut Fund for the Environment and Save Our Sound
Oct. 4, 2019	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection and Public Utility Regulatory Authority Joint Proceeding on the Value of Distributed Energy Resources – Participation in Technical Workshop 2	Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection/Public Utility Regulatory Authority Docket No. 19-06-29 http://www.ctn.state.ct.us/ctnplayer.asp?odID=16766	Connecticut Fund for the Environment and Save Our Sound
Oct. 15, 2019	Electronic Consideration of the Implementation of the Net Metering Act (KY SB 100)	Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2019-00256	Kentuckians for the Commonwealth & Mountain Association for Community Economic Development
Oct. 15, 2019	New Orleans City Council Rulemaking to Establish Renewable Portfolio Standards – Comments on City Council Utility Advisors’ Report	New Orleans City Council Docket No. UD-19-01	National Audubon Society and Audubon Louisiana, Vote Solar, 350 New Orleans, Alliance for Clean Energy, PosiGen, and Sierra Club
Oct. 17, 2019	Indiana Michigan Power Co. General Rate Case	Michigan Public Service Company Case No. U-20359	Environmental Law & Policy Center, The Ecology Center, the Solar Energy Industries Association, and Vote Solar
Dec. 4, 2019	Alabama Power Company Petition for Certificate of Convenience and Necessity	Alabama Public Service Commission Docket No. 32953	Energy Alabama and Gasp, Inc.

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 9 of 18

Dec. 5, 2019	In the Matter of Net Metering and the Implementation of Act 827 of 2015	Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 16-027-R	National Audubon Society and Arkansas Advanced Energy Association
Dec. 6, 2019	Proposed Revisions to Vermont Public Utility Commission Rule 5.100	Vermont Public Utility Commission Case No. 19-0855-RULE	Renewable Energy Vermont ("REV")
Jan. 15, 2020	Puget Sound Energy General Rate Case	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket Nos. UE-190529 & UG-190530	Puget Sound Energy
Feb. 11, 2020	Application of Entergy Arkansas, LLC for a Proposed Tariff Amendment: Solar Energy Purchase Option – Direct Testimony	Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 19-042-TF	Arkansas Advanced Energy Association
Mar. 17, 2020	Application of Entergy Arkansas, LLC for a Proposed Tariff Amendment: Solar Energy Purchase Option – Surrebuttal Testimony	Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 19-042-TF	Arkansas Advanced Energy Association
Jun. 16, 2020	PECO Energy Default Supply Plan V – Direct Testimony	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2020-3019290	Environmental Respondents / Earthjustice
Jun. 24, 2020	Consumers Energy Company General Rate Case – Direct Testimony	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-20697	Joint Clean Energy Organizations / Environmental Law & Policy Center
Jul. 14, 2020	Consumers Energy Company General Rate Case – Rebuttal Testimony	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-20697	Joint Clean Energy Organizations / Environmental Law & Policy Center
Jul. 23, 2020	PECO Energy Default Supply Plan V – Surrebuttal Testimony	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2020-3019290	Environmental Stakeholders / Earthjustice
Sep. 15, 2020	Dominion Virginia Electric Power 2020 IRP – Direct Testimony	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case # PUR-2020-00035	Environmental Respondents
Sep. 18, 2020	Avoided Cost Proceeding for Georgia Power – Direct Testimony	Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 4822	Georgia Solar Energy Industries Association, Inc.
Sep. 29, 2020	Madison Gas and Electric – General Rate Case – Affidavit in Opposition to Electric Rates Settlement	Wisconsin Public Service Commission Docket No. 3270-UR-123	Sierra Club
Sep. 30, 2020	Madison Gas and Electric – General Rate Case – Gas Rates	Wisconsin Public Service Commission Docket No. 3270-UR-123	Sierra Club
Oct. 2, 2020	Duke Energy Florida Petition for Approval of Clean Energy Connect Program	Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20200176-EI	League of United Latin American Citizens of Florida

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 10 of 18

Oct. 2, 2020	Ameren Illinois – Investigation re: Calculation of Distributed Generation Rebates	Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 20-0389	Joint Solar Parties
Dec. 9, 2020	Arkansas – In the Matter of a Rulemaking to Adopt an Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification Protocol and Propose M&V Amendments to the Commission’s Rules for Conservation and Energy Efficiency Programs; In the Matter of the Continuation, Expansion, and Enhancement of Public Utility Energy Efficiency Programs in Arkansas	Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket Nos. 10-100-R, 13-002-U	Arkansas Advanced Energy Association
Dec. 22, 2020	Appalachian Power Company 2020 Virginia Clean Economy Act Compliance Plan	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00135	Environmental Respondent
Jan. 4, 2021	Dominion Virginia Electric Power Company Clean Economy Compliance Plan	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00134	Environmental Respondent
Feb. 5, 2021	Ameren Illinois – Investigation re: Calculation of Distributed Generation Rebates - Rebuttal	Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 20-0389	Joint Solar Parties
Feb. 15, 2021	Kentucky Power Company General Rate Case	Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2020-00174	Joint Intervenors – Mountain Association, Kentuckians for the Commonwealth, Kentucky Solar Energy Society
Mar. 2, 2021	Dominion Virginia Electric Power Company Rider RGGI Proposal	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00169	Environmental Respondent
Mar. 5, 2021	Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company General Rate Cases	Kentucky Public Service Commission Case Nos. 2020-00349, 2020-00350	Joint Intervenors – Mountain Association, Kentuckians for the Commonwealth, Kentucky Solar Energy Society
Apr. 5, 2021	Docket to Review the Efficacy and Fairness of the Net Metering and Interconnection Rules – Comments	Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2021-AD-19	Entegrity Energy Partners, LLC & Audubon Delta / National Audubon Society
Apr. 13, 2021	Petition of Guam Power Authority for Creation of a New Energy Storage Rate – Comments of Micronesia Renewable Energy, Inc.	Guam Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 20-09	Micronesia Renewable Energy, Inc.
May 25, 2021	Petition of Episcopal Diocese of Rhode Island for Declaratory Judgment on Transmission System Costs and Related “Affected System Operator” Studies	Rhode Island Public Utility Commission Docket No. 4981	Episcopal Diocese of Rhode Island

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 11 of 18

Jun. 21, 2021	Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company – Direct Testimony	Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20210015-EI	Florida Rising, Inc., League of United Latin American Citizens of Florida, and Environmental Confederation of Southwest Florida, Inc.
Jun. 22, 2021	Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and Other Relief	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-20963	The Environmental Law and Policy Center (EPLC)
Jun. 28, 2021	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. PECO Energy Company (GRC)	Pennsylvania Utility Commission Docket No. R-2021-3024601	Clean Energy Advocates
Jul. 12, 2021	Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase Its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and Other Relief – Rebuttal	Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-20963	The Environmental Law and Policy Center (EPLC)
Jul. 28, 2021	Application of Shenandoah Valley Electric Cooperative for a General Increase in Rates	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2021-00054	Solar United Neighbors of Virginia (SUN-VA)
Aug. 5, 2021	Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company General Rate Cases – Supp. Proceeding on Net Energy Metering	Kentucky Public Service Commission Case Nos. 2020-00349, 2020-00350	Joint Intervenors – Mountain Association, Kentuckians for the Commonwealth, Kentucky Solar Energy Society
Sep. 2, 2021	Madison Gas & Electric Co. – General Rate Case	Wisconsin Public Service Commission Docket No. 3270-UR-124	Sierra Club
Sep. 3, 2021	Dominion Virginia Electric Power Company – Triennial Rate Review – Direct Testimony on ROE	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2021-00058	Virginia Poverty Law Center
Sep. 13, 2021	Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company – Settlement Testimony	Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 20210015-EI	Florida Rising, Inc., League of United Latin American Citizens of Florida, and Environmental Confederation of Southwest Florida, Inc.
Sep. 20, 2021	Madison Gas & Electric Co. – General Rate Case – Surrebuttal Testimony	Wisconsin Public Service Commission Docket No. 3270-UR-124	Sierra Club
Sep. 27, 2021	Dakota Energy Cooperative, Inc. v. East River Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. and Basin Electric Power Cooperative – Expert Report	US. District Court, District of South Dakota (Southern Division) Case 4:20-CV-04192-LLP	Dakota Energy Cooperative, Inc.

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 12 of 18

Oct. 5, 2021	In the Matter of establishing regulations for a shared solar program pursuant to § 56-594.3 of the Code of Virginia	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00125	Coalition for Community Solar Access
Nov. 1, 2021	Dakota Energy Cooperative, Inc. v. East River Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. and Basin Electric Power Cooperative – Surrebuttal Expert Report	US. District Court, District of South Dakota (Southern Division) Case 4:20-CV-04192-LLP	Dakota Energy Cooperative, Inc.
Nov. 16, 2021	Petition of Virginia Electric and Power Company for approval of the RPS Development Plan, approval & certification of proposed CE-2 Solar Projects pursuant to § 56-580 D and 56-46.1 of the Code of Virginia	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2021-00146	Appalachian Voices
Jan. 20, 2022	Alabama Power Company Petition for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity	Alabama Public Service Commission Docket No. 33182	Energy Alabama, GASP
Mar. 1, 2022	In the Matter of establishing regulations for a multi-family shared solar program pursuant to § 56-585.1:12 of the Code of Virginia	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2020-00125	Appalachian Voices
Mar. 29, 2022	Review of Duke Energy Carolina, LLC & Duke Energy Progress, LLC Joint Application for Approval of NEM Tariff Revisions and Recommendations for Investigation of Costs and Benefits of Customer-Sited Generation – Expert Report	North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-100, Sub. 180	Environmental Working Group
Mar. 30, 2022	Ameren Illinois Company Petition for Approval of Performance and Tracking Metrics Pursuant to 220 ILCS 5/16-108.188(e) – Direct Testimony	Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 22-0063	Joint Solar Parties
Apr. 6, 2022	Commonwealth Edison Company Petition for the Establishment of Performance Metrics under Section 16-108.18(e) of the Public Utilities Act	Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 22-0067	Joint Solar Parties

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 13 of 18

May 6, 2022	Review of Duke Energy Carolina, LLC & Duke Energy Progress, LLC Joint Application for Approval of NEM Tariff Revisions and Recommendations for Investigation of Costs and Benefits of Customer-Sited Generation – Reply Report	North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-100, Sub. 180	Environmental Working Group
May 25, 2022	Ameren Illinois Company Petition for Approval of Performance and Tracking Metrics Pursuant to 220 ILCS 5/16-108.188(e) – Rebuttal Testimony	Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 22-0063	Joint Solar Parties
May 27, 2022	Review of Duke Energy Carolina, LLC & Duke Energy Progress, LLC Joint Application for Approval of NEM Tariff Revisions and Recommendations for Investigation of Costs and Benefits of Customer-Sited Generation – Surreply Report	North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-100, Sub. 180	Environmental Working Group
Jun. 6, 2022	Commonwealth Edison Company Petition for the Establishment of Performance Metrics under Section 16-108.18(e) of the Public Utilities Act – Rebuttal Testimony	Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 22-0063	Joint Solar Parties
Jun. 22, 2022	In the Matter of Austin Energy Base Rate Case Filing Dated April 18, 2022	City of Austin Hearing Examiner	Sierra Club, Public Citizen, and Solar United Neighbors
Oct. 3, 2022	In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company (Xcel) for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E002/GR-21-630.	Just Solar Coalition
Oct. 13, 2022	Verified Petition of Vote Solar of Distributed Energy Resource Systems in Wisconsin – Rebuttal	Wisconsin PSC Docket No. 9300-DR-106	Vote Solar
Oct. 21, 2022	Verified Petition of Vote Solar of Distributed Energy Resource Systems in Wisconsin - Surrebuttal	Wisconsin PSC Docket No. 9300-DR-106	Vote Solar
Nov. 14, 2022	In the Matter of the Application of Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Amend its Filed Tariffs to Increase the Rates and Charges for Gas Services and Related Matters	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 21-637-GA-AIR	Environmental Law & Policy Center

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 14 of 18

Dec. 6, 2022	In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company (Xcel) for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota - Surrebuttal	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E002/GR-21-630.	Just Solar Coalition
Dec. 19, 2022	Application of NorthWestern Energy for Authority to Increase Retail Electric and Natural Gas Utility Service Rates - Direct	Montana Public Service Commission Docket No. 2022.07.078	Montana Environmental Information Center (MEIC), Earthjustice
Jan. 11, 2023	Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realize a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of the Properties of Tucson Electric Power Company Devoted to Its Operations throughout the State of Arizona and for Related Approvals – Direct Testimony on ROE & Equity Ratio	Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01933A-22-0107	Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association & Solar Energy Industries Association
Jan. 27, 2023	Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realize a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of the Properties of Tucson Electric Power Company Devoted to Its Operations throughout the State of Arizona and for Related Approvals – Direct Testimony on Community Solar	Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01933A-22-0107	Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association & Solar Energy Industries Association
Mar. 6, 2023	Application of Tucson Electric Power Company for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realize a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of the Properties of Tucson Electric Power Company Devoted to Its Operations throughout the State of Arizona and for Related Approvals – Surrebuttal Testimony	Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01933A-22-0107	Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association & Solar Energy Industries Association

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 15 of 18

May 9, 2023	The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company – Proposed General Increase in Rates and Revisions to Service Classifications, Riders, and Terms and Conditions of Service – Direct Testimony	Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 23-0069	City of Chicago
July 17, 2023	The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company – Proposed General Increase in Rates and Revisions to Service Classifications, Riders, and Terms and Conditions of Service – Rebuttal Testimony	Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 23-0069	City of Chicago
Aug. 25, 2023	In the Matter of the Application of Washington Gas Light Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges and to Revise Its Terms – Direct Testimony	Maryland Public Service Commission Case No. 9704	Chesapeake Climate Action Network
Aug. 28, 2023	Application of Madison Gas and Electric Company for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates – Direct Testimony	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 3270-UR-125	City of Madison
Sep. 16, 2023	Application of Madison Gas and Electric Company for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates – Surrebuttal Testimony	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 3270-UR-125	City of Madison
Oct. 10, 2023	In the Matter of the Application of Washington Gas Light Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges and to Revise Its Terms – Surrebuttal Testimony	Maryland Public Service Commission Case No. 9704	Chesapeake Climate Action Network
Apr. 16, 2024	In Re: Interstate Power & Light Company (General Rate Case) – Direct Testimony	Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2023-0002	Clean Energy Districts of Iowa (CEDI) Coalition
Apr. 26, 2024	PECO Energy Default Supply Plan VI – Direct Testimony	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2024-3046008	Energy Justice Advocates / Earthjustice
Apr. 30, 2024	In Re: Interstate Power & Light Company (General Rate Case) – Cross-Rebuttal Testimony	Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2023-0002	Clean Energy Districts of Iowa (CEDI) Coalition
May 29, 2024	In Re: Interstate Power & Light Company (General Rate Case) – Surrebuttal Testimony	Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2023-0002	Clean Energy Districts of Iowa (CEDI) Coalition

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 16 of 18

May 31, 2024	Delta States Utilities LA, LLC and Entergy Louisiana, LLC – Ex Parte; In Re: Application for Authority to Operate as Local Distribution Company and Incur Indebtedness and Joint Application for Approval of Transfer and Acquisition of Local Distribution Company Assets and Related Relief – Direct Testimony	Council of the City of New Orleans Docket Number UD-24-01	Alliance for Affordable Energy
Jun 6, 2024	Tampa Electric Company Petition for Rate Increase – Direct Testimony	Florida Public Service Commission Docket Number 2023-0090-EI	Florida Rising and League of United Latin American Citizens
Jun 11, 2024	Duke Energy Florida Petition for Rate Increase – Direct Testimony	Florida Public Service Commission Docket Number 2024-0025-EI	Florida Rising and League of United Latin American Citizens
Jun 28, 2024	Delta States Utilities LA, LLC and Entergy Louisiana, LLC – Ex Parte; In Re: Application for Authority to Operate as Local Distribution Company and Incur Indebtedness and Joint Application for Approval of Transfer and Acquisition of Local Distribution Company Assets and Related Relief – Rebuttal Testimony	Council of the City of New Orleans Docket Number UD-24-01	Alliance for Affordable Energy
Aug 5, 2024	Delta States Utilities LA, LLC and Entergy Louisiana, LLC – Ex Parte; In Re: Application for Authority to Operate as Local Distribution Company and Incur Indebtedness and Joint Application for Approval of Transfer and Acquisition of Local Distribution Company Assets and Related Relief – Surrebuttal Testimony	Council of the City of New Orleans Docket Number UD-24-01	Alliance for Affordable Energy
Oct 23, 2024	Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico as Representative of Puerto Rico Power Authority	U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Puerto Rico, Nos. 17 BK 3283-LTS, BK 4780-LTS	Solar United Neighbors
Jan. 17, 2025	NorthWestern Energy’s Application for Authority to Increase Retail Electric and Natural Gas Utility Service Rates	Public Service Commission of Montana Docket Number 2024.05.053	Triple Oak Power, LLC
Nov. 24, 2025	Washington Gas Light Company’s Application for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges for Gas Service – Direct Testimony	Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia Formal Case No. 1180	Sierra Club

Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 17 of 18

Mar. 11, 2025	Petition of Appalachian Power Company for Approval to Revise its Net Metering Program Pursuant to § 56-594 of the Code of Virginia	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2024-00161	Joint Advocates – Clean Virginia, Sierra Club, Vote Solar, and Solar United Neighbors
Apr. 29, 2025	Investigation of Parallel Generation Purchase Rates	Wisconsin Public Service Commission Docket Number 5-EI-157	City of Madison
May 2, 2025	Washington Gas Light Company’s Application for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges for Gas Service – Surrebuttal Testimony	Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia Formal Case No. 1180	Sierra Club
May 12, 2025	In the Matter of Future Minimum Bill Proceedings for Appalachian Power Company pursuant to VA Code § 56-594.4	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2025-00028	Appalachian Voices
Jun. 4, 2025	Application of El Paso Electric Company for Authority to Change Rates	Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket Number 57568; State Office of Administrative Hearings Docket Number 473-25-11219	Solar Joint Advocates
Jun. 9, 2025	Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company	Florida Public Service Commission Docket Number 20250011-EI	Florida Rising, Inc., League of United Latin American Citizens, and Environmental Confederation of Southwest Florida, Inc.
Jul. 10, 2025	British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Net Metering Service Rates	British Columbia Utilities Commission	Community Solar Coalition
Sep. 3, 2025	In the Matter of Future Minimum Bill Proceedings for Virginia Electric and Power Company pursuant to VA Code § 56-594.3	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2025-00031	Appalachian Voices
Sep. 19, 2025	Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company – Testimony on Settlement Proposal	Florida Public Service Commission Docket Number 20250011-EI	Florida Rising, Inc., League of United Latin American Citizens, and Environmental Confederation of Southwest Florida, Inc.
Oct. 30, 2025	Petition of Virginia Electric and Power Company for Approval to Revise Its Net Metering Program Pursuant to § 56-594 of the Code of Virginia	Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUR-2025-00079	Piedmont Environmental Council, Sierra Club, Solar United Neighbors, and Vote Solar (“Joint Advocates”)

**Testimony Submitted by Karl R. Rábago
(as of 15 February 2026)**

Docket No. 20260064-EI
Rábago Prior Testimony
Exhibit KRR-2, Page 18 of 18

Dec. 22, 2025	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation – General Rate Case (corrected testimony)	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket Number R-2025-3057164	Clean Air Council, Vote Solar, and the Union for Concerned Scientists (“Energy Justice Advocates”)
Feb. 9, 2026	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation – General Rate Case (surrebuttal)	Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket Number R-2025-3057164	Clean Air Council, Vote Solar, and the Union for Concerned Scientists (“Energy Justice Advocates”)
Mar. 9, 2026	In Re: Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC to Return River Bend Deregulated Asset to Rate Base and Other Associated Relief – Direct Testimony	Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket Number U-37735	Alliance for Affordable Energy

[190]

FILED 7/22/2024
DOCUMENT NO. 07744-2024
FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK



Dianne M. Triplett
Deputy General Counsel

July 22, 2024

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: *Duke Energy Florida, LLC's Petition for Rate Increase by Duke Energy Florida, LLC*; Docket No. 20240025

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

On July 15, 2024, DEF filed a Joint Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement with the 2024 Settlement Agreement and Exhibit Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 7. Enclosed for filing on behalf of Duke Energy Florida, LLC ("DEF") to the 2024 Settlement Agreement are:

- Exhibit No. 5 – Rate Design Schedules Changes; and
- Exhibit No. 6 – Revised Tariff Sheets (Clean) and Revised Tariff Sheets (Legislative).

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please feel free to call me at (727) 820-4692 should you have any questions concerning this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/ Dianne M. Triplett

Dianne M. Triplett

DMT/mh
Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Docket No. 20240025-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished
by electronic mail this 22nd day of July, 2024, to the following:

/s/ Dianne M. Triplett
Dianne M. Triplett

Jennifer Crawford / Major Thompson /
Shaw Stiller
Office of General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
JCrawfor@psc.state.fl.us
MThompso@psc.state.fl.us
SStiller@psc.state.fl.us

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. / Karen A. Putnal
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
FIPUG
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com

Tony Mendoza / Patrick Woolsey
Sierra Club
2101 Webster Street Suite 1300
Oakland, CA 94612
tony_mendoza@sierraclub.org
patrick.woolsey@sierraclub.org

Sari Amiel
Sierra Club
50 F St. NW, Eighth Floor
Washington, DC 20001
sari.amiel@sierraclub.org

Walt Trierweiler / Charles J. Rehwinkel /
Mary Wessling / Austin Watrous
Office of Public Counsel
111 W. Madison St., Rm 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
trierweiler.walt@leg.state.fl.us
watrous.austin@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us

Bradley Marshall / Jordan Luebkmann /
Hema Lochan
Earthjustice
LULAC & FL Rising
111 S. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
bmarshall@earthjustice.org
jluebkmann@earthjustice.org
hlochan@earthjustice.org
flcaseupdates@earthjustice.org

Robert Scheffel Wright / John T. LaVia, III
Gardner, Bist, Bowden, Dee, LaVia, Wright,
Perry & Harper, P.A.
Florida Retail Federation
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32308
schef@gbwlegal.com
jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Peter J. Mattheis / Michael K. Lavanga /
Joseph R. Briscar
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
NUCOR
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Suite 800 West
Washington, DC 20007-5201
pjm@smxblaw.com
mkl@smxblaw.com
jrb@smxblaw.com

James W. Brew / Laura Wynn Baker /
Sarah B. Newman
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
PCS Phosphate-White Springs
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Suite 800 West
Washington, DC 20007-5201
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com
sbn@smxblaw.com

William C. Garner
Law Office of William C. Garner, PLLC
SACE
3425 Bannerman Road
Unit 105, No. 414
Tallahassee, FL 32312
bgarner@wcglawoffice.com

Nikhil Vijaykar
Keyes & Fox LLP
EVgo Services, LLC
580 California St., 12th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
nvijaykar@keyesfox.com

Lindsey Stegall
EVgo Services, LLC
11835 W. Olympic Blvd., Ste. 900E
Los Angeles, CA 90064
Lindsey.Stegall@evgo.com

Frederick L. Aschauer, Jr., Esq.
Allan J. Charles, Esq.
Lori Killinger, Esq.
Lewis, Longman & Walker P.A.
AACE / Circle K / RaceTrac / Wawa
106 East College Avenue, Suite 1500
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
fAschauer@llw-law.com
acharles@llw-law.com
lkillinge@llw-law.com
jmelchior@llw-law.com

Exhibit 5
Rate Design Schedules
Changes

Table of Contents

<i>Schedule</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
A-2	Full Revenue Requirements Bill Comparison - Typical Monthly Bills	2
A-3	Summary of Tariffs	10
E-5	Source and Amount of Revenues - at Present and Proposed Rates	18
E-6b	Cost of Service Study - Unit Costs, Proposed Rates	19
E-8	Company Proposed Allocation of the Rate Increase/(Decrease) by Rate Class	21
Exhibit MJC-2	Company Proposed Allocation of Target Revenue and Rate Increase/(Decrease) by Rate Class	22
E-12	Adjustment to Test Year Unbilled Revenue	23
E-13a	Revenue from Sale of Electricity by Rate Schedule	24
E-13c	Base Revenue by Rate Schedule-Calculations	25
Exhibit MJC-3	Base Revenue by Rate Schedule - Calculations - Calendar Year Determinants	38
E-13d	Revenue by Rate Schedule - Lighting Schedule Calculation	51
E-14	Proposed Tariff Sheets and Support for Charges	64
E-14A	Unit Charge/Unit Cost Data	65
E-14B	Development of all RS Unit Costs and GS & GSD Energy Unit Costs	72
E-14C	Development of Time of Use Billing Determinants	73
E-14D	Development of Standby Service Rate Charges	78
E-14E	Development of Customer Unit Costs for Non-Residential Classes	80
E-14F	Development of Lighting Facilities Charges	81
E-14G	Development of Premium Distribution Service Charges	138
E-14H	Unitized Cost of Service: Summary of Residential Unit Cost Methodology for Optional Company Offered Load Control Programs	139
Exhibit MJO-8	Delivery Voltage Credit Calculation	140

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 5 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 2

SCHEDULE		A-2		FULL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS BILL COMPARISON - TYPICAL MONTHLY BILLS																Page 1 of 8									
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				EXPLANATION: For each rate class, calculate typical monthly bills for present and proposed rates.																Type of Data Shown:									
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA																				__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25									
DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI																				Witness: Chatelain									
RESIDENTIAL SERVICE		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)	(W)	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(AA)	(AB)
		Monthly Bill Under Present Rates - \$												Monthly Bill Under Proposed Rates - \$												Incr/ (Decr)		Cents/KWH	
Line	Rate Schedule	Typical Det. KW KWH	Base Rate *	Billing Adjustments *						Sub-Total Bill	GRT/RAF @ 2.6534%	Total Bill	Base Rate **	Fuel	ECCR	CCR	ECRC	ASC	SPPCRC	SCRS	Sub-Total Bill	GRT/RAF @ 2.6534%	Total Bill	\$ (V) - (L)	% (W) / (L)	Present (L) / (B)	Proposed (V) / (B)		
1	RS-1	n/a 0	12.89	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30.00	0.80	30.80	13.76	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30.00	0.80	30.80	-	0.00%	-	-
2																													
3	RS-1	n/a 100	19.99	4.37	0.33	0.95	0.05	0.23	0.51	0.51	30.00	0.80	30.80	21.39	3.70	0.33	0.41	0.05	0.23	0.86	-	30.00	0.80	30.80	-	0.00%	30.800	30.800	
4																													
5	RS-1	n/a 250	30.65	10.93	0.83	2.37	0.12	0.57	1.28	1.27	48.00	1.27	49.27	32.83	9.25	0.83	1.03	0.12	0.57	2.14	-	46.76	1.24	48.00	(1.27)	-2.58%	19.708	19.199	
6																													
7	RS-1	n/a 500	48.40	21.86	1.65	4.73	0.23	1.14	2.55	2.55	83.10	2.20	85.30	51.90	18.51	1.65	2.06	0.23	1.14	4.28	-	79.76	2.12	81.88	(3.42)	-4.02%	17.060	16.375	
8																													
9	RS-1	n/a 750	66.16	32.79	2.48	7.10	0.35	1.70	3.83	3.82	118.21	3.14	121.35	70.97	27.76	2.48	3.08	0.35	1.70	6.42	-	112.75	2.99	115.74	(5.61)	-4.62%	16.180	15.432	
10																													
11	RS-1	n/a 1,000	83.91	43.72	3.30	9.46	0.46	2.27	5.10	5.09	153.31	4.07	157.38	90.04	37.01	3.30	4.11	0.46	2.27	8.56	-	145.75	3.87	149.62	(7.76)	-4.93%	15.738	14.962	
12																													
13	RS-1	n/a 1,250	104.09	57.33	4.13	11.83	0.58	2.84	6.38	6.36	193.52	5.13	198.65	111.38	48.94	4.13	5.14	0.58	2.84	10.70	-	183.69	4.87	188.56	(10.08)	-5.08%	15.892	15.085	
14																													
15	RS-1	n/a 1,500	124.26	70.93	4.95	14.19	0.69	3.41	7.65	7.64	233.71	6.20	239.91	132.73	60.87	4.95	6.17	0.69	3.41	12.84	-	221.65	5.88	227.53	(12.39)	-5.16%	15.994	15.168	
16																													
17	RS-1	n/a 2,000	164.61	98.14	6.60	18.92	0.92	4.54	10.20	10.18	314.11	8.33	322.44	175.41	84.72	6.60	8.22	0.92	4.54	17.12	-	297.53	7.89	305.42	(17.02)	-5.28%	16.122	15.271	
18																													
19	RS-1	n/a 3,000	245.30	152.56	9.90	28.38	1.38	6.81	15.30	15.27	474.90	12.60	487.50	260.78	132.43	9.90	12.33	1.38	6.81	25.68	-	449.31	11.92	461.23	(26.27)	-5.39%	16.250	15.374	
20																													
21	RS-1	n/a 5,000	406.70	261.40	16.50	47.30	2.30	11.35	25.50	25.45	796.50	21.13	817.63	431.52	227.85	16.50	20.55	2.30	11.35	42.80	-	752.87	19.98	772.85	(44.78)	-5.48%	16.353	15.457	
22																													
23				* Present Rates, as projected, effective December 2024 per E-13c, with Minimum Bill as applicable.																									
24				** Proposed Base Rates, as proposed, effective January 2025 per E-13c, with Minimum Bill as applicable.																									
25				*** Billing Adjustments use projected 2025 BA-1 rates , except for ECCR, ECRC, and ASC, which use filed rates effective September 2024.																									
26																													
Supporting Schedules: E-13c, E-14 Supplement A																								Recap Schedules:					

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 9 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 6

SCHEDULE		A-2		FULL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS BILL COMPARISON - TYPICAL MONTHLY BILLS																				Page 5 of 8										
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				EXPLANATION: For each rate class, calculate typical monthly bills for present and proposed rates.																				Type of Data Shown:										
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA																								__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25										
DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI																								Witness: Chatelain										
GENERAL SERVICE				(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)	(W)	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(AA)	(AB)			
NON-DEMAND				Monthly Bill Under Present Rates - \$												Monthly Bill Under Proposed Rates - \$												Incr/ (Decr)		Cents/KWH				
100% LOAD FACTOR																																		
Line	Rate	Typical Det.		Base Rate *	Billing Adjustments *							Sub-Total		GRT/RAF @ 2.6534%	Total Bill	Base Rate **	Billing Adjustments ***							Sub-Total		GRT/RAF @ 2.6534%	Total Bill	\$ (V) - (L) / (W) / (L)		Present (L) / (B)		Proposed (V) / (B)		
	Schedule	KW	KWH		Fuel	ECCR	CCR	ECRC	ASC	SPPCRC	SCRS	Bill					Fuel	ECCR	CCR	ECRC	ASC	SPPCRC	SCRS	Bill										
1	GS-2	n/a	0	16.51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.51	0.44	16.95		17.84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.84	0.47	18.31		1.36	8.02%	-	-	-	-
2																																		
3	GS-2	n/a	100	19.34	4.67	0.23	0.60	0.04	0.16	0.23	0.22	25.48	0.68	26.16		20.89	4.00	0.23	0.25	0.04	0.16	0.39	-	25.95	0.69	26.64		0.48	1.84%	26.160	26.641			
4																																		
5	GS-2	n/a	250	23.58	11.68	0.57	1.49	0.11	0.39	0.58	0.55	38.94	1.03	39.97		25.46	10.00	0.57	0.63	0.11	0.39	0.97	-	38.12	1.01	39.13		(0.84)	-2.10%	15.986	15.651			
6																																		
7	GS-2	n/a	500	30.65	23.35	1.14	2.99	0.21	0.78	1.16	1.11	61.36	1.63	62.99		33.08	20.00	1.14	1.27	0.21	0.78	1.94	-	58.40	1.55	59.95		(3.05)	-4.83%	12.598	11.989			
8																																		
9	GS-2	n/a	750	37.71	35.03	1.70	4.48	0.32	1.16	1.73	1.66	83.79	2.22	86.01		40.69	29.99	1.70	1.90	0.32	1.16	2.91	-	78.67	2.09	80.76		(5.24)	-6.10%	11.467	10.768			
10																																		
11	GS-2	n/a	1,000	44.78	46.70	2.27	5.97	0.42	1.55	2.31	2.21	106.21	2.82	109.03		48.31	39.99	2.27	2.53	0.42	1.55	3.88	-	98.95	2.63	101.58		(7.45)	-6.83%	10.903	10.158			
12																																		
13	GS-2	n/a	1,250	51.85	58.38	2.84	7.46	0.53	1.94	2.89	2.76	128.64	3.41	132.05		55.93	49.99	2.84	3.16	0.53	1.94	4.85	-	119.23	3.16	122.39		(9.66)	-7.31%	10.564	9.791			
14																																		
15	GS-2	n/a	1,500	58.92	70.05	3.41	8.96	0.63	2.33	3.47	3.32	151.06	4.01	155.07		63.55	59.99	3.41	3.80	0.63	2.33	5.82	-	139.51	3.70	143.21		(11.87)	-7.65%	10.338	9.547			
16																																		
17	GS-2	n/a	2,000	73.05	93.40	4.54	11.94	0.84	3.10	4.62	4.42	195.91	5.20	201.11		78.78	79.98	4.54	5.06	0.84	3.10	7.76	-	180.06	4.78	184.84		(16.27)	-8.09%	10.056	9.242			
18																																		
19	GS-2	n/a	3,000	101.32	140.10	6.81	17.91	1.26	4.65	6.93	6.63	285.61	7.58	293.19		109.25	119.97	6.81	7.59	1.26	4.65	11.64	-	261.17	6.93	268.10		(25.09)	-8.56%	9.773	8.937			
20																																		
21																																		
22																																		
23																																		
24																																		
Supporting Schedules: E-13c, E-14 Supplement A				Recap Schedules:																														

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 10 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 7

A-2		FULL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS BILL COMPARISON - TYPICAL MONTHLY BILLS																				Page 6 of 8									
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				EXPLANATION: For each rate class, calculate typical monthly bills for present and proposed rates.																				Type of Data Shown:							
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA																								_X_ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25							
DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI																								Witness: Chatelein							
GENERAL SERVICE DEMAND				(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)	(W)	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(AA)	(AB)
				Monthly Bill Under Present Rates - \$												Monthly Bill Under Proposed Rates - \$												Incr/ (Decr)		Cents/KWH	
Line	Rate Schedule	Delivery Level	Load Factor	Typical Det. KW	Typical Det. KWH	Base Rate *	Fuel	ECCR	CCR	ECRC	ASC	SPPCRC	SCRS	Sub-Total Bill	GRT/RAF @ 2.6534%	Total Bill	Base Rate **	Fuel	ECCR	CCR	ECRC	ASC	SPPCRC	SCRS	Sub-Total Bill	GRT/RAF @ 2.6534%	Total Bill	\$ (V) - (L)	% (W) / (L)	Present (L) / (B)	Proposed (V) / (B)
1	GSD-1	Secondary	30%	50	10,950	701.58	511.37	46.50	126.50	4.71	19.38	67.00	36.03	1,513.06	40.15	1,553.21	759.47	437.89	46.50	54.50	4.71	19.38	112.50	-	1,434.95	38.07	1,473.02	(80.18)	-5.16%	14.185	13.452
2																															
3	GSDT-1	Secondary	60%	50	21,900	735.36	1,018.79	46.50	126.50	9.42	38.76	67.00	72.05	2,114.37	56.10	2,170.48	784.58	872.40	46.50	54.50	9.42	38.76	112.50	-	1,918.67	50.91	1,969.58	(200.90)	-9.26%	9.911	8.994
4																															
5	GSD-1	Secondary	30%	100	21,900	1,386.65	1,022.73	93.00	253.00	9.42	38.76	134.00	72.05	3,009.61	79.86	3,089.47	1,501.19	875.78	93.00	109.00	9.42	38.76	225.00	-	2,852.15	75.68	2,927.83	(161.64)	-5.23%	14.107	13.369
6																															
7	GSDT-1	Secondary	60%	100	43,800	1,454.20	2,037.57	93.00	253.00	18.83	77.53	134.00	144.10	4,212.23	111.77	4,324.00	1,551.42	1,744.81	93.00	109.00	18.83	77.53	225.00	-	3,819.58	101.35	3,920.93	(403.07)	-9.32%	9.872	8.952
8																															
9	GSD-1	Secondary	30%	250	54,750	3,441.86	2,556.83	232.50	632.50	23.54	96.91	335.00	180.13	7,499.26	198.99	7,698.25	3,726.34	2,189.45	232.50	272.50	23.54	96.91	562.50	-	7,103.74	188.49	7,292.23	(406.01)	-5.27%	14.061	13.319
10																															
11	GSDT-1	Secondary	60%	250	109,500	3,610.74	5,093.93	232.50	632.50	47.09	193.82	335.00	360.26	10,505.82	278.76	10,784.58	3,851.91	4,362.02	232.50	272.50	47.09	193.82	562.50	-	9,522.34	252.67	9,775.00	(1,009.58)	-9.36%	9.849	8.927
12																															
13	GSD-1	Secondary	30%	450	98,550	6,182.14	4,602.29	418.50	1,138.50	42.38	174.43	603.00	324.23	13,485.46	357.82	13,843.29	6,693.21	3,941.01	418.50	490.50	42.38	174.43	1,012.50	-	12,772.54	338.91	13,111.44	(731.84)	-5.29%	14.047	13.304
14																															
15	GSDT-1	Secondary	60%	450	197,100	6,486.12	9,169.07	418.50	1,138.50	84.75	348.87	603.00	648.46	18,897.27	501.42	19,398.69	6,919.25	7,851.64	418.50	490.50	84.75	348.87	1,012.50	-	17,126.01	454.42	17,580.43	(1,818.27)	-9.37%	9.842	8.920
16																															
17	GSDT-1	Primary	60%	1,000	438,000	13,614.66	20,046.98	920.00	2,500.00	188.34	766.50	1,310.00	1,427.88	40,774.36	1,081.91	41,856.27	14,570.14	17,241.82	920.00	1,080.00	188.34	766.50	2,200.00	-	36,966.80	980.88	37,947.68	(3,908.59)	-9.34%	9.556	8.664
18																															
19	GSDT-1	Transmission	60%	3,000	1,314,000	38,137.21	59,157.23	2,730.00	7,440.00	551.88	2,273.22	750.00	4,231.08	115,270.62	3,058.59	118,329.21	40,803.45	51,073.05	2,730.00	3,210.00	551.88	2,273.22	1,170.00	-	101,811.60	2,701.47	104,513.07	(13,816.14)	-11.68%	9.005	7.954
20																															
21																															
22																															
23																															
24																															
				* Present Rates, as projected, effective December 2024 per E-13c - TOU uses weighted average rate; excludes applicable metering voltage credits.																											
				** Proposed Base Rates, as proposed, effective January 2025 per E-13c - TOU uses weighted average rate; excludes applicable metering voltage credits.																											
				*** Billing Adjustments use projected 2025 BA-1 rates , except for ECCR, ECRG, and ASC, which use filed rates effective September 2024.																											
Supporting Schedules: E-13c, E-14 Supplement A				Recap Schedules:																											

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 12 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 9

SCHEDULE		A-2		FULL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS BILL COMPARISON - TYPICAL MONTHLY BILLS																		Page 8 of 8													
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		EXPLANATION: For each rate class, calculate typical monthly bills for present and proposed rates.																				Type of Data Shown:													
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA																						___X___ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25													
DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI																						Witness: Chatain													
INTERRUPTIBLE		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	(P)	(Q)	(R)	(S)	(T)	(U)	(V)	(W)	(X)	(Y)	(Z)	(AA)	(AB)						
GENERAL SERVICE		Monthly Bill Under Present Rates - \$													Monthly Bill Under Proposed Rates - \$													Incr/ (Decr)		Cents/KWH					
Line	Rate Schedule	Typical Det.		Base Rate *		Billing Adjustments *								Sub-Total Bill	GRT/RAF @		Total Bill	Base Rate **		Billing Adjustments ***								Sub-Total Bill	GRT/RAF @		Total Bill	\$		Present (J) / (B)	Proposed (R) / (B)
		KW	KWH	/ IS Credit	Fuel	ECCR	CCR	ECRC	ASC	SPPCRC	SCRS	% (R) - (J)	% (S) / (U)																						
1	IS-2 - Primary STD																																		
2	Max Demand	1,000	219,000		11,458.69	10,124.37	750.00	1,970.00	89.79	332.88	830.00	348.21	25,903.94	687.34	12,498.38	8,670.21	750.00	840.00	89.79	332.88	1,400.00	-	24,581.26	652.24	25,233.50		(1,357.78)	-5.11%	12.142	11.522					
3	Interruptible Credit				(7,720.00)								(7,720.00)	(204.84)	(8,000.00)									(8,000.00)	(212.27)	(8,212.27)									
4	30% Load Factor				3,738.69									18,666.43	4,498.38										17,021.23			(1,645.21)	-8.81%	8.523	7.772				
5																																			
6	IST-2 - Primary TOU																																		
7	Base Demand	1,000	438,000		9,055.33	20,026.10	750.00	1,970.00	179.58	665.76	830.00	696.42	34,173.19	906.75	9,619.14	17,239.48	750.00	840.00	179.58	665.76	1,400.00	-	30,693.96	814.43	31,508.39		(3,571.56)	-10.18%	8.009	7.194					
8	Interruptible Credit				(7,720.00)								(7,720.00)	(204.84)	(8,000.00)									(8,000.00)	(212.27)	(8,212.27)									
9	60% Load Factor				1,335.33									27,155.10	1,619.14										23,296.12			(3,858.99)	-14.21%	6.200	5.319				
10																																			
11	IST-2 - Transmission TOU																																		
12	Base Demand	5,000	2,190,000		37,871.60	98,620.36	3,700.00	9,750.00	876.00	3,306.90	950.00	3,460.20	158,535.06	4,206.57	40,322.50	85,181.45	3,700.00	4,150.00	876.00	3,306.90	1,450.00	-	138,986.85	3,687.88	142,674.73		(20,066.90)	-12.33%	7.431	6.515					
13	Interruptible Credit				(38,600.00)								(38,600.00)	(1,024.21)	(40,000.00)									(40,000.00)	(1,061.36)	(41,061.36)									
14	60% Load Factor				(728.40)									123,117.42	322.50										101,613.37			(21,504.05)	-17.47%	5.622	4.640				
15																																			
16																																			
17																																			
18																																			
19																																			
		* Present Rates, as projected, effective December 2024 per E-13c - TOU uses weighted average rate; excludes applicable metering voltage credits.																																	
		** Proposed Base Rates, as proposed, effective January 2025 per E-13c - TOU uses weighted average rate; excludes applicable metering voltage credits.																																	
		*** Billing Adjustments use projected 2025 BA-1 rates , except for ECCR, ECRC, and ASC, which use filed rates effective September 2024.																																	
Supporting Schedules: E-13c, E-14 Supplement A		Recap Schedules:																																	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 13 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 10

SCHEDULE A-3

SUMMARY OF TARIFFS

Page 1 of 8

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed changes in rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes of services, demand, energy, and other service charges.		Type of Data Shown:		
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA				<u> X </u> Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25		
DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI				Witness: Chatelain		
Line	(A) Current Rate Schedule	(B) Type of Charge	(C) Current Rate	(D) Proposed Rate Schedule	(E) Proposed Rate	(F) Percent Incr / (Decr) [(E) - (C)] / (C)
1	SC-1	Initial Connection - \$	58.00	SC-1	58.00	0.0%
2						
3		Reconnection - \$	12.00		-	-100.0%
4						
5		Transfer of Account - No LSA Contract - \$	12.00		12.00	0.0%
6						
7		Transfer of Account - LSA Contract Required - \$	4.00		4.00	0.0%
8						
9		Reconnect After Disconnect For Non-Pay - \$	13.00		Withdrawn	
10		Reconnect After Disconnect For Non-Pay After Hours - \$	14.00		Withdrawn	
11		Investigation of Unauthorized Use	200.00		200.00	0.0%
12						
13		Late Payment Charge	> \$5.00 or 1.5%		>\$5.00 or 1.5%	
14		Returned Check Charge	Per F.S. 68.065		Per F.S. 68.065	
15						
16	TS-1	Temporary Service Extension - Monthly \$	310.00	TS-1	310.00	0.0%
17						
18						
19	RS-1	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		RS-1		
20	RST-1	Standard	12.89	RST-1	13.76	6.7%
21	RSL-1	Time of Use		RSL-1		
22	RSL-2	Single Phase	12.89	RSL-2	13.76	6.7%
23		Three Phase	12.89		13.76	6.7%
24						
25		Energy and Demand Charge - cents per KWH				
26		Standard				
27		0 - 1,000 KWH (Winter)	7.919		8.396	6.0%
28		Over 1,000 KWH (Winter)	9.088		9.824	8.1%
29		0 - 1,000 KWH (Non-Winter)	6.830		7.372	7.9%
30		Over 1,000 KWH (Non-Winter)	7.730		8.108	4.9%
31		Time of Use - On Peak	9.138		10.637	16.4%
32		Time of Use - Off Peak	7.584		7.879	3.9%
33		Time of Use - Discount	4.345		4.780	10.0%
34						
35		EV Off-Peak Charging Credit - \$ per car per month (max 2 cars)	10.00		7.50	-25.0%

Supporting Schedules: E-14A

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 14 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 11

SCHEDULE A-3

SUMMARY OF TARIFFS

Page 2 of 8

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed changes in rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes of services, demand, energy, and other service charges.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Current Rate		Current	Proposed Rate	Proposed	Percent
Line	Schedule	Type of Charge	Rate	Schedule	Rate	Incr / (Decr) [(E) - (C)] / (C)
1	GS-1	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		GS-1		
2	GST-1	Standard		GST-1		
3		Unmetered	9.05		9.90	9.4%
4		Secondary	16.02		17.23	7.6%
5		Primary	202.59		217.89	7.6%
6		Transmission	999.30		1,074.76	7.6%
7		Time of Use				
8		Single Phase	16.02		17.23	7.6%
9		Three Phase	16.02		17.23	7.6%
10		Primary	202.59		217.89	7.6%
11		Transmission	999.30		1,074.76	7.6%
12						
13		Energy and Demand Charge - cents per KWH				
14		Standard	7.332		7.937	8.3%
15		Time of Use - On Peak	9.210		11.471	24.5%
16		Time of Use - Off Peak	8.578		8.578	0.0%
17		Time of Use - Discount	4.806		5.616	16.9%
18						
19		Premium Distribution Charge - cents per KWH	1.385		1.447	4.5%
20						
21		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges				
22		Primary	1.0%		1.0%	0.0%
23		Transmission	2.0%		2.0%	0.0%
24		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	1.08%		0.96%	-11.1%
25						
26	GS-2	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		GS-2		
27		Standard				
28		Unmetered	9.33		10.04	7.6%
29		Metered	16.51		17.84	8.1%
30						
31		Energy and Demand Charge - cents per KWH				
32		Standard	2.827		3.047	7.8%
33						
34		Premium Distribution Charge - cents per KWH	0.245		0.305	24.5%
35						

Supporting Schedules: E-14A

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 15 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 12

SCHEDULE A-3

SUMMARY OF TARIFFS

Page 3 of 8

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed changes in rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes of services, demand, energy, and other service charges.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Current Rate		Current	Proposed Rate	Proposed	Percent
Line	Schedule	Type of Charge	Rate	Schedule	Rate	Incr / (Decr) [(E) - (C)] / (C)
1	GSD-1	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		GSD-1		
2	GSDT-1	Standard		GSDT-1		
3		Secondary	16.51		17.75	7.5%
4		Primary	208.75		224.39	7.5%
5		Transmission	1,029.65		1,106.80	7.5%
6		Time of Use				
7		Secondary	16.51		17.75	7.5%
8		Secondary - Customer CIAC paid	16.51		17.75	7.5%
9		Primary	208.75		224.39	7.5%
10		Primary - Customer CIAC paid	208.75		224.39	7.5%
11		Transmission	1,029.65		1,106.80	7.5%
12		Transmission Customer CIAC paid	1,029.65		1,106.80	7.5%
13		Demand Charge - \$ per KW				
14		Standard	7.00		7.73	10.4%
15		Time of Use				
16		Base	2.19		2.71	23.7%
17		On Peak	1.27		2.12	66.9%
18		Mid Peak	4.44		3.83	-13.7%
19						
20		Delivery Voltage Credits - \$ per KW				
21		Primary	1.31		1.18	-9.9%
22		Transmission < 230 kV	5.42		5.56	2.6%
23		Transmission ≥ 230 kV	7.50		7.73	3.1%
24		Premium Distribution Charge - \$ per KW Month	1.50		2.23	48.7%
25						
26		Energy Charge - cents per KWH				
27		Standard	3.060		3.244	6.0%
28		Time of Use - On Peak	3.374		3.888	15.2%
29		Time of Use - Off Peak	2.777		2.880	3.7%
30		Time of Use - Discount	1.669		1.952	17.0%
31						
32		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges				
33		Primary	1.0%		1.0%	0.0%
34		Transmission	2.0%		2.0%	0.0%
35		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	1.08%		0.96%	-11.1%

Supporting Schedules: E-14A

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 16 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 13

SCHEDULE A-3

SUMMARY OF TARIFFS

Page 4 of 8

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed changes in rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes of services, demand, energy, and other service charges.	Type of Data Shown:			
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA			__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25			
DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI			Witness: Chatelain			
Line	(A) Current Rate Schedule	(B) Type of Charge	(C) Current Rate	(D) Proposed Rate Schedule	(E) Proposed Rate	(F) Percent Incr / (Decr) [(E) - (C)] / (C)
1	CS-2	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		CS-2		
2	CS-3	Secondary	90.57	CS-3	96.65	6.7%
3	CST-2	Primary	251.45	CST-2	268.32	6.7%
4	CST-3	Transmission	938.45	CST-3	1,001.40	6.7%
5						
6		Demand Charge - \$ per KW				
7		Standard	11.21		12.06	7.6%
8		Time of Use				
9		Base	1.63		1.63	0.0%
10		On Peak	1.33		2.03	52.6%
11		Mid Peak	4.79		4.79	0.0%
12						
13		Curtable Demand Credit				
14		CS-2, CST-2 - \$ per KW of On-pk Capability	7.72		8.00	3.6%
15		CS-3, CST-3 - \$ per KW of Contract Demand	7.72		8.00	3.6%
16		Curtable Event Incentive	0.25		0.25	0.0%
17						
18		Delivery Voltage Credits - \$ per KW				
19		Primary	1.31		1.18	-9.9%
20		Transmission < 230 kV	5.42		5.56	2.6%
21		Transmission ≥ 230 kV	7.50		7.73	3.1%
22		Premium Distribution Charge - \$ per KW Month	1.50		1.86	24.0%
23						
24		Energy Charge - cents per KWH				
25		Standard	2.044		2.199	7.6%
26		Time of Use - On Peak	1.880		2.242	19.3%
27		Time of Use - Off Peak	1.628		1.661	2.0%
28		Time of Use - Discount	1.029		1.252	21.7%
29						
30		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges				
31		Primary	1.0%		1.0%	0.0%
32		Transmission	2.0%		2.0%	0.0%
33		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	1.08%		0.96%	-11.1%
Supporting Schedules: E-14A			Recap Schedules:			

Docket No. 20260064-EI
 DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
 Exhibit KRR-3, Page 17 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
 Settlement Agreement
 Exhibit 5
 Page 14

SCHEDULE A-3

SUMMARY OF TARIFFS

Page 5 of 8

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed changes in rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes of services, demand, energy, and other service charges.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Current Rate		Current	Proposed Rate	Proposed	Percent
Line	Schedule	Type of Charge	Rate	Schedule	Rate	Incr / (Decr) [(E) - (C)] / (C)
1	IS-2	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		IS-2		
2	IST-2	Secondary	332.54	IST-2	353.92	6.4%
3		Primary	493.43		525.15	6.4%
4		Transmission	1,180.47		1,256.36	6.4%
5						
6		Demand Charge - \$ per KW				
7		Standard	9.31		10.05	7.9%
8						
9		Time of Use				
10		Base	1.63		1.63	0.0%
11		On Peak	1.33		1.89	42.1%
12		Mid Peak	4.79		4.79	0.0%
13						
14		Interruptible Demand Credit				
16		IS-2, IST-2 - \$ per KW On-Peak Demand	7.72		8.00	3.6%
17						
18		Delivery Voltage Credits - \$ per KW				
19		Primary	1.31		1.18	-9.9%
20		Transmission < 230 kV	5.42		5.56	2.6%
21		Transmission ≥ 230 kV	7.50		7.73	3.1%
22						
23		Premium Distribution Charge - \$ per KW Month	1.50		1.86	24.0%
24						
25		Energy Charge - cents per KWH				
26		Standard	1.354		1.417	4.7%
27		Time of Use - On Peak	1.880		2.218	18.0%
28		Time of Use - Off Peak	1.628		1.643	0.9%
29		Time of Use - Discount	1.029		1.257	22.2%
30						
31		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges				
32		Primary	1.0%		1.0%	0.0%
33		Transmission	2.0%		2.0%	0.0%
35		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	1.08%		0.96%	-11.1%

Supporting Schedules: E-14A

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 18 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 15

SCHEDULE A-3

SUMMARY OF TARIFFS

Page 6 of 8

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed changes in rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes of services, demand, energy, and other service charges.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Current Rate		Current	Proposed Rate	Proposed	Percent
Line	Schedule	Type of Charge	Rate	Schedule	Rate	Incr / (Decr) [(E) - (C)] / (C)
1	LS-1	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		LS-1		
2		Standard				
3		Unmetered	1.70		1.85	8.8%
4		Metered	4.85		5.24	8.0%
5						
6		Energy and Demand Charge - cents per KWH				
7		Standard	2.938		3.161	7.6%
8						
9		Fixture & Maintenance Charges - \$ per fixture	various		various	
10		Pole Charges - \$ per pole	various		various	
11						
12		Other Fixture Charge Rate - % of Installed Fixture Cost	1.08%		1.11%	2.8%
13		Other Pole Charge Rate - % of Installed Pole Cost	1.08%		0.96%	-11.1%
14						
15	SS-1	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		SS-1		
16		Secondary	143.46		154.38	7.6%
17		Primary	335.69		353.82	5.4%
18		Transmission	1,156.59		1,219.05	5.4%
19		Customer Owned	115.66		117.04	1.2%
20						
21		Base Rate Energy Customer Charge - cents per KWH	1.354		1.370	1.2%
22						
23		Distribution Charge - \$ per KW				
24		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	2.73		2.93	7.3%
25						
26		Generation and Transmission Capacity Charge				
27		Greater of : - \$ per KW				
28		Monthly Reservation Charge				
29		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	1.530		1.559	1.9%
30		Peak Day Utilized SB Power Charge of:	0.729		0.742	1.8%
31						
32		Delivery Voltage Credits - \$ per KW				
33		Primary	1.31		1.18	-9.9%
34		Transmission	n/a		n/a	n/a
35		Premium Distribution Charge - \$ per KW	1.40		2.23	59.3%
36						
37		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges				
38		Primary	1.0%		1.0%	0.0%
39		Transmission	2.0%		2.0%	0.0%
40		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	1.08%		0.96%	-11.1%

Supporting Schedules: E-14A

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 19 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 16

SCHEDULE A-3

SUMMARY OF TARIFFS

Page 7 of 8

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed changes in rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes of services, demand, energy, and other service charges.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Current Rate		Current	Proposed Rate	Proposed	Percent
Line	Schedule	Type of Charge	Rate	Schedule	Rate	Incr / (Decr)
1						[(E) - (C)] / (C)
2	SS-2	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		SS-2		
3		Secondary	362.08		389.65	7.6%
4		Primary	522.96		560.27	7.1%
5		Transmission	1,209.99		1,296.31	7.1%
6		Customer Owned	338.79		364.58	7.6%
7						
8		Base Rate Energy Customer Charge - cents per KWH	1.337		1.436	7.4%
9						
10		Distribution Charge - \$ per KW				
11		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	2.72		2.93	7.7%
12						
13		Generation and Transmission Capacity Charge				
14		Greater of : - \$ per KW				
15		Monthly Reservation Charge				
16		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	1.527		1.640	7.4%
17		Peak Day Utilized SB Power Charge of:	0.728		0.781	7.3%
18						
19		Interruptible Capacity Credit - \$ per KW				
20						
21		Monthly Reservation Credit	1.170		0.800	-31.6%
22		Daily Demand Credit	0.557		0.381	-31.6%
23						
24		Delivery Voltage Credits - \$ per KW				
25		Primary	1.31		1.18	-9.9%
26		Transmission	n/a		n/a	n/a
27		Premium Distribution Charge - \$ per KW Month	1.39		1.86	33.8%
28						
29		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges				
30		Primary	1.0%		1.0%	0.0%
31		Transmission	2.0%		2.0%	0.0%
32		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	1.08%		0.96%	-11.1%
33						
34						
35						

Supporting Schedules: E-14A

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 20 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 17

SCHEDULE A-3

SUMMARY OF TARIFFS

Page 8 of 8

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide a summary of all proposed changes in rates and rate classes, detailing current and proposed classes of services, demand, energy, and other service charges.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Current Rate		Current	Proposed Rate	Proposed	Percent
Line	Schedule	Type of Charge	Rate	Schedule	Rate	Incr / (Decr) [(E) - (C)] / (C)
1						
2	SS-3	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing		SS-3		
3		Secondary	120.08		129.22	7.6%
4		Primary	280.95		302.34	7.6%
5		Transmission	968.00		1,041.70	7.6%
6		Customer Owned	96.80		117.04	20.9%
7						
8		Base Rate Energy Customer Charge - cents per KWH	1.343		1.445	7.6%
9						
10		Distribution Charge - \$ per KW				
11		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	2.72		2.93	7.7%
12						
13		Generation and Transmission Capacity Charge				
14		Greater of : - \$ per KW				
15		Monthly Reservation Charge				
16		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	1.527		1.640	7.4%
17		Peak Day Utilized SB Power Charge of:	0.728		0.781	7.3%
18						
19		Curtailable Capacity Credit - \$ per KW				
20						
21		Monthly Reservation Credit	0.877		0.800	-8.8%
22		Daily Demand Credit	0.418		0.381	-8.9%
23						
24		Delivery Voltage Credits - \$ per KW				
25		Primary	1.31		1.18	-9.9%
26		Transmission	n/a		n/a	n/a
27		Premium Distribution Charge - \$ per KW	1.39		1.86	33.8%
28						
29		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges				
30		Primary	1.0%		1.0%	0.0%
31		Transmission	2.0%		2.0%	0.0%
32		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	1.08%		0.96%	-11.1%
33						
34						
35						

Supporting Schedules: E-14A

Recap Schedules:

SOURCE AND AMOUNT OF REVENUES - AT PRESENT AND PROPOSED RATES

Type of Data Shown:
X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
 Witness: Chatelain, Olivier

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 22 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 19

Schedule E-6b		COST OF SERVICE STUDY - UNIT COSTS, PROPOSED RATES (FINAL SETTLEMENT)		Page 1 of 2	
FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		EXPLANATION: For each cost of service study filed by the company, calculate the unit costs for demand, energy and customer for each rate schedule at proposed rates, based on the revenue requirements from sales of electricity only. The demand unit costs must be separated into production, transmission and distribution. Unit costs must be provided separately for each existing rate class, except for the lighting classes. If the company is proposing to combine two or more classes, it must also provide unit costs for the classes combined. Customer unit costs for the classes must include only customer-related costs excluding costs for fixtures and poles (i.e., exclude cost for fixtures and poles). The lighting facilities must be shown on a separate line. The unit costs must include no fuel, conservation, oil backout or related expenses. Billing units must match Schedules E-13c.		Type of Data Shown:	
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA				☐ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/27	
DOCKET NO.: 20240025				☐ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/26	
				☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25	
		Witness: Borsch, Olivier			
Line No.					
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
Supporting Schedules: E-1, E-3, E-4, E-13b		Recap Schedules:			

Summaries of unit cost calculations under proposed rates are provided on the following pages as described below:

E-6b Page 2 of 2 2025 Production capacity allocation method 12 CP and 25% AD

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 23 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 20

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA DOCKET NO.: # 20240025		EXPLANATION: See Schedule E-6b, Page 1 for explanation						Type of Data Shown: __X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25 Witness: Borsch, Chatelain, Olivier		
PRODUCTION CAPACITY ALLOCATION METHOD = 12 CP and 25% AD										
Line No.		(1) TOTAL RETAIL	(2) RESIDENTIAL (RS)	(3) GEN SERV NON DEM (GS-1)	(4) GEN SERV 100% LF (GS-2)	(5) GEN SERV DEMAND (GSD, SS-1)	(6) CURTAIL/ INTERR (CS, IS, SS-2, SS-3)	(7) LIGHTING (LS) ENERGY	(8) FACILITIES	(9) EV SOLUTION
1	COST OF SERVICE - (000'S):									
2	Production Capacity - CP Component	\$839,664	\$534,697	\$44,469	\$2,742	\$223,273	\$34,198	\$284	\$0	\$0
3	Production Capacity - AD Component	279,888	150,861	15,304	1,445	91,747	18,219	2,313	-	-
4	Production Capacity - Total DEMAND	1,119,552	685,558	59,773	4,187	315,020	52,417	2,597	-	-
5	Production Energy ENERGY	233,789	126,019	12,778	1,212	76,640	15,212	1,927	-	-
6	Transmission DEMAND	489,358	311,623	25,917	1,598	130,124	19,931	166	-	-
7	Distribution Primary DEMAND	636,336	414,181	36,732	1,683	162,582	15,567	5,591	-	-
8	Distribution Primary (MDS) CUSTOMER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Distribution Secondary DEMAND	265,960	204,913	16,713	339	37,294	1,146	1,125	-	4,431
10	Distribution Secondary (MDS) CUSTOMER	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Distribution Services CUSTOMER	44,149	38,539	2,841	322	1,049	1	1,397	-	-
12	Metering CUSTOMER	77,727	62,594	6,447	550	5,416	167	2,552	-	-
13	Interruptible Equipment CUSTOMER	536	-	-	-	-	536	-	-	-
14	Lighting Facilities N/A	101,466	-	-	-	-	-	-	101,466	-
15	Customer Billing, Info, etc. CUSTOMER	198,759	173,229	12,702	1,450	4,985	93	6,300	-	-
16	Rounding Adjustment (Tie to Juris & Class)									
17	Total	\$3,167,633	\$2,016,655	\$173,902	\$11,340	\$733,110	\$105,072	\$21,656	\$101,466	\$4,431
18	BILLING UNITS:									
19	Number of Monthly Bills:									
20	Metered Bills	23,610,586	21,279,866	1,564,791	167,425	583,852	1,852	12,802		
21	Unmetered Bills	774,354	-	5,538	10,136	-	-	758,680		
22	Total Bills	24,384,940	21,279,866	1,570,329	177,561	583,852	1,852	771,481		
23	Total Bills with Secondary Service Tap	24,377,426	21,279,866	1,568,511	177,561	579,184	825	771,481		
24	Total Bills with IS Equipment	1,852					1,852			
25	Annual Effective MWH Sales:									
26	Production and Transmission Services	40,435,750	21,757,217	2,206,586	209,239	13,267,828	2,662,132	332,749		
27	Distribution Primary Service	38,715,464	21,757,217	2,203,433	209,239	12,783,481	1,429,346	332,749		
28	Distribution Secondary Service	35,828,591	21,757,217	2,176,806	209,239	10,977,383	375,198	332,749		
29	Sum of Monthly Effective Billing KW:									
30	Production and Transmission Services					37,317,325	7,810,230			
31	Distribution Primary Service					36,510,905	4,194,447			
32	Distribution Secondary Service					31,800,671	817,965			
33	12 CP Allocator	100.000%	63.680%	5.296%	0.327%	26.591%	4.073%	0.034%		
34	Avg Demand Allocator	100.000%	53.902%	5.466%	0.518%	32.779%	6.510%	0.825%		
35	12 CP and 25% AD Allocator	100.000%	61.235%	5.339%	0.374%	28.138%	4.682%	0.232%		
36	UNIT COSTS:									
37	Customer Related Costs \$/Bill:									
38	Distribution Primary Ln 7 / Ln 22		\$19.46	\$23.39	\$9.48	\$278.46	\$8,406.32	\$7.25		
39	Distribution Secondary Ln 9 / Ln 22		\$9.63	\$10.64	\$1.91	\$63.88	\$619.05	\$1.46		
40	Distribution Service Tap Ln 11 / Ln 23		\$1.81	\$1.81	\$1.81	\$1.81	\$1.81	\$1.81		
41	Metering Ln 12 / Ln 20		\$2.94	\$4.12	\$3.29	\$9.28	\$90.33	\$199.34		
42	Interruptible Equipment Ln 13 / Ln 24		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$289.57	\$0.00		
43	Customer Billing, Info, etc. Ln 15 / Ln 22		\$8.14	\$8.09	\$8.16	\$8.54	\$50.22	\$8.17		
44	Total Customer Related Costs \$/Bill		\$41.99	\$48.05	\$24.65	\$361.97	\$9,457.30	\$218.02		
45	Energy Related Costs \$/MWH:									
46	Production Energy Ln 5 / Ln 26		\$5.79	\$5.79	\$5.79	\$5.78	\$5.71	\$5.79		
47	Total Energy Related Costs \$/mWh		\$5.79	\$5.79	\$5.79	\$5.78	\$5.71	\$5.79		
48	Capacity Related Costs \$/MWH:									
49	Production Capacity 12CP Ln 2 / Ln 26		\$24.58	\$20.15	\$13.11	\$16.83	\$12.85	\$0.85		
50	Production Capacity AD Ln 3 / Ln 26		\$6.93	\$6.94	\$6.90	\$6.91	\$6.84	\$6.95		
51	Transmission Ln 6 / Ln 26		\$14.32	\$11.75	\$7.64	\$9.81	\$7.49	\$0.50		
52	Distribution Primary Ln 7 / Ln 27		\$19.04	\$16.67	\$8.04	\$12.72	\$10.89	\$16.80		
53	Distribution Secondary Ln 9 / Ln 28		\$9.42	\$7.68	\$1.62	\$3.40	\$3.06	\$3.38		
54	Total Capacity Related Costs \$/mWh		\$74.29	\$63.18	\$37.31	\$49.67	\$41.12	\$28.49		
55	Or Billing Demand \$/kW/Month:									
56	Production Capacity 12CP Ln 2 / Ln 30					\$5.98	\$4.38			
57	Production Capacity AD Ln 3 / Ln 30					\$2.46	\$2.33			
58	Transmission Ln 6 / Ln 30					\$3.49	\$2.55			
59	Distribution Primary Ln 7 / Ln 31					\$4.45	\$3.71			
60	Distribution Secondary Ln 9 / Ln 32					\$1.17	\$1.40			
61	Total Capacity Related Costs \$/kW/Month		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17.55	\$14.38	\$0.00		

schedules: E-1, E-3, E-4, E-13b

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 24 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 21

Schedule E-8

COMPANY-PROPOSED ALLOCATION OF THE RATE INCREASE/(DECREASE) BY RATE CLASS

Page 1 of 1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: Provide a schedule which shows the company proposed increase/(decrease) in revenue by rate schedule and the present and company-proposed class rates of return under the proposed cost of service study. Provide justification for every class not left at the system rate of return. If the Increase / (decrease) from service charges by rate class does not equal that shown on Schedule E-13b or if the increase / (decrease) from sales of electricity does not equal that shown on Schedule E-13a, provide an explanation.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO.: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain, Olivier

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	
	\$000's WHERE APPLICABLE													
Line	Rate Schedule	Present ROR (%)	Present Index	Cost of Service 12CP&25%AD	Present Class Revenue	Base Revenue Increase due to Service Charges	Base Revenue Increase from Sales of Electricity	Unbilled Base Revenue Increase	Total Base Revenue Increase	Proposed Class Revenue	Company Proposed ROR (%)	Company Proposed Index	% Incr / (Decr) of Base Revenue(**)	% Incr / (Decr) of Total Revenue(***)
1	RS-1, RST-1	6.08%	1.04	2,016,655	1,927,684	2,619	125,312	(414)	127,516	2,055,201	6.81%	1.03	6.61%	-4.68%
2	GS-1, GST-1	8.15%	1.40	173,902	196,280	193	14,804	(58)	14,939	211,219	9.17%	1.39	7.61%	-3.51%
3	GS-2	3.97%	0.68	11,340	9,069	22	672	(4)	690	9,759	4.74%	0.72	7.61%	-7.20%
4	GSD-1, GSDT-1, SS-1	5.25%	0.90	733,110	648,085	71	48,611	663	49,346	697,431	6.03%	0.91	7.61%	-6.57%
5	CS-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST-3, SS-3	3.41%	0.58	2,861	2,083	0	156	(24)	132	2,215	4.08%	0.62	7.61%	-9.86%
6	IS-2, IST-2, SS-2	3.41%	0.58	102,211	75,309	0	5,633	121	5,754	81,063	4.08%	0.62	7.61%	-8.43%
7	LS-1 (Energy)	-0.65%	(0.11)	21,656	11,308	95	773	(7)	861	12,169	-0.14%	(0.02)	7.61%	-5.07%
8	Total Sales of Electricity	5.84%	1.00	\$ 3,061,735	\$ 2,869,818	\$ 3,000	\$ 195,961	\$ 278	\$ 199,239	\$ 3,069,057	6.60%	1.00	6.94%	-5.28%
9														
10	Other Revenue Classes(*):													
11	LS-1 (Facilities)	5.03%	0.86	101,466	88,800	-	6,761	-	6,761	95,561	5.87%	0.89	7.61%	N/A
12	EV Solution	12.17%	2.08	4,431	6,015	-	-	-	-	6,015	14.08%	2.13	0.00%	N/A
13	Total Retail	5.84%	1.00	\$ 3,167,633	\$ 2,964,633	\$ 3,000	\$ 202,721	\$ 278	\$ 206,000	\$ 3,170,633	6.60%	1.00	6.95%	-5.28%

1.5x Sys. Avg. %: 10.41%

Notes:

(*) Excluded from system rate of return

(**) Base revenue excludes clause revenue in % Calculation. Rate classes left below the system rate of return are due to application of FPSC practice of limiting rate class increases to 1.5 times the system average increase.

(***) Total Revenue in Column 13 is based on forecast revenue with projected 2025 BA-1 rates , except for ECCR, ECRC, and ASC, which use current March 2024 rates.

Supporting Schedules: E-1, E-6b, E-13a

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 25 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 22

MJC Exhibit No. 2
Test Year Ended 2025

COMPANY-PROPOSED ALLOCATION OF THE TARGET REVENUE AND RATE INCREASE/(DECREASE) BY RATE CLASS

Page 1 of 1

EXPLANATION: This exhibit shows the target class revenue increase/(decrease) by rate class. The Class Revenue Increase shown in column (6) of MJC-2 shows the proposed calculated class revenue up to, but not exceeding the total targeted revenue increase for each rate class and ties to the detailed calculations in MJC-3.

		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		Cost of Service 12CP&25%AD	Present Class Revenue	Revenue Deficiency	(Incr)/Decr Revenue Credits	Net Revenue Deficiency	Revenue Increase per Settlement Terms*			Total Proposed Revenue
Line	Rate Class	(E-6b)	(E-13a)	Settlement	(MFR E-5)	(3) + (4)	(5) / (2)	(2) X (8)	% with Terms	(2) + (11)
1	Residential (RS)	2,016,655	1,927,684	88,971	2,619	91,590	4.75%	127,508	6.61%	2,055,192
2										
3	General Service	173,902	196,280	(22,378)	193	(22,185)	-11.30%	14,944	7.61%	211,224
4	Non-Demand (GS-1)									
5										
6	General Service 100%	11,340	9,069	2,272	22	2,294	25.29%	690	7.61%	9,759
7	Load Factor (GS-2)									
8										
9	General Service	733,110	648,085	85,025	71	85,096	13.13%	49,343	7.61%	697,428
10	Demand (GSD, SS-1)									
11										
12	Curtailable/Interruptible	105,072	77,391	27,680	0	27,681	35.77%	5,892	7.61%	83,284
13	General Service (CS, IS, SS-2, SS-3)									
14										
15	Lighting (LS)									
16	Energy	21,656	11,308	10,348	95	10,443	92.35%	861	7.61%	12,169
17										
18	Lighting Facilities	101,466	88,800	12,666	-	12,666	14.26%	6,761	7.61%	95,561
19	Rounding Adj to Juris									
20	Class Revenue Total	<u>3,163,201</u>	<u>2,958,618</u>	<u>204,584</u>	<u>3,000</u>	<u>207,584</u>	<u>7.02%</u>	<u>206,000</u>	<u>6.96%</u>	<u>3,164,618</u>
21	Non-Residential Uniform % Increase		1,030,933			78,492	7.61%			
22										
23	Other Revenue Classes									
24	EV Solution	4,431	6,015	(1,584)	-	(1,584)	-26.33%			6,015
25										
26	Total	<u>3,167,633</u>	<u>2,964,633</u>	<u>203,000</u>	<u>3,000</u>	<u>206,000</u>	<u>6.95%</u>	<u>206,000</u>	<u>6.95%</u>	<u>3,170,633</u>
27										
28										

29 *Residential increase allocated to be 95% of system average increase; All non-residential rate classes allocated on an equal percentage basis

Supporting Schedules: E-6b, E-13a

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 26 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 23

Schedule E-12

ADJUSTMENT TO TEST YEAR UNBILLED REVENUE

Page 1 of 1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION:

Provide a schedule showing the calculation of the adjustment by rate class to the test year amount of unbilled revenue for the effect of the proposed rate increase. The calculation of test year unbilled revenue at present rates is provided in Schedule C-11.

Type of Data Shown:

 X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

DOCKET NO.: 20240025-EI

Witness: Borsch, Chatelain, Olivier

		(1)	(2)	(3)		(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
		Sales of Electricity (excluding unbilled)				Unbilled Sales			
Line No.	Rate Schedule	Proposed Base Energy & Demand Revenue (\$000)	Billed MWH	Proposed Per Unit \$/MWH col(1)/col(2)		Unbilled MWH	Proposed (\$000) col(3)*col(6)	Present (\$000) col(5)*col(6)	Adjustment (\$000) col(7)-col(8)
1									
2	RS-1	1,707,842	21,829,234	95.18	*	(72,017)	(6,855)	(6,440)	(414)
3	GS-1	176,601	2,218,624	79.60		(11,705)	(932)	(874)	(58)
4	GS-2	6,422	210,927	30.45		(1,688)	(51)	(48)	(4)
5	GSD-1	663,600	13,292,294	49.92		(60,536)	(3,022)	(3,745)	723
6	CS-2, CS-3	2,112	66,069	31.97		427	14	37	(24)
7	IS-2	75,191	2,582,621	29.11		(9,397)	(274)	(393)	120
8	SS-1	3,879	66,269	58.54		(2,143)	(125)	(66)	(60)
9	SS-2	2,608	56,117	46.48		(590)	(27)	(29)	2
10	SS-3	-	-	-		-	-	-	-
11	LS-1	10,607	335,753	31.59		(3,005)	(95)	(88)	(7)
12	SUBTOTAL	2,648,862	40,657,909	65.15		(160,654)	(11,368)	(11,646)	278
13	LS-1 Facilities	-							
14	EV Solution	-							
15	TOTAL	2,648,862	40,657,909	-		(160,654)	(11,368)	(11,646)	278
16									
17									
18									
19	* Weighted for Seasonality								
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									

Supporting Schedules: E-13c, E-10

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 27 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 24

Schedule E-13a

REVENUE FROM SALE OF ELECTRICITY BY RATE SCHEDULE

Page 1 of 1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		EXPLANATION: Compare jurisdictional revenue excluding service charges by rate schedule under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, the revenue and billing determinant information shall be shown separately for the transfer group and not be included under either the new or old classification.	Type of Data Shown:
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA			<u> X </u> Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
DOCKET NO:	20240025-EI		Witness: Chatelain

Line No.	(1) Rate Schedule	(2) (3) (4) Base Revenue \$000's Present Rates			(5) (6) (7) Base Revenue \$000's Proposed Rates			(8) (9) Increase / (Decrease)	
		Billed	Unbilled	Total	Billed	Unbilled	Total	\$000's	%
		Revenues	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues	Revenues	(7)-(4)	(8) / (4)
		per E-13c/E-5	per E-12	Revenues	per E-13c/MJC-2	per E-12	Revenues		
1									
2	RS-1	1,934,125	(6,440)	1,927,684	2,062,055	(6,855)	2,055,201	127,516	6.61%
3	GS-1	197,154	(874)	196,280	212,151	(932)	211,219	14,939	7.61%
4	GS-2	9,116	(48)	9,069	9,810	(51)	9,759	690	7.61%
5	GSD-1	648,114	(3,745)	644,368	696,514	(3,022)	693,492	49,124	7.62%
6	CS-2, CS-3	2,045	37	2,083	2,201	14	2,215	132	6.35%
7	IS-2	73,343	(393)	72,950	78,794	(274)	78,521	5,571	7.64%
8	SS-1	3,783	(66)	3,717	4,065	(125)	3,939	222	5.97%
9	SS-2	2,388	(29)	2,359	2,570	(27)	2,543	183	7.78%
10	SS-3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00%
11	LS-1	11,396	(88)	11,308	12,264	(95)	12,169	861	7.61%
15	Lighting Facilities	88,800	-	88,800	95,561	-	95,561	6,761	7.61%
16	EV Solution	6,015	-	6,015	6,015	-	6,015	-	0.00%
12									
13	Sales of Electricity TOTAL	\$ 2,976,279	\$ (11,646)	\$ 2,964,633	\$ 3,182,001	\$ (11,368)	\$ 3,170,633	\$ 206,000	6.95%
14									
17									
18	TOTAL	\$ 2,976,279	\$ (11,646)	\$ 2,964,633	\$ 3,182,001	\$ (11,368)	\$ 3,170,633	\$ 206,000	6.95%
19									
20									
21									
22									

Supporting Schedules: E-12, E-13c

Recap Schedules:

Page 1 of 13

Witness: Chatelain

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 29 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 26

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 2 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>GS-1</u>										
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation				Percent Increase
		Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue	
	GS-1	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25				
1										
2	Customer Charge:									
3	Standard									
4	Unmetered	5,538	Bills @	9.05 =	50,120	5,538	Bills @	9.90 =	54,828	
5	Secondary	1,547,565	Bills @	16.02 =	24,791,991	1,547,565	Bills @	17.23 =	26,664,544	
6	Primary	1,592	Bills @	202.59 =	322,476	1,592	Bills @	217.89 =	346,830	
7	Transmission	-	Bills @	999.30 =	-	-	Bills @	1,074.76 =	-	
8	Time-of-Use									
9	Secondary	15,407	Bills @	16.02 =	246,828	15,407	Bills @	17.23 =	265,471	
10	Primary	203	Bills @	202.59 =	41,146	203	Bills @	217.89 =	44,254	
11	Transmission	23	Bills @	999.30 =	23,306	23	Bills @	1,074.76 =	25,066	
12	TOTAL	1,570,329	Bills		25,475,867	1,570,329	Bills		27,400,993	
13										
14	Energy Charge:									
15	Standard									
16	Secondary	2,062,914	MWH @	73.32 =	151,252,826	2,062,914	MWH @	79.37 =	163,733,453	
17	Primary	15,392	MWH @	73.32 =	1,128,539	15,392	MWH @	79.37 =	1,221,661	
18	Transmission	-	MWH @	73.32 =	-	-	MWH @	79.37 =	-	
19	Time-of-Use									
20	Secondary									
21	On-Peak	15,397	MWH @	92.10 =	1,418,062	15,397	MWH @	114.71 =	1,766,188	
22	Off-Peak	85,921	MWH @	85.78 =	7,370,314	83,007	MWH @	85.78 =	7,120,315	
23	Discount	24,120	MWH @	48.06 =	1,159,187	27,034	MWH @	56.16 =	1,518,229	
24	Primary									
25	On-Peak	1,268	MWH @	92.10 =	116,758	1,268	MWH @	114.71 =	145,421	
26	Off-Peak	9,060	MWH @	85.78 =	777,183	8,790	MWH @	85.78 =	753,971	
27	Discount	1,319	MWH @	48.06 =	63,374	1,589	MWH @	56.16 =	89,252	
28	Transmission									
29	On-Peak	234	MWH @	92.10 =	21,531	234	MWH @	114.71 =	26,817	
30	Off-Peak	1,991	MWH @	85.78 =	170,802	1,916	MWH @	85.78 =	164,355	
31	Discount	1,010	MWH @	48.06 =	48,522	1,085	MWH @	56.16 =	60,920	
32	TOTAL	2,218,624	MWH		163,527,098	2,218,624	MWH		176,600,584	
33	Adjustments									
34	Distribution Primary Metering	2,085,854	X	1% =	(20,859)	2,210,305	X	1% =	(22,103)	
35	Transmission Metering	240,855	X	2% =	(4,817)	252,093	X	2% =	(5,042)	
36	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0				4,006,909				4,006,909	
37	SoBRA Cost Offset				267,127				267,127	
38	Minimum Bill				4,005,506	16,898			4,005,506	
39	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment				(103,061)				(103,061)	
40	TOTAL				8,150,805	93,712			8,149,336	
41						29,708				
42	Total GS-1 Base Revenue				197,153,771				212,150,912	7.61%
43										
44										
45										
						Increase/ (Decrease) - \$			14,997,142	

Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 30 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 27

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 3 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>GS-2</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation			Proposed Revenue Calculation			Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		
	GS-2	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25		1/1/25				
1									
2	Customer Charge:								
3	Standard								
4	Unmetered	10,136	Bills @ 9.33 =	94,569	10,136	Bills @ 10.04 =	101,765		
5	Secondary	167,425	Bills @ 16.51 =	2,764,182	167,425	Bills @ 17.84 =	2,986,857		
6	TOTAL	177,561	Bills	2,858,751	177,561		3,088,622		
7									
8	Energy Charge:								
9	Standard								
10	Secondary	210,927	MWH @ 28.27 =	5,962,911	210,927	MWH @ 30.47 =	6,426,951		
11									
12	Adjustments								
13									
14	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0			280,686			280,686		
15	SoBRA Cost Offset			18,712			18,712		
16	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment			(4,707)			(4,707)		
17	Total GS-2 Base Revenue			9,116,353			9,810,264		7.61%
18									
19					Increase/ (Decrease) - \$		693,911		
20									

Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 31 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 28

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 4 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>GSD</u>										
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation				Percent Increase
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		
	GSD	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25				1/1/25			
1	Customer Charge:									
2	Standard									
3	Secondary	398,622	Bills @	16.51 =	6,581,253	398,622	Bills @	17.75 =	7,075,544	
4	Primary	1,573	Bills @	208.75 =	328,337	1,573	Bills @	224.39 =	352,937	
5	Transmission	37	Bills @	1,029.65 =	38,461	37	Bills @	1,106.80 =	41,343	
6	Time-of-Use									
7	Secondary	180,561	Bills @	16.51 =	2,981,067	180,561	Bills @	17.75 =	3,204,963	
8	Primary	2,931	Bills @	208.75 =	611,786	2,931	Bills @	224.39 =	657,622	
9	Transmission	21	Bills @	1,029.65 =	21,829	21	Bills @	1,106.80 =	23,465	
10	TOTAL	583,746	Bills		10,562,733	583,746	Bills		11,355,874	
11										
12	Demand Charge:									
13	Standard w/ DVC									
14	Secondary	10,781,939	kW @	7.00 =	75,473,572	10,781,939	kW @	7.73 =	83,344,387	
15	Primary	273,389	kW @	5.69 =	1,555,585	273,389	kW @	6.55 =	1,790,700	
16	Transmission < 230 kV	391	kW @	1.58 =	617	391	kW @	2.17 =	848	
17	Transmission ≥ 230 kV	-	kW @	(0.50) =	-	-	kW @	- =	-	
18	Time-of-Use									
19	Secondary									
20	On-Peak	15,628,293	kW @	1.27 =	19,847,932	15,628,293	kW @	2.12 =	33,131,981	
21	Mid-Peak	17,578,239	kW @	4.44 =	78,047,380	17,578,239	kW @	3.83 =	67,324,654	
22	Base	21,028,267	kW @	2.19 =	46,051,905	21,028,267	kW @	2.71 =	56,986,604	
23	Delivery Voltage Credit - Primary	8,663	kW @	(1.31) =	(11,349)	8,663	kW @	(1.18) =	(10,223)	
23	Primary									
24	On-Peak	3,167,287	kW @	1.27 =	4,022,455	3,167,287	kW @	2.12 =	6,714,649	
25	Mid-Peak	3,388,681	kW @	4.44 =	15,045,742	3,388,681	kW @	3.83 =	12,978,647	
26	Base	4,161,266	kW @	2.19 =	9,113,173	4,161,266	kW @	2.71 =	11,277,032	
27	Delivery Voltage Credit	3,388,681	kW @	(1.31) =	(4,439,172)	3,388,681	kW @	(1.18) =	(3,998,643)	
28	Transmission									
29	On-Peak	839,025	kW @	1.27 =	1,065,562	839,025	kW @	2.12 =	1,778,733	
30	Mid-Peak	899,009	kW @	4.44 =	3,991,600	899,009	kW @	3.83 =	3,443,204	
31	Base	984,789	kW @	2.19 =	2,156,687	984,789	kW @	2.71 =	2,668,777	
32	Delivery Voltage Credit	899,009	kW @	(5.42) =	(4,872,629)	899,009	kW @	(5.56) =	(4,998,490)	
33										
34	Premium Distrib. Charge	-	kW @	1.50 =	-	-	kW @	2.23 =	-	
35	TOTAL Billed/Base	37,230,041			247,049,061	37,230,041			272,432,861	
36										
37										
38										

Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 32 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 29

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 5 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>GSD</u>											
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation				Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue		
	GSD	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25				1/1/25				
1											
2	Energy Charge:										
3	Standard										
4	Secondary	3,331,536	MWH @	30.60 =	101,945,009	3,331,536	MWH @	32.44 =	108,075,036		
5	Primary	81,746	MWH @	30.60 =	2,501,432	81,746	MWH @	32.44 =	2,651,844		
6	Transmission	182	MWH @	30.60 =	5,554	182	MWH @	32.44 =	5,888		
7	Time-of-Use										
8	Secondary										
9	On-Peak	986,076	MWH @	33.74 =	33,270,206	986,076	MWH @	38.88 =	38,338,637		
10	Off-Peak	5,524,009	MWH @	27.77 =	153,401,720	5,345,099	MWH @	28.80 =	153,938,856		
11	Discount	1,190,267	MWH @	16.69 =	19,865,551	1,369,176	MWH @	19.52 =	26,726,319		
12	Primary										
13	On-Peak	212,946	MWH @	33.74 =	7,184,795	212,946	MWH @	38.88 =	8,279,337		
14	Off-Peak	1,185,090	MWH @	27.77 =	32,909,950	1,145,810	MWH @	28.80 =	32,999,333		
15	Discount	292,588	MWH @	16.69 =	4,883,289	331,868	MWH @	19.52 =	6,478,055		
16	Transmission										
17	On-Peak	55,860	MWH @	33.74 =	1,884,725	55,860	MWH @	38.88 =	2,171,847		
18	Off-Peak	342,045	MWH @	27.77 =	9,498,603	330,711	MWH @	28.80 =	9,524,468		
19	Discount	89,950	MWH @	16.69 =	1,501,259	101,284	MWH @	19.52 =	1,977,072		
20	TOTAL	13,292,294	MWH		368,852,094	13,292,294	MWH		391,166,693		
21											
22	Adjustments										
23	Distribution Primary Metering	72,777,249	X	1% =	(727,772)	79,170,954	X	1%	(791,710)		
24	Transmission Metering	15,231,979	X	2% =	(304,640)	16,572,347	X	2%	(331,447)		
25											
26	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0				21,117,513	1,254,882			21,117,513		
27	SoBRA Cost Offset				1,407,834	6,821,620			1,407,834		
28	Make Ready Credit Program				492,500	1,802,328			492,500		
29	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment				(335,774)				(335,774)		
30	TOTAL				21,649,660	6,821,620			21,558,916		
31						1,802,328					
32	Total GSD-1 Base Revenue				648,113,549				696,514,343	7.47%	
33											
34						Increase/ (Decrease) - \$			48,400,795		
35											

Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 33 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 30

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 6 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>CS</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation			
		Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue
	CS	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25			
1									
2	Customer Charge:								
3	Standard								
4	Secondary	7	Bills @	90.57 =	606	7	Bills @	96.65 =	646
5	Primary	32	Bills @	251.45 =	8,167	32	Bills @	268.32 =	8,715
6	Transmission	-	Bills @	938.45 =	-	-	Bills @	1,001.40 =	-
7	Time-of-Use								
8	Secondary	-	Bills @	90.57 =	-	-	Bills @	96.65 =	-
9	Primary	32	Bills @	251.45 =	8,167	32	Bills @	268.32 =	8,715
10	Transmission	-	Bills @	938.45 =	-	-	Bills @	1,001.40 =	-
11	TOTAL	72	Bills		16,940	72	Bills		18,077
12									
13	Demand Charge:								
14	Standard								
15	Secondary	-	kW @	11.21 =	-	-	kW @	12.06 =	-
16	Primary	1,018	kW @	9.90 =	10,083	1,018	kW @	10.88 =	11,081
17	Transmission < 230 kV	-	kW @	5.79 =	-	-	kW @	6.50 =	-
18	Transmission ≥ 230 kV	-	kW @	3.71 =	-	-	kW @	4.33 =	-
19	Time-of-Use								
20	Secondary								
21	On-Peak	-	kW @	1.33 =	-	-	kW @	2.03 =	-
22	Mid-Peak	-	kW @	4.79 =	-	-	kW @	4.79 =	-
23	Base	-	kW @	1.63 =	-	-	kW @	1.63 =	-
24	Primary								
25	On-Peak	107,651	kW @	1.33 =	143,175	107,651	kW @	2.03 =	218,531
26	Mid-Peak	107,880	kW @	4.79 =	516,744	107,880	kW @	4.79 =	516,744
27	Base	243,588	kW @	1.63 =	397,048	243,588	kW @	1.63 =	397,048
28	Delivery Voltage Credit	107,880	kW @	(1.31) =	(141,322)	107,880	kW @	(1.18) =	(127,298)
29	Transmission								
30	On-Peak	-	kW @	1.33 =	-	-	kW @	2.03 =	-
31	Mid-Peak	-	kW @	4.79 =	-	-	kW @	4.79 =	-
32	Base	-	kW @	1.63 =	-	-	kW @	1.63 =	-
33	Delivery Voltage Credit	-	kW @	(5.42) =	-	-	kW @	(5.56) =	-
34	TOTAL Billed/Base	244,606	kW	TOTAL	925,728	244,606	kW	TOTAL	1,016,106
35									
36									

Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Page 7 of 13

Witness: Chatelain

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 35 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 32

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 8 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>IS</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation			Proposed Revenue Calculation			Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		
	IS	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25			
1	Customer Charge:								
2	Standard								
3	Secondary	110	Bills @	332.54 =	110	Bills @	353.92 =		39,013
4	Primary	208	Bills @	493.43 =	208	Bills @	525.15 =		109,452
5	Transmission	-	Bills @	1,180.47 =	-	Bills @	1,256.36 =		-
6	Time-of-Use								
7	Secondary	708	Bills @	332.54 =	708	Bills @	353.92 =		250,469
8	Primary	633	Bills @	493.43 =	633	Bills @	525.15 =		332,246
9	Transmission	90	Bills @	1,180.47 =	90	Bills @	1,256.36 =		112,887
10	TOTAL	1,749	Bills	793,081	1,749	Bills	844,067		
11									
12	Demand Charge:								
13	Standard								
14	Secondary	67,761	kW @	9.31 =	67,761	kW @	10.05 =		680,997
15	Primary	348,738	kW @	8.00 =	348,738	kW @	8.87 =		3,093,309
16	Transmission < 230 kV	-	kW @	3.89 =	-	kW @	4.49 =		-
17	Transmission ≥ 230 kV	-	kW @	1.81 =	-	kW @	2.32 =		-
18	Time-of-Use								
19	Secondary								
20	On-Peak	612,715	kW @	1.33 =	612,715	kW @	1.89 =		1,158,031
21	Mid-Peak	639,074	kW @	4.79 =	639,074	kW @	4.79 =		3,061,166
22	Base	750,204	kW @	1.63 =	750,204	kW @	1.63 =		1,222,833
23	Primary								
24	On-Peak	2,452,243	kW @	1.33 =	2,452,243	kW @	1.89 =		4,634,739
25	Mid-Peak	2,656,251	kW @	4.79 =	2,656,251	kW @	4.79 =		12,723,442
26	Base	3,427,677	kW @	1.63 =	3,427,677	kW @	1.63 =		5,587,113
27	Delivery Voltage Credit - Primary	2,097,014	kW @	(1.31) =	2,097,014	kW @	(1.18) =		(2,474,476)
28	Delivery Voltage Credit Trans < 230kV	559,237	kW @	(5.42) =	559,237	kW @	(5.56) =		(3,109,358)
29	Transmission								
30	On-Peak	2,498,086	kW @	1.33 =	2,498,086	kW @	1.89 =		4,721,382
31	Mid-Peak	2,458,135	kW @	4.79 =	2,458,135	kW @	4.79 =		11,774,466
32	Base	2,967,386	kW @	1.63 =	2,967,386	kW @	1.63 =		4,836,840
33	Delivery Voltage Credit < 230kV	2,008,733	kW @	(5.42) =	2,008,733	kW @	(5.56) =		(11,168,557)
34	Delivery Voltage Credit ≥ 230 kV	449,401	kW @	(7.50) =	449,401	kW @	(7.73) =		(3,473,874)
35	TOTAL Billed/Base	7,561,766	kW	TOTAL	7,561,766	kW	TOTAL		33,268,053
36									
37									

Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 36 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 33

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 9 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

 X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>IS</u>										
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation				Percent Increase
		Units		Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units		Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	
	IS	Jan '25-Dec '25		1/1/25				1/1/25		
1	Energy Charge:									
2	Standard									
3	Secondary	19,591	MWH @	13.54 =	265,264	19,591	MWH @	14.17 =	277,606	
4	Primary	138,256	MWH @	13.54 =	1,871,992	138,256	MWH @	14.17 =	1,959,094	
5	Transmission	-	MWH @	13.54 =	-	-	MWH @	14.17 =	-	
6	Time-of-Use									
7	Secondary									
8	On-Peak	45,738	MWH @	18.80 =	859,868	45,738	MWH @	22.18 =	1,014,461	
9	Off-Peak	252,983	MWH @	16.28 =	4,118,564	244,645	MWH @	16.43 =	4,019,518	
10	Discount	58,257	MWH @	10.29 =	599,461	66,595	MWH @	12.57 =	837,095	
11	Primary									
12	On-Peak	138,570	MWH @	18.80 =	2,605,117	138,570	MWH @	22.18 =	3,073,484	
13	Off-Peak	743,703	MWH @	16.28 =	12,107,490	718,595	MWH @	16.43 =	11,806,510	
14	Discount	192,715	MWH @	10.29 =	1,983,034	217,823	MWH @	12.57 =	2,738,040	
15	Transmission									
16	On-Peak	124,947	MWH @	18.80 =	2,349,011	124,947	MWH @	22.18 =	2,771,334	
17	Off-Peak	675,297	MWH @	16.28 =	10,993,832	652,108	MWH @	16.43 =	10,714,128	
18	Discount	192,564	MWH @	10.29 =	1,981,481	215,753	MWH @	12.57 =	2,712,014	
19	TOTAL	2,582,621	MWH		39,735,114	2,582,621	MWH		41,923,284	
20										
21	Adjustments									
22	Distribution Primary Metering	37,151,426	X	1% =	(371,514)	40,031,898	X	1% =	(400,319)	
23	Transmission Metering	21,000,237	X	2% =	(420,005)	22,887,732	X	2% =	(457,755)	
24										
25	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0				3,426,774				3,426,774	
26	SoBRA Cost Offset				228,452	309,255			228,452	
27	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment				(38,461)				(38,461)	
28	TOTAL				2,825,246	1,615,347			2,758,691	
29						500,171				
30	Total IS-2 Base Revenue				<u>73,342,910</u>				<u>78,794,094</u>	7.43%
31										
32						Increase/ (Decrease) - \$			5,451,184	
33										

Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 37 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 34

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 10 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>LS</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation			Proposed Revenue Calculation			Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		
	LS	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25			
1	Customer Charge:								
2	Standard								
3	Unmetered	758,680	Bills @ 1.70 =	1,289,756	758,680	Bills @ 1.85 =	1,403,558		
4	Secondary	12,802	Bills @ 4.85 =	62,088	12,802	Bills @ 5.24 =	67,081		
5	TOTAL	771,481	Bills	1,351,844	771,481	Bills	1,470,638		
6									
7	Energy & Demand Charge:								
8	Standard								
9	Secondary	335,753	MWH @ 29.38 =	9,864,431	335,753	MWH @ 31.61 =	10,613,160		
10									
11	Adjustments								
12									
13	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0			174,116			174,116		
14	SoBRA Cost Offset			11,608			11,608		
15	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment			(5,970)			(5,970)		
16	Total LS-1 Base Revenue			11,396,028			12,263,552	7.61%	
17									
18					Increase/ (Decrease) - \$		867,524		
19									
20									
Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15					Recap Schedules: E-13a				

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 38 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 35

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 11 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>SS-1</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation			Proposed Revenue Calculation			Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		
	SS-1	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25		1/1/25				
1									
2	Customer Charge:								
3	Primary	51	Bills @	335.69 =	51	Bills @	353.82 =		
4	Transmission	-	Bills @	1,156.59 =	-	Bills @	1,219.05 =		
5	Pri/Transm (Cust. Owned - CIAC)	55	Bills @	115.66 =	55	Bills @	117.04 =		
6	Total	106	Bills	23,398	106	Bills	24,392		
7									
8	Demand Charge:								
9	Distribution Charge								
10	Primary	198,984	kW @	2.73 =	198,984	kW @	2.93 =		
11	Transmission	58,190	kW @	- =	58,190	kW @	- =		
12									
13	(Greater of SB Cap or DD)								
14	Primary								
15	Specified SB Cap	205,707	kW @	1.530 =	205,707	kW @	1.559 =		
16	Daily Demand	1,973,389	kW @	0.729 =	1,973,389	kW @	0.742 =		
17	Transmission								
18	Specified SB Cap	50,933	kW @	1.530 =	50,933	kW @	1.559 =		
19	Daily Demand	706,010	kW @	0.729 =	706,010	kW @	0.742 =		
20	Total			2,889,165			2,971,237		
21									
22	Energy Charge:								
23	Standard								
24	Primary	60,487	MWH @	13.54 =	60,487	MWH @	13.70 =		
25	Transmission	5,782	MWH @	13.54 =	5,782	MWH @	13.70 =		
26	Total	66,269	MWH	897,280	66,269	MWH	907,883		
27	Adjustments								
28	Delivery Voltage Credit	198,984	kW @	(1.31)	198,984	kW @	(1.18)		
29	Distribution Primary Metering	3,133,461	X	1% =	3,405,579	X	1% =		
29	Premium Distribution Charge	198,984	X	1.40 =	198,984	X	2.23 =		
30	Transmission Metering	670,893	X	2% =	682,474	X	2% =		
31	Total			(26,844)			161,227		
32									
33	Total SS-1 Base Revenue			3,783,000			4,064,740	7.45%	
34									
35					Increase/ (Decrease) - \$		281,740		
36									
Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15					Recap Schedules: E-13a				

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 39 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 36

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 12 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>SS-2</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation			Proposed Revenue Calculation			Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		
	SS-2	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25		1/1/25				
1									
2	Customer Charge:								
3	Primary	21	Bills @	522.96 =	11,224	21	Bills @	560.27 =	12,025
4	Transmission	10	Bills @	1,209.99 =	11,903	10	Bills @	1,296.31 =	12,752
5	Total	31	Bills	23,127	31	Bills	24,776		
6									
7	Demand Charge:								
8	Distribution Charge								
9	Primary	29,912	kW @	2.72 =	81,360	29,912	kW @	2.93 =	87,641
10	Transmission	155,066	kW @	- =	-	155,066	kW @	- =	-
11									
12	Generation & Transm								
13	(Greater of SB Cap/DD)								
14	Primary								
15	Specified SB Cap	13,273	kW @	1.527 =	20,268	13,273	kW @	1.640 =	21,767
16	Daily Demand	1,983,871	kW @	0.728 =	1,444,258	1,983,871	kW @	0.781 =	1,549,403
17	Transmission								
18	Specified SB Cap	2,142	kW @	1.527 =	3,271	2,142	kW @	1.640 =	3,513
19	Daily Demand	179,266	kW @	0.728 =	130,506	179,266	kW @	0.781 =	140,007
20	Total			1,679,662				1,802,332	
21									
22	Energy Charge:								
23	Standard								
24	Primary	53,858	MWH @	13.37 =	720,075	53,858	MWH @	14.36 =	773,394
25	Sub-Transmission	2,259	MWH @	13.37 =	30,209	2,259	MWH @	14.36 =	32,446
26	Total	56,117	MWH	750,284	56,117	MWH	805,840		
27	Adjustments								
28	Delivery Voltage Credit	29,912	kW @	(1.31)	(39,184)	29,912	kW @	(1.18)	(35,296)
29	Distribution Primary Metering	2,226,776	X	1% =	(22,268)	2,396,910	X	1% =	(23,969)
30	Transmission Metering	163,986	X	2% =	(3,280)	175,966	X	2% =	(3,519)
31	Total			(64,732)				(62,784)	
32									
33	Total SS-2 Base Revenue			2,388,341			2,570,164	7.61%	
34									
35									
36									
					Increase/ (Decrease) - \$		181,823		

Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 40 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 37

SCHEDULE E-13c

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS

Page 13 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EXPLANATION: By rate schedule, calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year. If any customers are to be transferred from one schedule to another, show revenues separately for the transfer group.
Correction factors are used for historic test years only. The total base revenue by class must equal that shown in Schedule E-13a. The billing units must equal those shown in Schedules E-15.

Type of Data Shown:

COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA

☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

DOCKET NO: 20240025-EI

Witness: Chatelain

Rate Schedule <u>SS-3</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation			Proposed Revenue Calculation			Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		
	SS-3	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25			
1									
2	Customer Charge:								
3	Primary	-	Bills @	280.95	-	Bills @	302.34	-	
4	Primary (Customer Owned)	-	Bills @	96.80 =	-	Bills @	117.04 =	-	
5	Transmission	-	Bills @	968.00 =	-	Bills @	1,041.70 =	-	
6	Total	-	Bills	-	-	Bills	-	-	
7									
8	Demand Charge:								
9	Distribution Charge								
10	Primary	-	kW @	2.72 =	-	kW @	2.93 =	-	
11	Transmission	-	kW @	- =	-	kW @	- =	-	
12	Generation & Transm								
13	(Greater of SB Cap/DD)								
14	Primary								
15	Specified SB Cap	-	kW @	1.527 =	-	kW @	1.640 =	-	
16	Daily Demand	-	kW @	0.728 =	-	kW @	0.781 =	-	
17	Transmission								
18	Specified SB Cap	-	kW @	1.527 =	-	kW @	1.640 =	-	
19	Daily Demand	-	kW @	0.728 =	-	kW @	0.781 =	-	
20	Total		kW	-		kW	-	-	
21									
22	Energy Charge:								
23	Standard								
24	Primary	-	MWH @	13.43 =	-	MWH @	14.45 =	-	
25	Transmission	-	MWH @	13.43 =	-	MWH @	14.45 =	-	
26	Total	-	MWH	-	-	MWH	-	-	
27	Adjustments:								
28	Delivery Voltage Credit	-	kW @	(1.31)	-	kW @	(1.18)	-	
29	Distribution Primary Metering	-	X	1% =	-	X	1% =	-	
30	Transmission Metering	-	X	2% =	-	X	2% =	-	
31	Total			-			-	-	
32									
33	Total SS-3 Base Revenue			-			-		#DIV/0!
34									
35									
36									
					Increase/ (Decrease) - \$		-		

Supporting Schedules: E-14, E-15

Recap Schedules: E-13a

Rate Schedule RS-1												
Line No.	Type of Charges RS	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation				Percent Increase		
		Units Jan '25-Dec '25	Charge/Unit 1/1/25	\$ Revenue		Units	Charge/Unit 1/1/25	\$ Revenue				
1												
2	Customer Charge:											
3	Standard											
4	Secondary Standard	21,277,578	Bills @	12.89 =	274,267,980	21,277,578	Bills @	13.76 =	292,779,473			
5	Time-of-Use											
6	Secondary (single & three phase)	2,288	Bills @	12.89 =	29,488	2,288	Bills @	13.76 =	31,478			
7	Customer CIAC Paid	-	Bills @	12.89 =	-	-	Bills @	13.76 =	-			
8	TOTAL	21,279,866	Bills		274,297,468	21,279,866	Bills		292,810,951			
9												
10	Energy Charge:											
11	Winter - Standard											
1	0-1000 KWH	3,632,688	MWH @	79.19 =	287,672,551	3,632,688	MWH @	83.96 =	305,000,472			
2	over 1000 KWH	940,425	MWH @	90.88 =	85,465,846	940,425	MWH @	98.24 =	92,387,376			
3	Subtotal	4,573,113				4,573,113						
4	Non-Winter - Standard											
5	Secondary											
6	0-1000 KWH	12,064,246	MWH @	68.30 =	823,979,575	12,064,246	MWH @	73.72 =	889,376,234			
7	over 1000 KWH	5,116,434	MWH @	77.30 =	395,508,014	5,116,434	MWH @	81.08 =	414,840,460			
8	Subtotal	17,180,680				17,180,680						
9												
10	Time-of-Use											
11	Secondary											
12	On-Peak	347	MWH @	91.38 =	31,679	347	MWH @	106.37 =	36,875			
13	Off-Peak	2,345	MWH @	75.84 =	177,871	2,325	MWH @	78.79 =	183,169			
14	Discount	732	MWH @	43.45 =	31,801	752	MWH @	47.80 =	35,968			
15	Subtotal	3,424				3,424						
16												
17	TOTAL	21,757,217	MWH	73.21	1,592,867,336	21,757,217	MWH	78.22	1,701,860,554			
18												
19	Adjustments											
20	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0	45,956,745				45,956,745						
21	SoBRA Cost Offset	3,063,783				3,063,783						
22	Make Ready Credit Program	359,389				359,389						
23	Minimum Bill	12,642,725				12,642,725						
24	EV Off-Peak Credit	5,167	Bills @	(120.00)	(620,029)	6,889	Bills @	(90.00)	(620,029)			
25	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment	(1,008,387)				(1,008,387)						
26	Total RS-1 Base Revenue	1,927,559,030				2,055,065,731				6.61%		
27												
28						Increase/ (Decrease) - \$				127,506,701		
29												
30						Target RS-1 Increase				\$ 127,508,130 MJC-2 6.62%		
31												
32						Difference from Target				\$ (1,429)		
Supporting Schedules:									Recap Schedules:			

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 42 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 39

MJC Exhibit No. 3

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS - CALENDAR YEAR DETERMINANTS

Page 2 of 13

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>GS-1</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation			Proposed Revenue Calculation			Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		
	GS-1	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25			
1									
2	Customer Charge:								
3	Standard								
4	Unmetered	5,538	Bills @ 9.05 =	50,120	5,538	Bills @ 9.90 =	54,828		
5	Secondary	1,547,565	Bills @ 16.02 =	24,791,991	1,547,565	Bills @ 17.23 =	26,664,544		
6	Primary	1,592	Bills @ 202.59 =	322,476	1,592	Bills @ 217.89 =	346,830		
7	Transmission	-	Bills @ 999.30 =	-	-	Bills @ 1,074.76 =	-		
8	Time-of-Use								
9	Secondary	15,407	Bills @ 16.02 =	246,828	15,407	Bills @ 17.23 =	265,471		
10	Primary	203	Bills @ 202.59 =	41,146	203	Bills @ 217.89 =	44,254		
11	Transmission	23	Bills @ 999.30 =	23,306	23	Bills @ 1,074.76 =	25,066		
12	TOTAL	1,570,329	Bills	25,475,867	1,570,329	Bills	27,400,993		
13									
14	Energy Charge:								
15	Standard								
16	Secondary	2,052,030	MWH @ 73.32 =	150,454,833	2,052,030	MWH @ 79.37 =	162,869,614		
17	Primary	15,311	MWH @ 73.32 =	1,122,585	15,311	MWH @ 79.37 =	1,215,215		
18	Transmission	-	MWH @ 73.32 =	-	-	MWH @ 79.37 =	-		
19	Time-of-Use								
20	Secondary								
21	On-Peak	15,316	MWH @ 92.10 =	1,410,581	15,316	MWH @ 114.71 =	1,756,870		
22	Off-Peak	85,468	MWH @ 85.78 =	7,331,429	82,569	MWH @ 85.78 =	7,082,749		
23	Discount	23,992	MWH @ 48.06 =	1,153,071	26,891	MWH @ 56.16 =	1,510,219		
24	Primary								
25	On-Peak	1,261	MWH @ 92.10 =	116,142	1,261	MWH @ 114.71 =	144,654		
26	Off-Peak	9,012	MWH @ 85.78 =	773,083	8,743	MWH @ 85.78 =	749,994		
27	Discount	1,312	MWH @ 48.06 =	63,040	1,581	MWH @ 56.16 =	88,781		
28	Transmission								
29	On-Peak	233	MWH @ 92.10 =	21,418	233	MWH @ 114.71 =	26,676		
30	Off-Peak	1,981	MWH @ 85.78 =	169,901	1,906	MWH @ 85.78 =	163,488		
31	Discount	1,004	MWH @ 48.06 =	48,266	1,079	MWH @ 56.16 =	60,599		
32	TOTAL	2,206,919	MWH	162,664,348	2,206,919	MWH	175,668,859		
33	Adjustments								
34	Distribution Primary Metering	2,074,850	X 1% =	(20,749)	2,198,644	X 1% =	(21,986)		
35	Transmission Metering	239,584	X 2% =	(4,792)	250,763	X 2% =	(5,015)		
36	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0			4,006,909			4,006,909		
37	SoBRA Cost Offset			267,127			267,127		
38	Minimum Bill			4,005,506			4,005,506		
39	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment			(103,061)			(103,061)		
39	TOTAL			8,150,941			8,149,479		
40									
41	Total GS-1 Base Revenue			196,291,156			211,219,331	7.61%	
42									
43					Increase/ (Decrease) - \$		14,928,175		
44									
45					Target GS-1 Increase	\$	14,944,097	MJC-2	7.61%
46									
47					Difference from Target	\$	(15,922)		
48									

Supporting Schedules:

Recap Schedules:

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>GS-2</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation			
		Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue
	GS-2	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25			
1									
2	Customer Charge:								
3	Standard								
4	Unmetered	10,136	Bills @	9.33 =	94,569	10,136	Bills @	10.04 =	101,765
5	Secondary	167,425	Bills @	16.51 =	2,764,182	167,425	Bills @	17.84 =	2,986,857
6	TOTAL	177,561	Bills		2,858,751	177,561			3,088,622
7									
8	Energy Charge:								
9	Standard								
10	Secondary	209,239	MWH @	28.27 =	5,915,183	209,239	MWH @	30.47 =	6,375,508
11									
12	Adjustments								
13									
14	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0				280,686				280,686
15	SoBRA Cost Offset				18,712				18,712
16	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment				(4,707)				(4,707)
17	Total GS-2 Base Revenue				9,068,625				9,758,822
18									
19						Increase/ (Decrease) - \$			690,197
20									
21						Target GS-2 Increase		\$	690,454
22								MJC-2	7.61%
23						Difference from Target		\$	(257)
24									
25									
Supporting Schedules:					Recap Schedules:				

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 44 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 41

MJC Exhibit No. 3

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS - CALENDAR YEAR DETERMINANTS

Page 4 of 13

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>GSD</u>										
Line No.	Type of Charges GSD	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation				Percent Increase
		Units Jan '25-Dec '25	Charge/Unit 1/1/25		\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit 1/1/25		\$ Revenue	
1	Customer Charge:									
2	Standard									
3	Secondary	398,622	Bills @	16.51 =	6,581,253	398,622	Bills @	17.75 =	7,075,544	
4	Primary	1,573	Bills @	208.75 =	328,337	1,573	Bills @	224.39 =	352,937	
5	Transmission	37	Bills @	1,029.65 =	38,461	37	Bills @	1,106.80 =	41,343	
6	Time-of-Use									
7	Secondary	180,561	Bills @	16.51 =	2,981,067	180,561	Bills @	17.75 =	3,204,963	
8	Primary	2,931	Bills @	208.75 =	611,786	2,931	Bills @	224.39 =	657,622	
9	Transmission	21	Bills @	1,029.65 =	21,829	21	Bills @	1,106.80 =	23,465	
10	TOTAL	583,746	Bills		10,562,733	583,746	Bills		11,355,874	
11										
12	Demand Charge:									
13	Standard w/ DVC									
14	Secondary	10,781,939	kW @	7.00 =	75,473,572	10,781,939	kW @	7.73 =	83,344,387	
15	Primary	273,389	kW @	5.69 =	1,555,585	273,389	kW @	6.55 =	1,790,700	
16	Transmission < 230 kV	391	kW @	1.58 =	617	391	kW @	2.17 =	848	
17	Transmission ≥ 230 kV	-	kW @	(0.50) =	-	-	kW @	- =	-	
18	Time-of-Use									
19	Secondary									
20	On-Peak	15,628,293	kW @	1.27 =	19,847,932	15,628,293	kW @	2.12 =	33,131,981	
21	Mid-Peak	17,578,239	kW @	4.44 =	78,047,380	17,578,239	kW @	3.83 =	67,324,654	
22	Base	21,028,267	kW @	2.19 =	46,051,905	21,028,267	kW @	2.71 =	56,986,604	
23	Delivery Voltage Credit - Primary	8,663	kW @	(1.31) =	(11,349)	8,663	kW @	(1.18) =	(10,223)	
23	Primary									
24	On-Peak	3,167,287	kW @	1.27 =	4,022,455	3,167,287	kW @	2.12 =	6,714,649	
25	Mid-Peak	3,388,681	kW @	4.44 =	15,045,742	3,388,681	kW @	3.83 =	12,978,647	
26	Base	4,161,266	kW @	2.19 =	9,113,173	4,161,266	kW @	2.71 =	11,277,032	
27	Delivery Voltage Credit	3,388,681	kW @	(1.31) =	(4,439,172)	3,388,681	kW @	(1.18) =	(3,998,643)	
28	Transmission									
29	On-Peak	839,025	kW @	1.27 =	1,065,562	839,025	kW @	2.12 =	1,778,733	
30	Mid-Peak	899,009	kW @	4.44 =	3,991,600	899,009	kW @	3.83 =	3,443,204	
31	Base	984,789	kW @	2.19 =	2,156,687	984,789	kW @	2.71 =	2,668,777	
32	Delivery Voltage Credit	899,009	kW @	(5.42) =	(4,872,629)	899,009	kW @	(5.56) =	(4,998,490)	
33										
34	Premium Distrib. Charge	-	kW @	1.50 =	-	-	kW @	2.23 =	-	
35	TOTAL Billed/Base	37,230,041			247,049,061	37,230,041			272,432,861	
36										
37										
38										
39										
40										
41										
Supporting Schedules:					Recap Schedules:					

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>GSD</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges GSD	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation			
		Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue
		Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25			
1									
2	Energy Charge:								
3	Standard								
4	Secondary	3,316,364	MWH @	30.60 =	101,480,727	3,316,364	MWH @	32.44 =	107,582,836
5	Primary	81,374	MWH @	30.60 =	2,490,039	81,374	MWH @	32.44 =	2,639,767
6	Transmission	181	MWH @	30.60 =	5,529	181	MWH @	32.44 =	5,861
7	Time-of-Use								
8	Secondary								
9	On-Peak	981,585	MWH @	33.74 =	33,118,686	981,585	MWH @	38.88 =	38,164,034
10	Off-Peak	5,498,851	MWH @	27.77 =	152,703,092	5,320,756	MWH @	28.80 =	153,237,782
11	Discount	1,184,846	MWH @	16.69 =	19,775,079	1,362,941	MWH @	19.52 =	26,604,601
12	Primary								
13	On-Peak	211,976	MWH @	33.74 =	7,152,074	211,976	MWH @	38.88 =	8,241,631
14	Off-Peak	1,179,693	MWH @	27.77 =	32,760,070	1,140,592	MWH @	28.80 =	32,849,046
15	Discount	291,255	MWH @	16.69 =	4,861,049	330,356	MWH @	19.52 =	6,448,552
16	Transmission								
17	On-Peak	55,606	MWH @	33.74 =	1,876,141	55,606	MWH @	38.88 =	2,161,956
18	Off-Peak	340,488	MWH @	27.77 =	9,455,344	329,205	MWH @	28.80 =	9,481,091
19	Discount	89,540	MWH @	16.69 =	1,494,422	100,823	MWH @	19.52 =	1,968,068
20	TOTAL	13,231,758	MWH		367,172,254	13,231,758	MWH		389,385,227
21									
22	Adjustments								
23	Distribution Primary Metering	72,561,017	X	1% =	(725,610)	78,941,382	X	1%	(789,414)
24	Transmission Metering	15,173,274	X	2% =	(303,465)	16,510,049	X	2%	(330,201)
25									
26	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0				21,117,513				21,117,513
27	SoBRA Cost Offset				1,407,834				1,407,834
28	Make Ready Credit Program				492,500				492,500
29	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment				(335,774)				(335,774)
30	TOTAL				21,988,771				21,898,232
31									
32	Total GSD-1 Base Revenue				646,772,819				695,072,193
33									
34						Increase/ (Decrease) - \$			48,299,374
35									
36						Target GSD Increase	\$	49,057,155	MJC-2
37									7.58%
38						Difference from Target	\$	(757,781)	
39									
40									
41									
42									
43									

Supporting Schedules:

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 46 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 43

MJC Exhibit No. 3

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS - CALENDAR YEAR DETERMINANTS

Page 6 of 13

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>CS</u>										
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation				Percent Increase
	CS	Units		Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units		Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	
		Jan '25-Dec '25		1/1/25				1/1/25		
1										
2	Customer Charge:									
3	Standard									
4	Secondary	7	Bills @	90.57 =	606	7	Bills @	96.65 =	646	
5	Primary	32	Bills @	251.45 =	8,167	32	Bills @	268.32 =	8,715	
6	Transmission	-	Bills @	938.45 =	-	-	Bills @	1,001.40 =	-	
7	Time-of-Use									
8	Secondary	-	Bills @	90.57 =	-	-	Bills @	96.65 =	-	
9	Primary	32	Bills @	251.45 =	8,167	32	Bills @	268.32 =	8,715	
10	Transmission	-	Bills @	938.45 =	-	-	Bills @	1,001.40 =	-	
11	TOTAL	72	Bills		16,940	72	Bills		18,077	
12										
13	Demand Charge:									
14	Standard									
15	Secondary	-	kW @	11.21 =	-	-	kW @	12.06 =	-	
16	Primary	1,018	kW @	9.90 =	10,083	1,018	kW @	10.88 =	11,081	
17	Transmission < 230 kV	-	kW @	5.79 =	-	-	kW @	6.50 =	-	
18	Transmission ≥ 230 kV	-	kW @	3.71 =	-	-	kW @	4.33 =	-	
19	Time-of-Use									
20	Secondary									
21	On-Peak	-	kW @	1.33 =	-	-	kW @	2.03 =	-	
22	Mid-Peak	-	kW @	4.79 =	-	-	kW @	4.79 =	-	
23	Base	-	kW @	1.63 =	-	-	kW @	1.63 =	-	
24	Primary									
25	On-Peak	107,651	kW @	1.33 =	143,175	107,651	kW @	2.03 =	218,531	
26	Mid-Peak	107,880	kW @	4.79 =	516,744	107,880	kW @	4.79 =	516,744	
27	Base	243,588	kW @	1.63 =	397,048	243,588	kW @	1.63 =	397,048	
28	Delivery Voltage Credit	107,880	kW @	(1.31) =	(141,322)	107,880	kW @	(1.18) =	(127,298)	
29	Transmission									
30	On-Peak	-	kW @	1.33 =	-	-	kW @	2.03 =	-	
31	Mid-Peak	-	kW @	4.79 =	-	-	kW @	4.79 =	-	
32	Base	-	kW @	1.63 =	-	-	kW @	1.63 =	-	
33	Delivery Voltage Credit	-	kW @	(5.42) =	-	-	kW @	(5.56) =	-	
34	TOTAL Billed/Base	244,606	kW	TOTAL	925,728	244,606	kW	TOTAL	1,016,106	
35										
36										
37										
38										
39										
40										
41										
Supporting Schedules:					Recap Schedules:					

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 47 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 44

MJC Exhibit No. 3

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS - CALENDAR YEAR DETERMINANTS

Page 7 of 13

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>CS</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges CS	Present Revenue Calculation			Proposed Revenue Calculation			Percent Increase	
		Units Jan '25-Dec '25	Charge/Unit 1/1/25	\$ Revenue	Units 1/1/25	Charge/Unit 1/1/25	\$ Revenue		
1									
2	Energy Charge:								
3	Standard								
4	Secondary	0	MWH @ 20.44 =	2	0	MWH @ 21.99 =	2		
5	Primary	(2)	MWH @ 20.44 =	(36)	(2)	MWH @ 21.99 =	(39)		
6	Transmission	-	MWH @ 20.44 =	-	-	MWH @ 21.99 =	-		
7	Time-of-Use								
8	Secondary								
9	On-Peak	-	MWH @ 18.80 =	-	-	MWH @ 22.42 =	-		
10	Off-Peak	-	MWH @ 16.28 =	-	-	MWH @ 16.61 =	-		
11	Discount	-	MWH @ 10.29 =	-	-	MWH @ 12.52 =	-		
12	Primary								
13	On-Peak	8,870	MWH @ 18.80 =	166,765	8,870	MWH @ 22.42 =	198,876		
14	Off-Peak	46,308	MWH @ 16.28 =	753,899	44,755	MWH @ 16.61 =	743,382		
15	Discount	11,319	MWH @ 10.29 =	116,470	12,872	MWH @ 12.52 =	161,156		
16	Transmission								
17	On-Peak	-	MWH @ 18.80 =	-	-	MWH @ 22.42 =	-		
18	Off-Peak	-	MWH @ 16.28 =	-	-	MWH @ 16.61 =	-		
19	Discount	-	MWH @ 10.29 =	-	-	MWH @ 12.52 =	-		
20	TOTAL	66,496	MWH	1,037,099	66,496	MWH	1,103,377		
21									
22	Adjustments								
23									
24	Distribution Primary Metering	1,962,824	X 1% =	(19,628)	2,119,481	X 1% =	(21,195)		
25	Transmission Metering	-	X 2% =	-	-	X 2% =	-		
26									
27	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0			87,058			87,058		
28	SoBRA Cost Offset			5,804			5,804		
29	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment			(1,068)			(1,068)		
30	TOTAL			72,165			70,599		
31									
32	Total CS-2, CS-3 Base Revenue			2,051,932			2,208,158	7.61%	
33									
34					Increase/ (Decrease) - \$		156,226		
35									
36					Target CS Increase		156,227	7.61%	
37									
38					Difference from Target	\$	(1)		
39									
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
Supporting Schedules:				Recap Schedules:					

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 48 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 45

MJC Exhibit No. 3

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS - CALENDAR YEAR DETERMINANTS

Page 8 of 13

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>IS</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges IS	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation			
		Units	Charge/Unit		\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit		Percent Increase
		Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25			
1	Customer Charge:								
2	Standard								
3	Secondary	110	Bills @	332.54 =	36,656	110	Bills @	353.92 =	39,013
4	Primary	208	Bills @	493.43 =	102,841	208	Bills @	525.15 =	109,452
5	Transmission	-	Bills @	1,180.47 =	-	-	Bills @	1,256.36 =	-
6	Time-of-Use								
7	Secondary	708	Bills @	332.54 =	235,339	708	Bills @	353.92 =	250,469
8	Primary	633	Bills @	493.43 =	312,178	633	Bills @	525.15 =	332,246
9	Transmission	90	Bills @	1,180.47 =	106,068	90	Bills @	1,256.36 =	112,887
10	TOTAL	1,749	Bills		793,081	1,749	Bills		844,067
11									
12	Demand Charge:								
13	Standard								
14	Secondary	67,761	kW @	9.31 =	630,854	67,761	kW @	10.05 =	680,997
15	Primary	348,738	kW @	8.00 =	2,789,907	348,738	kW @	8.87 =	3,093,309
16	Transmission < 230 kV	-	kW @	3.89 =	-	-	kW @	4.49 =	-
17	Transmission ≥ 230 kV	-	kW @	1.81 =	-	-	kW @	2.32 =	-
18	Time-of-Use								
19	Secondary								
20	On-Peak	612,715	kW @	1.33 =	814,910	612,715	kW @	1.89 =	1,158,031
21	Mid-Peak	639,074	kW @	4.79 =	3,061,166	639,074	kW @	4.79 =	3,061,166
22	Base	750,204	kW @	1.63 =	1,222,833	750,204	kW @	1.63 =	1,222,833
23	Primary								
24	On-Peak	2,452,243	kW @	1.33 =	3,261,483	2,452,243	kW @	1.89 =	4,634,739
25	Mid-Peak	2,656,251	kW @	4.79 =	12,723,442	2,656,251	kW @	4.79 =	12,723,442
26	Base	3,427,677	kW @	1.63 =	5,587,113	3,427,677	kW @	1.63 =	5,587,113
27	Delivery Voltage Credit - Primary	2,056,407	kW @	(1.31) =	(2,693,893)	2,056,407	kW @	(1.18) =	(2,426,560)
28	Delivery Voltage Credit Trans < 230kV	548,408	kW @	(5.42) =	(2,972,371)	548,408	kW @	(5.56) =	(3,049,148)
29	Transmission								
30	On-Peak	2,498,086	kW @	1.33 =	3,322,454	2,498,086	kW @	1.89 =	4,721,382
31	Mid-Peak	2,458,135	kW @	4.79 =	11,774,466	2,458,135	kW @	4.79 =	11,774,466
32	Base	2,967,386	kW @	1.63 =	4,836,840	2,967,386	kW @	1.63 =	4,836,840
33	Delivery Voltage Credit < 230kV	2,020,259	kW @	(5.42) =	(10,949,804)	2,020,259	kW @	(5.56) =	(11,232,640)
34	Delivery Voltage Credit ≥ 230 kV	437,876	kW @	(7.50) =	(3,284,068)	437,876	kW @	(7.73) =	(3,384,779)
35	TOTAL Billed/Base	7,561,766	kW	TOTAL	30,125,331	7,561,766	kW	TOTAL	33,401,190
36									
37									
38									
39									
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
45									

Supporting Schedules:

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 49 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 46

MJC Exhibit No. 3

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS - CALENDAR YEAR DETERMINANTS

Page 9 of 13

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>IS</u>										
Line No.	Type of Charges IS	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation				Percent Increase
		Units Jan '25-Dec '25	Charge/Unit 1/1/25		\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit 1/1/25		\$ Revenue	
1	Energy Charge:									
2	Standard									
3	Secondary	19,520	MWH @	13.54 =	264,298	19,520	MWH @	14.17 =	276,596	
4	Primary	137,753	MWH @	13.54 =	1,865,181	137,753	MWH @	14.17 =	1,951,965	
5	Transmission	-	MWH @	13.54 =	-	-	MWH @	14.17 =	-	
6	Time-of-Use									
7	Secondary									
8	On-Peak	45,571	MWH @	18.80 =	856,739	45,571	MWH @	22.18 =	1,010,770	
9	Off-Peak	252,063	MWH @	16.28 =	4,103,579	243,755	MWH @	16.43 =	4,004,893	
10	Discount	58,045	MWH @	10.29 =	597,280	66,352	MWH @	12.57 =	834,049	
11	Primary									
12	On-Peak	138,066	MWH @	18.80 =	2,595,638	138,066	MWH @	22.18 =	3,062,301	
13	Off-Peak	740,997	MWH @	16.28 =	12,063,437	715,980	MWH @	16.43 =	11,763,553	
14	Discount	192,013	MWH @	10.29 =	1,975,819	217,031	MWH @	12.57 =	2,728,077	
15	Transmission									
16	On-Peak	124,493	MWH @	18.80 =	2,340,464	124,493	MWH @	22.18 =	2,761,250	
17	Off-Peak	672,840	MWH @	16.28 =	10,953,831	649,735	MWH @	16.43 =	10,675,145	
18	Discount	191,863	MWH @	10.29 =	1,974,271	214,968	MWH @	12.57 =	2,702,146	
19	TOTAL	2,573,224	MWH		39,590,538	2,573,224	MWH		41,770,746	
20										
21	Adjustments									
22	Distribution Primary Metering	37,195,757	X	1% =	(371,958)	40,068,792	X	1% =	(400,688)	
23	Transmission Metering	20,968,454	X	2% =	(419,369)	22,853,809	X	2% =	(457,076)	
24										
25	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0				3,426,774				3,426,774	
26	SoBRA Cost Offset				228,452				228,452	
27	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment				(38,461)				(38,461)	
28	TOTAL				2,825,438				2,759,001	
29										
30	Total IS-2 Base Revenue				73,334,389				78,775,003	7.42%
31										
32										
33										
34										
35										
36										
37										
38										
39										
40										
41										
42										
43										
44										
45										
46										

Supporting Schedules:

Recap Schedules:

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>LS</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges LS	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation			
		Units Jan '25-Dec '25	Charge/Unit 1/1/25		\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit 1/1/25		\$ Revenue
1	Customer Charge:								
2	Standard								
3	Unmetered	758,680	Bills @	1.70 =	1,289,756	758,680	Bills @	1.85 =	1,403,558
4	Secondary	12,802	Bills @	4.85 =	62,088	12,802	Bills @	5.24 =	67,081
5	TOTAL	771,481	Bills		1,351,844	771,481	Bills		1,470,638
6									
7	Energy & Demand Charge:								
8	Standard								
9	Secondary	332,749	MWH @	29.38 =	9,776,154	332,749	MWH @	31.61 =	10,518,183
10									
11	Adjustments								
12									
13	CEC Subscription Revenue 1.0				174,116				174,116
14	SoBRA Cost Offset				11,608				11,608
15	Settlement Sales Forecast Adjustment				(5,970)				(5,970)
16	Total LS-1 Base Revenue				11,307,751				12,168,575
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
29									
30									
31									
32									
33									
34									
35									
36									
37									
38									
39									
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
45									
46									
Supporting Schedules:					Recap Schedules:				

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 51 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 48

MJC Exhibit No. 3

BASE REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - CALCULATIONS - CALENDAR YEAR DETERMINANTS

Page 11 of 13

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>SS-1</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation			
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	
	SS-1	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25			1/1/25			Percent Increase
1									
2	Customer Charge:								
3	Primary	51	Bills @	335.69 =	16,992	51	Bills @	353.82 =	17,909
4	Transmission	-	Bills @	1,156.59 =	-	-	Bills @	1,219.05 =	-
5	Pri/Transm (Cust. Owned - CIAC)	55	Bills @	115.66 =	6,407	55	Bills @	117.04 =	6,483
6	Total	106	Bills		23,398	106	Bills		24,392
7									
8	Demand Charge:								
9	Distribution Charge								
10	Primary	198,984	kW @	2.73 =	543,225	198,984	kW @	2.93 =	583,022
11	Transmission	58,190	kW @	- =	-	58,190	kW @	- =	-
12									
13	(Greater of SB Cap or DD)								
14	Primary								
15	Specified SB Cap	205,707	kW @	1.530 =	314,731	205,707	kW @	1.559 =	320,696
16	Daily Demand	1,973,389	kW @	0.729 =	1,438,601	1,973,389	kW @	0.742 =	1,464,255
17	Transmission								
18	Specified SB Cap	50,933	kW @	1.530 =	77,927	50,933	kW @	1.559 =	79,404
19	Daily Demand	706,010	kW @	0.729 =	514,681	706,010	kW @	0.742 =	523,860
20	Total				2,889,165				2,971,237
21									
22	Energy Charge:								
23	Standard								
24	Primary	58,531	MWH @	13.54 =	792,514	58,531	MWH @	13.70 =	801,879
25	Transmission	5,595	MWH @	13.54 =	75,753	5,595	MWH @	13.70 =	76,649
26	Total	64,126	MWH		868,267	64,126	MWH		878,527
27	Adjustments								
28	Delivery Voltage Credit	198,984	kW @	(1.31)	(260,668)	198,984	kW @	(1.18)	(234,801)
29	Distribution Primary Metering	3,106,979	X	1% =	(31,070)	2,935,051	X	1% =	(29,351)
29	Premium Distribution Charge	198,984	X	1.40 =	278,577	198,984	X	2.23 =	443,733
30	Transmission Metering	668,362	X	2% =	(13,367)	679,912	X	2% =	(13,598)
31	Total				(26,529)				165,984
32									
33	Total SS-1 Base Revenue				3,754,302				4,040,140
34									7.61%
35						Increase/ (Decrease) - \$		285,838	
36									
37						Target SS-1 Increase		285,840	7.61%
38									
39						Difference from Target	\$	(1)	
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
45									
Supporting Schedules:					Recap Schedules:				

Rate Schedule SS-2											
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation				Proposed Revenue Calculation				Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue			
	SS-2	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25				1/1/25				
1											
2	Customer Charge:										
3	Primary	21	Bills @	522.96 =	11,224	21	Bills @	560.27 =	12,025		
4	Transmission	10	Bills @	1,209.99 =	11,903	10	Bills @	1,296.31 =	12,752		
5	Total	31	Bills		23,127	31	Bills		24,776		
6											
7	Demand Charge:										
8	Distribution Charge										
9	Primary	29,912	kW @	2.72 =	81,360	29,912	kW @	2.93 =	87,641		
10	Transmission	155,066	kW @	- =	-	155,066	kW @	- =	-		
11											
12	Generation & Transm										
13	(Greater of SB Cap/DD)										
14	Primary										
15	Specified SB Cap	13,273	kW @	1.527 =	20,268	13,273	kW @	1.640 =	21,767		
16	Daily Demand	1,983,871	kW @	0.728 =	1,444,258	1,983,871	kW @	0.781 =	1,549,403		
17	Transmission										
18	Specified SB Cap	2,142	kW @	1.527 =	3,271	2,142	kW @	1.640 =	3,513		
19	Daily Demand	179,266	kW @	0.728 =	130,506	179,266	kW @	0.781 =	140,007		
20	Total				1,679,662				1,802,332		
21											
22	Energy Charge:										
23	Standard										
24	Primary	53,291	MWH @	13.37 =	712,504	53,291	MWH @	14.36 =	765,262		
25	Sub-Transmission	2,236	MWH @	13.37 =	29,891	2,236	MWH @	14.36 =	32,105		
26	Total	55,527	MWH		742,395	55,527	MWH		797,367		
27	Adjustments										
28	Delivery Voltage Credit	29,912	kW @	(1.31)	(39,184)	29,912	kW @	(1.18)	(35,296)		
29	Distribution Primary Metering	2,219,205	X	1% =	(22,192)	2,388,778	X	1% =	(23,888)		
30	Transmission Metering	163,668	X	2% =	(3,273)	175,625	X	2% =	(3,512)		
31	Total				(64,650)				(62,696)		
32											
33	Total SS-2 Base Revenue				2,380,534				2,561,779		7.61%
34											
35											
36											
37											
38											
39											
40											
41											
42											
43											
Supporting Schedules:						Recap Schedules:					

EXPLANATION: This exhibit calculates the class revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year using calendar year billing determinants, to account for the change in unbilled MWH. The target increase for each class comes from MJC Exhibit No. 2. The derived rates are then used in MFR E-13c to reflect the revenues using Billed Determinants.

Rate Schedule <u>SS-3</u>									
Line No.	Type of Charges	Present Revenue Calculation			Proposed Revenue Calculation			Percent Increase	
		Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue	Units	Charge/Unit	\$ Revenue		
	SS-3	Jan '25-Dec '25	1/1/25		1/1/25				
1									
2	Customer Charge:								
3	Primary	-	Bills @	280.95	-	Bills @	302.34	-	
4	Primary (Customer Owned)	-	Bills @	96.80 =	-	Bills @	117.04 =	-	
5	Transmission	-	Bills @	968.00 =	-	Bills @	1,041.70 =	-	
6	Total	-	Bills	-	-	Bills	-	-	
7									
8	Demand Charge:								
9	Distribution Charge								
10	Primary	-	kW @	2.72 =	-	kW @	2.93 =	-	
11	Transmission	-	kW @	- =	-	kW @	- =	-	
12	Generation & Transm								
13	(Greater of SB Cap/DD)								
14	Primary	-	kW @	1.527 =	-	kW @	1.640 =	-	
15	Specified SB Cap	-	kW @	0.728 =	-	kW @	0.781 =	-	
16	Daily Demand	-	kW @	0.728 =	-	kW @	0.781 =	-	
17	Transmission	-	kW @	1.527 =	-	kW @	1.640 =	-	
18	Specified SB Cap	-	kW @	0.728 =	-	kW @	0.781 =	-	
19	Daily Demand	-	kW @	0.728 =	-	kW @	0.781 =	-	
20	Total		kW	-		kW	-	-	
21									
22	Energy Charge:								
23	Standard								
24	Primary	-	MWH @	13.43 =	-	MWH @	14.45 =	-	
25	Transmission	-	MWH @	13.43 =	-	MWH @	14.45 =	-	
26	Total	-	MWH	-	-	MWH	-	-	
27	Adjustments:								
28	Delivery Voltage Credit	-	kW @	(1.31)	-	kW @	(1.18)	-	
29	Distribution Primary Metering	-	X	1% =	-	X	1% =	-	
30	Transmission Metering	-	X	2% =	-	X	2% =	-	
31	Total			-			-	-	
32									
33	Total SS-3 Base Revenue			-			-		#DIV/0!
34									
35					Increase/ (Decrease) - \$		-		
36									
37					Target SS-3 Increase		-		#DIV/0!
38									
39					Difference from Target	\$	-		
40									
41									
42									
43									
44									
45									
Supporting Schedules:					Recap Schedules:				

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 54 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 51

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 1 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI	EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule. Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.	Type of Data Shown: __X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25 Witness: Chatelain
--	---	---

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1												
				Present Rates				Proposed Rates				Percent Increase
				\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Line No.	Type of Facility (1)	Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	Facility Charge (4)	Maint. Charge (5)	Non-Fuel Energy (6)	Total Revenue (7)	Facility Charge (8)	Maint. Charge (9)	Non-Fuel Energy (10)	Total Revenue (11)	
<u>Incandescent (Closed to new installs)</u>												
1	110 Roadway	1,000 L	5	32	1.02	4.70	0.94	704	1.68	7.51	1.01	939 33.38%
<u>Mercury Vapor (Closed to new installs)</u>												
2	205 Open Bottom	4,000 L	395	44	2.38	1.80	1.29	20,494	2.90	2.83	1.39	27,894 36.11%
3	210 Roadway	4,000 L	6	44	3.06	1.80	1.29	1,031	3.38	2.83	1.39	1,181 14.55%
4	215 Post Top	4,000 L	16	44	3.60	1.80	1.29	1,718	6.87	2.83	1.39	2,596 51.13%
5	220 Roadway	8,000 L	1,329	71	3.10	1.77	2.09	79,447	3.24	2.81	2.24	98,394 23.85%
6	225 Open Bottom	8,000 L	178	71	2.45	1.77	2.09	10,795	3.03	2.81	2.24	14,383 33.24%
7	235 Roadway	21,000 L	462	158	3.75	1.79	4.64	39,511	4.11	2.82	4.99	47,881 21.18%
8	245 Flood	21,000 L	52	158	4.92	1.79	4.64	12,984	5.96	2.82	4.99	14,940 15.06%
9	250 Flood	62,000 L	10	386	5.77	2.07	11.34	53,468	5.96	3.08	12.20	57,595 7.72%
<u>High Pressure Sodium Vapor (Closed to new installs)</u>												
10	300 HPS Deco Rdwy White	50,000 L	2	168	10.50	1.87	4.94	10,256	10.81	2.89	5.31	11,034 7.58%
11	301 Sandpiper HPS Deco Roadway	27,500 L	625	104	13.61	1.85	3.06	119,769	13.86	2.87	3.29	129,581 8.19%
12	302 9500L HPS Bronze Champion	9,500 L	215	42	13.16	1.84	1.23	39,320	13.28	2.87	1.33	42,337 7.67%
13	305 Open Bottom	4,000 L	2,284	21	2.49	1.86	0.62	119,381	2.92	2.87	0.66	158,859 33.07%
14	306 100W HPS DECO RDWY BLK	9,500 L	21	42	10.19	1.84	1.23	3,651	10.43	2.85	1.33	4,017 10.01%
15	310 Roadway	4,000 L	14,417	21	3.06	1.86	0.62	851,336	3.40	2.87	0.66	1,084,901 27.44%
16	313 Open Bottom	6,500 L	69	29	4.11	1.84	0.85	5,222	4.36	2.89	0.92	6,323 21.08%
17	314 Hometown II	9,500 L	2,393	42	3.83	1.84	1.23	163,440	4.15	2.87	1.33	202,257 23.75%
18	315 Post Top - Colonial/Contemp	4,000 L	16,088	21	4.95	1.86	0.62	1,314,868	5.54	2.87	0.66	1,623,767 23.49%
19	316 Colonial Post Top	4,000 L	91	34	3.97	1.86	1.00	6,774	5.04	2.89	1.07	9,096 34.27%
20	318 Post Top	9,500 L	319	42	2.45	1.84	1.23	17,042	2.70	2.87	1.33	21,992 29.05%
21	320 Roadway-Overhead Only	9,500 L	61,213	42	4.04	1.84	1.23	4,319,809	4.15	2.87	1.33	5,157,253 19.39%
22	321 Deco Post Top - Monticello	9,500 L	5,791	49	12.59	1.84	1.44	1,003,616	12.79	2.87	1.55	1,089,156 8.52%
23	322 Deco Post Top - Flagler	9,500 L	3,259	49	15.53	1.84	1.44	680,153	15.92	2.87	1.55	735,751 8.17%
24	323 Roadway-Turtle OH Only	9,500 L	29	42	4.84	1.84	1.23	2,945	4.97	2.87	1.33	3,399 15.42%
25	325 Roadway-Overhead Only	16,000 L	14,375	65	4.57	1.85	1.91	1,108,940	4.73	2.92	2.05	1,321,224 19.14%
26	326 Deco Post Top - Sanibel	9,500 L	1,510	49	18.69	1.84	1.44	372,850	18.92	2.89	1.55	396,109 6.24%
27	330 Roadway-Overhead Only	22,000 L	3,144	87	3.40	1.85	2.56	200,745	4.36	2.90	2.75	276,776 37.87%
28	335 Roadway	27,500 L	9,805	104	5.68	1.85	3.06	889,799	5.84	2.89	3.29	1,031,278 15.90%
29	336 Roadway-Bridge	27,500 L	107	104	6.28	1.85	3.06	14,258	6.40	2.89	3.29	16,034 12.46%
30	337 Roadway-DOT	27,500 L	40	104	5.47	1.85	3.06	7,332	5.61	1.94	3.29	7,730 5.42%
31	338 Deco Roadway-Maitland	27,500 L	569	104	9.65	1.85	3.06	82,341	9.99	2.89	3.29	92,051 11.79%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 55 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 52

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 2 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.	Type of Data Shown:
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC	Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.	☐ X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI		Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1														
Line No.		Type of Facility (1)		Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	Present Rates				Proposed Rates				Percent Increase (12)
						\$ Facility Charge (4)	\$ Maint. Charge (5)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (6)	\$ Total Revenue (7)	\$ Facility Charge (8)	\$ Maint. Charge (9)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (10)	\$ Total Revenue (11)	
32	340	Roadway-Overhead Only	50,000 L	4,917	169	5.79	1.87	4.97	462,050	6.00	1.94	5.34	479,321	3.74%
33	342	Roadway-Turnpike	50,000 L	220	168	8.33	1.87	4.94	36,887	8.57	1.94	5.31	38,451	4.24%
34	343	Roadway-Turnpike	27,500 L	275	108	8.50	1.85	3.17	38,263	8.51	2.89	3.41	42,039	9.87%
35	345	Flood-Overhead Only	27,500 L	4,376	103	5.18	1.85	3.03	372,904	5.32	2.89	3.26	435,153	16.69%
36	347	Clermont	9,500 L	1,040	49	20.49	1.84	1.44	279,525	20.88	2.89	1.55	297,561	6.45%
37	348	Clermont	27,500 L	526	104	21.51	1.85	3.06	151,267	21.99	2.89	3.29	161,148	6.53%
38	350	Flood-Overhead Only	50,000 L	8,710	170	5.36	1.87	4.99	765,859	5.50	1.94	5.37	788,584	2.97%
39	351	Underground Roadway	9,500 L	2,247	42	5.68	1.84	1.23	203,389	6.01	2.89	1.33	240,650	18.32%
40	352	Underground Roadway	16,000 L	934	65	6.21	1.85	1.91	91,826	6.30	2.87	2.05	104,376	13.67%
41	354	Underground Roadway	27,500 L	1,779	108	7.33	1.85	3.17	200,083	7.51	2.89	3.41	226,439	13.17%
42	356	Underground Roadway	50,000 L	400	168	7.44	1.87	4.94	54,647	7.96	1.94	5.31	58,225	6.55%
43	357	Underground Flood	27,500 L	45	108	8.83	1.85	3.17	9,876	9.08	2.89	3.41	10,883	10.20%
44	358	Underground Flood	50,000 L	37	168	9.01	1.87	4.94	14,790	9.33	1.94	5.31	15,709	6.21%
45	359	Underground Turtle Roadway	9,500 L	1	42	6.59	1.84	1.23	721	6.66	2.89	1.33	785	8.85%
46	360	Deco Roadway Rectangular	9,500 L	157	47	11.93	1.84	1.38	26,721	12.00	2.89	1.49	28,893	8.13%
47	365	Deco Roadway Rectangular	27,500 L	1,820	108	11.39	1.85	3.17	293,270	12.00	2.89	3.41	329,617	12.39%
48	366	Deco Roadway Rectangular	50,000 L	1,082	168	11.39	1.87	4.94	182,127	12.00	1.94	5.31	191,702	5.26%
49	370	Deco Roadway Round	27,500 L	300	108	16.48	1.85	3.17	70,096	16.70	2.89	3.41	74,943	6.91%
50	375	Deco Roadway Round	50,000 L	243	168	16.48	1.87	4.94	63,468	16.70	1.94	5.31	65,059	2.51%
51	380	Deco Post Top – Ocala	9,500 L	29,401	49	10.42	1.84	1.44	4,326,322	10.83	2.89	1.55	4,841,492	11.91%
52	383	Deco Post Top-Biscayne	9,500 L	3,391	49	13.21	1.84	1.44	613,261	13.85	2.89	1.55	682,095	11.22%
53	385	Deco Post Top – Sebring	9,500 L	8,150	49	6.67	1.84	1.44	833,125	6.91	2.89	1.55	959,351	15.15%
54	392	Deco Post Top	27,500 L	13	104	10.85	1.87	3.06	5,803	11.14	2.89	3.29	6,295	8.47%
55	393	Deco Post Top	4,000 L	1	21	8.13	1.86	0.62	276	8.62	2.89	0.66	304	10.26%
<u>Metal Halide</u>														
56	175	MH DR 3500	3,500 L	3	126	4.17	4.76	3.70	5,916	5.37	4.76	3.98	365	-93.84%
57	307	DEC Post Top-MH Sanibel P	11,600 L	135	65	15.20	3.14	1.91	31,201	15.27	4.76	2.05	32,449	4.00%
58	308	Clermont Tear Drop P	11,600 L	90	65	18.20	3.14	1.91	24,537	18.22	4.76	2.05	24,818	1.15%
59	309	MH Deco Rectangular P	36,000 L	413	126	11.48	2.82	3.70	76,465	12.65	4.37	3.98	84,351	10.31%
60	311	MH Deco Cube P	36,000 L	65	126	14.34	2.82	3.70	18,979	14.48	4.37	3.98	14,703	-22.53%
61	312	MH Flood P	36,000 L	221	126	9.00	2.82	3.70	36,941	9.16	4.37	3.98	35,882	-2.87%
62	319	MH Post Top Biscayne P	11,600 L	73	65	13.61	3.14	1.91	16,163	14.03	4.76	2.05	16,460	1.84%
63	327	Deco Post Top-MH Sanibel	12,000 L	987	74	19.23	3.14	2.17	266,877	19.58	4.76	2.34	288,283	8.02%
64	332	150w DBL MH P Captiva	11,600 L	5	130	34.80	4.76	3.82	8,333	35.64	4.76	4.11	2,424	-70.91%
65	333	150w MH Flagler P	11,600 L	6	65	13.30	4.76	1.91	2,790	13.46	4.76	2.05	1,312	-52.98%
66	349	Clermont Tear Drop	12,000 L	202	74	22.02	3.14	2.17	62,915	22.90	4.76	2.34	67,048	6.57%
67	371	MH Deco Rectangular	38,000 L	1,290	159	15.46	2.82	4.67	291,885	15.55	4.37	5.03	308,362	5.64%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 56 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 53

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 3 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.	Type of Data Shown:
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC	Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.	☒ X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI		Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1														
Line No.	Type of Facility (1)		Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	Present Rates				Proposed Rates				Percent Increase (12)	
					\$ Facility Charge (4)	\$ Maint. Charge (5)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (6)	\$ Total Revenue (7)	\$ Facility Charge (8)	\$ Maint. Charge (9)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (10)	\$ Total Revenue (11)		
68	372	MH Deco Circular	38,000 L	77	159	17.40	2.82	4.67	27,594	17.54	4.37	5.03	20,245	-26.63%
69	373	MH Deco Rectangular	110,000 L	179	378	15.42	3.20	11.11	90,391	16.31	5.09	11.95	45,967	-49.15%
70	386	MH Flood	110,000 L	975	378	12.96	3.20	11.11	239,467	13.05	5.09	11.95	212,238	-11.37%
71	389	MH Flood-Sportslighter	110,000 L	146	378	12.97	3.20	11.11	78,725	13.08	5.09	11.95	31,834	-59.56%
72	390	MH Deco Cube	38,000 L	1,143	159	17.27	2.82	4.67	284,465	17.45	4.37	5.03	299,283	5.21%
73	391	Bellalagro Metal Halide 175w Bronze Type III 120v	12,000 L	136	74	13.57	4.76	2.17	31,842	13.96	4.76	2.34	30,551	-4.05%
74	396	Deco PT MH Sanibel Dual	24,000 L	50	148	34.90	2.82	4.35	30,358	35.53	4.76	4.68	24,174	-20.37%
75	397	MH Post Top-Biscayne	12,000 L	423	74	14.74	3.14	2.17	92,686	14.84	4.76	2.34	99,490	7.34%
76	398	MH Deco Cube	110,000 L	545	378	20.14	3.20	11.11	203,039	20.50	5.09	11.95	167,359	-17.57%
77	399	MH Flood	38,000 L	902	159	11.32	2.82	4.67	161,962	11.95	4.37	5.03	176,648	9.07%
<u>Light Emitting Diode (LED)</u>														
78	104	Sanibel Black Type III 4000K	0 L	1,438	17	17.55	1.39	0.50	326,931	16.53	2.04	0.54	320,444	-1.98%
79	106	Underground Sanibel	6,354 L	7,138	25	17.55	1.39	0.73	1,622,544	16.53	2.04	0.79	1,590,632	-1.97%
80	107	Underground Traditional Open	5,500 L	5,237	17	8.10	1.39	0.50	596,492	7.22	2.04	0.54	581,935	-2.44%
81	108	Underground Traditional w/Lens	3,908 L	4,277	17	8.30	1.39	0.50	497,432	6.95	2.04	0.54	461,403	-7.24%
82	109	Underground Acorn	3,230 L	2,930	25	17.42	1.39	0.73	661,579	16.29	2.04	0.79	644,483	-2.58%
83	111	Underground Mini Bell	4,332 L	3,056	18	14.93	1.39	0.53	598,602	14.80	2.04	0.57	617,556	3.17%
84	116	V Ventus	2,889 L	83	50	18.98	1.39	1.47	21,171	18.58	2.04	1.58	20,538	-2.99%
85	117	FWT Ventus	14,403 L	230	50	18.98	1.39	1.47	57,103	18.58	2.04	1.58	56,911	-0.34%
86	118	Ventus III	13,508 L	434	80	24.09	1.39	2.35	134,956	22.70	2.04	2.53	128,846	-4.53%
87	119	Shoebox Black III	20,333 L	193	80	24.09	1.39	2.35	61,268	23.31	2.04	2.53	58,711	-4.17%
88	120	K118 3K V Multiv UF	20,333 L	1,056	18	13.54	1.39	0.53	189,307	13.48	2.04	0.57	196,669	3.89%
89	121	Shoebox Bronze III	4,861 L	1,603	75	15.42	1.39	2.20	325,337	14.42	2.04	2.37	316,625	-2.68%
90	122	Shoebox Bronze IV	21,164 L	1,757	75	15.42	1.39	2.20	356,402	14.42	2.04	2.37	347,043	-2.63%
91	123	Shoebox Bronze V	20,555 L	998	75	15.42	1.39	2.20	203,297	14.42	2.04	2.37	197,125	-3.04%
92	124	Shoebox Black III	21,803 L	987	75	15.42	1.39	2.20	201,078	14.42	2.04	2.37	194,952	-3.05%
93	126	Shoebox Black IV FWT	21,164 L	1,509	75	15.42	1.39	2.20	306,375	14.42	2.04	2.37	298,058	-2.71%
94	127	Shoebox Black V	20,555 L	1,149	75	15.42	1.39	2.20	233,756	14.42	2.04	2.37	226,950	-2.91%
95	130	Monticello 3000 Kelvin	21,803 L	345	17	17.49	1.39	0.50	78,265	16.34	2.04	0.54	76,093	-2.78%
96	131	UG Roadway	4,430 L	89	23	7.54	1.39	0.68	9,725	8.37	2.04	0.73	11,118	14.32%
97	132	UG Roadway	4,600 L	187	46	8.42	1.39	1.35	22,759	9.75	2.04	1.45	26,457	16.25%
98	133	ATBO Roadway	9,200 L	12,936	17	4.29	1.39	0.50	881,820	4.51	2.04	0.54	1,016,770	15.30%
99	134	Underground ATBO Roadway	4,521 L	2,633	17	4.29	1.39	0.50	179,567	5.71	2.04	0.54	244,869	36.37%
100	136	Roadway	4,521 L	16,423	38	5.85	1.39	1.12	1,427,341	4.97	2.04	1.20	1,381,503	-3.21%
101	137	Underground Roadway	9,233 L	3,161	38	5.85	1.39	1.12	275,138	6.08	2.04	1.20	308,008	11.95%
102	138	Roadway	9,233 L	9,031	76	8.68	1.39	2.23	1,093,340	6.70	2.04	2.40	947,171	-13.37%
103	139	Underground Roadway	18,642 L	4,323	76	8.68	1.39	2.23	524,425	7.81	2.04	2.40	510,979	-2.56%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 57 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 54

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 4 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI

EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.
Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.

Type of Data Shown:
__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1														
Line No.	Type of Facility (1)		Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	Present Rates				Proposed Rates				Percent Increase (12)	
					\$ Facility Charge (4)	\$ Maint. Charge (5)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (6)	\$ Total Revenue (7)	\$ Facility Charge (8)	\$ Maint. Charge (9)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (10)	\$ Total Revenue (11)		
104	141	Roadway	18,642 L	4,198	99	8.77	1.39	2.91	515,277	7.86	2.04	3.13	498,722	-3.21%
105	142	Underground Roadway	24,191 L	2,460	99	8.77	1.39	2.91	303,380	7.86	2.04	3.13	292,248	-3.67%
106	143	OH Black Roadway	24,191 L	293	76	8.68	1.39	2.23	37,440	6.70	2.04	2.40	30,730	-17.92%
107	144	UG Black Roadway	26,799 L	761	76	8.68	1.39	2.23	93,993	7.81	2.04	2.40	89,950	-4.30%
108	147	Roadway	26,799 L	8,883	51	5.92	1.39	1.50	780,135	5.03	2.04	1.61	753,634	-3.40%
109	148	Underground Roadway	12,642 L	4,354	51	5.92	1.39	1.50	382,851	6.13	2.04	1.61	426,866	11.50%
110	149	K118 3K V Multiv UF	12,642 L	11,018	17	13.54	1.39	0.50	1,974,087	13.07	2.04	0.54	1,997,784	1.20%
111	151	ATBS Roadway	4,946 L	23,258	17	4.07	1.39	0.50	1,523,966	3.88	2.04	0.54	1,652,248	8.42%
112	152	Area Refract OH	4,500 L	1,438	17	4.21	1.39	0.50	96,736	4.43	2.04	0.54	111,646	15.41%
113	153	Area UG	5,100 L	1,676	17	4.07	1.39	0.50	109,914	5.51	2.04	0.54	151,846	38.15%
114	154	Area Refract UG	5,400 L	105	17	4.21	1.39	0.50	7,158	5.63	2.04	0.54	9,664	35.01%
115	156	Shoebox Bronze IV FWT	5,100 L	1,283	147	23.30	1.39	4.32	387,748	20.33	2.04	4.65	344,409	-11.18%
116	157	Shoebox Bronze V	39,078 L	953	147	23.30	1.39	4.32	289,975	20.33	2.04	4.65	255,823	-11.78%
117	158	Shoebox Black IV FWT	43,317 L	509	147	23.30	1.39	4.32	158,427	20.33	2.04	4.65	136,636	-13.75%
118	159	Shoebox Black V	39,078 L	548	147	23.30	1.39	4.32	169,982	20.88	2.04	4.65	150,722	-11.33%
119	160	Monticello Black TIII 3000K	43,317 L	4,947	17	17.49	1.39	0.50	1,120,894	16.34	2.04	0.54	1,091,110	-2.66%
120	161	Roadway Black UG	4,646 L	446	99	8.77	1.39	2.91	57,833	7.86	2.04	3.13	52,985	-8.38%
121	163	Shoebox Pedestrian Bronze	31,599 L	11	17	13.66	1.39	0.50	2,089	13.20	2.04	0.54	2,012	-3.68%
122	164	Shoebox Pedestrian Black	3,130 L	276	17	13.66	1.39	0.50	49,948	13.20	2.04	0.54	50,475	1.06%
123	167	Underground Mitchell	3,130 L	2,613	19	18.24	1.39	0.56	615,646	16.98	2.04	0.60	596,391	-3.13%
124	168	Underground Mitchell w/Top Hat	5,186 L	4,130	19	18.24	1.39	0.56	972,990	16.98	2.04	0.60	942,631	-3.12%
125	169	Teardrop	4,336 L	394	52	23.60	1.39	1.53	119,107	19.75	2.04	1.64	103,023	-13.50%
126	171	Roadway Black UG Feed	8,472 L	100	17	4.45	1.39	0.50	7,110	6.62	2.04	0.54	10,392	46.16%
127	172	Roadway Black UG Feed	5,742 L	1,187	38	5.85	1.39	1.12	103,637	6.08	2.04	1.20	115,661	11.60%
128	173	Roadway Black UG Feed	12,748 L	1,497	51	5.92	1.39	1.50	132,235	6.13	2.04	1.61	146,766	10.99%
129	178	Teardrop Black	16,192 L	153	19	19.03	1.39	0.56	37,619	16.96	2.04	0.60	34,884	-7.27%
130	179	Roadway White OH	6,034 L	144	76	8.68	1.39	2.23	19,435	6.70	2.04	2.40	15,103	-22.29%
131	180	Roadway White UG	26,799 L	264	76	8.68	1.39	2.23	33,936	7.81	2.04	2.40	31,205	-8.05%
132	181	Sanibel	26,799 L	288	52	19.40	1.39	1.53	72,805	19.50	2.04	1.64	74,442	2.25%
133	182	Biscayne	10,820 L	2,456	21	15.03	1.39	0.62	484,086	15.56	2.04	0.66	518,707	7.15%
134	183	Clermont	4,655 L	403	52	23.64	1.39	1.53	122,000	21.82	2.04	1.64	115,387	-5.42%
135	184	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed	15,375 L	21,429	14	3.62	1.39	0.41	1,288,380	3.63	2.04	0.44	1,458,029	13.17%
136	185	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed	4,195 L	871	14	3.62	1.39	0.41	52,433	5.15	2.04	0.44	75,150	43.32%
137	186	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed	4,195 L	3,442	24	4.35	1.39	0.71	237,289	4.55	2.04	0.76	272,193	14.71%
138	187	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed	8,200 L	117	24	4.35	1.39	0.71	8,263	5.75	2.04	0.76	10,937	32.36%
139	191	Flood Overhead Feed	8,200 L	4,386	46	8.93	1.39	1.35	543,907	7.48	2.04	1.45	501,057	-7.88%
140	192	Flood Overhead Feed	13,729 L	2,331	91	14.47	1.39	2.67	446,552	11.81	2.04	2.88	387,412	-13.24%
141	193	Clermont	30,238 L	572	18	24.04	1.39	0.53	174,666	21.82	2.04	0.57	163,775	-6.24%
142	194	Flood Underground Feed	7,451 L	248	46	8.93	1.39	1.35	31,458	8.58	2.04	1.45	31,605	0.47%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 58 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 55

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 5 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.	Type of Data Shown:
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC	Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.	☒ X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI		Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1														
Line No.		Type of Facility (1)	Present Rates							Proposed Rates				
			Annual Billing	Monthly	\$ Facility	\$ Maint.	\$ Non-Fuel	\$ Total	\$ Facility	\$ Maint.	\$ Non-Fuel	\$ Total	Percent Increase	
			Units (2)	KWH (3)	Charge (4)	Charge (5)	Energy (6)	Revenue (7)	Charge (8)	Charge (9)	Energy (10)	Revenue (11)		
143	195	LED Flood Underground Feed	13,729 L	310	91	14.47	1.39	2.67	61,915	12.91	2.04	2.88	55,614	-10.18%
144	196	Amber Roadway Overhead	30,238 L	359	24	10.22	1.39	0.71	50,220	9.25	2.04	0.76	48,637	-3.15%
145	197	Amber Roadway Underground	4,133 L	7	24	10.22	1.39	0.71	1,180	10.35	2.04	0.76	1,041	-11.78%
146	198	Amber Roadway Overhead	4,133 L	297	38	12.45	1.39	1.12	49,836	10.66	2.04	1.20	45,263	-9.18%
147	199	Amber Roadway Underground	5,408 L	7	38	12.45	1.39	1.12	1,673	11.76	2.04	1.20	1,159	-30.72%
148	296	3K III Multiv F	5,408 L	4,822	51	5.92	1.39	1.50	423,904	5.03	2.04	1.61	409,098	-3.49%
149	297	3K III Multiv UG F	15,381 L	67	51	5.92	1.39	1.50	6,795	6.13	2.04	1.61	6,569	-3.33%
150	361	LED Roadway 1	15,381 L	185	33	15.27	1.39	0.97	37,369	7.17	2.04	1.04	20,446	-45.29%
151	362	LED Roadway 1	6,000 L	86	55	18.36	1.39	1.62	21,451	8.55	2.04	1.74	10,929	-49.05%
152	363	LED Shoebox Type 3 1	9,600 L	186	108	39.01	1.39	3.17	94,281	25.11	2.04	3.41	60,599	-35.73%
153	364	LED Shoebox Type 4 1	20,664 L	53	72	30.67	1.39	2.12	22,222	16.39	2.04	2.28	11,721	-47.25%
154	367	LED Shoebox Type 5 1	14,421 L	28	72	29.74	1.39	2.12	12,291	16.39	2.04	2.28	6,192	-49.62%
155	368	Sanibel	14,421 L	2,210	25	15.69	1.39	0.73	453,181	16.41	2.04	0.79	489,294	7.97%
156	369	Underground Biscayne	8,122 L	1,802	28	13.88	1.39	0.82	330,474	14.93	2.04	0.89	366,959	11.04%
157	103	60w LED Falcon Ridge	6,500 L	260	21	21.00	2.04	0.62	72,041	19.50	2.04	0.66	67,205	-6.71%
158	105	150w LED RW Blk T3 3	6,315 L	116	51	5.92	2.04	1.50	11,998	5.03	2.04	1.61	9,841	-17.98%
159	112	49w LED TrdClo 3000k	15,381 L	1,798	17	7.51	2.04	0.50	206,153	8.05	2.04	0.54	217,702	5.60%
160	114	421w LED Sbx Blk 3k	4,215 L	44	147	23.30	2.04	4.32	21,000	20.33	2.04	4.65	11,811	-43.76%
161	125	Flood Overhead Feed 130w Brz 3k	41,379 L	1,413	46	8.93	2.04	1.35	186,753	7.50	2.04	1.45	161,760	-13.38%
162	128	Flood Underground Feed 130w Brz 3k	16,436 L	41	46	8.93	2.04	1.35	6,142	8.61	2.04	1.45	5,240	-14.70%
163	162	284W LED ROADWAY BRONZE UG III	16,436 L	167	99	8.77	1.39	2.91	23,818	7.86	2.04	3.13	19,840	-16.70%
164	166	51W ENTERPRISE LED PT	31,599 L	187	18	16.53	2.04	0.53	41,786	13.95	2.04	0.57	35,882	-14.13%
165	174	150W LED ROADWAY GRAY 480v	4,500 L	20	51	5.92	1.39	1.50	2,672	4.97	2.04	1.61	1,682	-37.05%
166	176	216W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	16,192 L	196	76	8.68	1.39	2.23	25,718	6.77	2.04	2.40	20,721	-19.43%
167	177	284W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	26,799 L	55	99	8.77	1.39	2.91	10,163	6.83	2.04	3.13	5,854	-42.40%
168	188	Roadway OH Gray w/ Refractor	31,599 L	120	14	3.77	1.39	0.41	7,499	4.07	2.04	0.44	8,798	17.32%
169	189	Roadway UG Gray w/ Refractor	4,544 L	72	14	3.77	1.39	0.41	4,527	5.27	2.04	0.44	6,316	39.51%
170	190	220W LED SB BLK IV 3	4,544 L	125	75	15.42	2.04	2.20	28,170	14.42	2.04	2.37	24,690	-12.35%
171	200	284W LED RW BK III 3	23,061 L	560	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	76,100	6.76	2.04	3.13	59,136	-22.29%
172	201	Flood Overhead Feed 260w Brz 3k	31,599 L	649	91	16.57	2.04	2.67	147,850	11.81	2.04	2.88	107,864	-27.05%
173	202	LED Flood Underground Feed 260w Brz 3k	32,963 L	22	91	16.57	2.04	2.67	7,829	12.91	2.04	2.88	3,947	-49.59%
174	203	30W LED 3K BLK UG	32,963 L	10,615	10	6.29	2.04	0.29	1,061,110	6.86	2.04	0.32	1,133,682	6.84%
175	204	30W LED 3K BIS III	2,739 L	2,943	10	15.03	2.04	0.29	602,879	14.31	2.04	0.32	577,417	-4.22%
176	206	30W LED 3K BIS V	4,051 L	254	10	15.03	2.04	0.29	52,064	14.31	2.04	0.32	49,835	-4.28%
177	207	50W LED 3K FLOOD	4,050 L	116	17	7.85	2.04	0.50	13,869	6.54	2.04	0.54	11,943	-13.88%
178	208	50W LED 4K FLOOD	5,785 L	42	17	7.85	2.04	0.50	5,087	6.54	2.04	0.54	4,324	-14.99%
179	209	50W LED 4K SB IV BLK	5,940 L	26	17	9.38	2.04	0.50	3,665	8.56	2.04	0.54	3,307	-9.76%
180	211	50W LED 3K SB IV BLK	5,217 L	290	17	9.38	2.04	0.50	39,844	8.56	2.04	0.54	36,888	-7.42%
181	212	50W LED 4K SB IV RZ	4,933 L	6	17	9.38	2.04	0.50	924	8.56	2.04	0.54	763	-17.42%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 59 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 56

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 6 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.	Type of Data Shown:
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC	Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.	☒ X Projected Test Year End 12/31/25
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI		Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1												
Line No.	Type of Facility (1)	Present Rates						Proposed Rates				
		Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	\$ Facility Charge (4)	\$ Maint. Charge (5)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (6)	\$ Total Revenue (7)	\$ Facility Charge (8)	\$ Maint. Charge (9)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (10)	\$ Total Revenue (11)	Percent Increase (12)
182	213 50W LED 3K SB IV BRZ	5,217 L	2	17	9.38	2.04	0.50	376	8.56	2.04	0.54	254 -32.35%
183	214 50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	4,933 L	7	17	7.85	2.04	0.50	933	7.65	2.04	0.54	814 -12.74%
184	216 50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	5,785 L	6	17	7.85	2.04	0.50	814	7.64	2.04	0.54	697 -14.39%
185	217 280W LED RW IV GRAY	5,940 L	32	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	7,608	6.76	2.04	3.13	3,379 -55.58%
186	218 280W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358 L	22	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	6,311	6.76	2.04	3.13	2,323 -63.19%
187	219 280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358 L	1	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	3,587	6.76	2.04	3.13	106 -97.06%
188	221 280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358 L	6	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	4,235	6.76	2.04	3.13	634 -85.04%
189	222 150W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358 L	45	51	5.92	2.04	1.50	5,216	5.03	2.04	1.61	3,818 -26.81%
190	223 150W LED RW IV GRAY	16,461 L	8	51	5.92	2.04	1.50	1,682	5.03	2.04	1.61	679 -59.65%
191	224 60W LED BIS III	16,461 L	1,048	21	15.03	2.04	0.62	214,829	15.56	2.04	0.66	221,338 3.03%
192	226 110W AMBER RW OH	7,075 L	15	38	12.80	2.04	1.12	3,182	11.36	2.04	1.20	2,412 -24.20%
193	227 110W AMBER RD UG	5,325 L	6	38	12.80	2.04	1.12	1,579	12.46	2.04	1.20	1,044 -33.89%
194	228 50W LED OCA V BLK	5,325 L	1,159	17	8.28	2.04	0.50	143,633	8.73	2.04	0.54	149,789 4.29%
195	229 50W LED OMONT III 3K	6,582 L	492	17	17.42	2.04	0.50	114,994	16.29	2.04	0.54	108,220 -5.89%
196	231 70W LED ODAC III WHT	3,972 L	7	25	17.42	2.04	0.73	1,854	16.29	2.04	0.79	1,540 -16.94%
197	232 50W ODAC 1K III BL	6,207 L	66	17	18.92	2.04	0.50	16,702	17.54	2.04	0.54	15,507 -7.15%
198	233 50W OTRAD 1K III BL	1,568 L	124	17	10.18	2.04	0.50	18,285	10.22	2.04	0.54	18,243 -0.23%
199	234 50W SAN III 3K BLK	1,361 L	228	17	17.55	2.04	0.50	53,700	17.82	2.04	0.54	54,337 1.19%
200	236 50W LED SAN WHITE	5,810 L	5	17	17.55	2.04	0.50	1,277	17.82	2.04	0.54	1,192 -6.72%
201	237 50W ENTR III 3K	6,226 L	396	17	14.18	2.04	0.50	77,179	13.95	2.04	0.54	75,984 -1.55%
202	238 220W RW III 3K WHT	4,540 L	166	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	23,388	6.70	2.04	2.40	17,410 -25.56%
203	239 60W SAN QSM AMBER	26,799 L	100	21	20.47	2.04	0.62	27,168	18.09	2.04	0.66	24,156 -11.09%
204	241 50W CLER III QSM	1,953 L	798	18	24.04	2.04	0.53	249,857	21.77	2.04	0.57	228,005 -8.75%
205	242 150W CLER III QSM	14,215 L	270	52	24.04	2.04	1.53	85,454	21.77	2.04	1.64	77,144 -9.72%
206	244 50W SAN III QSM	6,226 L	502	17	17.55	2.04	0.50	118,112	16.40	2.04	0.54	111,083 -5.95%
207	246 50W SAN III 3K QSM	5,810 L	3,736	17	17.55	2.04	0.50	878,361	16.40	2.04	0.54	826,702 -5.88%
208	247 50W SAN III WHT QSM	6,226 L	21	17	17.55	2.04	0.50	5,039	16.40	2.04	0.54	4,647 -7.78%
209	248 50 SAN III WH 3K QSM	5,810 L	32	17	17.55	2.04	0.50	7,625	16.40	2.04	0.54	7,081 -7.13%
210	249 50 SBX IV BLK AMB	4,933 L	83	17	10.45	2.04	0.50	12,542	10.69	2.04	0.54	12,679 1.09%
211	251 50 MICRO II 3K OH	5,283 L	2,759	17	3.69	2.04	0.50	189,811	3.77	2.04	0.54	192,357 1.34%
212	252 50 MICRO II 3K UG	5,283 L	2,547	17	3.69	2.04	0.50	175,234	4.87	2.04	0.54	211,197 20.52%
213	253 50 MICRO III 3K OH	5,232 L	30,488	17	3.69	2.04	0.50	2,096,457	3.77	2.04	0.54	2,125,623 1.39%
214	254 50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232 L	7,665	17	3.69	2.04	0.50	527,147	4.87	2.04	0.54	635,582 20.57%
215	255 50 MICRO V 3K OH	5,494 L	118	17	3.69	2.04	0.50	8,216	3.77	2.04	0.54	8,227 0.14%
216	256 50 MICRO V 3K UG	5,494 L	91	17	3.69	2.04	0.50	6,359	4.87	2.04	0.54	7,546 18.66%
217	257 50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232 L	870	17	3.69	2.04	0.50	59,923	4.87	2.04	0.54	72,140 20.39%
218	259 50 MTCHR III 3K RBM	5,811 L	212	19	18.24	2.04	0.56	51,720	16.98	2.04	0.60	48,387 -6.44%
219	261 50MTCHTR III3K THRBM	5,464 L	384	19	18.24	2.04	0.56	93,578	16.98	2.04	0.60	87,644 -6.34%
220	263 50 MTCHR V 3K RBM	6,525 L	88	19	18.24	2.04	0.56	21,543	16.98	2.04	0.60	20,085 -6.77%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 60 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 57

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 7 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.	Type of Data Shown:
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC	Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.	☒ X Projected Test Year End 12/31/25
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI		Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1														
Line No.	Type of Facility (1)	Present Rates								Proposed Rates				
		Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	\$ Facility Charge (4)	\$ Maint. Charge (5)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (6)	\$ Total Revenue (7)	\$ Facility Charge (8)	\$ Maint. Charge (9)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (10)	\$ Total Revenue (11)	Percent Increase (12)		
221	265 50MTCHTR V3K THRBM	5,449 L	6	19	18.24	2.04	0.56	1,588	16.98	2.04	0.60	1,369	-13.75%	
222	266 110 RW III 3K B	12,748 L	55	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	5,718	4.97	2.04	1.20	4,627	-19.09%	
223	267 420 SBX V 3K	45,868 L	3	147	23.30	2.04	4.32	8,533	20.33	2.04	4.65	805	-90.56%	
224	268 150 RW BLK IV 3K UG	14,952 L	166	51	5.92	2.04	1.50	16,774	6.13	2.04	1.61	16,275	-2.98%	
225	269 150 SBX BLK III	19,007 L	39	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	8,518	13.45	2.04	1.64	7,249	-14.89%	
226	270 150 SBX BLK IV	18,460 L	130	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	26,164	13.45	2.04	1.64	24,164	-7.64%	
227	271 150 SBX BLK V	18,580 L	64	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	13,366	13.45	2.04	1.64	11,896	-10.99%	
228	272 40 COL BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007 L	33	14	19.32	2.04	0.41	8,527	15.43	2.04	0.44	6,918	-18.87%	
229	273 40 WAS BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007 L	19	14	17.77	2.04	0.41	4,586	19.74	2.04	0.44	4,966	8.29%	
230	274 150 ENT BLK V 3K	16,500 L	66	51	14.73	2.04	1.50	14,200	14.42	2.04	1.61	13,036	-8.19%	
231	275 150 ENT BLK IV 3K	15,595 L	91	51	14.73	2.04	1.50	19,231	14.42	2.04	1.61	17,974	-6.53%	
232	276 150 ENT BLK III 3K	15,091 L	111	51	14.73	2.04	1.50	23,256	14.42	2.04	1.61	21,925	-5.72%	
233	277 220 ENT BLK V 3K	23,507 L	66	76	15.83	2.04	2.23	16,187	15.36	2.04	2.40	13,781	-14.86%	
234	278 220 ENT BLK IV 3K	22,219 L	57	76	15.83	2.04	2.23	14,257	15.36	2.04	2.40	11,902	-16.52%	
235	279 220 ENT BLK III 3K	21,502 L	61	76	15.83	2.04	2.23	15,115	15.36	2.04	2.40	12,737	-15.73%	
236	280 220 RW IV GRAY	26,799 L	23	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	4,992	6.70	2.04	2.40	2,412	-51.68%	
237	281 150 SAN III BLK4KQSM	16,160 L	51	52	17.55	2.04	1.53	12,944	16.40	2.04	1.64	11,285	-12.81%	
238	282 130 RW AMB WHT IIUU	6,491 L	545	46	20.72	2.04	1.35	149,596	18.36	2.04	1.45	133,416	-10.82%	
239	283 130 RW AMB WHT IIIO	6,491 L	75	46	20.72	2.04	1.35	21,229	17.26	2.04	1.45	17,370	-18.18%	
240	284 130 RW AMB BLK III OH DOT	5,325 L	1	46	20.72	2.04	1.35	1,018	17.26	2.04	1.45	232	-77.26%	
241	285 130 RW AMB BLK III UG DOT	5,325 L	1	46	20.72	2.04	1.35	1,018	18.36	2.04	1.45	245	-75.96%	
242	286 50 VILLAGES BLK V 3K	3,918 L	313	17	13.54	2.04	0.50	58,620	13.93	2.04	0.54	59,983	2.32%	
243	287 50 VILLAGES BLK IV 3K	4,364 L	60	17	13.54	2.04	0.50	11,320	13.93	2.04	0.54	11,498	1.58%	
244	288 50W OTRAD 3K V BL	4,694 L	19	17	13.54	2.04	0.50	3,654	8.16	2.04	0.54	2,326	-36.36%	
245	289 50 MICRO BLK II 3K UG	5,377 L	126	17	3.69	2.04	0.50	8,766	4.87	2.04	0.54	10,448	19.19%	
246	290 50 MICRO BLK II 3K OH	5,377 L	116	17	3.69	2.04	0.50	8,078	3.77	2.04	0.54	8,088	0.12%	
247	291 150 RW GRAY IV 3K OH	20,050 L	5	51	5.92	2.04	1.50	1,396	5.03	2.04	1.61	424	-69.60%	
248	292 40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIV F	4,711 L	18,292	11	3.62	2.04	0.32	1,242,435	4.11	2.04	0.35	1,349,950	8.65%	
249	293 40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIV UG F	4,711 L	254	11	3.62	2.04	0.32	17,294	5.31	2.04	0.35	22,403	29.54%	
250	294 70 WATT 3K II MULTIV OH F	7,565 L	5,700	24	4.35	2.04	0.71	437,280	4.77	2.04	0.76	465,804	6.52%	
251	295 70 WATT 3K II MULTIV UG F	7,565 L	35	24	4.35	2.04	0.71	2,888	5.97	2.04	0.76	3,364	16.48%	
252	299 280W RDWY 3K WHT III UG	31,358 L	6	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	4,235	8.67	2.04	3.13	771	-81.79%	
253	334 150 RW GRAY IV 3K UG	20,050 L	5	51	5.92	2.04	1.50	1,396	6.13	2.04	1.61	490	-64.88%	
254	374 150 RW BLK III 3K OH	20,070 L	358	51	5.92	2.04	1.50	35,114	5.03	2.04	1.61	30,373	-13.50%	
255	376 150 RW BLK IV 3K OH	20,050 L	15	51	5.92	2.04	1.50	2,351	5.03	2.04	1.61	1,273	-45.87%	
256	377 220 RW GRY III 3K OH	31,493 L	109	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	16,056	6.70	2.04	2.40	11,432	-28.80%	
257	378 220 RW GRY III 3K UG	31,493 L	89	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	13,483	7.81	2.04	2.40	10,520	-21.98%	
258	379 220 RW GRY IV 3K OH	28,647 L	20	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	4,607	6.70	2.04	2.40	2,098	-54.46%	
259	382 220 RW GRY IV 3K UG	28,647 L	4	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	2,548	7.81	2.04	2.40	473	-81.45%	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 61 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 58

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 8 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.	Type of Data Shown:
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC	Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.	☒ X Projected Test Year End 12/31/25
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI		Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1												
Line No.	Type of Facility (1)	Present Rates						Proposed Rates				
		Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	\$ Facility Charge (4)	\$ Maint. Charge (5)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (6)	\$ Total Revenue (7)	\$ Facility Charge (8)	\$ Maint. Charge (9)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (10)	\$ Total Revenue (11)	Percent Increase (12)
260	384 220 RW BLK III 3K UG	31,493 L	151	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	21,458	7.81	2.04	2.40	17,848 -16.82%
261	388 220 RW BLK IV 3K OH	28,647 L	14	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	3,835	6.70	2.04	2.40	1,468 -61.71%
262	600 220 RW BLK IV 3K UG	28,647 L	14	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	3,835	7.81	2.04	2.40	1,655 -56.85%
263	601 220 RW WHT III 3K UG	31,493 L	7	76	8.68	2.04	2.23	2,934	7.81	2.04	2.40	827 -71.80%
264	602 280 RW GRY III 3K OH	37,226 L	53	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	10,332	6.76	2.04	3.13	5,597 -45.83%
265	603 280 RW GRY III 3K UG	37,226 L	105	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	17,078	7.86	2.04	3.13	12,474 -26.96%
266	604 280 RW GRY IV 3K OH	34,106 L	98	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	16,170	6.76	2.04	3.13	10,349 -36.00%
267	605 280 RW GRY IV 3K UG	34,106 L	138	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	21,358	7.86	2.04	3.13	16,394 -23.24%
268	606 280 RW BLK III 3K OH	37,226 L	215	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	31,347	6.76	2.04	3.13	22,704 -27.57%
269	607 280 RW BLK IV 3K OH	34,106 L	210	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	30,698	6.76	2.04	3.13	22,176 -27.76%
270	608 280 RW BLK IV 3K UG	34,106 L	210	99	8.77	2.04	2.91	30,698	7.86	2.04	3.13	24,948 -18.73%
271	609 110 RW GRY III 3K UG	15,230 L	25	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	2,878	6.08	2.04	1.20	2,436 -15.35%
272	610 110 RW GRY III 3K OH	15,230 L	189	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	18,405	4.97	2.04	1.20	15,899 -13.62%
273	611 70 ODAC BLK III 3K	5,630 L	564	25	17.42	2.04	0.73	131,924	16.29	2.04	0.79	124,057 -5.96%
274	612 70 ODAC WHT III 3K	5,630 L	44	25	17.42	2.04	0.73	10,494	16.29	2.04	0.79	9,678 -7.77%
275	614 150CLERBLKIII3KQSM	13,547 L	14	52	24.04	2.04	1.53	5,336	22.31	2.04	1.64	4,091 -23.34%
276	616 50 MB BLK III 3K	4,679 L	6	18	14.93	2.04	0.53	1,336	14.04	2.04	0.57	1,158 -13.36%
277	617 50 OTRAD BLK III 3K	4,309 L	228	17	8.10	2.04	0.50	27,845	8.32	2.04	0.54	28,345 1.80%
278	618 150 SAN III BLK3KQSM	16,278 L	168	52	17.55	2.04	1.53	40,448	15.78	2.04	1.64	35,925 -11.18%
279	619 50 TD BLK III 3K	5,751 L	2	19	19.03	2.04	0.56	633	17.63	2.04	0.60	472 -25.46%
280	620 150 TD BLK III 3K	14,652 L	84	52	23.60	2.04	1.53	26,800	21.41	2.04	1.64	23,638 -11.80%
281	629 50 COBRA GRY II 3K OH	5,487 L	126	17	5.13	2.04	0.50	10,943	3.77	2.04	0.54	8,785 -19.72%
282	630 50 COBRA GRY II 3K UG	5,487 L	169	17	5.13	2.04	0.50	14,643	4.87	2.04	0.54	14,013 -4.30%
283	631 50 COBRA GRY III 3K OH	5,378 L	108	17	5.13	2.04	0.50	9,394	3.77	2.04	0.54	7,530 -19.85%
284	632 50 COBRA GRY III 3K UG	5,378 L	152	17	5.13	2.04	0.50	13,180	4.87	2.04	0.54	12,604 -4.37%
285	633 50 COBRA GRY V 3K OH	5,428 L	110	17	5.13	2.04	0.50	9,566	3.77	2.04	0.54	7,669 -19.83%
286	634 50 COBRA GRY V 3K UG	5,428 L	63	17	5.13	2.04	0.50	5,523	4.87	2.04	0.54	5,224 -5.41%
287	635 150 SBX BLK III 3K	17,970 L	183	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	36,442	13.45	2.04	1.64	34,016 -6.66%
288	636 150 SBX BLK IV 3K	17,452 L	137	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	27,522	13.45	2.04	1.64	25,466 -7.47%
289	637 150 SBX BLK V 3K	18,513 L	28	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	6,384	13.45	2.04	1.64	5,205 -18.48%
290	638 220 SBX BLK III 3K	23,744 L	224	76	15.42	2.04	2.23	48,966	14.42	2.04	2.40	44,244 -9.64%
291	639 220 SBX BLK V 3K	24,461 L	43	76	15.42	2.04	2.23	11,043	14.42	2.04	2.40	8,493 -23.09%
292	640 30 OTC BLK III 3K	3,493 L	1,438	10	6.28	2.04	0.29	143,605	6.75	2.04	0.32	151,680 5.62%
293	641 110 RW GRY IV UG	15,950 L	104	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	10,357	6.08	2.04	1.20	10,134 -2.16%
294	642 110 RW GRY IV OH	15,950 L	51	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	5,339	4.97	2.04	1.20	4,290 -19.65%
295	643 110 RW GRY IV 3K UG	15,230 L	124	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	12,251	6.08	2.04	1.20	12,083 -1.38%
296	644 110 RW GRY IV 3K OH	15,230 L	98	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	9,789	4.97	2.04	1.20	8,244 -15.79%
297	645 110 RW BLK IV UG	15,950 L	66	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	6,760	6.08	2.04	1.20	6,431 -4.86%
298	646 110 RW BLK IV OH	15,950 L	41	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	4,393	4.97	2.04	1.20	3,449 -21.48%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 62 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 59

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 9 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.	Type of Data Shown:
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC	Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.	☒ X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI		Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1															
Line No.		Type of Facility (1)		Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	Present Rates				Proposed Rates				Percent Increase (12)	
						\$ Facility Charge (4)	\$ Maint. Charge (5)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (6)	\$ Total Revenue (7)	\$ Facility Charge (8)	\$ Maint. Charge (9)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (10)	\$ Total Revenue (11)		
299	647	110 RW BLK IV 3K UG		15,230 L	268	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	25,885	6.08	2.04	1.20	26,114	0.88%
300	648	110 RW BLK IV 3K OH		15,230 L	80	38	5.85	2.04	1.12	8,085	4.97	2.04	1.20	6,730	-16.77%
301	649	150 SBX BRZ 3K III		17,970 L	254	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	50,210	13.45	2.04	1.64	47,214	-5.97%
302	650	150 SBX BRZ 3K V		18,513 L	112	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	22,674	13.45	2.04	1.64	20,819	-8.18%
303	651	150 SBX BRZ 3K IV		17,452 L	79	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	16,274	13.45	2.04	1.64	14,685	-9.77%
304	652	150 SBX BRZ III		19,007 L	155	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	31,012	13.45	2.04	1.64	28,811	-7.10%
305	653	150 SBX BRZ IV		18,460 L	96	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	19,571	13.45	2.04	1.64	17,844	-8.82%
306	654	150 SBX BRZ V		18,580 L	72	52	14.12	2.04	1.53	14,917	13.45	2.04	1.64	13,383	-10.28%
<u>Receptacles</u>															
307	672	HOLIDAY REC RISER		336	9		3.12	-	0.26	12,608	3.06	1.13	0.28	16,894	34.00%
308	673	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK		1	9		3.97	-	0.26	76	3.84	1.13	0.28	60	-21.24%
309	674	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY		-	9		3.97	-	0.26	28	3.84	1.13	0.28	-	-100.00%
310	675	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT		-	9		3.97	-	0.26	28	3.84	1.13	0.28	-	-100.00%
311	676	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK		26	9		4.01	-	0.26	1,279	4.32	1.13	0.28	1,700	32.93%
312	677	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY		1	9		4.01	-	0.26	76	4.32	1.13	0.28	65	-14.17%
313	678	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT		2	9		3.15	-	0.26	104	4.32	1.13	0.28	131	26.16%
314	679	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK		37	9		3.99	-	0.26	1,800	3.92	1.13	0.28	2,242	24.59%
315	680	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT		-	9		3.99	-	0.26	28	3.92	1.13	0.28	-	-100.00%
316	681	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK		-	9		5.17	-	0.26	28	5.15	1.13	0.28	-	-100.00%
317	682	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY		-	9		5.16	-	0.26	28	5.15	1.13	0.28	-	-100.00%
318	683	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT		-	9		5.16	-	0.26	28	5.15	1.13	0.28	-	-100.00%
319	684	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK		-	9		5.22	-	0.26	28	5.12	1.13	0.28	-	-100.00%
320	685	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT		-	9		5.22	-	0.26	28	5.12	1.13	0.28	-	-100.00%
<u>Other Facilities</u>															
321	404	35' Deco Concrete – Mariner		679	N/A		12.66	N/A	N/A	103,154	11.98	N/A	N/A	97,613	-5.37%
322	405	Concrete, 30/35'		111,514	N/A		8.11	N/A	N/A	10,852,542	6.68	N/A	N/A	8,938,962	-17.63%
323	406	16' Deco Conc – Single Sanibel		4,346	N/A		14.20	N/A	N/A	740,558	11.23	N/A	N/A	585,667	-20.92%
324	407	16' Decon Conc – Double Sanibel		191	N/A		12.31	N/A	N/A	28,215	11.95	N/A	N/A	27,389	-2.92%
325	408	26' Aluminum DOT Style Pole		1,041	N/A		17.35	N/A	N/A	216,736	15.71	N/A	N/A	196,249	-9.45%
326	409	36' Aluminum DOT Style Pole		487	N/A		25.40	N/A	N/A	148,438	22.35	N/A	N/A	130,613	-12.01%
327	410	Concrete, 15' 1		740	N/A		2.31	N/A	N/A	20,513	7.43	N/A	N/A	65,978	221.65%
328	411	16' Octagonal Conc 1		67	N/A		10.46	N/A	N/A	8,410	9.92	N/A	N/A	7,976	-5.16%
329	412	32' Octagonal Deco Concrete		781	N/A		17.77	N/A	N/A	166,540	15.88	N/A	N/A	148,827	-10.64%
330	413	25' Tenon Top Concrete		79	N/A		7.77	N/A	N/A	7,366	6.28	N/A	N/A	5,953	-19.18%
331	414	13' Deco Conc St James		201	N/A		18.36	N/A	N/A	44,284	14.88	N/A	N/A	35,891	-18.95%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 63 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 60

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 10 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI

EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.
Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.

Type of Data Shown:
__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1											
			Present Rates				Proposed Rates				
			\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	Percent
Line	Type of Facility	Annual	Facility	Maint.	Non-Fuel	Total	Facility	Maint.	Non-Fuel	Total	Increase
No.	(1)	Billing	Charge	Charge	Energy	Revenue	Charge	Charge	Energy	Revenue	(12)
		Units	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
(2)		(3)									
332	415 Concrete, Curved 1	517	N/A	2.14	N/A	N/A	13,277	6.24	N/A	N/A	191.59%
333	416 23' Deco Conc Vic II Bronze	391	N/A	19.08	N/A	N/A	89,523	12.47	N/A	N/A	-34.64%
334	418 35' Tenon Top Black Concrete	1,369	N/A	20.56	N/A	N/A	337,760	18.13	N/A	N/A	-11.82%
335	420 Wood, 30/35'	65,041	N/A	4.32	N/A	N/A	3,371,725	3.60	N/A	N/A	-16.67%
336	421 Promenade 25' Black Direct Buried	416	N/A	13.49	N/A	N/A	67,342	13.36	N/A	N/A	-0.96%
337	425 Wood, 14' Laminated 1	839	N/A	1.07	N/A	N/A	10,773	5.29	N/A	N/A	394.39%
338	428 Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Reinforced 1	144	N/A	9.60	N/A	N/A	16,589	10.58	N/A	N/A	10.21%
339	429 Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze, Reinforced 1	287	N/A	20.25	N/A	N/A	69,741	19.04	N/A	N/A	-5.98%
340	430 Fiberglass, 14', Black 1	15,169	N/A	5.21	N/A	N/A	948,366	5.63	N/A	N/A	8.06%
341	431 Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze 1	1,308	N/A	13.36	N/A	N/A	209,699	12.62	N/A	N/A	-5.54%
342	432 Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Anchor Base 1	14	N/A	9.70	N/A	N/A	1,630	19.48	N/A	N/A	100.82%
343	433 Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze 1	385	N/A	8.64	N/A	N/A	39,917	8.22	N/A	N/A	-4.86%
344	434 Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black, Deco Base 1	180	N/A	5.28	N/A	N/A	11,405	7.28	N/A	N/A	37.88%
345	435 Aluminum, Type A 1	85	N/A	2.95	N/A	N/A	3,009	12.74	N/A	N/A	331.86%
346	436 Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted 1	1,913	N/A	8.74	N/A	N/A	200,635	9.77	N/A	N/A	11.78%
347	437 Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Dual Mount 1	331	N/A	15.53	N/A	N/A	61,685	16.64	N/A	N/A	7.15%
348	438 Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black 1	7,942	N/A	2.62	N/A	N/A	249,696	5.53	N/A	N/A	111.07%
349	439 Black Fiberglass 16'	346	N/A	13.42	N/A	N/A	55,720	12.31	N/A	N/A	-8.27%
350	440 Aluminum, Type B 1	228	N/A	15.38	N/A	N/A	42,080	14.50	N/A	N/A	-5.72%
351	441 15' Black Aluminum	16,027	N/A	3.99	N/A	N/A	767,373	4.10	N/A	N/A	2.76%
352	445 Aluminum, Type C 1	60	N/A	6.42	N/A	N/A	4,622	12.27	N/A	N/A	91.12%
353	446 Deco Fiberglass, 30', Bronze 1	218	N/A	7.57	N/A	N/A	19,803	7.22	N/A	N/A	-4.62%
354	447 Deco Fiberglass, 35', Silver, Anchor Base 1	222	N/A	10.60	N/A	N/A	28,238	11.57	N/A	N/A	9.15%
355	448 Deco Fiberglass, 41', Silver 1	491	N/A	8.06	N/A	N/A	47,490	12.62	N/A	N/A	56.58%
356	449 Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Anchor Base 1	127	N/A	10.04	N/A	N/A	15,301	9.52	N/A	N/A	-5.18%
357	450 Concrete, 1/2 Special	151	N/A	1.75	N/A	N/A	3,171	4.17	N/A	N/A	138.29%
358	451 Concrete 40/45 T2	225	N/A	12.90	N/A	N/A	34,830	11.27	N/A	N/A	-12.64%
359	452 Aluminum Breakaway Pole, 36'	5	N/A	13.41	N/A	N/A	805	15.23	N/A	N/A	13.57%
360	454 OAL Promenade Receptable Pole, 35'	1,076	N/A	20.56	N/A	N/A	265,471	18.13	N/A	N/A	-11.82%
361	455 Steel, Type A 1	3	N/A	1.84	N/A	N/A	66	14.85	N/A	N/A	707.07%
362	456 Promenade 29' Black Direct Buried	111	N/A	17.20	N/A	N/A	22,910	15.36	N/A	N/A	-10.70%
363	460 Steel, Type B 1	4	N/A	1.97	N/A	N/A	95	14.85	N/A	N/A	653.81%
364	461 16' Vic II Brnz	214	N/A	12.49	N/A	N/A	32,074	11.12	N/A	N/A	-10.97%
365	464 35' Bronze Promenade Special St Joe	15	N/A	20.56	N/A	N/A	3,701	16.66	N/A	N/A	-18.97%
366	465 Steel, Type C 1	17	N/A	2.76	N/A	N/A	563	14.85	N/A	N/A	438.04%
367	466 16' Deco Con Vic II - Dual Mount	962	N/A	12.49	N/A	N/A	144,185	14.35	N/A	N/A	14.89%
368	467 16' Deco Conc Washington - Dual	835	N/A	13.29	N/A	N/A	133,166	14.97	N/A	N/A	12.64%
369	468 16' Deco Conc Colonial - Dual Mount	404	N/A	10.56	N/A	N/A	51,195	13.28	N/A	N/A	25.76%
370	469 35' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	19	N/A	8.36	N/A	N/A	1,906	8.83	N/A	N/A	5.62%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 64 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 61

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 11 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI

EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.
Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.

Type of Data Shown:
__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1												
Line No.	Type of Facility (1)	Present Rates						Proposed Rates				Percent Increase (12)
		Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	\$ Facility Charge (4)	\$ Maint. Charge (5)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (6)	\$ Total Revenue (7)	\$ Facility Charge (8)	\$ Maint. Charge (9)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (10)	\$ Total Revenue (11)	
371	470 45' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	14	N/A	11.81	N/A	N/A	1,984	12.27	N/A	N/A	2,061	3.90%
372	471 22' Deco Concrete	1,484	N/A	14.25	N/A	N/A	253,764	12.42	N/A	N/A	221,175	-12.84%
373	472 22' Deco Conc Single Sanibel	8,102	N/A	14.25	N/A	N/A	1,385,442	11.78	N/A	N/A	1,145,299	-17.33%
374	473 22' Deco Conc Double Sanibel	744	N/A	14.25	N/A	N/A	127,224	14.49	N/A	N/A	129,367	1.68%
375	474 22' Deco Conc Double Mount	201	N/A	14.25	N/A	N/A	34,371	14.99	N/A	N/A	36,156	5.19%
376	476 25' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	1,842	N/A	14.85	N/A	N/A	328,244	13.02	N/A	N/A	287,794	-12.32%
377	477 30' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	1,122	N/A	17.20	N/A	N/A	231,581	15.36	N/A	N/A	206,807	-10.70%
378	478 35' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	3,443	N/A	18.99	N/A	N/A	784,591	19.01	N/A	N/A	785,417	0.11%
379	479 41' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	436	N/A	21.00	N/A	N/A	109,872	20.67	N/A	N/A	108,145	-1.57%
380	480 Wood, 40/45'	1,360	N/A	5.91	N/A	N/A	96,451	4.68	N/A	N/A	76,378	-20.81%
381	481 30' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	49	N/A	7.97	N/A	N/A	4,686	7.15	N/A	N/A	4,204	-10.29%
382	482 30' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	58	N/A	7.97	N/A	N/A	5,547	8.01	N/A	N/A	5,575	0.50%
383	483 46' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	5	N/A	11.81	N/A	N/A	709	11.63	N/A	N/A	698	-1.52%
384	484 46' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	34	N/A	11.81	N/A	N/A	4,818	11.68	N/A	N/A	4,765	-1.10%
385	485 Concrete, 40/45'	880	N/A	12.90	N/A	N/A	136,224	10.66	N/A	N/A	112,570	-17.36%
386	486 Tenon Style Concrete 46' Single Flood Mount	15	N/A	11.81	N/A	N/A	2,126	10.83	N/A	N/A	1,949	-8.30%
387	487 35' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	32	N/A	8.22	N/A	N/A	3,156	8.19	N/A	N/A	3,145	-0.36%
388	488 35' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	147	N/A	8.22	N/A	N/A	14,500	8.24	N/A	N/A	14,535	0.24%
389	489 35' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	204	N/A	8.22	N/A	N/A	20,123	7.39	N/A	N/A	18,091	-10.10%
390	491 30' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	6	N/A	7.97	N/A	N/A	574	7.95	N/A	N/A	572	-0.25%
391	492 16' Smooth Decorative Concrete/The Colonial	41,184	N/A	10.56	N/A	N/A	5,218,836	9.79	N/A	N/A	4,838,296	-7.29%
392	493 19' White Aluminum 1	130	N/A	22.87	N/A	N/A	35,677	21.48	N/A	N/A	33,509	-6.08%
393	494 46' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	829	N/A	11.81	N/A	N/A	117,486	10.83	N/A	N/A	107,737	-8.30%
394	495 Dual Mount 20' Fiberglass1	1	N/A	5.27	N/A	N/A	63	7.28	N/A	N/A	87	38.14%
395	496 30' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	1,329	N/A	7.97	N/A	N/A	127,106	7.15	N/A	N/A	114,028	-10.29%
396	497 16' Decorative Concrete w/decorative base/The Washington	12,279	N/A	12.13	N/A	N/A	1,787,331	11.67	N/A	N/A	1,719,551	-3.79%
397	498 35' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	4,701	N/A	8.22	N/A	N/A	463,707	7.39	N/A	N/A	416,885	-10.10%
398	499 16' Decorative Concrete-Vic II	33,220	N/A	12.49	N/A	N/A	4,979,014	11.12	N/A	N/A	4,432,877	-10.97%
399	504 Promenade Black 41ft	5	N/A	21.00	N/A	N/A	1,260	20.67	N/A	N/A	1,240	-1.57%
400	506 Promenade Black 30FT	496	N/A	19.38	N/A	N/A	115,350	16.14	N/A	N/A	96,065	-16.72%
401	507 22FT WHITE DECO CONC MARINER	1	N/A	9.37	N/A	N/A	112	9.58	N/A	N/A	115	2.24%
402	509 AL AB 26FT BLK 10FT BWY	1	N/A	38.08	N/A	N/A	457	17.48	N/A	N/A	210	-54.10%
403	510 AL AB 26FT BLK 12FT BWY	1	N/A	39.42	N/A	N/A	473	17.48	N/A	N/A	210	-55.66%
404	511 AL AB 36FT BLK 10FT BWY	24	N/A	48.63	N/A	N/A	14,005	28.33	N/A	N/A	8,159	-41.74%
405	512 AL AB 36FT BLK 12FT BWY	1	N/A	49.99	N/A	N/A	600	28.33	N/A	N/A	340	-43.33%
406	515 AL DB 30FT BLK HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	1	N/A	27.20	N/A	N/A	326	19.46	N/A	N/A	234	-28.46%
407	517 AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	6	N/A	26.27	N/A	N/A	1,891	21.54	N/A	N/A	1,551	-18.01%
408	519 HOLIDAY REC RISER1	161	N/A	3.12	N/A	N/A	6,028	2.61	N/A	N/A	5,043	-16.35%
409	520 HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK1	1	N/A	3.97	N/A	N/A	48	3.28	N/A	N/A	39	-17.38%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 65 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 62

SCHEDULE-E-13d

REVENUE BY RATE SCHEDULE - LIGHTING SCHEDULE CALCULATION

Page 12 of 13

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI

EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.
Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.

Type of Data Shown:
__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1													
		Present Rates						Proposed Rates					
		Annual		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
		Billing	Monthly	Facility	Maint.	Non-Fuel	Total	Facility	Maint.	Non-Fuel	Total	Percent	
Line	Type of Facility	Units	KWH	Charge	Charge	Energy	Revenue	Charge	Charge	Energy	Revenue	Increase	
No.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
410	521	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY1	-	N/A	3.97	N/A	N/A	-	3.28	N/A	N/A	-	#DIV/0!
411	522	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT1	-	N/A	3.97	N/A	N/A	-	3.28	N/A	N/A	-	#DIV/0!
412	523	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK1	24	N/A	4.01	N/A	N/A	1,155	3.69	N/A	N/A	1,063	-7.98%
413	524	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY1	1	N/A	4.01	N/A	N/A	48	3.69	N/A	N/A	44	-7.98%
414	525	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT1	4	N/A	3.15	N/A	N/A	151	3.69	N/A	N/A	177	17.14%
415	526	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK1	56	N/A	3.99	N/A	N/A	2,681	3.35	N/A	N/A	2,251	-16.04%
416	527	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT1	-	N/A	3.99	N/A	N/A	-	3.35	N/A	N/A	-	#DIV/0!
417	528	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK1	-	N/A	5.17	N/A	N/A	-	4.40	N/A	N/A	-	#DIV/0!
418	529	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY1	-	N/A	5.16	N/A	N/A	-	4.40	N/A	N/A	-	#DIV/0!
419	530	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT1	-	N/A	5.16	N/A	N/A	-	4.40	N/A	N/A	-	#DIV/0!
420	531	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK1	-	N/A	5.22	N/A	N/A	-	4.37	N/A	N/A	-	#DIV/0!
421	532	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT1	-	N/A	5.22	N/A	N/A	-	4.37	N/A	N/A	-	#DIV/0!
422	533	22FT BLACK COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	1,059	N/A	16.16	N/A	N/A	205,361	13.25	N/A	N/A	168,381	-18.01%
423	534	22FT WHITE COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	1	N/A	14.73	N/A	N/A	177	12.19	N/A	N/A	146	-17.24%
424	535	AL DIRECT BURIED 21FT BLK 3IN TENON	1	N/A	6.98	N/A	N/A	84	6.32	N/A	N/A	76	-9.46%
425	536	COLONIAL CTE 16FT 6T QSM	378	N/A	12.37	N/A	N/A	56,110	9.83	N/A	N/A	44,589	-20.53%
426	537	AL AB 37FT SAT DOT	1	N/A	18.03	N/A	N/A	216	16.20	N/A	N/A	194	-10.15%
427	539	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 10BKT	1	N/A	25.09	N/A	N/A	301	19.84	N/A	N/A	238	-20.92%
428	541	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 12BKT	1	N/A	24.66	N/A	N/A	296	20.17	N/A	N/A	242	-18.21%
429	543	AL AB 36FT SAT BWY 10ARM	373	N/A	20.82	N/A	N/A	93,190	26.60	N/A	N/A	119,062	27.76%
430	544	WASH CTE 25FT BLK	75	N/A	21.20	N/A	N/A	19,080	16.73	N/A	N/A	15,057	-21.08%

Docket No. 20260064-EI

DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5

Exhibit KRR-3, Page 66 of 143

Docket No. 20240025

Settlement Agreement

Exhibit 5

Page 63

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI

EXPLANATION: Calculate revenues under present and proposed rates for the test year for each lighting schedule.
Show revenues from charges for all types of lighting fixtures, poles and conductors. Poles should be listed separately from fixtures.

Type of Data Shown:
__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
Witness: Chatelain

CALCULATION OF REVENUE: LIGHTING SCHEDULE LS-1												
Line No.	Type of Facility (1)	Annual Billing Units (2)	Monthly KWH (3)	Present Rates				Proposed Rates				Percent Increase (12)
				\$ Facility Charge (4)	\$ Maint. Charge (5)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (6)	\$ Total Revenue (7)	\$ Facility Charge (8)	\$ Maint. Charge (9)	\$ Non-Fuel Energy (10)	\$ Total Revenue (11)	
							\$ 96,541,421				\$ 95,801,318	-0.77%
				TOTAL ALL LIGHTING TYPES			\$ 96,541,421	TOTAL ALL LIGHTING TYPES			\$ 95,801,318	
				FACILITIES CHARGES FIXTURES			\$ 48,212,306	FACILITIES CHARGES FIXTURES			\$ 47,512,144	-1.45%
				FACILITIES CHARGES POLES			\$ 36,508,901	FACILITIES CHARGES POLES			\$ 32,790,493	-10.18%
				MAINTENANCE FIXTURES			\$ 11,051,205	MAINTENANCE FIXTURES			\$ 15,255,886	38.05%
				NON-FUEL ENERGY FIXTURES			\$ 769,010	NON-FUEL ENERGY FIXTURES			\$ 827,168	7.56%

Schedule E-14

PROPOSED TARIFF SHEETS AND SUPPORT FOR CHARGES

Page 1 of 1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	EXPLANATION: Provide proposed tariff sheets highlighting changes in legislative format from existing tariff provisions. For each charge, reference by footnote unit costs as shown on Schedules E-6b and E-7, if applicable. Indicate whether unit costs are calculated at the class or system rate of return. On separate attachment explain any differences between unit costs and proposed charges. Provide the derivation (calculation and assumptions) of all charges and credits other than those for which unit costs are calculated in these MFR schedules, including those charges and credits the company proposes to continue at the present level. Workpapers for street and outdoor lighting rates, T-O-U rates and standard energy charges shall be furnished under separate cover to staff, Commissioners, Commission Clerk and upon request to other parties to this docket.	Type of Data Shown: ☒ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25
COMPANY: DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA		
DOCKET NO.: 20240025-EI		Witness: Chatelain

This schedule includes all Tariff Sheets of those Rate Schedules and Standard Contract Forms which are proposed to be changed. Proposed changes are highlighted in legislative format.

Unit Charges / Cost Data are provided in the following attachments:

- Attachment A - Summary of Unit Charges and Unit Cost Data by Rate Class
- Attachment B - Development of Residential and General Service Customer Charges
- Attachment C - Development of Time of Use Billing Determinants
- Attachment D - Development of Standby Service Rate Charges
- Attachment E - Development of Customer Charge Unit Costs for Non-Residential Classes
- Attachment F - Development of Monthly Fixed Charge Rate for Lighting Facilities
- Attachment G - Development of Premium Distribution Service Charges
- Attachment H - Unitized Cost of Service: Summary of Residential Unit Cost Methodology for Optional Company Offered Load Control Programs

Supporting Schedules:

Recap Schedules:

Docket No. 20260064-EI
 DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
 Exhibit KRR-3, Page 68 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
 Settlement Agreement
 Exhibit 5
 Page 65

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
 DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
 MFR Schedule E-14
 Attachment A

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Unit Charge / Unit Cost Data								
Line	Rate Schedule	Type of Charge		1/1/25 Current Rate	1/1/25 Proposed Rate	Unit Cost	Unit Cost Reference	Explanation
1	RS-1	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing						
2	RST-1	Standard	\$	12.89	13.76	12.89	E-14B	Set to meet revenue requirements
3	RSL-1	Time of Use						
4	RSL-2	Single & Three Phase	\$	12.89	13.76	12.89	E-14B	Set to RS-1 Standard
5								
6		Energy Charge						
7		Two-Tiered Rate						
8		0 - 1,000 kWh (Winter)	c/kWh	7.919	8.396	8.945	E-14B	Set to meet revenue requirements with seasonal differentiation
9		Over 1,000 kWh (Winter)	c/kWh	9.088	9.824	10.388	E-14B	Set to meet revenue requirements with seasonal differentiation
10		0 - 1,000 kWh (Non-Winter)	c/kWh	6.830	7.372	7.403	E-14B	Set to meet revenue requirements with seasonal differentiation
11		Over 1,000 kWh (Non-Winter)	c/kWh	7.730	8.108	8.138	E-14B	Set to meet revenue requirements with seasonal differentiation
12		Time of Use - On Peak	c/kWh	9.138	10.637	11.085	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
13		Time of Use - Off Peak	c/kWh	7.584	7.879	8.211	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
14		Time of Use - Discount	c/kWh	4.345	4.780	4.981	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
15								
16		EV Off-Peak Charging Credit	\$	10.00	7.50	6.71	EV Off-Pk	Set to reasonable credit for 2025/2026/2027 to limit changes from year to year
17								
18								
19	GS-1,	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing						
20	GST-1	Standard						
21		Unmetered	\$	9.05	9.90	9.90	E-14E	Set to unit cost
22		Secondary	\$	16.02	17.23	14.02	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements in alignment with class increase
23		Primary	\$	202.59	217.89	73.75	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements in alignment with class increase
24		Transmission	\$	999.30	1,074.76	406.50	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements in alignment with class increase
25		Time of Use						
26		Secondary	\$	16.02	17.23	14.02	E-14E	Set to GS-1 Standard
27		Primary	\$	202.59	217.89	73.75	E-14E	Set to GS-1 Standard
28		Transmission	\$	999.30	1,074.76	406.50	E-14E	Set to GS-1 Standard
29								
30		Energy Charge						
31		Standard	c/kWh	7.332	7.937	6.884	E-14B	Set to meet revenue requirements
32		Time of Use - On Peak	c/kWh	9.210	11.471	11.451	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
33		Time of Use - Off Peak	c/kWh	8.578	8.578	8.482	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
34		Time of Use - Discount	c/kWh	4.806	5.616	5.606	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
35								
36		Premium Distribution Charge	c/kWh	1.385	1.447	1.447	E-14G	Set to reflect COS
37								
38		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges						
39		Primary	%	1.0%	1.0%			No Change
40		Transmission	%	2.0%	2.0%			No Change
41								
42		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	%	1.08%	0.96%	0.96%	E-14F 3b	Set to COS

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 69 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 66

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment A

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Unit Charge / Unit Cost Data								
Line	Rate Schedule	Type of Charge		1/1/25 Current Rate	1/1/25 Proposed Rate	Unit Cost	Unit Cost Reference	Explanation
43								
44	GS-2	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing						
45		Standard						
46		Unmetered	\$	9.33	10.04	9.98	E-6b	Set to meet revenue requirements
47		Metered	\$	16.51	17.84	24.65	E-6b	Set to meet revenue requirements
48								
49		Energy Charge						
50		Standard	c/kWh	2.827	3.047	4.310	E-6b	Set to meet revenue requirements
51								
52		Premium Distribution Charge	c/kWh	0.245	0.305	0.305	E-14G	Set to reflect COS
53								
54								
55	GSD-1	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing						
56	GSDT-1	Standard						
57		Secondary	\$	16.51	17.75	18.56	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements
58		Primary	\$	208.75	224.39	147.39	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements
59		Transmission	\$	1,029.65	1,106.80	345.77	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements
60		Time of Use						
61		Secondary	\$	16.51	17.75	18.56	E-14E	Set to GSD-1
62		Primary	\$	208.75	224.39	147.39	E-14E	Set to GSD-1
63		Transmission	\$	1,029.65	1,106.80	345.77	E-14E	Set to GSD-1
64								
65		Demand Charge						
66		Standard	\$/kW	7.00	7.73	17.55	E-6b	Set to meet revenue requirements
67		Time of Use						
68		Base	\$/kW	2.19	2.71	2.67	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
69		On Peak	\$/kW	1.27	2.12	2.09	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
70		Mid Peak	\$/kW	4.44	3.83	3.77	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
71		Delivery Voltage Credits						
72		Primary	\$/kW	1.31	1.18	1.18	DVC	Set to reflect COS
73		Transmission < 230 kV	\$/kW	5.42	5.56	5.56	DVC	Set to reflect COS
74		Transmission ≥ 230 kV	\$/kW	7.50	7.73	7.73	DVC	Set to reflect COS
75		Premium Distribution Charge	\$/kW	1.50	2.23	2.23	E-14G	Set to reflect COS
76								
77		Energy Charge						
78		Standard	c/kWh	3.060	3.244	5.439	E-14B	Set to meet revenue requirements
79		Time of Use - On Peak	c/kWh	3.374	3.888	3.907	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
80		Time of Use - Off Peak	c/kWh	2.777	2.880	2.894	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
81		Time of Use - Discount	c/kWh	1.669	1.952	1.961	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
82								
83		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges						
84		Primary	%	1.0%	1.0%			No Change
85		Transmission	%	2.0%	2.0%			No Change
86								
87		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	%	1.08%	0.96%	0.96%	E-14F 3b	Set to COS

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 70 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 67

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment A

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Unit Charge / Unit Cost Data							
Line	Rate Schedule	Type of Charge	1/1/25 Current Rate	1/1/25 Proposed Rate	Unit Cost	Unit Cost Reference	Explanation
88							
89	CS-2	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing					
90	CS-3	Secondary	\$ 90.57	96.65	58.34	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements
91	CST-2	Primary	\$ 251.45	268.32	188.71	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements
92	CST-3	Transmission	\$ 938.45	1,001.40	355.55	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements
93							
94		Demand Charge					
95		Standard	\$/kW 11.21	12.06	10.18	E-6b	Set to meet revenue requirements
96		Time of Use					
97		Base	\$/kW 1.63	1.63	1.55	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
98		On Peak	\$/kW 1.33	2.03	2.34	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
99		Mid Peak	\$/kW 4.79	4.79	4.70	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
100		Curtable Demand Credit					
101		CS-2, CST-2 - \$ per KW of Curtable On-Pk Capability	\$/kW 7.72	8.00	8.00		Set to Settlement Terms
102		CS-3, CST-3 - \$ per KW of Contract Demand	\$/kW 7.72	8.00	8.00		Set to Settlement Terms
103		Curtable Event Incentive	c/kWh 0.25	0.25	0.25		No Change
104							
105		Delivery Voltage Credits					
106		Primary	\$/kW 1.31	1.18	1.18	DVC	Set to reflect COS
107		Transmission < 230 kV	\$/kW 5.42	5.56	5.56	DVC	Set to reflect COS
108		Transmission ≥ 230 kV	\$/kW 7.50	7.73	7.73	DVC	Set to reflect COS
109							
110		Premium Distribution Charge	\$/kW 1.50	1.86	1.86	E-14G	Set to reflect COS
111							
112		Energy Charge					
113		Standard	c/kWh 2.044	2.199	0.570	E-6b	Set to meet revenue requirements
114		Time of Use - On Peak	c/kWh 1.880	2.242	2.247	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
115		Time of Use - Off Peak	c/kWh 1.628	1.661	1.665	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
116		Time of Use - Discount	c/kWh 1.029	1.252	1.255	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
117							
118		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges					
119		Primary	% 1.0%	1.0%			No Change
120		Transmission	% 2.0%	2.0%			No Change
121							
122		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	% 1.08%	0.96%	0.96%	E-14F 3b	Set to COS

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 71 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 68

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment A

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

			Unit Charge / Unit Cost Data					
Line	Rate Schedule	Type of Charge	1/3/25 Current Rate	1/1/25 Proposed Rate	Unit Cost	Unit Cost Reference	Explanation	
123								
124	IS-2	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing						
125	IST-2	Secondary	\$	332.54	353.92	347.91	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements
126		Primary	\$	493.43	525.15	478.28	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements
127		Transmission	\$	1,180.47	1,256.36	645.12	E-14E	Set to meet revenue requirements
128								
129		Demand Charge - \$ per KW						
130		Standard	\$/kW	9.31	10.05	13.09	E-6b	Set to meet revenue requirements
131		Time of Use						
132		Base	\$/kW	1.63	1.63	1.63	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
133		On Peak	\$/kW	1.33	1.89	2.30	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
134		Mid Peak	\$/kW	4.79	4.79	4.46	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
135								
136		Interruptible Demand Credit						
137		IS-2, IST-2 - \$ per KW On-Peak Demand	\$/kW	7.72	8.00	8.00		Set to Settlement Terms
138		Delivery Voltage Credits						
139		Primary	\$/kW	1.31	1.18	1.18	DVC	Set to reflect COS
140		Transmission < 230 kV	\$/kW	5.42	5.56	5.56	DVC	Set to reflect COS
141		Transmission ≥ 230 kV	\$/kW	7.50	7.73	7.73	DVC	Set to reflect COS
142		Premium Distribution Charge	\$/kW	1.50	1.86	1.86	E-14G	Set to reflect COS
143								
144		Energy Charge						
145		Standard	c/kWh	1.354	1.417	0.571	E-6b	Set to meet revenue requirements
146		Time of Use - On Peak	c/kWh	1.880	2.218	2.241	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
147		Time of Use - Off Peak	c/kWh	1.628	1.643	1.660	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
148		Time of Use - Discount	c/kWh	1.029	1.257	1.270	E-14C	Set to meet revenue requirements with proposed TOU design
149								
150		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges						
151		Primary	%	1.0%	1.0%			No Change
152		Transmission	%	2.0%	2.0%			No Change
153								
154		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	%	1.08%	0.96%	0.96%	E-14F 3b	Set to COS
155								
156	LS-1	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing						
157		Standard						
158		Unmetered	\$	1.70	1.85			Set to meet revenue requirements
159		Metered	\$	4.85	5.24			Set to meet revenue requirements
160								
161		Energy Charge						
162		Standard	c/kWh	2.938	3.161			Set to meet revenue requirements
163								
164		Other Fixture Charge Rate - % of Installed Fixture Cost	%	1.08%	1.11%	1.11%	E-14F 3a	Set to COS
165		Other Pole Charge Rate - % of Installed Pole Cost	%	1.08%	0.96%	0.96%	E-14F 3b	Set to COS

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 72 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 69

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment A

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Unit Charge / Unit Cost Data							
Line	Rate Schedule	Type of Charge	1/1/25 Current Rate	1/1/25 Proposed Rate	Unit Cost	Unit Cost Reference	Explanation
166							
167	SS-1	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing					
168		Secondary	\$ 143.46	154.38	58.34	E-14D	Set to class increase due to no billing determinants
169		Primary	\$ 335.69	353.82	188.71	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
170		Transmission	\$ 1,156.59	1,219.05	355.55	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
171		Customer Owned	\$ 115.66	117.04			Set to meet revenue requirements
172							
173		Energy Charge	c/kWh 1.354	1.370	0.579	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
174							
175		Distribution Charge					
176		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	\$/kW 2.73	2.93	\$5.58	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
177							
178		Generation and Transmission Capacity Charge					
179		Greater of :					
180		Monthly Reservation Charge					
181		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	\$/kW 1.530	1.559	1.854	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
182		Peak Day Utilized SB Power Charge	\$/kW 0.729	0.742	0.883	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
183							
184		Delivery Voltage Credits					
185		Primary	\$/kW 1.31	1.18	1.18	DVC	Set to reflect COS
186		Transmission < 230 kV	\$/kW 5.42	5.56	5.56	DVC	Set to reflect COS
187		Transmission ≥ 230 kV	\$/kW 7.50	7.73	7.73	DVC	Set to reflect COS
188		Premium Distribution Charge	\$/kW 1.40	2.23	2.23	E-14G	Set to reflect COS
189							
190		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges					
191		Primary	% 1.0%	1.0%			Set to meet revenue requirements
192		Transmission	% 2.0%	2.0%			Set to meet revenue requirements
193							
194		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	% 1.08%	0.96%	0.96%	E-14F 3b	Set to COS

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 73 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 70

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment A

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Unit Charge / Unit Cost Data							
Line	Rate Schedule	Type of Charge	1/1/25 Current Rate	1/1/25 Proposed Rate	Unit Cost	Unit Cost Reference	Explanation
195							
196	SS-2	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing					
197		Secondary	\$ 362.08	389.65	347.91	E-14D	Set to class increase due to no billing determinants
198		Primary	\$ 522.96	560.27	478.28	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
199		Transmission	\$ 1,209.99	1,296.31	645.12	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
200		Customer Owned	\$ 338.79	364.58			Set to class increase due to no billing determinants
201							
202		Energy Charge	c/kWh 1.337	1.436	0.579	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
203							
204		Distribution Charge					
205		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	\$/kW 2.72	2.93	5.58	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
206							
207		Generation and Transmission Capacity Charge					
208		Greater of :					
209		Monthly Reservation Charge					
210		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	\$/kW 1.527	1.640	1.854	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
211		Peak Day Utilized SB Power Charge	\$/kW 0.728	0.781	0.883	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
212							
213		Interruptible Capacity Credit					
214		Monthly Reservation Credit	\$/kW 1.170	0.800	0.800	E-14D	Set to Settlement Terms
215		Daily Demand Credit	\$/kW 0.557	0.381	0.381	E-14D	Set to Settlement Terms
216							
217		Delivery Voltage Credits					
218		Primary	\$/kW 1.31	1.18	1.18	DVC	Set to reflect COS
219		Transmission < 230 kV	\$/kW 5.42	5.56	5.56	DVC	Set to reflect COS
220		Transmission ≥ 230 kV	\$/kW 7.50	7.73	7.73	DVC	Set to reflect COS
221		Premium Distribution Charge	\$/kW 1.39	1.86	1.86	E-14G	Set to reflect COS
222							
223		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges					
224		Primary	% 1.0%	1.0%			
225		Transmission	% 2.0%	2.0%			
226							
227		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	% 1.08%	0.96%	0.96%	E-14F 3b	Set to COS

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 74 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 71

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment A

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Unit Charge / Unit Cost Data							
Line	Rate Schedule	Type of Charge	1/1/25 Current Rate	1/1/25 Proposed Rate	Unit Cost	Unit Cost Reference	Explanation
228							
229	SS-3	Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing					
230		Secondary	\$ 120.08	129.22	58.34	E-14D	Set to class increase due to no billing determinants
231		Primary	\$ 280.95	302.34	188.71	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
232		Transmission	\$ 968.00	1,041.70	355.55	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
233		Customer Owned	\$ 96.80	117.04			Set to meet revenue requirements
234							
235		Energy Charge	c/kWh 1.343	1.445	0.579	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
236							
237		Distribution Charge					
238		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	\$/kW 2.72	2.93	5.58	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
239							
240		Generation and Transmission Capacity Charge					
241		Greater of :					
242		Monthly Reservation Charge					
243		Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	\$/kW 1.527	1.640	1.854	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
244		Peak Day Utilized SB Power Charge	\$/kW 0.728	0.781	0.883	E-14D	Set to meet revenue requirements
245							
246		Curtailable Capacity Credit					
247		Monthly Reservation Credit	\$/kW 0.877	0.800	0.800	E-14D	Set to Settlement Terms
248		Daily Demand Credit	\$/kW 0.418	0.381	0.381	E-14D	Set to Settlement Terms
249							
250		Delivery Voltage Credits					
251		Primary	\$/kW 1.31	1.18	1.18	DVC	Set to reflect COS
252		Transmission < 230 kV	\$/kW 5.42	5.56	5.56	DVC	Set to reflect COS
253		Transmission ≥ 230 kV	\$/kW 7.50	7.73	7.73	DVC	Set to reflect COS
254		Premium Distribution Charge	\$/kW 1.39	1.86	1.86	E-14G	Set to reflect COS
255							
256		Meter Voltage Adjustment - % of Demand & Energy Charges					
257		Primary	% 1.0%	1.0%			
258		Transmission	% 2.0%	2.0%			
259							
260		Equipment Rental - % of Installed Equipment Cost	% 1.08%	0.96%	0.96%	E-14F 3b	Set to COS
261							
262	SC-1	Initial Connection	\$ 58.00	58.00	145.13	E-7	No change proposed
263		Reconnection	\$ 12.00	-	6.06	E-7	Set per Settlement Terms
264		Transfer of Account - No LSA Contract	\$ 12.00	12.00	6.06	E-7	No change proposed
265		Transfer of Account - LSA Contract Required	\$ 4.00	4.00	3.79	E-7	No change proposed
266		Investigation of Unauthorized Use - (RPI)	\$ 200.00	200.00	98.91	E-7	No change proposed
267		Late Payment Charge					
268		Greater of	\$ 5.00	5.00			No change proposed
269		Or	% 1.5%	1.5%			No change proposed
270		Returned Check Charge					
271		if check amount \$0 <= \$50	\$ 25.00	25.00			Florida Statute 68.065
272		if check amount \$50 <= \$300	\$ 30.00	30.00			Florida Statute 68.065
273		if check amount <= \$800	\$ 40.00	40.00			Florida Statute 68.065
274		if check amount > \$800	\$ 0.05	0.05			Florida Statute 68.065
275							
276	TS-1	Temporary Service Extension - Monthly	\$ 310.00	310.00	266.13	E-7	No change proposed

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 75 of 143

Page 1 of 1

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 72

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Page 1 of 1
Attachment B

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Development of All RS Unit Costs and GS and GSD Energy Unit Costs

Line	Description	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
			RS	GS	GSD	Reference
1	<u>Customer Charge based on Unit Costs:</u>					
2	<u>Unit Cost</u>					
3	Metering		\$2.94			Schedule E-6b
4	Billing		\$8.14			Schedule E-6b
5	Secondary Service Tap		\$1.81			Schedule E-6b
6	Subtotal		\$12.89			
7						
8	<u>Distribution Primary / Secondary Transformer Costs (\$000)</u>					
9	Gross Plant FERC 368 - Line Transformers		\$1,379,693			COSS
10	Gross Plant - Total Distribution Secondary Delivery		\$2,809,569			COSS
11	Ratio		0.00%			Line 9 / Line 10
12						
13	<u>Distribution Secondary Cost of Service</u>					
14	Distribution Secondary Cost of Service (\$000)		\$204,913			Schedule E-6
15	Number of Bills		21,279,866			Schedule E-6b
16	Average Unit Cost per Customer		\$9.63			Line 14 / Line 15
17	Transformer Ratio		0.00%			Line 12
18	Transformer Unit Cost		\$0.00			Line 16 x Line 17
19						
20	Total Proposed Customer Charge		\$12.89			Line 6 + Line 18
21						
22						
23						
24	<u>Demand and Energy Charge based on Unit Costs:</u>					
25	<u>Levelized Energy Rate:</u>					
26	Total Demand and Energy Cost of Service (\$000)		\$1,742,293	\$151,912	\$721,660	Schedules E-6b; E-13c
27	kWh Sales (000)		21,757,217	2,206,586	13,267,828	Schedule E-6b
28	Energy Rate Levelized (¢/kWh)		8.008	6.884	5.439	Line 26 / Line 27
29						
30	<u>Two Tier Energy Rates:</u>					
31	Percentage of Sales ≤ 1,000 kWh		72.16%			Based on forecasted usage data - E-13c
32	Percentage of Sales > 1,000 kWh		27.84%			Based on forecasted usage data - E-13c
33	Differential in 2-Tier Rate (¢/kWh)		1.000			Input
34	Winter seasonal percentage ≤ 1,000 kWh		15.73%	15.73%		Based on 2022 data w/ gradualism impacts
35	Winter seasonal percentage > 1,000 kWh		19.00%	30.46%		Based on 2022 data w/ gradualism (set at 19%)
36	Non-winter seasonal percentage ≤ 1,000 kWh		-4.23%	-4.23%		Based on 2022 data w/ gradualism impacts
37	Non-winter seasonal percentage > 1,000 kWh		-6.78%	-6.78%		Based on 2022 data w/ gradualism impacts
38						
39	Winter Proposed Energy Charge ≤ 1,000 kWh (¢/kWh)		8.945			(Line 28 - [1*Line 32]) * (1 + Line 34)
40	Winter Proposed Energy Charge > 1,000 kWh (¢/kWh)		10.388			(Line 28 + [1*Line 31]) * (1 + Line 35)
41	Non-Winter Proposed Energy Charge ≤ 1,000 kWh (¢/kWh)		7.403			(Line 28 - [1*Line 32]) * (1 + Line 36)
42	Non-Winter Proposed Energy Charge > 1,000 kWh (¢/kWh)		8.138			(Line 28 + [1*Line 31]) * (1 + Line 37)

X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

 X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

[illegible]

Development of Time of Use Billing Determinants

 X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

[illegible]

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 78 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 75

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment C
Page 3 of 5

Development of Time of Use Billing Determinants

X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Rate Schedule <u>GSD</u>								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Line		Generation Capacity	Transmission Capacity	Distribution Primary	Distribution Secondary	Generation Energy	Customer	Total
	Revenue required (\$)							
1		67,873,314	39,556,717	60,760,620	-	109,985,152	3,588,793	281,764,595
	Allocator							
2		net load	gross load	res load	res load	LMP	Flat	
	Ratio / Allocation Factor							
3	1 Peak	2.5	1.6	0.9	0.9	1.362	1.0	
4	2 Off-Peak	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.999	1.0	
5	3 Discount	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.798	1.0	
6	4 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	5 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8	6 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Class MWH							
9	1 Peak							1,268,719
10	2 Off-Peak							6,958,130
11	3 Discount							1,651,981
12	4 n/a							-
13	5 n/a							-
14	6 n/a							-
	Intermediate calculation							
15		0.7	0.4	0.6	-	1.1	0.0	
	Prices (c/kWh)							
16	1 Peak	1.8	0.7	0.5	-	1.5	0.0	4.51287
17	2 Off-Peak	0.6	0.4	0.7	-	1.1	0.0	2.77944
18	3 Discount	0.4	0.2	0.4	-	0.9	0.0	1.88331
19	4 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	5 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	6 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Revenue							
22	Calculated	67,873,314	39,556,717	60,760,620	-	109,985,152	3,588,793	281,764,595
23	Check	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
	Demand Prices (\$/kW)							
24	1 Peak	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.09
25	2 Mid-Peak	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.77
26	3 Base	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.67

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 79 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 76

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment C
Page 4 of 5

Development of Time of Use Billing Determinants

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Rate Schedule CS								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Line		Generation Capacity	Transmission Capacity	Distribution Primary	Distribution Secondary	Generation Energy	Customer	Total
	Revenue required (\$)							
1		191,853	111,813	150,923	-	647,231	(2,870)	1,098,950
	Allocator							
2		net load	gross load	res load	res load	LMP	Flat	
	Ratio / Allocation Factor							
3	1 Peak	2.5	1.6	0.9	0.9	1.362	1.0	
4	2 Off-Peak	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.999	1.0	
5	3 Discount	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.798	1.0	
6	4 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	
7	5 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8	6 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Residential MWH							
9	1 Peak							8,537
10	2 Off-Peak							43,945
11	3 Discount							13,589
12	4 n/a							-
13	5 n/a							-
14	6 n/a							-
	Intermediate calculation							
15		0.3	0.2	0.2	-	1.0	(0.0)	
	Prices (c/kWh)							
16	1 Peak	0.8	0.3	0.2	-	1.3	(0.0)	2.58038
17	2 Off-Peak	0.2	0.2	0.2	-	1.0	(0.0)	1.62139
18	3 Discount	0.2	0.1	0.2	-	0.8	(0.0)	1.22271
19	4 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	5 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	6 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Revenue							
22	Calculated	191,853	111,813	150,923	-	647,231	(2,870)	1,098,950
23	Check	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
	Demand Prices (\$/kW)							
24	1 Peak	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.34
25	2 Mid-Peak	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.70
26	3 Base	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.55

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 80 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 77

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment C
Page 5 of 5

Development of Time of Use Billing Determinants

X Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Rate Schedule IS								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Line		Generation Capacity	Transmission Capacity	Distribution Primary	Distribution Secondary	Generation Energy	Customer	Total
1	Revenue required (\$)	5,382,312	3,136,823	2,589,446	-	28,996,424	(10,996)	40,094,000
2	Allocator	net load	gross load	res load	res load	LMP	Flat	
3	Ratio / Allocation Factor							
4	1 Peak	2.5	1.6	0.9	0.9	1.362	1.0	
5	2 Off-Peak	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.999	1.0	
6	3 Discount	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.798	1.0	
7	4 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	
8	5 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	6 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	
9	Class MWH							
10	1 Peak							313,293
11	2 Off-Peak							1,612,765
12	3 Discount							498,716
13	4 n/a							-
14	5 n/a							-
	6 n/a							-
15	Intermediate calculation	0.2	0.1	0.1	-	1.2	(0.0)	
16	Prices (c/kWh)							
17	1 Peak	0.6	0.2	0.1	-	1.6	(0.0)	2.5190
18	2 Off-Peak	0.2	0.1	0.1	-	1.2	(0.0)	1.6145
19	3 Discount	0.1	0.1	0.1	-	0.9	(0.0)	1.2358
20	4 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	5 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	6 n/a	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Revenue							
23	Calculated	5,382,312	3,136,823	2,589,446	-	28,996,424	(10,996)	40,094,000
	Check	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE	TRUE
24	Demand Prices (\$/kW)							
25	1 Peak	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3
26	2 Mid-Peak	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.4
	3 Base	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.6

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 81 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 78

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment D
Page 1 of 2

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Development of Standby Service Rate Charges
\$000's

Summary of Retail Cost of Service by Functional Component
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12 CP and 25% AD

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
I. Development of Retail System Power Supply Unit Cost							
Line	Description	Total Retail Cost of Svc	Retail Units	Unit of Measure at Source Level	Unit Cost at Generation Level	Secondary Delivery Level Factor	Unit Cost at Sec Del Level
1	Production Capacity	\$1,119,552	7,506,964	Avg Monthly CP	\$12.43 per KW Month	0.9504060	\$13.08
2	Production Energy	233,789	42,469,937	MWH	\$5.50 per MWH	0.9504060	\$5.79
3	Transmission	489,358	7,851,944	Avg Monthly CP	\$5.19 per KW Month	0.9504060	\$5.46
4	Distribution Primary	636,336					
5	Distribution Secondary	265,960					
6	Distribution Services	44,149					
7	Metering	77,727					
8	Interruptible Equipment	536					
9	Lighting Fixtures	101,466					
10	Customer Billing , Info, etc.	198,759					
11							
12	Total	<u>\$3,167,633</u>					

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
II. Development of GSD Rate Class' Distribution Unit Cost				
Line	Description	\$000's GSD Class Cost of Svc	Sum Individual Annual Max KW Demand	Unit Cost a/b*1000/12
13	Distribution Primary	\$ 162,582	3,080,555	4.40 per KW Month
14	Distribution Secondary	\$ 37,294	2,624,675	1.18 per KW Month
15				
16	Total	<u>\$ 199,875</u>		<u>\$ 5.58</u>

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 82 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 79

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment D
Page 2 of 2

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Development of Standby Service Rate Charges
\$000's

Development of Demand and Energy Charges Stated at Secondary Delivery and Metering Voltage
Production Capacity Allocation Method: 12 CP and 25% AD

Line	(1) Description	(2) Amount	(3) Reference
1	<u>Customer Charge:</u>		
2	SS-1, SS-3		
3	Secondary	\$58.34 / Month	Schedule E-14E - CS/IS Customer Unit Cost
4	Primary	\$188.71 / Month	Schedule E-14E - CS/IS Customer Unit Cost
5	Transmission	\$355.55 / Month	Schedule E-14E - CS/IS Customer Unit Cost
6			
7	SS-2		
8	Secondary	\$347.91 / Month	Schedule E-14E - CS/IS Unit Cost + IS Equipment
9	Primary	\$478.28 / Month	Schedule E-14E - CS/IS Unit Cost + IS Equipment
10	Transmission	\$645.12 / Month	Schedule E-14E - CS/IS Unit Cost + IS Equipment
11			
12			
13	<u>Base Rate Energy Charge:</u>	\$5.79 / MWH	Schedule E-14D Page 1
14			
15			
16	<u>Distribution Charge:</u>		
17	Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	\$ 5.58 / KW Month	Schedule E-14D Page 1 - Distribution Unit Cost
18			
19			
20	<u>Generation and Transmission Capacity Charge:</u>		
21	Greater of :		
22			
23	A. Monthly Reservation Charge		
24	Applicable to Specified SB Capacity	\$1.854 / KW Month	Schedule E-14D Page 1 - Sum of Production Capacity plus Transmission times assumed unavailability of 10%
25			
26			
27	B. Peak Day Utilized SB Power Charge of:	\$0.883 / KW Day	Schedule E-14D Page 1 - Sum of Production Capacity plus Transmission divided by 21 peak days per month
28			
29			
30			
31	<u>Non-Firm Service Credits</u>		
32	Curtailable		
33	1. Monthly Reservation Credit	\$0.800 / KW Month	Curtailable capacity credit times assumed unavailability of 10%
34			
35	2. Daily Demand Credit	\$0.381 / KW Day	Curtailable capacity credit divided by 21 peak days per month
36			
37	Interruptible		
38	1. Monthly Reservation Credit	\$0.800 / KW Month	Interruptible capacity credit times assumed unavailability of 10%
39			
40	2. Daily Demand Credit	\$0.381 / KW Day	Interruptible capacity credit divided by 21 peak days per month

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 83 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 80

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment E
Page 1 of 1

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Development of Customer Unit Costs for Non-Residential Classes
\$000's

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Line		Investment per Meter	Factor to Secondary Standard	GSD	GS-1	CS/IS Excludes IS Equip	CS/IS Includes IS Equip	Reference
1	<u>Metering Unit Cost</u>							
2	Average Unit Cost			\$9.28	\$4.12	\$90.33	\$90.33	Schedule E-6b
3								
4	<u>Number of Meters</u>							
5	Secondary Standard Demand or TOU			48,264	1,303	69	69	Schedule E-10 page 11 / E-13c
6	Secondary Network/3ph/TR			-	13,494	-	-	Schedule E-10 page 11
7	Primary			380	-	75	75	Schedule E-10 page 11
8	Transmission			10	-	11	11	Schedule E-10 page 11
9				<u>48,654</u>	<u>14,797</u>	<u>154</u>	<u>154</u>	
10	<u>Metering Unit Cost of Service</u>							
11	Secondary Standard Demand or TOU			\$8.21	\$4.12	\$6.31	\$6.31	Solve so that Line 15 Equals Line 2
12	Secondary Network/3ph/TR			\$4.03	\$4.12	\$6.31	\$6.31	Line 11 x Line 20 Relative Relationship
13	Primary			\$137.04	\$63.85	\$136.69	\$136.69	Line 11 x Line 21 Relative Relationship
14	Transmission			\$335.42	\$396.60	\$303.53	\$303.53	Line 11 x Line 22 Relative Relationship
15	Weighted Average			<u>\$9.28</u>	<u>\$4.12</u>	<u>\$90.33</u>	<u>\$90.33</u>	
16								
17								
18	<u>Meter Cost by Metering Voltage</u>							
19	Secondary Standard Demand or TOU	\$485	1.0	\$239	1.0	\$494	1.0	Schedule E-10 page 11
20	Secondary Network/3ph/TR	\$239	0.5	\$239	1.0	\$494	1.0	Schedule E-10 page 11
21	Primary	\$8,108	16.7	\$3,699	15.5	\$10,706	21.7	Schedule E-10 page 11
22	Transmission	\$19,845	40.9	\$22,978	96.3	\$23,773	48.1	Schedule E-10 page 11
23	Full CIAC							
24								
25	<u>Summary Metering Weighted Unit Costs</u>							
26	Secondary			\$8.21	\$4.12	\$6.31	\$6.31	(Lines 5:6 x Lines 11:12) / Lines 5:6
27	Primary			\$137.04	\$63.85	\$136.69	\$136.69	Line 13
28	Transmission			\$335.42	\$396.60	\$303.53	\$303.53	Line 14
29								
30	<u>Total Customer Billing and Secondary Services Unit Cost</u>							
31	Customer Billing Unit Cost			\$8.54	\$8.09	\$50.22	\$50.22	Schedule E-6b
32	Customer Secondary Services Unit Cost			\$1.81	\$1.81	\$1.81	\$1.81	Schedule E-6b
33	Total			<u>\$10.35</u>	<u>\$9.90</u>	<u>\$52.03</u>	<u>\$52.03</u>	
34								
35	<u>Interruptible Equipment Unit Cost</u>			n/a	n/a	n/a	\$289.57	Schedule E-6b
36								
37	<u>Total Customer Unit Cost</u>							
38	Secondary			\$18.56	\$14.02	\$58.34	\$347.91	Line 26 + Line 33 + Line 35
39	Primary			\$147.39	\$73.75	\$188.71	\$478.28	Line 27 + Line 33 + Line 35
40	Transmission			\$345.77	\$406.50	\$355.55	\$645.12	Line 28 + Line 33 + Line 35

**DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F**

**Development of Lighting Facilities Charges
Table of Contents**

Part 1	a.	Fixtures - Development of Billing Units
	b.	Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs
	c.	Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment
	d.	Fixtures - Development of Unit Charges
Part 2	a.	Poles - Development of Billing Units
	b.	Poles - Summary of Current Installed Costs
	c.	Poles - Development of Embedded Investment
	d.	Poles - Development of Unit Charges
Part 3	a.	Development of Monthly Fixture Charge Rate
	b.	Development of Monthly Pole Charge Rate
Part 4		Development of Facility Maintenance Charges

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 85 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 82

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Billing Units

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6x7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7x8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
<u>Incandescent ¹</u>											
1	110	Roadway	1,000	8	8	6	(13%)	5	5	5	59
<u>Mercury Vapor ¹</u>											
2	205	Open Bottom	4,000	703	673	589	(8%)	412	378	395	4,740
3	210	Roadway	4,000	15	14	10	(18%)	7	6	6	77
4	215	Post Top	4,000	35	36	25	(14%)	18	15	16	195
5	220	Roadway	8,000	2,996	2,854	2,066	(16%)	1,446	1,212	1,329	15,951
6	225	Open Bottom	8,000	495	342	288	(23%)	202	155	178	2,137
7	235	Roadway	21,000	880	805	698	(11%)	489	435	462	5,543
8	245	Flood	21,000	95	90	78	(9%)	55	50	52	625
9	250	Flood	62,000	23	22	15	(18%)	11	9	10	115
<u>Sodium Vapor ¹</u>											
10	300	HPS Deco Rdwy White 400w Sandpiper	50,000	5	5	4	(7%)	2	2	2	23
11	301	Sandpiper HPS Deco Roadway 27500L	27,500	998	793	760	(12%)	666	584	625	7,500
12	302	9500L HPS Bronze Champion	9,500	322	271	255	(11%)	227	203	215	2,579
13	305	Open Bottom 4000L	4,000	3,200	3,029	2,635	(9%)	2,394	2,174	2,284	27,405
14	306	100W HPS DECO RDWY BLK SANDPIPER	9,500	28	27	23	(8%)	22	20	21	248
15	310	Roadway	4,000	21,293	18,793	17,023	(11%)	15,222	13,612	14,417	173,002
16	313	Open Bottom	6,500	95	91	79	(9%)	72	66	69	831
17	314	Hometown II	9,500	3,441	3,210	2,793	(10%)	2,517	2,269	2,393	28,720
18	315	Post Top - Colonial/Contemp	4,000	24,758	21,135	19,322	(12%)	17,080	15,097	16,088	193,061
19	316	Colonial Post Top	6,500	119	117	102	(7%)	94	87	91	1,090
20	318	Post Top	9,500	496	408	384	(12%)	339	299	319	3,824
21	320	Roadway-Overhead Only	9,500	90,657	79,329	72,355	(11%)	64,654	57,773	61,213	734,560
22	321	Deco Post Top - Monticello	9,500	9,145	8,086	7,035	(12%)	6,170	5,412	5,791	69,493
23	322	Deco Post Top -Flagler	9,500	4,619	4,045	3,780	(9%)	3,421	3,097	3,259	39,108
24	323	Roadway - Turtle OH Only	9,500	38	37	32	(8%)	30	27	29	342
25	325	Roadway-Overhead Only	16,000	21,381	18,957	17,025	(11%)	15,192	13,557	14,375	172,496
26	326	Deco Post Top - Sanibel	9,500	2,134	2,010	1,749	(9%)	1,584	1,435	1,510	18,117
27	330	Roadway-Overhead Only	22,000	4,802	4,328	3,765	(11%)	3,335	2,953	3,144	37,729
28	335	Roadway-Overhead Only	27,500	17,274	13,789	12,455	(15%)	10,596	9,015	9,805	117,665
29	336	Roadway Bridge Lighting	27,500	170	138	130	(12%)	114	100	107	1,284
30	337	Roadway-DOT	50,000	56	53	46	(9%)	42	38	40	479
31	338	Deco Roadway - Maitland	27,500	821	764	665	(10%)	598	539	569	6,823
32	340	Roadway-Overhead Only	50,000	6,924	6,097	5,688	(9%)	5,158	4,677	4,917	59,004
33	342	Roadway-Turnpike	50,000	281	280	244	(7%)	227	212	220	2,637

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 86 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 83

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Billing Units

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
				Actual	Actual	Projected		Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
Line	Billing			Year	Year	Year	Growth	Year	Year	Average	Annual
No.	Type	Description	Lumens	End	End	End	Rate	End	End	2025	Billing
				2021	2022	2023		2024	2025	2025	Units.
								(6x7)	(7x8)	(8+9)/2	(10) x 12
34	343	Roadway-Turnpike	27,500	372	359	312	(8%)	287	263	275	3,297
35	345	Flood-Overhead Only	27,500	6,403	5,914	5,145	(10%)	4,614	4,138	4,376	52,515
36	347	Clermont	9,500	1,429	1,368	1,190	(9%)	1,087	994	1,040	12,485
37	348	Clermont	27,500	745	701	610	(9%)	552	500	526	6,313
38	350	Flood-Overhead Only	50,000	13,110	11,915	10,366	(11%)	9,220	8,200	8,710	104,521
39	351	Underground Roadway	9,500	3,310	2,890	2,650	(10%)	2,372	2,123	2,247	26,968
40	352	Underground Roadway	16,000	1,349	1,100	1,088	(10%)	982	886	934	11,204
41	354	Underground Roadway	27,500	3,271	2,398	2,288	(16%)	1,930	1,628	1,779	21,351
42	356	Underground Roadway	50,000	634	559	486	(12%)	426	373	400	4,794
43	357	Underground Flood	27,500	61	59	51	(8%)	47	43	45	543
44	358	Underground Flood	50,000	51	49	43	(8%)	39	36	37	448
45	359	Underground Turtle Rdwy	9,500	1	1	1	(7%)	1	1	1	9
46	360	Deco Roadway Rect	9,500	219	208	181	(9%)	165	150	157	1,887
47	365	Deco Roadway Rect	27,500	2,877	2,412	2,210	(12%)	1,939	1,701	1,820	21,839
48	366	Deco Roadway Rect	50,000	1,518	1,376	1,250	(9%)	1,134	1,029	1,082	12,982
49	370	Deco Roadway Round	27,500	418	397	345	(9%)	314	286	300	3,601
50	375	Deco Roadway Round	50,000	321	314	273	(8%)	252	233	243	2,914
51	380	Deco Post Top - Ocala	9,500	42,308	39,448	34,320	(10%)	30,929	27,873	29,401	352,813
52	383	Deco Post Top - Biscayne	9,500	4,661	4,460	3,880	(9%)	3,544	3,238	3,391	40,691
53	385	Deco Post Top - Sebring	9,500	11,251	10,196	9,352	(9%)	8,526	7,774	8,150	97,802
54	392	250w HPS Clermont Special St Joe	27,500	16	16	14	(7%)	13	12	13	151
55	393	Deco Post Top	4,000	2	1	1	(32%)	1	0	1	6

Metal Halide¹

56	175	MH DR 3500	3,500	4	4	3	(7%)	3	3	3	38
57	307	Deco Post Top-MH Sanibel PS	11,600	249	201	175	(16%)	147	123	135	1,618
58	308	Clermont Tear Drop PS	11,600	127	120	104	(9%)	95	86	90	1,084
59	309	MH Deco Rectangular PS	36,000	587	551	479	(10%)	434	392	413	4,953
60	311	MF Deco Cube PS	36,000	83	83	72	(7%)	68	63	65	784
61	312	MH Flood PS	36,000	329	301	262	(11%)	234	209	221	2,654
62	319	MH Post Top Biscayne PS	11,600	96	94	82	(8%)	76	70	73	873
63	327	Deco Post Top - Sanibel (MH)	12,000	1,518	1,363	1,186	(12%)	1,048	927	987	11,848
64	332	150w DBL MH P Captiva	11,600	6	6	5	(7%)	5	5	5	57
65	333	150w MH Flagler PS	11,600	7	7	6	(7%)	6	5	6	66
66	349	Clermont MH	12,000	601	363	316	(26%)	233	172	202	2,426
67	371	Deco Roadway Rect (MH)	38,000	2,125	1,834	1,596	(13%)	1,383	1,198	1,290	15,484
68	372	Deco Roadway Round (MH)	38,000	149	117	102	(17%)	84	70	77	924
69	373	Deco Roadway Rect (MH)	110,000	285	251	218	(12%)	191	167	179	2,151
70	386	Flood (MH)	110,000	1,502	1,347	1,172	(12%)	1,035	915	975	11,699
71	389	Flood (MH)-sport light	110,000	208	195	170	(10%)	153	139	146	1,751

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 87 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 84

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Billing Units

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6x7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7x8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
72	390	Deco Cube (MH)	38,000	1,509	1,477	1,285	(8%)	1,188	1,098	1,143	13,715
73	391	Bellalagro Metal Halide 175w Bronze Type III 120v	12,000	180	176	153	(8%)	141	131	136	1,633
74	396	Deco Post Top (Dual MH)	24,000	63	63	55	(7%)	51	48	50	595
75	397	Deco Post Top (MH)	12,000	600	564	491	(10%)	444	402	423	5,076
76	398	Deco Cube (MH)	110,000	837	752	654	(12%)	578	512	545	6,540
77	399	Flood (MH)	38,000	1,294	1,209	1,052	(10%)	949	856	902	10,830
<u>Light Emitting Diode (LED)</u>											
78	104	50w LED Sanibel Black Type III 4000K ¹	6,354	1,460	1,445	1,445		1,445	1,431	1,438	17,253
79	106	Underground Sanibel ¹	5,500	7,154	7,174	7,174		7,174	7,102	7,138	85,658
80	107	Underground Traditional Open	3,908	3,081	3,559	4,232	10%	4,655	5,819	5,237	62,845
81	108	Underground Traditional w/Lens	3,230	2,749	3,089	3,456	10%	3,802	4,752	4,277	51,322
82	109	Underground Acorn	4,332	1,853	2,170	2,478	10%	2,726	3,135	2,930	35,163
83	111	Underground Mini Bell	2,889	1,709	2,008	2,470	10%	2,717	3,396	3,056	36,677
84	116	146W LED V VENTUS ¹	14,403	84	84	84	(1%)	83	82	83	993
85	117	146W LED FWT VENTUS ¹	13,508	232	233	233	(1%)	231	228	230	2,754
86	118	219W LED III VENTUS ¹	20,333	338	441	441	(1%)	437	432	434	5,213
87	119	219W COOPER SHOEBOX BLK III ¹	20,333	192	193	193	0%	194	192	193	2,310
88	120	50W LED K118 3K V MULTIV U F	4,861	182	515	695	35%	939	1,173	1,056	12,671
89	121	Shoebox Bronze III	21,164	674	1,079	1,327	15%	1,526	1,679	1,603	19,231
90	122	Shoebox Bronze IV	20,555	1,008	1,208	1,486	10%	1,634	1,880	1,757	21,084
91	123	Shoebox Bronze V	21,803	626	686	844	10%	928	1,067	998	11,973
92	124	Shoebox Black III	21,164	525	622	765	20%	918	1,056	987	11,843
93	126	Shoebox Black IV FWT	20,555	843	1,025	1,261	14%	1,437	1,581	1,509	18,109
94	127	Shoebox Black V	21,803	682	790	972	10%	1,069	1,229	1,149	13,788
95	130	Monticello 3000 Kelvin	4,430	77	95	274	12%	306	383	345	4,137
96	131	67W LED UG ROADWAY ¹	4,600	87	89	89		89	88	89	1,063
97	132	130W LED UG ROADWAY ¹	9,200	191	188	188		188	186	187	2,245
98	133	ATBO Roadway ¹	4,521	12,750	12,955	12,955		12,955	12,916	12,936	155,227
99	134	Underground ATBO Roadway ¹	4,521	2,473	2,646	2,646		2,646	2,620	2,633	31,593
100	136	Roadway	9,233	10,387	12,181	13,888	10%	15,277	17,568	16,423	197,071
101	137	Underground Roadway	9,233	1,992	2,281	2,737	10%	3,011	3,312	3,161	37,938
102	138	Roadway	18,642	4,853	5,379	6,616	30%	8,601	9,461	9,031	108,373
103	139	Underground Roadway	18,642	2,604	3,033	3,431	20%	4,117	4,529	4,323	51,877
104	141	Roadway	24,191	1,970	2,442	3,004	30%	3,905	4,490	4,198	50,371
105	142	Underground Roadway	24,191	1,269	1,587	1,952	20%	2,342	2,577	2,460	29,514
106	143	216W LED OVHD BLK ROADWAY	26,799	193	200	246	13%	279	307	293	3,512
107	144	216W LED UNGR BLK ROADWAY	26,799	532	535	642	10%	708	814	761	9,133
108	147	Roadway	12,642	3,697	5,742	6,356	30%	8,263	9,503	8,883	106,597

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 88 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 85

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Billing Units

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6x7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7x8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
109	148	Underground Roadway	12,642	1,488	2,927	3,240	25%	4,050	4,658	4,354	52,248
110	149	50 WATT K118 3K IV MULTIV U F	4,946	3,502	5,840	7,884	30%	10,249	11,787	11,018	132,215
111	151	ATBS Roadway ¹	4,500	23,496	23,293	23,293		23,293	23,223	23,258	279,097
112	152	49W LED AREA REFRACT OVHD ¹	5,100	1,345	1,445	1,445		1,445	1,431	1,438	17,253
113	153	49W LED AREA UNDERGROUND ¹	5,400	1,655	1,684	1,684		1,684	1,667	1,676	20,107
114	154	49W LED AREA REFRACT UNDER ¹	5,100	40	106	106		106	105	105	1,266
115	156	Shoebox Bronze IV FWT	39,078	867	925	1,038	15%	1,194	1,373	1,283	15,399
116	157	Shoebox Bronze V	43,317	670	665	818	11%	908	999	953	11,440
117	158	Shoebox Black IV FWT	39,078	307	332	408	16%	474	545	509	6,111
118	159	Shoebox Black V	43,317	384	382	470	11%	522	574	548	6,571
119	160	50W LED Monticello BLK TIII 3000K	4,646	1,315	2,723	3,540	30%	4,602	5,292	4,947	59,364
120	161	284W LED ROADWAY BLACK UG	31,599	43	220	271	20%	325	568	446	5,358
121	163	Shoebox Pedestrian Bronze ¹	3,130	11	11	11		11	11	11	131
122	164	Shoebox Pedestrian Black ¹	3,130	279	277	277		277	274	276	3,307
123	167	Underground Mitchell	5,186	1,411	1,731	2,164	15%	2,488	2,737	2,613	31,353
124	168	Underground Mitchell w/Top Hat	4,336	2,550	2,716	3,341	15%	3,842	4,418	4,130	49,559
125	169	Teardrop	8,472	257	277	341	10%	375	412	394	4,722
126	171	48W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED ¹	5,742	78	91	100		100	99	100	1,195
127	172	108W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED	12,748	514	735	904	25%	1,130	1,243	1,187	14,239
128	173	150W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED	16,192	716	921	1,133	26%	1,425	1,568	1,497	17,958
129	178	50W TEARDROP LED BLACK	6,034	90	108	133	10%	146	161	153	1,841
130	179	216W LED RDWY WHITE OVERHEAD	26,799	86	94	116	16%	134	154	144	1,732
131	180	216W LED RDWY WHITE UNDERGROUND	26,799	396	190	234	5%	245	282	264	3,165
132	181	Sanibel ¹	10,820	311	289	289		289	286	288	3,451
133	182	Biscayne ¹	4,655	2,483	2,468	2,468		2,468	2,443	2,456	29,468
134	183	Clermont ¹	15,375	399	405	405		405	401	403	4,836
135	184	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed ¹	4,195	21,693	21,461	21,461		21,461	21,397	21,429	257,146
136	185	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed ¹	4,195	861	875	875		875	866	871	10,448
137	186	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed ¹	8,200	3,424	3,459	3,459		3,459	3,424	3,442	41,300
138	187	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed ¹	8,200	107	118	118		118	117	117	1,409
139	191	Flood Overhead Feed	13,729	2,148	2,764	3,400	20%	4,080	4,692	4,386	52,628
140	192	Flood Overhead Feed	30,238	1,237	1,469	1,807	20%	2,168	2,493	2,331	27,970
141	193	Clermont ¹	7,451	573	575	575		575	569	572	6,866
142	194	Flood Underground Feed	13,729	134	150	185	25%	231	265	248	2,975
143	195	LED Flood Underground Feed	30,238	158	192	236	22%	289	332	310	3,725
144	196	Amber Roadway Overhead	4,133	66	228	280	22%	342	376	359	4,311
145	197	Amber Roadway Underground	4,133	1	3	4	33%	5	8	7	80
146	198	Amber Roadway Overhead	5,408	88	187	230	23%	283	311	297	3,565
147	199	Amber Roadway Underground	5,408	2	4	5	19%	6	8	7	85

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 89 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 86

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Billing Units

(1) Line No.	(2) Billing Type	(3) Description	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
			Lumens	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6x7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7x8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
148	296	150 WATT 3K III MULTIV F	15,381	2,619	2,939	3,674	25%	4,592	5,051	4,822	57,862
149	297	150 WATT 3K III MULTIV UG F	15,381	27	44	54	18%	64	70	67	805
150	361	Roadway ¹	6,000	177	186	186		186	184	185	2,221
151	362	Roadway ¹	9,600	86	86	86		86	85	86	1,027
152	363	Shoebox Type 3 ¹	20,664	188	187	187	(0%)	187	185	186	2,227
153	364	Shoebox Type 4 ¹	14,421	50	53	53		53	52	53	633
154	367	Shoebox Type 5 ¹	14,421	25	28	28		28	28	28	334
155	368	71W LED SANIBEL ¹	8,122	2,156	2,221	2,221		2,221	2,199	2,210	26,519
156	369	Underground Biscayne ¹	6,500	1,797	1,771	1,806	0%	1,811	1,793	1,802	21,628
157	103	60w LED Falcon Ridge	6,315	78	160	197	23%	242	278	260	3,123
158	105	150w LED RW Blk T3 3K	15,381	40	57	70	33%	93	140	116	1,396
159	112	49w LED TrdClo 3000k	4,215	513	851	1,106	30%	1,438	2,157	1,798	21,573
160	114	421w LED SbX Blk 3k	41,379	20	28	34	23%	42	47	44	534
161	125	Flood Overhead Feed 130w Brz 3k	16,436	373	640	928	45%	1,346	1,480	1,413	16,955
162	128	Flood Underground Feed 130w Brz 3k	16,436	11	26	32	23%	39	43	41	496
163	162	284W LED ROADWAY BRONZE UG III	31,599	90	107	132	21%	159	175	167	2,006
164	166	51W ENTERPRISE LED PT ¹	4,500	170	170	179		179	196	187	2,249
165	174	150W LED ROADWAY GRAY 480v	16,192	9	12	15	28%	19	21	20	238
166	176	216W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	26,799	28	114	140	33%	186	205	196	2,350
167	177	284W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	31,599	9	32	39	33%	52	58	55	660
168	188	40W ROADWAY LED OVERHEAD GRAY W/REFRACTOR ¹	4,544	75	110	121		121	120	120	1,445
169	189	40W ROADWAY LED UNDRGRND GRAY W/REFRACTOR ¹	4,544	30	66	73		73	72	72	867
170	190	220W LED SB BLK IV 3K	23,061	10	80	98	22%	119	131	125	1,500
171	200	284W LED RW BK III 3K	31,599	389	389	478	12%	533	587	560	6,722
172	201	Flood Overhead Feed 260w Brz 3k	32,963	144	294	426	45%	618	680	649	7,789
173	202	LED Flood Underground Feed 260w Brz 3k	32,963	7	14	17	23%	21	23	22	267
174	203	30W LED 3K BLK UG	2,739	1,815	5,843	7,596	30%	9,875	11,356	10,615	127,383
175	204	30W LED 3K BIS III	4,051	1,129	1,538	2,076	35%	2,803	3,083	2,943	35,318
176	206	30W LED 3K BIS V	4,050	1	2	22	1,000%	242	266	254	3,049
177	207	50W LED 3K FLOOD	5,785	17	27	54	100%	108	124	116	1,393
178	208	50W LED 4K FLOOD	5,940	4	10	20	100%	40	44	42	504
179	209	50W LED 4K SB IV BLK	5,217	6	11	17	50%	25	27	26	312
180	211	50W LED 3K SB IV BLK	4,933	111	146	180	50%	269	310	290	3,475
181	212	50W LED 4K SB IV RZ	5,217	1	-	5	15%	6	6	6	72
182	213	50W LED 3K SB IV BRZ	4,933	1	1	1	12%	1	2	2	21
183	214	50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	5,785	1	-	5	12%	6	8	7	84
184	216	50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	5,940	2	3	4	37%	5	8	6	76
185	217	280W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358	5	21	25	20%	30	33	32	381
186	218	280W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358	12	14	17	20%	21	23	22	260
187	219	280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358	1	1	1	12%	1	2	1	17

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 90 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 87

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Billing Units

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6x7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7x8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
188	221	280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358	1	-	5	10%	6	6	6	-
189	222	150W LED RW IV GRAY	16,461	12	30	36	20%	43	48	45	544
190	223	150W LED RW IV GRAY	16,461	4	5	6	24%	8	8	8	96
191	224	60W LED BIS III ¹	7,075	838	856	1,053		1,053	1,042	1,048	12,571
192	226	110W AMBER RW OH	5,325	5	8	10	42%	14	16	15	180
193	227	110W AMBER RD UG	5,325	1	-	5	10%	6	6	6	71
194	228	50W LED OCA V BLK	6,582	173	624	830	33%	1,104	1,214	1,159	13,908
195	229	50W LED OMONT III 3K	3,972	37	242	322	33%	428	556	492	5,907
196	231	70W LED ODAC III WHT	6,207	1	-	5	10%	6	8	7	83
197	232	50W ODAC 1K III BL	1,568	28	39	48	31%	63	69	66	793
198	233	50W OTRAD 1K III BL	1,361	20	70	91	30%	118	130	124	1,491
199	234	50W SAN III 3K BLK ¹	5,810	130	186	229		229	226	228	2,732
200	236	50W LED SAN WHITE ¹	6,226	1	-	5		5	5	5	60
201	237	50W ENTR III 3K	4,540	24	132	205	55%	317	476	396	4,757
202	238	220W RW III 3K WHT	26,799	110	117	135	15%	155	178	166	1,996
203	239	60W SAN QSM AMBER	1,953	44	59	73	29%	93	107	100	1,203
204	241	50W CLER III QSM	6,273	112	537	661	15%	760	836	798	9,571
205	242	150W CLER III QSM	14,215	22	174	214	20%	257	283	270	3,236
206	244	50W SAN III QSM	6,226	206	324	399	20%	478	526	502	6,026
207	246	50W SAN III 3K QSM	5,810	81	1,107	2,214	35%	2,989	4,483	3,736	44,834
208	247	50W SAN III WHT QSM	6,226	1	2	15	10%	17	25	21	248
209	248	50 SAN III WH 3K QSM	5,810	1	2	25	22%	31	34	32	384
210	249	50 SBX IV BLK AMB	4,933	22	54	65	21%	79	87	83	996
211	251	50 MICRO II 3K OH	5,283	434	1,168	1,577	40%	2,208	3,311	2,759	33,113
212	252	50 MICRO II 3K UG	5,283	110	754	1,698	20%	2,038	3,056	2,547	30,564
213	253	50 MICRO III 3K OH	5,232	4,255	14,339	19,358	40%	27,101	33,876	30,488	365,860
214	254	50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232	1,391	3,680	5,678	20%	6,814	8,517	7,665	91,984
215	255	50 MICRO V 3K OH	5,494	18	76	91	20%	109	126	118	1,412
216	256	50 MICRO V 3K UG	5,494	2	59	71	20%	85	98	91	1,096
217	257	50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232	2	297	580	20%	696	1,044	870	10,440
218	259	50 MTCHR III 3K RBM	5,811	96	140	168	20%	202	222	212	2,540
219	261	50MTCHTR III3K THRBM	5,464	14	248	298	20%	357	411	384	4,607
220	263	50 MTCHR V 3K RBM	6,525	53	58	71	15%	82	94	88	1,058
221	265	50MTCHTR V3K THRBM	5,449	1	-	5	15%	6	7	6	74
222	266	110 RW III 3K B	12,748	22	35	42	21%	51	59	55	661
223	267	420 SBX V 3K	45,868	2	2	2	12%	3	3	3	35
224	268	150 RW BLK IV 3K UG	14,952	66	96	118	34%	158	174	166	1,997
225	269	150 SBX BLK III	19,007	1	25	31	22%	37	41	39	469
226	270	150 SBX BLK IV	18,460	12	86	103	20%	124	136	130	1,560
227	271	150 SBX BLK V	18,580	5	42	50	20%	60	67	64	762
228	272	40 COL BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007	12	23	28	11%	31	35	33	396

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 91 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 88

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Billing Units

(1) Line No.	(2) Billing Type	(3) Description	(4) Lumens	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
				Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6x7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7x8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
229	273	40 WAS BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007	1	-	16	11%	18	20	19	224
230	274	150 ENT BLK V 3K	16,500	1	-	55	15%	63	70	66	797
231	275	150 ENT BLK IV 3K	15,595	1	-	75	15%	86	95	91	1,087
232	276	150 ENT BLK III 3K	15,091	1	-	88	20%	106	116	111	1,331
233	277	220 ENT BLK V 3K	23,507	1	-	55	15%	63	70	66	797
234	278	220 ENT BLK IV 3K	22,219	1	-	45	20%	54	59	57	680
235	279	220 ENT BLK III 3K	21,502	1	-	45	30%	59	64	61	737
236	280	220 RW IV GRAY	26,799	6	15	18	20%	22	24	23	272
237	281	150 SAN III BLK4KQ5M	16,160	22	36	44	10%	49	54	51	614
238	282	130 RW AMB WHT IIIU	6,491	81	300	399	30%	519	571	545	6,536
239	283	130 RW AMB WHT IIIO	6,491	33	45	55	30%	72	79	75	904
240	284	130 RW AMB BLK III OH DOT	5,325	1	1	1	10%	1	1	1	17
241	285	130 RW AMB BLK III UG DOT	5,325	1	1	1	10%	1	1	1	17
242	286	50 VILLAGES BLK V 3K	3,918	100	202	248	20%	298	328	313	3,757
243	287	50 VILLAGES BLK IV 3K	4,364	1	-	50	15%	58	63	60	725
244	288	50W OTRAD 3K V BL	4,694	1	-	15	20%	18	20	19	227
245	289	50 MICRO BLK II 3K UG	5,377	1	-	84	20%	101	151	126	1,512
246	290	50 MICRO BLK II 3K OH	5,377	1	-	77	20%	92	139	116	1,386
247	291	150 RW GRAY IV 3K OH	20,050	1	3	4	20%	4	5	5	54
248	292	40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIV F ¹	4,711	18,269	18,319	18,319		18,319	18,264	18,292	219,498
249	293	40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIV UG F ¹	4,711	233	255	255		255	252	254	3,045
250	294	70 WATT 3K II MULTIV OH F ¹	7,565	5,750	5,729	5,729		5,729	5,672	5,700	68,404
251	295	70 WATT 3K II MULTIV UG F ¹	7,565	18	35	35		35	35	35	418
252	299	280W RDWY 3k WHT III UG	31,358	2	4	5	21%	6	6	6	74
253	334	150 RW GRAY IV 3K UG	20,050	1	3	4	20%	4	5	5	54
254	374	150 RW BLK III 3K OH	20,070	6	15	220	30%	286	429	358	4,290
255	376	150 RW BLK IV 3K OH	20,050	1	-	10	20%	12	18	15	180
256	377	220 RW GRY III 3K OH	31,493	22	33	55	58%	87	131	109	1,306
257	378	220 RW GRY III 3K UG	31,493	3	7	55	30%	72	107	89	1,073
258	379	220 RW GRY IV 3K OH	28,647	6	11	13	20%	16	24	20	238
259	382	220 RW GRY IV 3K UG	28,647	1	2	2	19%	3	4	4	42
260	384	220 RW BLK III 3K UG	31,493	1	-	110	10%	121	182	151	1,815
261	388	220 RW BLK IV 3K OH	28,647	1	-	10	10%	11	17	14	165
262	600	220 RW BLK IV 3K UG	28,647	1	-	10	10%	11	17	14	165
263	601	220 RW WHT III 3K UG	31,493	1	-	5	10%	6	8	7	83
264	602	280 RW GRY III 3K OH	37,226	9	18	34	25%	43	64	53	638
265	603	280 RW GRY III 3K UG	37,226	4	5	26	223%	84	126	105	1,258
266	604	280 RW GRY IV 3K OH	34,106	1	2	65	20%	78	117	98	1,170
267	605	280 RW GRY IV 3K UG	34,106	1	-	55	100%	110	165	138	1,650
268	606	280 RW BLK III 3K OH	37,226	1	1	100	100%	200	230	215	2,580
269	607	280 RW BLK IV 3K OH	34,106	1	-	100	100%	200	220	210	2,520

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 92 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 89

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Billing Units

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6x7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7x8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
270	608	280 RW BLK IV 3K UG	34,106	1	-	100	100%	200	220	210	2,520
271	609	110 RW GRY III 3K UG	15,230	7	8	20	20%	24	26	25	302
272	610	110 RW GRY III 3K OH	15,230	55	72	150	20%	180	198	189	2,268
273	611	70 ODAC BLK III 3K	5,630	22	39	448	20%	538	591	564	6,774
274	612	70 ODAC WHT III 3K	5,630	1	-	42		42	46	44	529
275	614	150CLERBLKIII3KQSM	13,547	1	-	10	30%	13	14	14	164
276	616	50 MB BLK III 3K	4,679	1	-	5	20%	6	7	6	76
277	617	50 OTRAD BLK III 3K	4,309	7	11	155	40%	217	239	228	2,734
278	618	150 SAN III BLK3KQSM	16,278	1	-	123	30%	160	176	168	2,015
279	619	50 TD BLK III 3K	5,751	1	1	1	44%	2	2	2	26
280	620	150 TD BLK III 3K	14,652	1	1	57	40%	80	88	84	1,005
281	629	50 COBRA GRY II 3K OH	5,487	1	1	100	20%	120	132	126	1,512
282	630	50 COBRA GRY II 3K UG	5,487	1	1	134	20%	161	177	169	2,026
283	631	50 COBRA GRY III 3K OH	5,378	1	1	79	30%	103	113	108	1,294
284	632	50 COBRA GRY III 3K UG	5,378	1	1	111	30%	144	159	152	1,818
285	633	50 COBRA GRY V 3K OH	5,428	1	1	87	20%	104	115	110	1,315
286	634	50 COBRA GRY V 3K UG	5,428	1	1	50	20%	60	66	63	756
287	635	150 SBX BLK III 3K	17,970	1	2	145	20%	174	191	183	2,192
288	636	150 SBX BLK IV 3K	17,452	1	2	109	20%	131	144	137	1,648
289	637	150 SBX BLK V 3K	18,513	1	2	22	20%	26	29	28	333
290	638	220 SBX BLK III 3K	23,744	1	2	178	20%	214	235	224	2,691
291	639	220 SBX BLK V 3K	24,461	1	2	34	20%	41	45	43	514
292	640	30 OTC BLK III 3K	3,493	1	2	885	30%	1,151	1,726	1,438	17,258
293	641	110 RW GRY IV UG	15,950	1	2	90	10%	99	109	104	1,247
294	642	110 RW GRY IV OH	15,950	1	2	44	10%	48	53	51	610
295	643	110 RW GRY IV 3K UG	15,230	1	2	107	10%	118	129	124	1,483
296	644	110 RW GRY IV 3K OH	15,230	1	2	78	20%	94	103	98	1,179
297	645	110 RW BLK IV UG	15,950	1	2	55	15%	63	70	66	797
298	646	110 RW BLK IV OH	15,950	1	2	34	15%	39	43	41	493
299	647	110 RW BLK IV 3K UG	15,230	1	2	222	15%	255	281	268	3,217
300	648	110 RW BLK IV 3K OH	15,230	1	2	66	15%	76	83	80	956
301	649	150 SBX BRZ 3K III	17,970	1	2	177	15%	204	305	254	3,053
302	650	150 SBX BRZ 3K V	18,513	1	2	78	15%	90	135	112	1,346
303	651	150 SBX BRZ 3K IV	17,452	1	2	55	15%	63	95	79	949
304	652	150 SBX BRZ III	19,007	1	2	108	15%	124	186	155	1,863
305	653	150 SBX BRZ IV	18,460	1	2	67	15%	77	116	96	1,156
306	654	150 SBX BRZ V	18,580	1	2	50	15%	58	86	72	863
Receptacles⁴											
307	672	HOLIDAY REC RISER		-	-	200	40%	280	392	336	4,032

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 93 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 90

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Billing Units

(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6x7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7x8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
308	673	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK		-	-	1		1	1	1	12
309	674	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
310	675	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT		-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-
311	676	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK		-	-	20	20%	24	29	26	317
312	677	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY		-	-	1	5%	1	1	1	13
313	678	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT		-	-	2	2%	2	2	2	25
314	679	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK		-	-	16	70%	27	46	37	441
315	680	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
316	681	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
317	682	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
318	683	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
319	684	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
320	685	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT		-	-	-		-	-	-	-
Total Fixtures				511,955	515,067	521,275	2%	529,361	539,162	534,261	6,411,066

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 94 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 91

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1b.
Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
<u>Incandescent ¹</u>						
1	110	Roadway	1,000	\$9.52	\$187.95	\$197.47
<u>Mercury Vapor ¹</u>						
2	205	Open Bottom	4,000	\$39.18	\$187.95	\$227.13
3	210	Roadway	4,000	\$76.89	\$187.95	\$264.84
4	215	Post Top	4,000	\$300.01	\$239.00	\$539.01
5	220	Roadway	8,000	\$49.98	\$187.95	\$237.93
6	225	Open Bottom	8,000	\$49.98	\$187.95	\$237.93
7	235	Roadway	21,000	\$134.16	\$187.95	\$322.11
8	245	Flood	21,000	\$233.45	\$234.08	\$467.53
9	250	Flood	62,000	\$233.45	\$234.08	\$467.53
<u>Sodium Vapor ¹</u>						
10	300	HPS Deco Rdwy White 400w Sandpiper	50,000	\$632.29	\$187.95	\$820.24
11	301	Sandpiper HPS Deco Roadway 27500L	27,500	\$570.28	\$187.95	\$758.23
12	302	9500L HPS Bronze Champion	9,500	\$522.31	\$187.95	\$710.26
13	305	Open Bottom 4000L	4,000	\$39.18	\$187.95	\$227.13
14	306	100W HPS DECO RDWY BLK SANDPIPER	9,500	\$512.95	\$187.95	\$700.90
15	310	Roadway	4,000	\$76.89	\$187.95	\$264.84
16	313	Open Bottom	6,500	\$45.36	\$187.95	\$233.31
17	314	Hometown II	9,500	\$49.98	\$187.95	\$237.93
18	315	Post Top - Colonial/Contemp	4,000	\$300.01	\$239.00	\$539.01
19	316	Colonial Post Top	6,500	\$300.01	\$239.00	\$539.01
20	318	Open Bottom	9,500	\$49.98	\$239.00	\$288.98
21	320	Roadway-Overhead Only	9,500	\$62.50	\$187.95	\$250.45
22	321	Deco Post Top - Monticello	9,500	\$577.30	\$282.10	\$859.40
23	322	Deco Post Top -Flagler	9,500	\$703.66	\$282.10	\$985.76
24	323	Roadway - Turtle OH Only	9,500	\$66.36	\$187.95	\$254.31
25	325	Roadway-Overhead Only	16,000	\$63.67	\$187.95	\$251.62
26	326	Deco Post Top - Sanibel	9,500	\$889.69	\$282.10	\$1,171.79
27	330	Roadway-Overhead Only	22,000	\$62.50	\$187.95	\$250.45
28	335	Roadway-Overhead Only	27,500	\$85.90	\$187.95	\$273.85
29	336	Roadway Bridge Lighting	27,500	\$219.28	\$187.95	\$407.23
30	337	Roadway-DOT	50,000	\$134.16	\$187.95	\$322.11
31	338	Deco Roadway - Maitland	27,500	\$98.77	\$187.95	\$286.72
32	340	Roadway-Overhead Only	50,000	\$148.44	\$187.95	\$336.38
33	342	Roadway-Turnpike	50,000	\$292.35	\$187.95	\$480.29

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 95 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 92

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1b.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line						
No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
34	343	Roadway-Turnpike	27,500	\$288.72	\$187.95	\$476.67
35	345	Flood-Overhead Only	27,500	\$157.27	\$234.08	\$391.35
36	347	Clermont	9,500	\$962.23	\$441.48	\$1,403.71
37	348	Clermont	27,500	\$920.11	\$441.48	\$1,361.59
38	350	Flood-Overhead Only	50,000	\$170.67	\$234.08	\$404.75
39	351	Underground Roadway	9,500	\$69.52	\$282.10	\$351.62
40	352	Underground Roadway	16,000	\$70.69	\$282.10	\$352.79
41	354	Underground Roadway	27,500	\$93.86	\$282.10	\$375.95
42	356	Underground Roadway	50,000	\$143.82	\$282.10	\$425.91
43	357	Underground Flood	27,500	\$157.27	\$328.23	\$485.50
44	358	Underground Flood	50,000	\$170.67	\$328.23	\$498.90
45	359	Underground Turtle Rdwy	9,500	\$66.36	\$282.10	\$348.46
46	360	Deco Roadway Rect	9,500	\$642.76	\$187.95	\$830.71
47	365	Deco Roadway Rect	27,500	\$642.76	\$187.95	\$830.71
48	366	Deco Roadway Rect	50,000	\$642.76	\$187.95	\$830.71
49	370	Deco Roadway Round	27,500	\$539.63	\$187.95	\$727.57
50	375	Deco Roadway Round	50,000	\$539.63	\$187.95	\$727.58
51	380	Deco Post Top - Ocala	9,500	\$268.42	\$239.00	\$507.42
52	383	Deco Post Top - Biscayne	9,500	\$598.36	\$282.10	\$880.46
53	385	Deco Post Top - Sebring	9,500	\$200.56	\$239.00	\$439.56
54	392	250w HPS Clermont Special St Joe	27,500	\$606.55	\$441.48	\$1,048.03
55	393	Deco Post Top	4,000	\$432.86	\$239.00	\$671.86
 <u>Metal Halide¹</u>						
56	175	MH DR 3500	3,500	\$233.45	\$187.95	\$421.40
57	307	Deco Post Top-MH Sanibel PS	11,600	\$869.80	\$328.23	\$1,198.03
58	308	Clermont Tear Drop PS	11,600	\$783.22	\$441.48	\$1,224.70
59	309	MH Deco Rectangular PS	36,000	\$302.70	\$441.48	\$744.19
60	311	MF Deco Cube PS	36,000	\$479.50	\$441.48	\$920.98
61	312	MH Flood PS	36,000	\$234.49	\$234.08	\$468.57
62	319	MH Post Top Biscayne PS	11,600	\$468.20	\$282.10	\$750.29
63	327	Deco Post Top - Sanibel (MH)	12,000	\$869.80	\$282.10	\$1,151.90
64	332	150w DBL MH P Captiva	11,600	\$1,883.02	\$512.79	\$2,395.81
65	333	150w MH Flagler PS	11,600	\$509.56	\$282.10	\$791.65
66	349	Clermont MH	12,000	\$783.22	\$441.48	\$1,224.70
67	371	Deco Roadway Rect (MH)	38,000	\$607.55	\$187.95	\$795.50
68	372	Deco Roadway Round (MH)	38,000	\$709.46	\$187.95	\$897.41
69	373	Deco Roadway Rect (MH)	110,000	\$646.55	\$187.95	\$834.50
70	386	Flood (MH)	110,000	\$533.45	\$234.08	\$767.53
71	389	Flood (MH)-sport light	110,000	\$621.04	\$234.08	\$855.12

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 96 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 93

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1b.
Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
72	390	Deco Cube (MH)	38,000	\$587.32	\$234.08	\$821.40
73	391	Bellalagro Metal Halide 175w Bronze Type III 120v	12,000	\$744.03	\$282.10	\$1,026.12
74	396	Deco Post Top (Dual MH)	24,000	\$1,426.14	\$564.19	\$1,990.33
75	397	Deco Post Top (MH)	12,000	\$715.65	\$282.10	\$997.75
76	398	Deco Cube (MH)	110,000	\$563.53	\$441.48	\$1,005.01
77	399	Flood (MH)	38,000	\$234.49	\$234.08	\$468.57
<u>Light Emitting Diode (LED)</u>						
78	104	50w LED Sanibel Black Type III 4000K ¹	6,354	\$1,014.14	\$282.10	\$1,296.24
79	106	Underground Sanibel ¹	5,500	\$1,014.14	\$282.10	\$1,296.24
80	107	Underground Traditional Open	3,908	\$427.39	\$187.95	\$615.33
81	108	Underground Traditional w/Lens	3,230	\$404.28	\$187.95	\$592.23
82	109	Underground Acorn	4,332	\$1,106.57	\$282.10	\$1,388.67
83	111	Underground Mini Bell	2,889	\$979.40	\$282.10	\$1,261.49
84	116	146W LED V VENTUS ¹	14,403	\$1,062.11	\$395.35	\$1,457.46
85	117	146W LED FWT VENTUS ¹	13,508	\$1,062.11	\$395.35	\$1,457.46
86	118	219W LED III VENTUS ¹	20,333	\$1,385.19	\$395.35	\$1,780.53
87	119	219W COOPER SHOEBOX BLK III ¹	20,333	\$1,433.18	\$395.35	\$1,828.53
88	120	50W LED K118 3K V MULTIV U F	4,861	\$866.72	\$282.10	\$1,148.82
89	121	Shoebox Bronze III	21,164	\$833.96	\$395.35	\$1,229.31
90	122	Shoebox Bronze IV	20,555	\$833.96	\$395.35	\$1,229.31
91	123	Shoebox Bronze V	21,803	\$833.96	\$395.35	\$1,229.31
92	124	Shoebox Black III	21,164	\$833.96	\$395.35	\$1,229.31
93	126	Shoebox Black IV FWT	20,555	\$833.96	\$395.35	\$1,229.31
94	127	Shoebox Black V	21,803	\$833.96	\$395.35	\$1,229.31
95	130	Monticello 3000 Kelvin	4,430	\$1,111.25	\$282.10	\$1,393.35
96	131	67W LED UG ROADWAY ¹	4,600	\$374.13	\$282.10	\$656.23
97	132	130W LED UG ROADWAY ¹	9,200	\$482.87	\$282.10	\$764.97
98	133	ATBO Roadway ¹	4,521	\$165.89	\$187.95	\$353.84
99	134	Underground ATBO Roadway ¹	4,521	\$165.89	\$282.10	\$447.99
100	136	Roadway	9,233	\$236.09	\$187.95	\$424.04
101	137	Underground Roadway	9,233	\$236.09	\$282.10	\$518.19
102	138	Roadway	18,642	\$383.51	\$187.95	\$571.46
103	139	Underground Roadway	18,642	\$383.51	\$282.10	\$665.61
104	141	Roadway	24,191	\$388.19	\$282.10	\$670.29
105	142	Underground Roadway	24,191	\$388.19	\$282.10	\$670.29
106	143	216W LED OVHD BLK ROADWAY	26,799	\$383.51	\$187.95	\$571.46
107	144	216W LED UNGR BLK ROADWAY	26,799	\$383.51	\$282.10	\$665.61
108	147	Roadway	12,642	\$240.77	\$187.95	\$428.72

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 97 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 94

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1b.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
109	148	Underground Roadway	12,642	\$240.77	\$282.10	\$522.87
110	149	50 WATT K118 3K IV MULTIV U F	4,946	\$866.72	\$282.10	\$1,148.82
111	151	ATBS Roadway ¹	4,500	\$172.91	\$164.88	\$337.79
112	152	49W LED AREA REFRACT OVHD ¹	5,100	\$182.27	\$164.88	\$347.15
113	153	49W LED AREA UNDERGROUND ¹	5,400	\$172.91	\$259.03	\$431.94
114	154	49W LED AREA REFRACT UNDER ¹	5,100	\$182.27	\$259.03	\$441.30
115	156	Shoebox Bronze IV FWT	39,078	\$1,338.23	\$395.35	\$1,733.58
116	157	Shoebox Bronze V	43,317	\$1,338.23	\$395.35	\$1,733.58
117	158	Shoebox Black IV FWT	39,078	\$1,338.23	\$395.35	\$1,733.58
118	159	Shoebox Black V	43,317	\$1,338.23	\$441.48	\$1,779.72
119	160	50W LED Monticello BLK TIII 3000K	4,646	\$1,111.25	\$282.10	\$1,393.35
120	161	284W LED ROADWAY BLACK UG	31,599	\$388.19	\$282.10	\$670.29
121	163	Shoebox Pedestrian Bronze ¹	3,130	\$639.74	\$395.35	\$1,035.09
122	164	Shoebox Pedestrian Black ¹	3,130	\$639.74	\$395.35	\$1,035.09
123	167	Underground Mitchell	5,186	\$1,165.07	\$282.10	\$1,447.17
124	168	Underground Mitchell w/Top Hat	4,336	\$1,165.07	\$282.10	\$1,447.17
125	169	Teardrop	8,472	\$1,401.41	\$282.10	\$1,683.51
126	171	48W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED ¹	5,742	\$237.07	\$282.10	\$519.16
127	172	108W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED	12,748	\$236.09	\$282.10	\$518.19
128	173	150W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED	16,192	\$240.77	\$282.10	\$522.87
129	178	50W TEARDROP LED BLACK	6,034	\$1,163.90	\$282.10	\$1,446.00
130	179	216W LED RDWY WHITE OVERHEAD	26,799	\$383.51	\$187.95	\$571.46
131	180	216W LED RDWY WHITE UNDERGROUND	26,799	\$383.51	\$282.10	\$665.61
132	181	Sanibel ¹	10,820	\$1,246.97	\$282.10	\$1,529.07
133	182	Biscayne ¹	4,655	\$938.09	\$282.10	\$1,220.19
134	183	Clermont ¹	15,375	\$1,429.49	\$282.10	\$1,711.59
135	184	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed ¹	4,195	\$144.83	\$164.88	\$309.71
136	185	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed ¹	4,195	\$144.83	\$259.03	\$403.86
137	186	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed ¹	8,200	\$191.63	\$164.88	\$356.51
138	187	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed ¹	8,200	\$191.63	\$259.03	\$450.66
139	191	Flood Overhead Feed	13,729	\$449.42	\$187.95	\$637.37
140	192	Flood Overhead Feed	30,238	\$818.75	\$187.95	\$1,006.70
141	193	Clermont ¹	7,451	\$1,429.49	\$282.10	\$1,711.59
142	194	Flood Underground Feed	13,729	\$449.42	\$282.10	\$731.52
143	195	LED Flood Underground Feed	30,238	\$818.75	\$282.10	\$1,100.85
144	196	Amber Roadway Overhead	4,133	\$623.36	\$164.88	\$788.24
145	197	Amber Roadway Underground	4,133	\$623.36	\$259.03	\$882.39
146	198	Amber Roadway Overhead	5,408	\$743.87	\$164.88	\$908.75
147	199	Amber Roadway Underground	5,408	\$743.87	\$259.03	\$1,002.90

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 98 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 95

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1b.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
148	296	150 WATT 3K III MULTIV F	15,381	\$240.77	\$187.95	\$428.72
149	297	150 WATT 3K III MULTIV UG F	15,381	\$240.77	\$282.10	\$522.87
150	361	Roadway ¹	6,000	\$374.13	\$187.95	\$562.08
151	362	Roadway ¹	9,600	\$482.87	\$187.95	\$670.82
152	363	Shoebox Type 3 ¹	20,664	\$1,574.34	\$395.35	\$1,969.69
153	364	Shoebox Type 4 ¹	14,421	\$890.12	\$395.35	\$1,285.47
154	367	Shoebox Type 5 ¹	14,421	\$890.12	\$395.35	\$1,285.47
155	368	71W LED SANIBEL ¹	8,122	\$1,004.78	\$282.10	\$1,286.88
156	369	Underground Biscayne ¹	6,500	\$888.95	\$282.10	\$1,171.05
157	103	60w LED Falcon Ridge	6,315	\$1,380.35	\$282.10	\$1,662.45
158	105	150w LED RW Blk T3 3K	15,381	\$240.77	\$187.95	\$428.72
159	112	49w LED TrdClo 3000k	4,215	\$404.28	\$282.10	\$686.38
160	114	421w LED Sbx Blk 3k	41,379	\$1,338.23	\$395.35	\$1,733.58
161	125	Flood Overhead Feed 130w Brz 3k	16,436	\$451.61	\$187.95	\$639.55
162	128	Flood Underground Feed 130w Brz 3k	16,436	\$451.61	\$282.10	\$733.70
163	162	284W LED ROADWAY BRONZE UG III	31,599	\$388.19	\$282.10	\$670.29
164	166	51W ENTERPRISE LED PT ¹	4,500	\$907.36	\$282.10	\$1,189.45
165	174	150W LED ROADWAY GRAY 480v	16,192	\$236.09	\$187.95	\$424.04
166	176	216W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	26,799	\$389.36	\$187.95	\$577.31
167	177	284W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	31,599	\$394.04	\$187.95	\$581.99
168	188	40W ROADWAY LED OVERHEAD GRAY W/REFRACTOR ¹	4,544	\$154.19	\$164.88	\$319.07
169	189	40W ROADWAY LED UNDRGRND GRAY W/REFRACTOR ¹	4,544	\$154.19	\$259.03	\$413.22
170	190	220W LED SB BLK IV 3K	23,061	\$833.96	\$395.35	\$1,229.31
171	200	284W LED RW BK III 3K	31,599	\$388.19	\$187.95	\$576.14
172	201	Flood Overhead Feed 260w Brz 3k	32,963	\$818.75	\$187.95	\$1,006.70
173	202	LED Flood Underground Feed 260w Brz 3k	32,963	\$818.75	\$282.10	\$1,100.85
174	203	30W LED 3K BLK UG	2,739	\$338.67	\$282.10	\$620.76
175	204	30W LED 3K BIS III	4,051	\$938.09	\$282.10	\$1,220.19
176	206	30W LED 3K BIS V	4,050	\$938.09	\$282.10	\$1,220.19
177	207	50W LED 3K FLOOD	5,785	\$369.92	\$187.95	\$557.87
178	208	50W LED 4K FLOOD	5,940	\$369.92	\$187.95	\$557.86
179	209	50W LED 4K SB IV BLK	5,217	\$447.86	\$282.10	\$729.96
180	211	50W LED 3K SB IV BLK	4,933	\$447.86	\$282.10	\$729.96
181	212	50W LED 4K SB IV RZ	5,217	\$447.86	\$282.10	\$729.96
182	213	50W LED 3K SB IV BRZ	4,933	\$447.86	\$282.10	\$729.96
183	214	50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	5,785	\$369.92	\$282.10	\$652.01
184	216	50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	5,940	\$369.62	\$282.10	\$651.72
185	217	280W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358	\$388.19	\$187.95	\$576.14
186	218	280W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358	\$388.19	\$187.95	\$576.14
187	219	280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358	\$388.19	\$187.95	\$576.14

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 99 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 96

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1b.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
188	221	280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358	\$388.19	\$187.95	\$576.14
189	222	150W LED RW IV GRAY	16,461	\$240.77	\$187.95	\$428.72
190	223	150W LED RW IV GRAY	16,461	\$240.77	\$187.95	\$428.72
191	224	60W LED BIS III ¹	7,075	\$938.09	\$282.10	\$1,220.19
192	226	110W AMBER RW OH	5,325	\$780.14	\$187.95	\$968.09
193	227	110W AMBER RD UG	5,325	\$780.14	\$282.10	\$1,062.24
194	228	50W LED OCA V BLK	6,582	\$461.90	\$282.10	\$744.00
195	229	50W LED OMONT III 3K	3,972	\$1,106.57	\$282.10	\$1,388.67
196	231	70W LED ODAC III WHT	6,207	\$1,106.57	\$282.10	\$1,388.67
197	232	50W ODAC 1K III BL	1,568	\$1,213.04	\$282.10	\$1,495.14
198	233	50W OTRAD 1K III BL	1,361	\$589.43	\$282.10	\$871.53
199	234	50W SAN III 3K BLK ¹	5,810	\$1,115.93	\$282.10	\$1,398.03
200	236	50W LED SAN WHITE ¹	6,226	\$1,115.93	\$282.10	\$1,398.03
201	237	50W ENTR III 3K	4,540	\$907.36	\$282.10	\$1,189.45
202	238	220W RW III 3K WHT	26,799	\$383.51	\$187.95	\$571.46
203	239	60W SAN QSM AMBER	1,953	\$1,259.84	\$282.10	\$1,541.94
204	241	50W CLER III QSM	6,273	\$1,573.40	\$282.10	\$1,855.50
205	242	150W CLER III QSM	14,215	\$1,573.40	\$282.10	\$1,855.50
206	244	50W SAN III QSM	6,226	\$1,115.93	\$282.10	\$1,398.03
207	246	50W SAN III 3K QSM	5,810	\$1,115.93	\$282.10	\$1,398.03
208	247	50W SAN III WHT QSM	6,226	\$1,115.93	\$282.10	\$1,398.03
209	248	50 SAN III WH 3K QSM	5,810	\$1,115.93	\$282.10	\$1,398.03
210	249	50 SBX IV BLK AMB	4,933	\$516.25	\$395.35	\$911.60
211	251	50 MICRO II 3K OH	5,283	\$156.53	\$164.88	\$321.41
212	252	50 MICRO II 3K UG	5,283	\$156.53	\$259.03	\$415.56
213	253	50 MICRO III 3K OH	5,232	\$156.53	\$164.88	\$321.41
214	254	50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232	\$156.53	\$259.03	\$415.56
215	255	50 MICRO V 3K OH	5,494	\$156.53	\$164.88	\$321.41
216	256	50 MICRO V 3K UG	5,494	\$156.53	\$259.03	\$415.56
217	257	50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232	\$156.53	\$259.03	\$415.56
218	259	50 MTCHR III 3K RBM	5,811	\$1,165.07	\$282.10	\$1,447.17
219	261	50MTCHR III3K THRBM	5,464	\$1,165.07	\$282.10	\$1,447.17
220	263	50 MTCHR V 3K RBM	6,525	\$1,165.07	\$282.10	\$1,447.17
221	265	50MTCHTR V3K THRBM	5,449	\$1,165.07	\$282.10	\$1,447.17
222	266	110 RW III 3K B	12,748	\$236.09	\$187.95	\$424.04
223	267	420 SBX V 3K	45,868	\$1,338.23	\$395.35	\$1,733.58
224	268	150 RW BLK IV 3K UG	14,952	\$240.77	\$282.10	\$522.87
225	269	150 SBX BLK III	19,007	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
226	270	150 SBX BLK IV	18,460	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
227	271	150 SBX BLK V	18,580	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
228	272	40 COL BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007	\$1,127.63	\$187.95	\$1,315.58

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 100 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 97

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1b.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
229	273	40 WAS BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007	\$1,495.01	\$187.95	\$1,682.96
230	274	150 ENT BLK V 3K	16,500	\$947.45	\$282.10	\$1,229.55
231	275	150 ENT BLK IV 3K	15,595	\$947.45	\$282.10	\$1,229.55
232	276	150 ENT BLK III 3K	15,091	\$947.45	\$282.10	\$1,229.55
233	277	220 ENT BLK V 3K	23,507	\$1,027.01	\$282.10	\$1,309.11
234	278	220 ENT BLK IV 3K	22,219	\$1,027.01	\$282.10	\$1,309.11
235	279	220 ENT BLK III 3K	21,502	\$1,027.01	\$282.10	\$1,309.11
236	280	220 RW IV GRAY	26,799	\$383.51	\$187.95	\$571.46
237	281	150 SAN III BLK4KQSM	16,160	\$1,115.93	\$282.10	\$1,398.03
238	282	130 RW AMB WHT IIU	6,491	\$1,283.24	\$282.10	\$1,565.34
239	283	130 RW AMB WHT IIIO	6,491	\$1,283.24	\$187.95	\$1,471.19
240	284	130 RW AMB BLK III OH DOT	5,325	\$1,283.24	\$187.95	\$1,471.19
241	285	130 RW AMB BLK III UG DOT	5,325	\$1,283.24	\$282.10	\$1,565.34
242	286	50 VILLAGES BLK V 3K	3,918	\$905.33	\$282.10	\$1,187.43
243	287	50 VILLAGES BLK IV 3K	4,364	\$905.33	\$282.10	\$1,187.43
244	288	50W OTRAD 3K V BL	4,694	\$413.93	\$282.10	\$696.03
245	289	50 MICRO BLK II 3K UG	5,377	\$156.53	\$259.03	\$415.56
246	290	50 MICRO BLK II 3K OH	5,377	\$156.53	\$164.88	\$321.41
247	291	150 RW GRAY IV 3K OH	20,050	\$240.77	\$187.95	\$428.72
248	292	40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIV ^{F1}	4,711	\$157.70	\$164.88	\$322.58
249	293	40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIV UG ^{F1}	4,711	\$157.70	\$259.03	\$416.73
250	294	70 WATT 3K II MULTIV OH ^{F1}	7,565	\$209.18	\$164.88	\$374.06
251	295	70 WATT 3K II MULTIV UG ^{F1}	7,565	\$209.18	\$259.03	\$468.21
252	299	280W RDWY 3k WHT III UG	31,358	\$457.22	\$282.10	\$739.32
253	334	150 RW GRAY IV 3K UG	20,050	\$240.77	\$282.10	\$522.87
254	374	150 RW BLK III 3K OH	20,070	\$240.77	\$187.95	\$428.72
255	376	150 RW BLK IV 3K OH	20,050	\$240.77	\$187.95	\$428.72
256	377	220 RW GRY III 3K OH	31,493	\$383.51	\$187.95	\$571.46
257	378	220 RW GRY III 3K UG	31,493	\$383.51	\$282.10	\$665.61
258	379	220 RW GRY IV 3K OH	28,647	\$383.51	\$187.95	\$571.46
259	382	220 RW GRY IV 3K UG	28,647	\$383.51	\$282.10	\$665.61
260	384	220 RW BLK III 3K UG	31,493	\$383.51	\$282.10	\$665.61
261	388	220 RW BLK IV 3K OH	28,647	\$383.51	\$187.95	\$571.46
262	600	220 RW BLK IV 3K UG	28,647	\$383.51	\$282.10	\$665.61
263	601	220 RW WHT III 3K UG	31,493	\$383.51	\$282.10	\$665.61
264	602	280 RW GRY III 3K OH	37,226	\$388.19	\$187.95	\$576.14
265	603	280 RW GRY III 3K UG	37,226	\$388.19	\$282.10	\$670.29
266	604	280 RW GRY IV 3K OH	34,106	\$388.19	\$187.95	\$576.14
267	605	280 RW GRY IV 3K UG	34,106	\$388.19	\$282.10	\$670.29
268	606	280 RW BLK III 3K OH	37,226	\$388.19	\$187.95	\$576.14
269	607	280 RW BLK IV 3K OH	34,106	\$388.19	\$187.95	\$576.14

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 101 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 98

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1b.
Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
270	608	280 RW BLK IV 3K UG	34,106	\$388.19	\$282.10	\$670.29
271	609	110 RW GRY III 3K UG	15,230	\$236.09	\$282.10	\$518.19
272	610	110 RW GRY III 3K OH	15,230	\$236.09	\$187.95	\$424.04
273	611	70 ODAC BLK III 3K	5,630	\$1,106.57	\$282.10	\$1,388.67
274	612	70 ODAC WHT III 3K	5,630	\$1,106.57	\$282.10	\$1,388.67
275	614	150CLERBLKIII3KQSM	13,547	\$1,573.40	\$328.23	\$1,901.64
276	616	50 MB BLK III 3K	4,679	\$1,009.04	\$187.95	\$1,196.99
277	617	50 OTRAD BLK III 3K	4,309	\$427.39	\$282.10	\$709.48
278	618	150 SAN III BLK3KQSM	16,278	\$1,063.28	\$282.10	\$1,345.38
279	619	50 TD BLK III 3K	5,751	\$1,221.23	\$282.10	\$1,503.33
280	620	150 TD BLK III 3K	14,652	\$1,542.98	\$282.10	\$1,825.08
281	629	50 COBRA GRY II 3K OH	5,487	\$156.53	\$164.88	\$321.41
282	630	50 COBRA GRY II 3K UG	5,487	\$156.53	\$259.03	\$415.56
283	631	50 COBRA GRY III 3K OH	5,378	\$156.53	\$164.88	\$321.41
284	632	50 COBRA GRY III 3K UG	5,378	\$156.53	\$259.03	\$415.56
285	633	50 COBRA GRY V 3K OH	5,428	\$156.53	\$164.88	\$321.41
286	634	50 COBRA GRY V 3K UG	5,428	\$156.53	\$259.03	\$415.56
287	635	150 SBX BLK III 3K	17,970	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
288	636	150 SBX BLK IV 3K	17,452	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
289	637	150 SBX BLK V 3K	18,513	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
290	638	220 SBX BLK III 3K	23,744	\$833.96	\$395.35	\$1,229.31
291	639	220 SBX BLK V 3K	24,461	\$833.96	\$395.35	\$1,229.31
292	640	30 OTC BLK III 3K	3,493	\$293.42	\$282.10	\$575.52
293	641	110 RW GRY IV UG	15,950	\$236.09	\$282.10	\$518.19
294	642	110 RW GRY IV OH	15,950	\$236.09	\$187.95	\$424.04
295	643	110 RW GRY IV 3K UG	15,230	\$236.09	\$282.10	\$518.19
296	644	110 RW GRY IV 3K OH	15,230	\$236.09	\$187.95	\$424.04
297	645	110 RW BLK IV UG	15,950	\$236.09	\$282.10	\$518.19
298	646	110 RW BLK IV OH	15,950	\$236.09	\$187.95	\$424.04
299	647	110 RW BLK IV 3K UG	15,230	\$236.09	\$282.10	\$518.19
300	648	110 RW BLK IV 3K OH	15,230	\$236.09	\$187.95	\$424.04
301	649	150 SBX BRZ 3K III	17,970	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
302	650	150 SBX BRZ 3K V	18,513	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
303	651	150 SBX BRZ 3K IV	17,452	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
304	652	150 SBX BRZ III	19,007	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
305	653	150 SBX BRZ IV	18,460	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
306	654	150 SBX BRZ V	18,580	\$750.89	\$395.35	\$1,146.24
<u>Receptacles⁴</u>						
307	672	HOLIDAY REC RISER		\$263.25	\$115.35	\$378.60

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 102 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 99

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1b.
Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Summary of Current Installed Costs

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
308	673	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK		\$360.36	\$115.35	\$475.71
309	674	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY		\$360.36	\$115.35	\$475.71
310	675	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT		\$360.36	\$115.35	\$475.71
311	676	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK		\$420.03	\$115.35	\$535.38
312	677	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY		\$420.03	\$115.35	\$535.38
313	678	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT		\$420.03	\$115.35	\$535.38
314	679	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK		\$369.72	\$115.35	\$485.07
315	680	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT		\$369.72	\$115.35	\$485.07
316	681	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK		\$522.99	\$115.35	\$638.34
317	682	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY		\$522.99	\$115.35	\$638.34
318	683	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT		\$522.99	\$115.35	\$638.34
319	684	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK		\$518.31	\$115.35	\$633.66
320	685	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT		\$518.31	\$115.35	\$633.66

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 103 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 100

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4)+(5)	Current Unit Cost	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6)x(9)
<u>Incandescent</u> ¹										
1	110	Roadway	1,000	5	-	5	\$197.47	1.00	\$197.47	\$972
<u>Mercury Vapor</u> ¹										
2	205	Open Bottom	4,000	395	209	604	\$227.13	1.50	\$340.70	\$205,795
3	210	Roadway	4,000	6	11	17	\$264.84	1.50	\$397.26	\$6,906
4	215	Post Top	4,000	16	7	23	\$539.01	1.50	\$808.51	\$18,829
5	220	Roadway	8,000	1,329	1,691	3,020	\$237.93	1.60	\$380.68	\$1,149,757
6	225	Open Bottom	8,000	178	24	202	\$237.93	1.50	\$356.89	\$72,115
7	235	Roadway	21,000	462	160	622	\$322.11	1.50	\$483.16	\$300,505
8	245	Flood	21,000	52	22	74	\$467.53	1.50	\$701.30	\$51,939
9	250	Flood	62,000	10	8	18	\$467.53	1.50	\$701.30	\$12,308
<u>Sodium Vapor</u> ¹										
10	300	HPS Deco Rdwy White 400w Sandpiper	50,000	2	-	2	\$820.24	1.55	\$1,271.37	\$2,460
11	301	Sandpiper HPS Deco Roadway 27500L	27,500	625	-	625	\$758.23	2.15	\$1,630.19	\$1,018,855
12	302	9500L HPS Bronze Champion	9,500	215	-	215	\$710.26	2.20	\$1,562.56	\$335,833
13	305	Open Bottom 4000L	4,000	2,284	1,241	3,525	\$227.13	1.51	\$342.96	\$1,208,868
14	306	100W HPS DECO RDWY BLK SANDPIPER	9,500	21	-	21	\$700.90	1.75	\$1,226.57	\$25,330
15	310	Roadway	4,000	14,417	381	14,798	\$264.84	1.51	\$399.90	\$5,917,714
16	313	Open Bottom	6,500	69	20	89	\$233.31	2.20	\$513.27	\$45,807
17	314	Hometown II	9,500	2,393	195	2,588	\$237.93	2.05	\$487.75	\$1,262,481
18	315	Post Top - Colonial/Contemp	4,000	16,088	131	16,219	\$539.01	1.21	\$652.20	\$10,578,254
19	316	Colonial Post Top	6,500	91	1	92	\$539.01	1.10	\$592.91	\$54,462
20	318	Post Top	9,500	319	92	411	\$288.98	1.10	\$317.88	\$130,533
21	320	Roadway-Overhead Only	9,500	61,213	8,816	70,029	\$250.45	1.95	\$488.37	\$34,200,300
22	321	Deco Post Top - Monticello	9,500	5,791	60	5,851	\$859.40	1.75	\$1,504.80	\$8,804,718
23	322	Deco Post Top -Flagler	9,500	3,259	75	3,334	\$985.76	1.90	\$1,872.94	\$6,244,347
24	323	Roadway - Turtle OH Only	9,500	29	-	29	\$254.31	2.30	\$584.91	\$16,678
25	325	Roadway-Overhead Only	16,000	14,375	890	15,265	\$251.62	2.21	\$556.07	\$8,488,283
26	326	Deco Post Top - Sanibel	9,500	1,510	63	1,573	\$1,171.79	1.90	\$2,226.39	\$3,501,513
27	330	Roadway-Overhead Only	22,000	3,144	321	3,465	\$250.45	2.05	\$513.42	\$1,779,037
28	335	Roadway-Overhead Only	27,500	9,805	467	10,272	\$273.85	2.51	\$687.36	\$7,060,805
29	336	Roadway Bridge Lighting	27,500	107	-	107	\$407.23	1.85	\$753.37	\$80,595
30	337	Roadway-DOT	50,000	40	-	40	\$322.11	2.05	\$660.32	\$26,384
31	338	Deco Roadway - Maitland	27,500	569	-	569	\$286.72	4.10	\$1,175.54	\$668,373
32	340	Roadway-Overhead Only	50,000	4,917	532	5,449	\$336.38	2.10	\$706.40	\$3,849,223
33	342	Roadway-Turnpike	50,000	220	4	224	\$480.29	2.10	\$1,008.62	\$225,670

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 104 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 101

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4)+(5)	Current Unit Cost	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6)x(9)
34	343	Roadway-Turnpike	27,500	275	1	276	\$476.67	2.10	\$1,001.00	\$276,029
35	345	Flood-Overhead Only	27,500	4,376	876	5,252	\$391.35	1.60	\$626.17	\$3,288,765
36	347	Clermont	9,500	1,040	7	1,047	\$1,403.71	1.75	\$2,456.50	\$2,573,068
37	348	Clermont	27,500	526	-	526	\$1,361.59	1.90	\$2,587.03	\$1,361,084
38	350	Flood-Overhead Only	50,000	8,710	1,875	10,585	\$404.75	1.60	\$647.60	\$6,854,914
39	351	Underground Roadway	9,500	2,247	25	2,272	\$351.62	2.01	\$706.75	\$1,605,987
40	352	Underground Roadway	16,000	934	1	935	\$352.79	2.10	\$740.85	\$692,458
41	354	Underground Roadway	27,500	1,779	-	1,779	\$375.95	2.35	\$883.49	\$1,571,971
42	356	Underground Roadway	50,000	400	2	402	\$425.91	2.20	\$937.01	\$376,219
43	357	Underground Flood	27,500	45	1	46	\$485.50	2.20	\$1,068.11	\$49,382
44	358	Underground Flood	50,000	37	-	37	\$498.90	2.20	\$1,097.58	\$41,019
45	359	Underground Turtle Rdwy	9,500	1	-	1	\$348.46	2.25	\$784.03	\$617
46	360	Deco Roadway Rect	9,500	157	18	175	\$830.71	1.70	\$1,412.20	\$247,466
47	365	Deco Roadway Rect	27,500	1,820	26	1,846	\$830.71	1.70	\$1,412.20	\$2,606,821
48	366	Deco Roadway Rect	50,000	1,082	-	1,082	\$830.71	1.70	\$1,412.20	\$1,527,736
49	370	Deco Roadway Round	27,500	300	6	306	\$727.57	2.70	\$1,964.45	\$601,323
50	375	Deco Roadway Round	50,000	243	-	243	\$727.58	2.70	\$1,964.45	\$477,095
51	380	Deco Post Top - Ocala	9,500	29,401	136	29,537	\$507.42	2.51	\$1,273.62	\$37,618,906
52	383	Deco Post Top - Biscayne	9,500	3,391	12	3,403	\$880.46	1.85	\$1,628.84	\$5,542,830
53	385	Deco Post Top - Sebring	9,500	8,150	46	8,196	\$439.56	1.85	\$813.18	\$6,664,933
54	392	250w HPS Clermont Special St Joe	27,500	13	-	13	\$1,048.03	1.25	\$1,311.09	\$16,510
55	393	Deco Post Top	4,000	1	-	1	\$671.86	1.51	\$1,014.51	\$509

Metal Halide

56	175	MH DR 3500	3,500	3	-	3	\$421.40	1.50	\$632.10	\$1,990
57	307	Deco Post Top-MH Sanibel PS	11,600	135	-	135	\$1,198.03	1.50	\$1,797.05	\$242,270
58	308	Clermont Tear Drop PS	11,600	90	-	90	\$1,224.70	1.75	\$2,143.23	\$193,646
59	309	MH Deco Rectangular PS	36,000	413	-	413	\$744.19	2.00	\$1,488.37	\$614,363
60	311	MF Deco Cube PS	36,000	65	-	65	\$920.98	1.85	\$1,703.82	\$111,297
61	312	MH Flood PS	36,000	221	-	221	\$468.57	2.30	\$1,077.72	\$238,324
62	319	MH Post Top Biscayne PS	11,600	73	-	73	\$750.29	2.20	\$1,650.65	\$120,103
63	327	Deco Post Top - Sanibel (MH)	12,000	987	25	1,012	\$1,151.90	2.00	\$2,303.79	\$2,332,288
64	332	150w DBL MH P Captiva	11,600	5	-	5	\$2,395.81	1.75	\$4,192.66	\$19,798
65	333	150w MH Flagler PS	11,600	6	-	6	\$791.65	2.00	\$1,583.31	\$8,723
66	349	Clermont MH	12,000	202	-	202	\$1,224.70	2.20	\$2,694.35	\$544,646
67	371	Deco Roadway Rect (MH)	38,000	1,290	35	1,325	\$795.50	2.30	\$1,829.64	\$2,424,908
68	372	Deco Roadway Round (MH)	38,000	77	-	77	\$897.41	2.30	\$2,064.03	\$158,894
69	373	Deco Roadway Rect (MH)	110,000	179	3	182	\$834.50	2.30	\$1,919.34	\$349,775
70	386	Flood (MH)	110,000	975	98	1,073	\$767.53	2.00	\$1,535.07	\$1,646,967
71	389	Flood (MH)-sport light	110,000	146	4	150	\$855.12	1.80	\$1,539.22	\$230,795

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 105 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 102

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14

Attachment F

Part 1c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025

Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4)+(5)	Current Unit Cost	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6)x(9)
72	390	Deco Cube (MH)	38,000	1,143	4	1,147	\$821.40	2.50	\$2,053.51	\$2,355,248
73	391	Bellalagro Metal Halide 175w Bronze Type III 120v	12,000	136	-	136	\$1,026.12	1.60	\$1,641.79	\$223,419
74	396	Deco Post Top (Dual MH)	24,000	50	-	50	\$1,990.33	2.10	\$4,179.69	\$207,236
75	397	Deco Post Top (MH)	12,000	423	-	423	\$997.75	1.75	\$1,746.06	\$738,535
76	398	Deco Cube (MH)	110,000	545	15	560	\$1,005.01	2.40	\$2,412.03	\$1,350,754
77	399	Flood (MH)	38,000	902	121	1,023	\$468.57	3.00	\$1,405.72	\$1,438,748
<u>Light Emitting Diode (LED)</u>										
78	104	50w LED Sanibel Black Type III 4000K ¹	6,354	1,438	-	1,438	\$1,296.24	1.50	\$1,944.36	\$2,795,551
79	106	Underground Sanibel ¹	5,500	7,138	39	7,177	\$1,296.24	1.50	\$1,944.36	\$13,954,920
80	107	Underground Traditional Open	3,908	5,237	-	5,237	\$615.33	1.38	\$849.16	\$4,447,145
81	108	Underground Traditional w/Lens	3,230	4,277	1	4,278	\$592.23	1.38	\$817.27	\$3,496,132
82	109	Underground Acorn	4,332	2,930	10	2,940	\$1,388.67	1.38	\$1,916.36	\$5,634,561
83	111	Underground Mini Bell	2,889	3,056	-	3,056	\$1,261.49	1.38	\$1,740.86	\$5,320,802
84	116	146W LED V VENTUS ¹	14,403	83	-	83	\$1,457.46	1.50	\$2,186.19	\$180,894
85	117	146W LED FWT VENTUS ¹	13,508	230	4	234	\$1,457.46	1.50	\$2,186.19	\$510,512
86	118	219W LED III VENTUS ¹	20,333	434	-	434	\$1,780.53	1.50	\$2,670.80	\$1,160,213
87	119	219W COOPER SHOEBOX BLK III ¹	20,333	193	-	193	\$1,828.53	1.50	\$2,742.79	\$528,083
88	120	50W LED K118 3K V MULTIV U F	4,861	1,056	-	1,056	\$1,148.82	1.38	\$1,585.37	\$1,674,011
89	121	Shoebox Bronze III	21,164	1,603	-	1,603	\$1,229.31	1.38	\$1,696.45	\$2,718,655
90	122	Shoebox Bronze IV	20,555	1,757	-	1,757	\$1,229.31	1.38	\$1,696.45	\$2,980,668
91	123	Shoebox Bronze V	21,803	998	-	998	\$1,229.31	1.38	\$1,696.45	\$1,692,664
92	124	Shoebox Black III	21,164	987	-	987	\$1,229.31	1.38	\$1,696.45	\$1,674,270
93	126	Shoebox Black IV FWT	20,555	1,509	-	1,509	\$1,229.31	1.38	\$1,696.45	\$2,560,139
94	127	Shoebox Black V	21,803	1,149	-	1,149	\$1,229.31	1.38	\$1,696.45	\$1,949,278
95	130	Monticello 3000 Kelvin	4,430	345	-	345	\$1,393.35	1.38	\$1,922.82	\$662,866
96	131	67W LED UG ROADWAY ¹	4,600	89	2	91	\$656.23	1.50	\$984.34	\$89,137
97	132	130W LED UG ROADWAY ¹	9,200	187	-	187	\$764.97	1.50	\$1,147.45	\$214,642
98	133	ATBO Roadway ¹	4,521	12,936	36	12,972	\$353.84	1.50	\$530.75	\$6,884,698
99	134	Underground ATBO Roadway ¹	4,521	2,633	-	2,633	\$447.99	1.50	\$671.98	\$1,769,180
100	136	Roadway	9,233	16,423	28	16,451	\$424.04	1.38	\$585.17	\$9,626,451
101	137	Underground Roadway	9,233	3,161	-	3,161	\$518.19	1.38	\$715.10	\$2,260,770
102	138	Roadway	18,642	9,031	-	9,031	\$571.46	1.38	\$788.61	\$7,122,032
103	139	Underground Roadway	18,642	4,323	-	4,323	\$665.61	1.38	\$918.54	\$3,970,909
104	141	Roadway	24,191	4,198	12	4,210	\$670.29	1.38	\$925.00	\$3,893,892
105	142	Underground Roadway	24,191	2,460	-	2,460	\$670.29	1.38	\$925.00	\$2,275,067
106	143	216W LED OVHD BLK ROADWAY	26,799	293	-	293	\$571.46	1.38	\$788.61	\$230,818
107	144	216W LED UNGR BLK ROADWAY	26,799	761	-	761	\$665.61	1.38	\$918.54	\$699,112
108	147	Roadway	12,642	8,883	6	8,889	\$428.72	1.38	\$591.63	\$5,259,062

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 106 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 103

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4)+(5)	Current Unit Cost	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6)x(9)
109	148	Underground Roadway	12,642	4,354	-	4,354	\$522.87	1.38	\$721.56	\$3,141,675
110	149	50 WATT K118 3K IV MULTIV U F	4,946	11,018	36	11,054	\$1,148.82	1.34	\$1,537.38	\$16,994,083
111	151	ATBS Roadway ¹	4,500	23,258	22	23,280	\$337.79	1.35	\$456.02	\$10,616,109
112	152	49W LED AREA REFRACT OVHD ¹	5,100	1,438	47	1,485	\$347.15	1.50	\$520.73	\$773,161
113	153	49W LED AREA UNDERGROUND ¹	5,400	1,676	-	1,676	\$431.94	1.50	\$647.91	\$1,085,626
114	154	49W LED AREA REFRACT UNDER ¹	5,100	105	-	105	\$441.30	1.50	\$661.95	\$69,816
115	156	Shoebox Bronze IV FWT	39,078	1,283	-	1,283	\$1,733.58	1.38	\$2,392.34	\$3,069,916
116	157	Shoebox Bronze V	43,317	953	-	953	\$1,733.58	1.38	\$2,392.34	\$2,280,667
117	158	Shoebox Black IV FWT	39,078	509	-	509	\$1,733.58	1.38	\$2,392.34	\$1,218,239
118	159	Shoebox Black V	43,317	548	-	548	\$1,779.72	1.38	\$2,456.01	\$1,344,965
119	160	50W LED Monticello BLK TIII 3000K	4,646	4,947	-	4,947	\$1,393.35	1.38	\$1,922.82	\$9,512,222
120	161	284W LED ROADWAY BLACK UG	31,599	446	-	446	\$670.29	1.38	\$925.00	\$413,003
121	163	Shoebox Pedestrian Bronze ¹	3,130	11	-	11	\$1,035.09	1.50	\$1,552.63	\$16,994
122	164	Shoebox Pedestrian Black ¹	3,130	276	-	276	\$1,035.09	1.50	\$1,552.63	\$427,928
123	167	Underground Mitchell	5,186	2,613	-	2,613	\$1,447.17	1.38	\$1,997.09	\$5,217,864
124	168	Underground Mitchell w/Top Hat	4,336	4,130	21	4,151	\$1,447.17	1.38	\$1,997.09	\$8,289,769
125	169	Teardrop	8,472	394	-	394	\$1,683.51	1.38	\$2,323.24	\$914,243
126	171	48W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED ¹	5,742	100	-	100	\$519.16	1.50	\$778.74	\$77,562
127	172	108W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED	12,748	1,187	-	1,187	\$518.19	1.38	\$715.10	\$848,515
128	173	150W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED	16,192	1,497	-	1,497	\$522.87	1.38	\$721.56	\$1,079,844
129	178	50W TEARDROP LED BLACK	6,034	153	10	163	\$1,446.00	1.38	\$1,995.48	\$326,122
130	179	216W LED RDWY WHITE OVERHEAD	26,799	144	-	144	\$571.46	1.38	\$788.61	\$113,849
131	180	216W LED RDWY WHITE UNDERGROUND	26,799	264	-	264	\$665.61	1.38	\$918.54	\$242,301
132	181	Sanibel ¹	10,820	288	-	288	\$1,529.07	1.50	\$2,293.60	\$659,537
133	182	Biscayne ¹	4,655	2,456	-	2,456	\$1,220.19	1.50	\$1,830.28	\$4,494,556
134	183	Clermont ¹	15,375	403	-	403	\$1,711.59	1.50	\$2,567.39	\$1,034,595
135	184	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed ¹	4,195	21,429	-	21,429	\$309.71	1.38	\$427.40	\$9,158,684
136	185	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed ¹	4,195	871	-	871	\$403.86	1.50	\$605.79	\$527,417
137	186	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed ¹	8,200	3,442	-	3,442	\$356.51	1.50	\$534.77	\$1,840,506
138	187	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed ¹	8,200	117	-	117	\$450.66	1.50	\$675.99	\$79,368
139	191	Flood Overhead Feed	13,729	4,386	21	4,407	\$637.37	1.38	\$879.56	\$3,875,924
140	192	Flood Overhead Feed	30,238	2,331	4	2,335	\$1,006.70	1.38	\$1,389.25	\$3,243,697
141	193	Clermont ¹	7,451	572	-	572	\$1,711.59	1.50	\$2,567.39	\$1,468,869
142	194	Flood Underground Feed	13,729	248	-	248	\$731.52	1.38	\$1,009.49	\$250,275
143	195	LED Flood Underground Feed	30,238	310	-	310	\$1,100.85	1.38	\$1,519.17	\$471,525
144	196	Amber Roadway Overhead	4,133	359	-	359	\$788.24	1.38	\$1,087.77	\$390,775
145	197	Amber Roadway Underground	4,133	7	-	7	\$882.39	1.38	\$1,217.70	\$8,077
146	198	Amber Roadway Overhead	5,408	297	-	297	\$908.75	1.38	\$1,254.08	\$372,533
147	199	Amber Roadway Underground	5,408	7	-	7	\$1,002.90	1.38	\$1,384.00	\$9,799

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 107 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 104

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4)+(5)	Current Unit Cost	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6)x(9)
148	296	150 WATT 3K III MULTIV F	15,381	4,822	-	4,822	\$428.72	1.38	\$591.63	\$2,852,734
149	297	150 WATT 3K III MULTIV UG F	15,381	67	-	67	\$522.87	1.38	\$721.56	\$48,384
150	361	Roadway ¹	6,000	185	-	185	\$562.08	1.50	\$843.11	\$156,035
151	362	Roadway ¹	9,600	86	-	86	\$670.82	1.50	\$1,006.22	\$86,103
152	363	Shoebox Type 3 ¹	20,664	186	-	186	\$1,969.69	1.50	\$2,954.53	\$548,272
153	364	Shoebox Type 4 ¹	14,421	53	-	53	\$1,285.47	1.50	\$1,928.20	\$101,684
154	367	Shoebox Type 5 ¹	14,421	28	-	28	\$1,285.47	1.50	\$1,928.21	\$53,720
155	368	71W LED SANIBEL ¹	8,122	2,210	-	2,210	\$1,286.88	1.50	\$1,930.32	\$4,265,805
156	369	Underground Biscayne ¹	6,500	1,802	-	1,802	\$1,171.05	1.50	\$1,756.58	\$3,165,979
157	103	60w LED Falcon Ridge	6,315	260	-	260	\$1,662.45	1.38	\$2,294.18	\$596,989
158	105	150w LED RW Blk T3 3K	15,381	116	-	116	\$428.72	1.38	\$591.63	\$68,830
159	112	49w LED TrdClo 3000k	4,215	1,798	-	1,798	\$686.38	1.38	\$947.20	\$1,702,818
160	114	421w LED SbX Blk 3k	41,379	44	-	44	\$1,733.58	1.38	\$2,392.34	\$106,410
161	125	Flood Overhead Feed 130w Brz 3k	16,436	1,413	-	1,413	\$639.55	1.38	\$882.58	\$1,246,985
162	128	Flood Underground Feed 130w Brz 3k	16,436	41	-	41	\$733.70	1.38	\$1,012.51	\$41,819
163	162	284W LED ROADWAY BRONZE UG III	31,599	167	-	167	\$670.29	1.38	\$925.00	\$154,599
164	166	51W ENTERPRISE LED PT ¹	4,500	187	-	187	\$1,189.45	1.38	\$1,641.45	\$307,648
165	174	150W LED ROADWAY GRAY 480v	16,192	20	-	20	\$424.04	1.38	\$585.17	\$11,623
166	176	216W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	26,799	196	-	196	\$577.31	1.38	\$796.69	\$156,005
167	177	284W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	31,599	55	-	55	\$581.99	1.38	\$803.15	\$44,146
168	188	40W ROADWAY LED OVERHEAD GRAY W/REFRACTOR ¹	4,544	120	-	120	\$319.07	1.50	\$478.61	\$57,622
169	189	40W ROADWAY LED UNDRGRND GRAY W/REFRACTOR ¹	4,544	72	-	72	\$413.22	1.50	\$619.83	\$44,775
170	190	220W LED SB BLK IV 3K	23,061	125	-	125	\$1,229.31	1.38	\$1,696.45	\$212,099
171	200	284W LED RW BK III 3K	31,599	560	-	560	\$576.14	1.38	\$795.07	\$445,375
172	201	Flood Overhead Feed 260w Brz 3k	32,963	649	-	649	\$1,006.70	1.38	\$1,389.25	\$901,678
173	202	LED Flood Underground Feed 260w Brz 3k	32,963	22	-	22	\$1,100.85	1.38	\$1,519.17	\$33,786
174	203	30W LED 3K BLK UG	2,739	10,615	-	10,615	\$620.76	1.30	\$806.99	\$8,566,444
175	204	30W LED 3K BIS III	4,051	2,943	-	2,943	\$1,220.19	1.38	\$1,683.86	\$4,955,866
176	206	30W LED 3K BIS V	4,050	254	-	254	\$1,220.19	1.38	\$1,683.86	\$427,869
177	207	50W LED 3K FLOOD	5,785	116	-	116	\$557.87	1.38	\$769.85	\$89,380
178	208	50W LED 4K FLOOD	5,940	42	-	42	\$557.86	1.38	\$769.85	\$32,334
179	209	50W LED 4K SB IV BLK	5,217	26	-	26	\$729.96	1.38	\$1,007.34	\$26,178
180	211	50W LED 3K SB IV BLK	4,933	290	-	290	\$729.96	1.38	\$1,007.35	\$291,701
181	212	50W LED 4K SB IV RZ	5,217	6	-	6	\$729.96	1.38	\$1,007.35	\$6,082
182	213	50W LED 3K SB IV BRZ	4,933	2	-	2	\$729.96	1.38	\$1,007.35	\$1,727
183	214	50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	5,785	7	-	7	\$652.01	1.38	\$899.78	\$6,298
184	216	50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	5,940	6	-	6	\$651.72	1.38	\$899.37	\$5,662
185	217	280W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358	32	-	32	\$576.14	1.38	\$795.07	\$25,245
186	218	280W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358	22	-	22	\$576.14	1.38	\$795.07	\$17,251
187	219	280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358	1	-	1	\$576.14	1.38	\$795.07	\$1,150

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 108 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 105

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025

Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4)+(5)	Current Unit Cost	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6)x(9)
188	221	280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358	6	-	6	\$576.14	1.38	\$795.07	\$4,592
189	222	150W LED RW IV GRAY	16,461	45	-	45	\$428.72	1.38	\$591.63	\$26,836
190	223	150W LED RW IV GRAY	16,461	8	-	8	\$428.72	1.38	\$591.63	\$4,737
191	224	60W LED BIS III ¹	7,075	1,048	-	1,048	\$1,220.19	1.50	\$1,830.28	\$1,917,434
192	226	110W AMBER RW OH	5,325	15	-	15	\$968.09	1.38	\$1,335.96	\$19,997
193	227	110W AMBER RD UG	5,325	6	-	6	\$1,062.24	1.38	\$1,465.89	\$8,667
194	228	50W LED OCA V BLK	6,582	1,159	-	1,159	\$744.00	1.38	\$1,026.72	\$1,189,951
195	229	50W LED OMONT III 3K	3,972	492	-	492	\$1,388.67	1.38	\$1,916.36	\$943,397
196	231	70W LED ODAC III WHT	6,207	7	-	7	\$1,388.67	1.38	\$1,916.36	\$13,175
197	232	50W ODAC 1K III BL	1,568	66	-	66	\$1,495.14	1.38	\$2,063.29	\$136,290
198	233	50W OTRAD 1K III BL	1,361	124	-	124	\$871.53	1.38	\$1,202.71	\$149,395
199	234	50W SAN III 3K BLK ¹	5,810	228	-	228	\$1,398.03	1.50	\$2,097.04	\$477,362
200	236	50W LED SAN WHITE ¹	6,226	5	-	5	\$1,398.03	1.50	\$2,097.04	\$10,433
201	237	50W ENTR III 3K	4,540	396	-	396	\$1,189.45	1.38	\$1,641.45	\$650,690
202	238	220W RW III 3K WHT	26,799	166	-	166	\$571.46	1.38	\$788.61	\$131,176
203	239	60W SAN QSM AMBER	1,953	100	-	100	\$1,541.94	1.38	\$2,127.88	\$213,387
204	241	50W CLER III QSM	6,273	798	-	798	\$1,855.50	1.38	\$2,560.60	\$2,042,244
205	242	150W CLER III QSM	14,215	270	-	270	\$1,855.50	1.38	\$2,560.60	\$690,504
206	244	50W SAN III QSM	6,226	502	-	502	\$1,398.03	1.38	\$1,929.28	\$968,760
207	246	50W SAN III 3K QSM	5,810	3,736	-	3,736	\$1,398.03	1.38	\$1,929.27	\$7,208,012
208	247	50W SAN III WHT QSM	6,226	21	-	21	\$1,398.03	1.38	\$1,929.27	\$39,791
209	248	50 SAN III WH 3K QSM	5,810	32	-	32	\$1,398.03	1.38	\$1,929.27	\$61,785
210	249	50 SBX IV BLK AMB	4,933	83	-	83	\$911.60	1.38	\$1,258.00	\$104,432
211	251	50 MICRO II 3K OH	5,283	2,759	-	2,759	\$321.41	1.38	\$443.54	\$1,223,906
212	252	50 MICRO II 3K UG	5,283	2,547	-	2,547	\$415.56	1.38	\$573.47	\$1,460,622
213	253	50 MICRO III 3K OH	5,232	30,488	-	30,488	\$321.41	1.38	\$443.54	\$13,522,799
214	254	50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232	7,665	-	7,665	\$415.56	1.38	\$573.47	\$4,395,801
215	255	50 MICRO V 3K OH	5,494	118	-	118	\$321.41	1.38	\$443.54	\$52,182
216	256	50 MICRO V 3K UG	5,494	91	-	91	\$415.56	1.38	\$573.47	\$52,376
217	257	50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232	870	-	870	\$415.56	1.38	\$573.47	\$498,917
218	259	50 MTCHR III 3K RBM	5,811	212	-	212	\$1,447.17	1.38	\$1,997.09	\$422,745
219	261	50MTCHTR III3K THRBM	5,464	384	-	384	\$1,447.17	1.38	\$1,997.09	\$766,692
220	263	50 MTCHR V 3K RBM	6,525	88	-	88	\$1,447.17	1.38	\$1,997.09	\$176,131
221	265	50MTCHTR V3K THRBM	5,449	6	-	6	\$1,447.17	1.38	\$1,997.09	\$12,345
222	266	110 RW III 3K B	12,748	55	-	55	\$424.04	1.38	\$585.17	\$32,235
223	267	420 SBX V 3K	45,868	3	-	3	\$1,733.58	1.38	\$2,392.34	\$6,890
224	268	150 RW BLK IV 3K UG	14,952	166	-	166	\$522.87	1.38	\$721.56	\$120,082
225	269	150 SBX BLK III	19,007	39	-	39	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$61,802
226	270	150 SBX BLK IV	18,460	130	-	130	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$205,686
227	271	150 SBX BLK V	18,580	64	-	64	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$100,451
228	272	40 COL BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007	33	-	33	\$1,315.58	1.38	\$1,815.50	\$59,861

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 109 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 106

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4)+(5)	Current Unit Cost	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6)x(9)
229	273	40 WAS BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007	19	-	19	\$1,682.96	1.38	\$2,322.48	\$43,310
230	274	150 ENT BLK V 3K	16,500	66	-	66	\$1,229.55	1.38	\$1,696.78	\$112,687
231	275	150 ENT BLK IV 3K	15,595	91	-	91	\$1,229.55	1.38	\$1,696.78	\$153,664
232	276	150 ENT BLK III 3K	15,091	111	-	111	\$1,229.55	1.38	\$1,696.78	\$188,139
233	277	220 ENT BLK V 3K	23,507	66	-	66	\$1,309.11	1.38	\$1,806.57	\$119,979
234	278	220 ENT BLK IV 3K	22,219	57	-	57	\$1,309.11	1.38	\$1,806.57	\$102,432
235	279	220 ENT BLK III 3K	21,502	61	-	61	\$1,309.11	1.38	\$1,806.57	\$110,969
236	280	220 RW IV GRAY	26,799	23	-	23	\$571.46	1.38	\$788.61	\$17,886
237	281	150 SAN III BLK4KQSM	16,160	51	-	51	\$1,398.03	1.38	\$1,929.28	\$98,670
238	282	130 RW AMB WHT IIU	6,491	545	-	545	\$1,565.34	1.38	\$2,160.17	\$1,176,503
239	283	130 RW AMB WHT IIU	6,491	75	-	75	\$1,471.19	1.38	\$2,030.24	\$153,015
240	284	130 RW AMB BLK III OH DOT	5,325	1	-	1	\$1,471.19	1.38	\$2,030.24	\$2,884
241	285	130 RW AMB BLK III UG DOT	5,325	1	-	1	\$1,565.34	1.38	\$2,160.16	\$3,069
242	286	50 VILLAGES BLK V 3K	3,918	313	-	313	\$1,187.43	1.38	\$1,638.65	\$512,996
243	287	50 VILLAGES BLK IV 3K	4,364	60	-	60	\$1,187.43	1.38	\$1,638.65	\$98,933
244	288	50W OTRAD 3K V BL	4,694	19	-	19	\$696.03	1.38	\$960.52	\$18,154
245	289	50 MICRO BLK II 3K UG	5,377	126	-	126	\$415.56	1.38	\$573.47	\$72,257
246	290	50 MICRO BLK II 3K OH	5,377	116	-	116	\$321.41	1.38	\$443.54	\$51,229
247	291	150 RW GRAY IV 3K OH	20,050	5	-	5	\$428.72	1.38	\$591.63	\$2,684
248	292	40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIV ¹	4,711	18,292	-	18,292	\$322.58	1.50	\$483.87	\$8,850,733
249	293	40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIV UG F ¹	4,711	254	-	254	\$416.73	1.50	\$625.10	\$158,602
250	294	70 WATT 3K II MULTIV OH F ¹	7,565	5,700	-	5,700	\$374.06	1.50	\$561.09	\$3,198,417
251	295	70 WATT 3K II MULTIV UG F ¹	7,565	35	-	35	\$468.21	1.50	\$702.32	\$24,458
252	299	280W RDWY 3k WHT III UG	31,358	6	-	6	\$739.32	1.38	\$1,020.26	\$6,274
253	334	150 RW GRAY IV 3K UG	20,050	5	-	5	\$522.87	1.38	\$721.56	\$3,273
254	374	150 RW BLK III 3K OH	20,070	358	-	358	\$428.72	1.38	\$591.64	\$211,511
255	376	150 RW BLK IV 3K OH	20,050	15	-	15	\$428.72	1.38	\$591.64	\$8,875
256	377	220 RW GRY III 3K OH	31,493	109	-	109	\$571.46	1.38	\$788.61	\$85,844
257	378	220 RW GRY III 3K UG	31,493	89	-	89	\$665.61	1.38	\$918.54	\$82,095
258	379	220 RW GRY IV 3K OH	28,647	20	-	20	\$571.46	1.38	\$788.61	\$15,615
259	382	220 RW GRY IV 3K UG	28,647	4	-	4	\$665.61	1.38	\$918.54	\$3,252
260	384	220 RW BLK III 3K UG	31,493	151	-	151	\$665.61	1.38	\$918.54	\$138,929
261	388	220 RW BLK IV 3K OH	28,647	14	-	14	\$571.46	1.38	\$788.61	\$10,843
262	600	220 RW BLK IV 3K UG	28,647	14	-	14	\$665.61	1.38	\$918.54	\$12,630
263	601	220 RW WHT III 3K UG	31,493	7	-	7	\$665.61	1.38	\$918.54	\$6,315
264	602	280 RW GRY III 3K OH	37,226	53	-	53	\$576.14	1.38	\$795.07	\$42,238
265	603	280 RW GRY III 3K UG	37,226	105	-	105	\$670.29	1.38	\$925.00	\$96,952
266	604	280 RW GRY IV 3K OH	34,106	98	-	98	\$576.14	1.38	\$795.07	\$77,520
267	605	280 RW GRY IV 3K UG	34,106	138	-	138	\$670.29	1.38	\$925.00	\$127,187
268	606	280 RW BLK III 3K OH	37,226	215	-	215	\$576.14	1.38	\$795.07	\$170,941
269	607	280 RW BLK IV 3K OH	34,106	210	-	210	\$576.14	1.38	\$795.07	\$166,964

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 110 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 107

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025

Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4)+(5)	Current Unit Cost	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6)x(9)
270	608	280 RW BLK IV 3K UG	34,106	210	-	210	\$670.29	1.38	\$924.99	\$194,249
271	609	110 RW GRY III 3K UG	15,230	25	-	25	\$518.19	1.38	\$715.10	\$18,021
272	610	110 RW GRY III 3K OH	15,230	189	-	189	\$424.04	1.38	\$585.17	\$110,598
273	611	70 ODAC BLK III 3K	5,630	564	-	564	\$1,388.67	1.38	\$1,916.36	\$1,081,749
274	612	70 ODAC WHT III 3K	5,630	44	-	44	\$1,388.67	1.38	\$1,916.36	\$84,511
275	614	150CLERBLKIII3KQSM	13,547	14	-	14	\$1,901.64	1.38	\$2,624.26	\$35,821
276	616	50 MB BLK III 3K	4,679	6	-	6	\$1,196.99	1.38	\$1,651.85	\$10,407
277	617	50 OTRAD BLK III 3K	4,309	228	-	228	\$709.48	1.38	\$979.09	\$223,085
278	618	150 SAN III BLK3KQSM	16,278	168	-	168	\$1,345.38	1.38	\$1,856.62	\$311,718
279	619	50 TD BLK III 3K	5,751	2	-	2	\$1,503.33	1.38	\$2,074.59	\$4,517
280	620	150 TD BLK III 3K	14,652	84	-	84	\$1,825.08	1.38	\$2,518.61	\$211,034
281	629	50 COBRA GRY II 3K OH	5,487	126	-	126	\$321.41	1.38	\$443.54	\$55,886
282	630	50 COBRA GRY II 3K UG	5,487	169	-	169	\$415.56	1.38	\$573.47	\$96,824
283	631	50 COBRA GRY III 3K OH	5,378	108	-	108	\$321.41	1.38	\$443.54	\$47,829
284	632	50 COBRA GRY III 3K UG	5,378	152	-	152	\$415.56	1.38	\$573.47	\$86,889
285	633	50 COBRA GRY V 3K OH	5,428	110	-	110	\$321.41	1.38	\$443.54	\$48,621
286	634	50 COBRA GRY V 3K UG	5,428	63	-	63	\$415.56	1.38	\$573.47	\$36,128
287	635	150 SBX BLK III 3K	17,970	183	-	183	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$288,997
288	636	150 SBX BLK IV 3K	17,452	137	-	137	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$217,246
289	637	150 SBX BLK V 3K	18,513	28	-	28	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$43,848
290	638	220 SBX BLK III 3K	23,744	224	-	224	\$1,229.31	1.38	\$1,696.45	\$380,479
291	639	220 SBX BLK V 3K	24,461	43	-	43	\$1,229.31	1.38	\$1,696.45	\$72,676
292	640	30 OTC BLK III 3K	3,493	1,438	-	1,438	\$575.52	1.38	\$794.22	\$1,142,183
293	641	110 RW GRY IV UG	15,950	104	-	104	\$518.19	1.38	\$715.10	\$74,335
294	642	110 RW GRY IV OH	15,950	51	-	51	\$424.04	1.38	\$585.17	\$29,739
295	643	110 RW GRY IV 3K UG	15,230	124	-	124	\$518.19	1.38	\$715.10	\$88,376
296	644	110 RW GRY IV 3K OH	15,230	98	-	98	\$424.04	1.38	\$585.17	\$57,511
297	645	110 RW BLK IV UG	15,950	66	-	66	\$518.19	1.38	\$715.10	\$47,492
298	646	110 RW BLK IV OH	15,950	41	-	41	\$424.04	1.38	\$585.17	\$24,024
299	647	110 RW BLK IV 3K UG	15,230	268	-	268	\$518.19	1.38	\$715.10	\$191,694
300	648	110 RW BLK IV 3K OH	15,230	80	-	80	\$424.04	1.38	\$585.17	\$46,635
301	649	150 SBX BRZ 3K III	17,970	254	-	254	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$402,472
302	650	150 SBX BRZ 3K V	18,513	112	-	112	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$177,361
303	651	150 SBX BRZ 3K IV	17,452	79	-	79	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$125,062
304	652	150 SBX BRZ III	19,007	155	-	155	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$245,576
305	653	150 SBX BRZ IV	18,460	96	-	96	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$152,348
306	654	150 SBX BRZ V	18,580	72	-	72	\$1,146.24	1.38	\$1,581.81	\$113,693
Receptacles ⁴										
307	672	HOLIDAY REC RISER		336	-	336	\$378.60	0.95	\$359.67	\$120,848

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 111 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 108

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 1c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4)+(5)	Current Unit Cost	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6)x(9)
308	673	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK		1	-	1	\$475.71	0.95	\$451.92	\$452
309	674	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY		-	-	0	\$475.71	0.95	\$451.92	\$0
310	675	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT		-	-	0	\$475.71	0.95	\$451.92	\$0
311	676	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK		26	-	26	\$535.38	0.95	\$508.61	\$13,427
312	677	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY		1	-	1	\$535.38	0.95	\$508.61	\$547
313	678	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT		2	-	2	\$535.38	0.95	\$508.61	\$1,048
314	679	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK		37	-	37	\$485.07	0.95	\$460.81	\$16,921
315	680	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT		-	-	0	\$485.07	0.95	\$460.81	\$0
316	681	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK		-	-	0	\$638.34	0.95	\$606.42	\$0
317	682	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY		-	-	0	\$638.34	0.95	\$606.42	\$0
318	683	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT		-	-	0	\$638.34	0.95	\$606.42	\$0
319	684	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK		-	-	0	\$633.66	0.95	\$601.97	\$0
320	685	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT		-	-	0	\$633.66	0.95	\$601.97	\$0
Total				534,261	19,057	553,318				\$476,413,247

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 112 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 109

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development Unit Charges

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	Embedded Unit Charge	Comment
	<u>Incandescent ¹</u>						
1	110	Roadway	1,000	\$1.02	\$1.68	\$2.18	
	<u>Mercury Vapor ¹</u>						
2	205	Open Bottom	4,000	\$2.38	\$2.90	\$3.77	
3	210	Roadway	4,000	\$3.06	\$3.38	\$4.39	
4	215	Post Top	4,000	\$3.60	\$6.87	\$8.94	
5	220	Roadway	8,000	\$3.10	\$3.24	\$4.21	
6	225		8,000	\$2.45	\$3.03	\$3.95	
7	235	Roadway	21,000	\$3.75	\$4.11	\$5.34	
8	245	Flood	21,000	\$4.92	\$5.96	\$7.75	
9	250	Flood	62,000	\$5.77	\$5.96	\$7.75	
	<u>Sodium Vapor ¹</u>						
10	300	HPS Deco Rdwy White 400w Sandpiper	50,000	\$10.50	\$10.81	\$14.06	
11	301	Sandpiper HPS Deco Roadway 27500L	27,500	\$13.61	\$13.86	\$18.02	
12	302	9500L HPS Bronze Champion	9,500	\$13.16	\$13.28	\$17.28	
13	305	Open Bottom 4000L	4,000	\$2.49	\$2.92	\$3.79	
14	306	100W HPS DECO RDWY BLK SANDPIPER	9,500	\$10.19	\$10.43	\$13.56	
15	310	Roadway	4,000	\$3.06	\$3.40	\$4.42	
16	313	Open Bottom	6,500	\$4.11	\$4.36	\$5.67	
17	314	Hometown II	9,500	\$3.83	\$4.15	\$5.39	
18	315	Post Top - Colonial/Contemp	4,000	\$4.95	\$5.54	\$7.21	
19	316	Colonial Post Top	6,500	\$3.97	\$5.04	\$6.55	
20	318	Post Top	9,500	\$2.45	\$2.70	\$3.51	
21	320	Roadway-Overhead Only	9,500	\$4.04	\$4.15	\$5.40	
22	321	Deco Post Top - Monticello	9,500	\$12.59	\$12.79	\$16.64	
23	322	Deco Post Top -Flagler	9,500	\$15.53	\$15.92	\$20.71	
24	323	Roadway - Turtle OH Only	9,500	\$4.84	\$4.97	\$6.47	
25	325	Roadway-Overhead Only	16,000	\$4.57	\$4.73	\$6.15	
26	326	Deco Post Top - Sanibel	9,500	\$18.69	\$18.92	\$24.61	
27	330	Roadway-Overhead Only	22,000	\$3.40	\$4.36	\$5.68	
28	335	Roadway-Overhead Only	27,500	\$5.68	\$5.84	\$7.60	
29	336	Roadway Bridge Lighting	27,500	\$6.28	\$6.40	\$8.33	
30	337	Roadway-DOT	50,000	\$5.47	\$5.61	\$7.30	
31	338	Deco Roadway - Maitland	27,500	\$9.65	\$9.99	\$13.00	
32	340	Roadway-Overhead Only	50,000	\$5.79	\$6.00	\$7.81	
33	342	Roadway-Turnpike	50,000	\$8.33	\$8.57	\$11.15	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 113 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 110

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development Unit Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	Embedded Unit Charge	Comment
34	343	Roadway-Turnpike	27,500	\$8.50	\$8.51	\$11.07	
35	345	Flood-Overhead Only	27,500	\$5.18	\$5.32	\$6.92	
36	347	Clermont	9,500	\$20.49	\$20.88	\$27.16	
37	348	Clermont	27,500	\$21.51	\$21.99	\$28.60	
38	350	Flood-Overhead Only	50,000	\$5.36	\$5.50	\$7.16	
39	351	Underground Roadway	9,500	\$5.68	\$6.01	\$7.81	
40	352	Underground Roadway	16,000	\$6.21	\$6.30	\$8.19	
41	354	Underground Roadway	27,500	\$7.33	\$7.51	\$9.77	
42	356	Underground Roadway	50,000	\$7.44	\$7.96	\$10.36	
43	357	Underground Flood	27,500	\$8.83	\$9.08	\$11.81	
44	358	Underground Flood	50,000	\$9.01	\$9.33	\$12.13	
45	359	Underground Turtle Rdwy	9,500	\$6.59	\$6.66	\$8.67	
46	360	Deco Roadway Rect	9,500	\$11.93	\$12.00	\$15.61	
47	365	Deco Roadway Rect	27,500	\$11.39	\$12.00	\$15.61	
48	366	Deco Roadway Rect	50,000	\$11.39	\$12.00	\$15.61	
49	370	Deco Roadway Round	27,500	\$16.48	\$16.70	\$21.72	
50	375	Deco Roadway Round	50,000	\$16.48	\$16.70	\$21.72	
51	380	Deco Post Top - Ocala	9,500	\$10.42	\$10.83	\$14.08	
52	383	Deco Post Top - Biscayne	9,500	\$13.21	\$13.85	\$18.01	
53	385	Deco Post Top - Sebring	9,500	\$6.67	\$6.91	\$8.99	
54	392	250w HPS Clermont Special St Joe	27,500	\$10.85	\$11.14	\$14.49	
55	393	Deco Post Top	4,000	\$8.13	\$8.62	\$11.22	
<u>Metal Halide</u> ¹							
56	175	MH DR 3500	3,500	\$4.17	\$5.37	\$6.99	
57	307	Deco Post Top-MH Sanibel PS	11,600	\$15.20	\$15.27	\$19.87	
58	308	Clermont Tear Drop PS	11,600	\$18.20	\$18.22	\$23.69	
59	309	MH Deco Rectangular PS	36,000	\$11.48	\$12.65	\$16.45	
60	311	MF Deco Cube PS	36,000	\$14.34	\$14.48	\$18.84	
61	312	MH Flood PS	36,000	\$9.00	\$9.16	\$11.91	
62	319	MH Post Top Biscayne PS	11,600	\$13.61	\$14.03	\$18.25	
63	327	Deco Post Top - Sanibel (MH)	12,000	\$19.23	\$19.58	\$25.47	
64	332	150w DBL MH P Captiva	11,600	\$34.80	\$35.64	\$46.35	
65	333	150w MH Flagler PS	11,600	\$13.30	\$13.46	\$17.50	
66	349	Clermont MH	12,000	\$22.02	\$22.90	\$29.79	
67	371	Deco Roadway Rect (MH)	38,000	\$15.46	\$15.55	\$20.23	
68	372	Deco Roadway Round (MH)	38,000	\$17.40	\$17.54	\$22.82	
69	373	Deco Roadway Rect (MH)	110,000	\$15.42	\$16.31	\$21.22	
70	386	Flood (MH)	110,000	\$12.96	\$13.05	\$16.97	
71	389	Flood (MH)-sport light	110,000	\$12.97	\$13.08	\$17.02	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 114 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 111

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development Unit Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	Embedded Unit Charge	Comment
72	390	Deco Cube (MH)	38,000	\$17.27	\$17.45	\$22.70	
73	391	Bellalagro Metal Halide 175w Bronze Type III 120v	12,000	\$13.57	\$13.96	\$18.15	
74	396	Deco Post Top (Dual MH)	24,000	\$34.90	\$35.53	\$46.21	
75	397	Deco Post Top (MH)	12,000	\$14.74	\$14.84	\$19.30	
76	398	Deco Cube (MH)	110,000	\$20.14	\$20.50	\$26.67	
77	399	Flood (MH)	38,000	\$11.32	\$11.95	\$15.54	
<u>Light Emitting Diode (LED)</u>							
78	104	50w LED Sanibel Black Type III 4000K ¹	6,354	\$17.55	\$16.53	\$21.50	
79	106	Underground Sanibel ¹	5,500	\$17.55	\$16.53	\$21.50	
80	107	Underground Traditional Open	3,908	\$8.10	\$7.22	\$9.39	
81	108	Underground Traditional w/Lens	3,230	\$8.30	\$6.95	\$9.04	
82	109	Underground Acorn	4,332	\$17.42	\$16.29	\$21.19	
83	111	Underground Mini Bell	2,889	\$14.93	\$14.80	\$19.25	
84	116	146W LED V VENTUS ¹	14,403	\$18.98	\$18.58	\$24.17	
85	117	146W LED FWT VENTUS ¹	13,508	\$18.98	\$18.58	\$24.17	
86	118	219W LED III VENTUS ¹	20,333	\$24.09	\$22.70	\$29.53	
87	119	219W COOPER SHOEBOX BLK III ¹	20,333	\$24.09	\$23.31	\$30.32	
88	120	50W LED K118 3K V MULTIV U F	4,861	\$13.54	\$13.48	\$17.53	
89	121	Shoebox Bronze III	21,164	\$15.42	\$14.42	\$18.76	
90	122	Shoebox Bronze IV	20,555	\$15.42	\$14.42	\$18.76	
91	123	Shoebox Bronze V	21,803	\$15.42	\$14.42	\$18.76	
92	124	Shoebox Black III	21,164	\$15.42	\$14.42	\$18.76	
93	126	Shoebox Black IV FWT	20,555	\$15.42	\$14.42	\$18.76	
94	127	Shoebox Black V	21,803	\$15.42	\$14.42	\$18.76	
95	130	Monticello 3000 Kelvin	4,430	\$17.49	\$16.34	\$21.26	
96	131	67W LED UG ROADWAY ¹	4,600	\$7.54	\$8.37	\$10.88	
97	132	130W LED UG ROADWAY ¹	9,200	\$8.42	\$9.75	\$12.69	
98	133	ATBO Roadway ¹	4,521	\$4.29	\$4.51	\$5.87	
99	134	Underground ATBO Roadway ¹	4,521	\$4.29	\$5.71	\$7.43	
100	136	Roadway	9,233	\$5.85	\$4.97	\$6.47	
101	137	Underground Roadway	9,233	\$5.85	\$6.08	\$7.91	
102	138	Roadway	18,642	\$8.68	\$6.70	\$8.72	
103	139	Underground Roadway	18,642	\$8.68	\$7.81	\$10.16	
104	141	Roadway	24,191	\$8.77	\$7.86	\$10.23	
105	142	Underground Roadway	24,191	\$8.77	\$7.86	\$10.23	
106	143	216W LED OVHD BLK ROADWAY	26,799	\$8.68	\$6.70	\$8.72	
107	144	216W LED UNGR BLK ROADWAY	26,799	\$8.68	\$7.81	\$10.16	
108	147	Roadway	12,642	\$5.92	\$5.03	\$6.54	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 115 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 112

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development Unit Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	Embedded Unit Charge	Comment
109	148	Underground Roadway	12,642	\$5.92	\$6.13	\$7.98	
110	149	50 WATT K118 3K IV MULTIV U F	4,946	\$13.54	\$13.07	\$17.00	
111	151	ATBS Roadway ¹	4,500	\$5.07	\$3.88	\$5.04	
112	152	49W LED AREA REFRACT OVHD ¹	5,100	\$4.21	\$4.43	\$5.76	
113	153	49W LED AREA UNDERGROUND ¹	5,400	\$4.07	\$5.51	\$7.16	
114	154	49W LED AREA REFRACT UNDER ¹	5,100	\$4.21	\$5.63	\$7.32	
115	156	Shoebox Bronze IV FWT	39,078	\$23.30	\$20.33	\$26.45	
116	157	Shoebox Bronze V	43,317	\$23.30	\$20.33	\$26.45	
117	158	Shoebox Black IV FWT	39,078	\$23.30	\$20.33	\$26.45	
118	159	Shoebox Black V	43,317	\$23.30	\$20.88	\$27.15	
119	160	50W LED Monticello BLK TIII 3000K	4,646	\$17.49	\$16.34	\$21.26	
120	161	284W LED ROADWAY BLACK UG	31,599	\$8.77	\$7.86	\$10.23	
121	163	Shoebox Pedestrian Bronze ¹	3,130	\$13.66	\$13.20	\$17.17	
122	164	Shoebox Pedestrian Black ¹	3,130	\$13.66	\$13.20	\$17.17	
123	167	Underground Mitchell	5,186	\$18.24	\$16.98	\$22.08	
124	168	Underground Mitchell w/Top Hat	4,336	\$18.24	\$16.98	\$22.08	
125	169	Teardrop	8,472	\$23.60	\$19.75	\$25.68	
126	171	48W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED ¹	5,742	\$4.45	\$6.62	\$8.61	
127	172	108W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED	12,748	\$5.85	\$6.08	\$7.91	
128	173	150W LED ROADWAY BLACK UNDERGROUND FEED	16,192	\$5.92	\$6.13	\$7.98	
129	178	50W TEARDROP LED BLACK	6,034	\$19.03	\$16.96	\$22.06	
130	179	216W LED RDWY WHITE OVERHEAD	26,799	\$8.68	\$6.70	\$8.72	
131	180	216W LED RDWY WHITE UNDERGROUND	26,799	\$8.68	\$7.81	\$10.16	
132	181	Sanibel ¹	10,820	\$19.40	\$19.50	\$25.36	
133	182	Biscayne ¹	4,655	\$15.03	\$15.56	\$20.24	
134	183	Clermont ¹	15,375	\$23.64	\$21.82	\$28.38	
135	184	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed ¹	4,195	\$3.62	\$3.63	\$4.73	
136	185	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed ¹	4,195	\$3.62	\$5.15	\$6.70	
137	186	ATBS Roadway, Overhead Feed ¹	8,200	\$4.35	\$4.55	\$5.91	
138	187	ATBS Roadway, Underground Feed ¹	8,200	\$4.35	\$5.75	\$7.47	
139	191	Flood Overhead Feed	13,729	\$8.93	\$7.48	\$9.72	
140	192	Flood Overhead Feed	30,238	\$14.47	\$11.81	\$15.36	
141	193	Clermont ¹	7,451	\$24.04	\$21.82	\$28.38	
142	194	Flood Underground Feed	13,729	\$8.93	\$8.58	\$11.16	
143	195	LED Flood Underground Feed	30,238	\$14.47	\$12.91	\$16.80	
144	196	Amber Roadway Overhead	4,133	\$10.22	\$9.25	\$12.03	
145	197	Amber Roadway Underground	4,133	\$10.22	\$10.35	\$13.46	
146	198	Amber Roadway Overhead	5,408	\$12.45	\$10.66	\$13.86	
147	199	Amber Roadway Underground	5,408	\$12.45	\$11.76	\$15.30	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 116 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 113

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development Unit Charges

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	Embedded Unit Charge	Comment
148	296	150 WATT 3K III MULTIV F	15,381	\$5.92	\$5.03	\$6.54	
149	297	150 WATT 3K III MULTIV UG F	15,381	\$5.92	\$6.13	\$7.98	
150	361	Roadway ¹	6,000	\$15.27	\$7.17	\$9.32	
151	362	Roadway ¹	9,600	\$18.36	\$8.55	\$11.12	
152	363	Shoebox Type 3 ¹	20,664	\$39.01	\$25.11	\$32.66	
153	364	Shoebox Type 4 ¹	14,421	\$30.67	\$16.39	\$21.32	
154	367	Shoebox Type 5 ¹	14,421	\$29.74	\$16.39	\$21.32	
155	368	71W LED SANIBEL ¹	8,122	\$15.69	\$16.41	\$21.34	
156	369	Underground Biscayne ¹	6,500	\$13.88	\$14.93	\$19.42	
157	103	60w LED Falcon Ridge	6,315	\$21.00	\$19.50	\$25.36	
158	105	150w LED RW Blk T3 3K	15,381	\$5.92	\$5.03	\$6.54	
159	112	49w LED TrdClo 3000k	4,215	\$7.51	\$8.05	\$10.47	
160	114	421w LED Sbx Blk 3k	41,379	\$23.30	\$20.33	\$26.45	
161	125	Flood Overhead Feed 130w Brz 3k	16,436	\$8.93	\$7.50	\$9.76	
162	128	Flood Underground Feed 130w Brz 3k	16,436	\$8.93	\$8.61	\$11.19	
163	162	284W LED ROADWAY BRONZE UG III	31,599	\$8.77	\$7.86	\$10.23	
164	166	51W ENTERPRISE LED PT ¹	4,500	\$16.53	\$13.95	\$18.15	
165	174	150W LED ROADWAY GRAY 480v	16,192	\$5.92	\$4.97	\$6.47	
166	176	216W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	26,799	\$8.68	\$6.77	\$8.81	
167	177	284W LED ROADWAY GRAY III 480v	31,599	\$8.77	\$6.83	\$8.88	
168	188	40W ROADWAY LED OVERHEAD GRAY W/REFRACTOR ¹	4,544	\$3.77	\$4.07	\$5.29	
169	189	40W ROADWAY LED UNDRGRND GRAY W/REFRACTOR ¹	4,544	\$3.77	\$5.27	\$6.85	
170	190	220W LED SB BLK IV 3K	23,061	\$15.42	\$14.42	\$18.76	
171	200	284W LED RW BK III 3K	31,599	\$8.77	\$6.76	\$8.79	
172	201	Flood Overhead Feed 260w Brz 3k	32,963	\$16.57	\$11.81	\$15.36	
173	202	LED Flood Underground Feed 260w Brz 3k	32,963	\$16.57	\$12.91	\$16.80	
174	203	30W LED 3K BLK UG	2,739	\$6.29	\$6.86	\$8.92	
175	204	30W LED 3K BIS III	4,051	\$15.03	\$14.31	\$18.62	
176	206	30W LED 3K BIS V	4,050	\$15.03	\$14.31	\$18.62	
177	207	50W LED 3K FLOOD	5,785	\$7.85	\$6.54	\$8.51	
178	208	50W LED 4K FLOOD	5,940	\$7.85	\$6.54	\$8.51	
179	209	50W LED 4K SB IV BLK	5,217	\$9.38	\$8.56	\$11.14	
180	211	50W LED 3K SB IV BLK	4,933	\$9.38	\$8.56	\$11.14	
181	212	50W LED 4K SB IV RZ	5,217	\$9.38	\$8.56	\$11.14	
182	213	50W LED 3K SB IV BRZ	4,933	\$9.38	\$8.56	\$11.14	
183	214	50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	5,785	\$7.85	\$7.65	\$9.95	
184	216	50W LED 3K FLOOD UG	5,940	\$7.85	\$7.64	\$9.94	
185	217	280W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358	\$8.77	\$6.76	\$8.79	
186	218	280W LED RW IV GRAY	31,358	\$8.77	\$6.76	\$8.79	
187	219	280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358	\$8.77	\$6.76	\$8.79	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 117 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 114

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development Unit Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	Embedded Unit Charge	Comment
188	221	280W LED RW IV BLK	31,358	\$8.77	\$6.76	\$8.79	
189	222	150W LED RW IV GRAY	16,461	\$5.92	\$5.03	\$6.54	
190	223	150W LED RW IV GRAY	16,461	\$5.92	\$5.03	\$6.54	
191	224	60W LED BIS III ¹	7,075	\$15.03	\$15.56	\$20.24	
192	226	110W AMBER RW OH	5,325	\$12.80	\$11.36	\$14.77	
193	227	110W AMBER RD UG	5,325	\$12.80	\$12.46	\$16.21	
194	228	50W LED OCA V BLK	6,582	\$8.28	\$8.73	\$11.35	
195	229	50W LED OMONT III 3K	3,972	\$17.42	\$16.29	\$21.19	
196	231	70W LED ODAC III WHT	6,207	\$17.42	\$16.29	\$21.19	
197	232	50W ODAC 1K III BL	1,568	\$18.92	\$17.54	\$22.81	
198	233	50W OTRAD 1K III BL	1,361	\$10.18	\$10.22	\$13.30	
199	234	50W SAN III 3K BLK ¹	5,810	\$17.55	\$17.82	\$23.18	
200	236	50W LED SAN WHITE ¹	6,226	\$17.55	\$17.82	\$23.18	
201	237	50W ENTR III 3K	4,540	\$14.18	\$13.95	\$18.15	
202	238	220W RW III 3K WHT	26,799	\$8.68	\$6.70	\$8.72	
203	239	60W SAN QSM AMBER	1,953	\$20.47	\$18.09	\$23.53	
204	241	50W CLER III QSM	6,273	\$24.04	\$21.77	\$28.31	
205	242	150W CLER III QSM	14,215	\$24.04	\$21.77	\$28.31	
206	244	50W SAN III QSM	6,226	\$17.55	\$16.40	\$21.33	
207	246	50W SAN III 3K QSM	5,810	\$17.55	\$16.40	\$21.33	
208	247	50W SAN III WHT QSM	6,226	\$17.55	\$16.40	\$21.33	
209	248	50 SAN III WH 3K QSM	5,810	\$17.55	\$16.40	\$21.33	
210	249	50 SBX IV BLK AMB	4,933	\$10.45	\$10.69	\$13.91	
211	251	50 MICRO II 3K OH	5,283	\$3.69	\$3.77	\$4.90	
212	252	50 MICRO II 3K UG	5,283	\$3.69	\$4.87	\$6.34	
213	253	50 MICRO III 3K OH	5,232	\$3.69	\$3.77	\$4.90	
214	254	50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232	\$3.69	\$4.87	\$6.34	
215	255	50 MICRO V 3K OH	5,494	\$3.69	\$3.77	\$4.90	
216	256	50 MICRO V 3K UG	5,494	\$3.69	\$4.87	\$6.34	
217	257	50 MICRO III 3K UG	5,232	\$3.69	\$4.87	\$6.34	
218	259	50 MTCHR III 3K RBM	5,811	\$18.24	\$16.98	\$22.08	
219	261	50MTCHTR III3K THRBM	5,464	\$18.24	\$16.98	\$22.08	
220	263	50 MTCHR V 3K RBM	6,525	\$18.24	\$16.98	\$22.08	
221	265	50MTCHTR V3K THRBM	5,449	\$18.24	\$16.98	\$22.08	
222	266	110 RW III 3K B	12,748	\$5.85	\$4.97	\$6.47	
223	267	420 SBX V 3K	45,868	\$23.30	\$20.33	\$26.45	
224	268	150 RW BLK IV 3K UG	14,952	\$5.92	\$6.13	\$7.98	
225	269	150 SBX BLK III	19,007	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
226	270	150 SBX BLK IV	18,460	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
227	271	150 SBX BLK V	18,580	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
228	272	40 COL BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007	\$19.32	\$15.43	\$20.07	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 118 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 115

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development Unit Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	Embedded Unit Charge	Comment
229	273	40 WAS BLK V 3K BOLL	1,007	\$17.77	\$19.74	\$25.68	
230	274	150 ENT BLK V 3K	16,500	\$14.73	\$14.42	\$18.76	
231	275	150 ENT BLK IV 3K	15,595	\$14.73	\$14.42	\$18.76	
232	276	150 ENT BLK III 3K	15,091	\$14.73	\$14.42	\$18.76	
233	277	220 ENT BLK V 3K	23,507	\$15.83	\$15.36	\$19.97	
234	278	220 ENT BLK IV 3K	22,219	\$15.83	\$15.36	\$19.97	
235	279	220 ENT BLK III 3K	21,502	\$15.83	\$15.36	\$19.97	
236	280	220 RW IV GRAY	26,799	\$8.68	\$6.70	\$8.72	
237	281	150 SAN III BLK4KQSM	16,160	\$17.55	\$16.40	\$21.33	
238	282	130 RW AMB WHT IIIU	6,491	\$20.72	\$18.36	\$23.88	
239	283	130 RW AMB WHT IIIO	6,491	\$20.72	\$17.26	\$22.45	
240	284	130 RW AMB BLK III OH DOT	5,325	\$20.72	\$17.26	\$22.45	
241	285	130 RW AMB BLK III UG DOT	5,325	\$20.72	\$18.36	\$23.88	
242	286	50 VILLAGES BLK V 3K	3,918	\$13.54	\$13.93	\$18.12	
243	287	50 VILLAGES BLK IV 3K	4,364	\$13.54	\$13.93	\$18.12	
244	288	50W OTRAD 3K V BL	4,694	\$13.54	\$8.16	\$10.62	
245	289	50 MICRO BLK II 3K UG	5,377	\$3.69	\$4.87	\$6.34	
246	290	50 MICRO BLK II 3K OH	5,377	\$3.69	\$3.77	\$4.90	
247	291	150 RW GRAY IV 3K OH	20,050	\$5.92	\$5.03	\$6.54	
248	292	40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIVF ¹	4,711	\$3.62	\$4.11	\$5.35	
249	293	40 WATT 3K GRY II MULTIV UG F ¹	4,711	\$3.62	\$5.31	\$6.91	
250	294	70 WATT 3K II MULTIV OH F ¹	7,565	\$4.35	\$4.77	\$6.20	
251	295	70 WATT 3K II MULTIV UG F ¹	7,565	\$4.35	\$5.97	\$7.76	
252	299	280W RDWY 3k WHT III UG	31,358	\$8.77	\$8.67	\$11.28	
253	334	150 RW GRAY IV 3K UG	20,050	\$5.92	\$6.13	\$7.98	
254	374	150 RW BLK III 3K OH	20,070	\$5.92	\$5.03	\$6.54	
255	376	150 RW BLK IV 3K OH	20,050	\$5.92	\$5.03	\$6.54	
256	377	220 RW GRY III 3K OH	31,493	\$8.68	\$6.70	\$8.72	
257	378	220 RW GRY III 3K UG	31,493	\$8.68	\$7.81	\$10.16	
258	379	220 RW GRY IV 3K OH	28,647	\$8.68	\$6.70	\$8.72	
259	382	220 RW GRY IV 3K UG	28,647	\$8.68	\$7.81	\$10.16	
260	384	220 RW BLK III 3K UG	31,493	\$8.68	\$7.81	\$10.16	
261	388	220 RW BLK IV 3K OH	28,647	\$8.68	\$6.70	\$8.72	
262	600	220 RW BLK IV 3K UG	28,647	\$8.68	\$7.81	\$10.16	
263	601	220 RW WHT III 3K UG	31,493	\$8.68	\$7.81	\$10.16	
264	602	280 RW GRY III 3K OH	37,226	\$8.77	\$6.76	\$8.79	
265	603	280 RW GRY III 3K UG	37,226	\$8.77	\$7.86	\$10.23	
266	604	280 RW GRY IV 3K OH	34,106	\$8.77	\$6.76	\$8.79	
267	605	280 RW GRY IV 3K UG	34,106	\$8.77	\$7.86	\$10.23	
268	606	280 RW BLK III 3K OH	37,226	\$8.77	\$6.76	\$8.79	
269	607	280 RW BLK IV 3K OH	34,106	\$8.77	\$6.76	\$8.79	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 119 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 116

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F

Part 1d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development Unit Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	Embedded Unit Charge	Comment
270	608	280 RW BLK IV 3K UG	34,106	\$8.77	\$7.86	\$10.23	
271	609	110 RW GRY III 3K UG	15,230	\$5.85	\$6.08	\$7.91	
272	610	110 RW GRY III 3K OH	15,230	\$5.85	\$4.97	\$6.47	
273	611	70 ODAC BLK III 3K	5,630	\$17.42	\$16.29	\$21.19	
274	612	70 ODAC WHT III 3K	5,630	\$17.42	\$16.29	\$21.19	
275	614	150CLERBLKIII3KQSM	13,547	\$24.04	\$22.31	\$29.01	
276	616	50 MB BLK III 3K	4,679	\$14.93	\$14.04	\$18.26	
277	617	50 OTRAD BLK III 3K	4,309	\$8.10	\$8.32	\$10.82	
278	618	150 SAN III BLK3KQSM	16,278	\$17.55	\$15.78	\$20.53	
279	619	50 TD BLK III 3K	5,751	\$19.03	\$17.63	\$22.94	
280	620	150 TD BLK III 3K	14,652	\$23.60	\$21.41	\$27.84	
281	629	50 COBRA GRY II 3K OH	5,487	\$5.13	\$3.77	\$4.90	
282	630	50 COBRA GRY II 3K UG	5,487	\$5.13	\$4.87	\$6.34	
283	631	50 COBRA GRY III 3K OH	5,378	\$5.13	\$3.77	\$4.90	
284	632	50 COBRA GRY III 3K UG	5,378	\$5.13	\$4.87	\$6.34	
285	633	50 COBRA GRY V 3K OH	5,428	\$5.13	\$3.77	\$4.90	
286	634	50 COBRA GRY V 3K UG	5,428	\$5.13	\$4.87	\$6.34	
287	635	150 SBX BLK III 3K	17,970	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
288	636	150 SBX BLK IV 3K	17,452	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
289	637	150 SBX BLK V 3K	18,513	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
290	638	220 SBX BLK III 3K	23,744	\$15.42	\$14.42	\$18.76	
291	639	220 SBX BLK V 3K	24,461	\$15.42	\$14.42	\$18.76	
292	640	30 OTC BLK III 3K	3,493	\$6.28	\$6.75	\$8.78	
293	641	110 RW GRY IV UG	15,950	\$5.85	\$6.08	\$7.91	
294	642	110 RW GRY IV OH	15,950	\$5.85	\$4.97	\$6.47	
295	643	110 RW GRY IV 3K UG	15,230	\$5.85	\$6.08	\$7.91	
296	644	110 RW GRY IV 3K OH	15,230	\$5.85	\$4.97	\$6.47	
297	645	110 RW BLK IV UG	15,950	\$5.85	\$6.08	\$7.91	
298	646	110 RW BLK IV OH	15,950	\$5.85	\$4.97	\$6.47	
299	647	110 RW BLK IV 3K UG	15,230	\$5.85	\$6.08	\$7.91	
300	648	110 RW BLK IV 3K OH	15,230	\$5.85	\$4.97	\$6.47	
301	649	150 SBX BRZ 3K III	17,970	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
302	650	150 SBX BRZ 3K V	18,513	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
303	651	150 SBX BRZ 3K IV	17,452	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
304	652	150 SBX BRZ III	19,007	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
305	653	150 SBX BRZ IV	18,460	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
306	654	150 SBX BRZ V	18,580	\$14.12	\$13.45	\$17.49	
Receptacles ⁴							
307	672	HOLIDAY REC RISER		\$3.12	\$3.06	\$3.98	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 120 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 117

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 1d.
Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Fixtures - Development Unit Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Lumens	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	Embedded Unit Charge	Comment
308	673	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK		\$3.97	\$3.84	\$5.00	
309	674	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY		\$3.97	\$3.84	\$5.00	
310	675	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT		\$3.97	\$3.84	\$5.00	
311	676	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK		\$4.01	\$4.32	\$5.62	
312	677	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY		\$4.01	\$4.32	\$5.62	
313	678	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT		\$3.15	\$4.32	\$5.62	
314	679	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK		\$3.99	\$3.92	\$5.09	
315	680	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT		\$3.99	\$3.92	\$5.09	
316	681	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK		\$5.17	\$5.15	\$6.70	
317	682	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY		\$5.16	\$5.15	\$6.70	
318	683	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT		\$5.16	\$5.15	\$6.70	
319	684	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK		\$5.22	\$5.12	\$6.66	
320	685	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT		\$5.22	\$5.12	\$6.66	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 121 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 118

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Development of Billing Units

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6)x(7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7)x(8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
1	404	35' Deco Concrete - Mariner	668	671	674	1%	678	681	679	8,153
2	405	Concrete 30/35'	110,011	110,132	110,683	1%	111,236	111,792	111,514	1,338,170
3	406	16' Deco Conc - Single Sanibel ¹	4,659	4,631	4,446	(2%)	4,379	4,313	4,346	52,155
4	407	16' Deco Conc - Double Sanibel ¹	209	204	196	(2%)	193	190	191	2,297
5	408	26' Aluminum DOT Style Pole	1,086	1,028	1,033	1%	1,038	1,043	1,041	12,491
6	409	36' Aluminum DOT Style Pole	477	481	483	1%	486	488	487	5,844
7	410	Concrete, 15' ¹	949	888	799	(5%)	759	721	740	8,883
8	411	16' Octagonal Conc ¹	71	71	68	(2%)	67	66	67	800
9	412	32' Octagonal Deco Concrete ¹	835	832	799	(2%)	787	775	781	9,370
10	413	25' Tenon Top Concrete	73	75	77	2%	78	80	79	946
11	414	13' Deco Conc St James ¹	214	214	205	(2%)	202	199	201	2,410
12	415	Concrete, Curved ¹	554	551	529	(2%)	521	513	517	6,205
13	416	23' Deco Conc Vic II Bronze	391	381	385	1%	389	393	391	4,687
14	418	35' Tenon Top Black Concrete	1,173	1,352	1,359	1%	1,366	1,372	1,369	16,428
15	420	Wood, 30/35'	65,646	64,235	64,556	1%	64,879	65,203	65,041	780,494
16	421	PROMENADE 25FT BLACK DIRECT BURIED	141	188	413	1%	415	417	416	4,993
17	425	Wood, 14' Laminated ¹	1,091	1,007	906	(5%)	861	818	839	10,074
18	428	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Reinforced ¹	154	157	151	(3%)	146	142	144	1,728
19	429	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze, Reinforced ¹	316	313	300	(3%)	291	283	287	3,445
20	430	Fiberglass, 14', Black ¹	27,131	23,293	19,293	(15%)	16,399	13,939	15,169	182,029
21	431	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze ¹	1,442	1,426	1,369	(3%)	1,328	1,288	1,308	15,696
22	432	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Anchor Base ¹	5	15	14	(3%)	14	14	14	165
23	433	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze ¹	426	420	403	(3%)	391	379	385	4,623
24	434	Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black, Deco Base ¹	268	216	194	(5%)	185	175	180	2,161
25	435	Aluminum, Type A ¹	78	93	89	(3%)	87	84	85	1,024
26	436	Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted ¹	2,700	2,295	2,066	(5%)	1,962	1,864	1,913	22,958
27	437	Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Dual Mount ¹	377	361	347	(3%)	336	326	331	3,973
28	438	Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black ¹	8,965	8,659	8,313	(3%)	8,063	7,821	7,942	95,308
29	439	Black Fiberglass 16' ¹	378	377	362	(3%)	351	341	346	4,150
30	440	Aluminum, Type B ¹	289	249	239	(3%)	232	225	228	2,741
31	441	15' Black Aluminum	2,449	6,856	11,656	20%	14,570	17,484	16,027	192,324
32	445	Aluminum, Type C ¹	66	65	62	(3%)	61	59	60	715
33	446	Deco Fiberglass, 30', Bronze ¹	238	238	228	(3%)	222	215	218	2,620
34	447	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Silver, Anchor Base ¹	243	242	232	(3%)	225	219	222	2,664
35	448	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Silver ¹	537	535	514	(3%)	498	483	491	5,889
36	449	Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Anchor Base ¹	139	139	133	(3%)	129	126	127	1,530
37	450	Concrete, 1/2 Special	176	150	150	1%	151	152	151	1,814
38	451	Concrete 40/45 T2	156	171	223	1%	224	225	225	2,696
39	452	36ft Aluminum Breakaway Pole	5	5	5	1%	5	5	5	61

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 122 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 119

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Development of Billing Units

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6)x(7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7)x(8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
40	454	35ft OAL Promenade Receptacle Pole	221	252	793	10%	1,025	1,128	1,076	12,915
41	455	Steel, Type A ¹	3	3	3	(3%)	3	3	3	33
42	456	PROMENADE 29FT BLACK DIRECT BURIED ¹	59	118	113	(2%)	112	110	111	1,329
43	460	Steel, Type B ¹	4	4	4	(3%)	4	4	4	44
44	461	16' Vic II Brnz ¹	228	228	219	(2%)	216	212	214	2,568
45	464	35FT BRONZE PROMONADE SPECIAL ST JOE ¹	16	16	15	(2%)	15	15	15	180
46	465	Steel, Type C ¹	18	18	17	(3%)	17	16	17	198
47	466	16' Deco Con Vic II - Dual Mount	933	950	955	1%	960	964	962	11,543
48	467	16' Deco Conc Washington - Dual	872	825	829	1%	833	837	835	10,024
49	468	16' Deco Conc Colonial - Dual Mount	390	399	401	1%	403	405	404	4,848
50	469	35' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	18	19	19	1%	19	19	19	231
51	470	45' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	13	14	14	1%	14	14	14	170
52	471	22' Deco Concrete	1,008	1,176	1,473	1%	1,480	1,488	1,484	17,809
53	472	22' Deco Conc Single Sanibel ¹	8,441	8,633	8,288	(2%)	8,163	8,041	8,102	97,226
54	473	22' Deco Conc Double Sanibel ¹	725	793	761	(2%)	750	739	744	8,931
55	474	22' Deco Conc Double Mount	159	199	200	1%	201	202	201	2,418
56	476	25' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	1,808	1,819	1,828	1%	1,837	1,846	1,842	22,102
57	477	30' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	1,114	1,108	1,114	1%	1,119	1,125	1,122	13,463
58	478	35' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	3,182	3,400	3,417	1%	3,434	3,451	3,443	41,312
59	479	41' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	424	421	423	2%	432	440	436	5,231
60	480	Wood, 40/45'	1,325	1,343	1,350	1%	1,356	1,363	1,360	16,318
61	481	30' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	52	48	48	1%	48	49	49	583
62	482	30' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	57	57	57	1%	58	58	58	693
63	483	46' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	5	5	5	1%	5	5	5	61
64	484	46' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	35	34	34	1%	34	35	34	413
65	485	Concrete, 40/45' ¹	947	938	900	(2%)	887	874	880	10,564
66	486	Tenon Style Concrete 46' Single Flood Mount	18	15	15	1%	15	15	15	182
67	487	35' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	34	32	32	1%	32	32	32	389
68	488	35' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	149	145	146	1%	146	147	147	1,762
69	489	35' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	104	186	193	3%	201	207	204	2,450
70	491	30' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	6	6	6	1%	6	6	6	73
71	492	16' Smooth Decorative Concrete/The Colonial	35,209	36,971	38,266	5%	40,179	42,188	41,184	494,205
72	493	19' White Aluminum ¹	142	142	136	(3%)	132	128	130	1,563
73	494	46' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	850	819	823	1%	827	831	829	9,951
74	495	Dual Mount 20' Fiberglass ¹	1	1	1	(3%)	1	1	1	11
75	496	30' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	1,268	1,313	1,320	1%	1,326	1,333	1,329	15,954
76	497	16' Decorative Concrete w/decorative base/The Washington	10,999	11,255	11,724	3%	12,127	12,430	12,279	147,343
77	498	35' Tenon Top Concrete, Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	4,763	4,643	4,666	1%	4,690	4,713	4,701	56,415
78	499	16' Decorative Concrete-Vic II	29,047	30,111	31,163	5%	32,410	34,030	33,220	398,637
79	504	Promenade Black 41ft	5	5	5	1%	5	5	5	61
80	506	Promenade Black 30FT	28	123	440	5%	484	508	496	5,953
81	507	22FT WHITE DECO CONC MARINER	0	0	1	1%	1	1	1	12

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 123 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 120

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2a.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Development of Billing Units

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Actual Year End 2021	Actual Year End 2022	Projected Year End 2023	Growth Rate	Projected Year End 2024 (6)x(7)	Projected Year End 2025 (7)x(8)	Projected Average 2025 (8+9)/2	Projected Annual Billing Units (10) x 12
82	509	AL AB 26FT BLK 10FT BWY	0	0	1	1%	1	1	1	12
83	510	AL AB 26FT BLK 12FT BWY	0	0	1	1%	1	1	1	12
84	511	AL AB 36FT BLK 10FT BWY	17	24	24	1%	24	24	24	292
85	512	AL AB 36FT BLK 12FT BWY	0	0	1	1%	1	1	1	12
86	515	AL DB 30FT BLK HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	0	0	1	1%	1	1	1	12
87	517	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	1	6	6	1%	6	6	6	73
89	519	HOLIDAY REC RISER ¹	8	170	163	(1%)	162	160	161	4,104
90	520	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK ¹	1	1	1	(1%)	1	1	1	12
91	521	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY ¹	0	0	0	(1%)	0	0	0	0
92	522	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT ¹	0	0	0	(1%)	0	0	0	0
93	523	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK ¹	20	25	24	(1%)	24	24	24	387
94	524	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY ¹	1	1	1	(1%)	1	1	1	12
95	525	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT ¹	2	4	4	(1%)	4	4	4	77
96	526	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK ¹	16	59	57	(1%)	56	56	56	1,261
97	527	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT ¹	0	0	0	(1%)	0	0	0	0
98	528	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK ¹	0	0	0	(1%)	0	0	0	0
99	529	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY ¹	0	0	0	(1%)	0	0	0	0
100	530	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT ¹	0	0	0	(1%)	0	0	0	0
101	531	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK ¹	0	0	0	(1%)	0	0	0	0
102	532	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT ¹	0	0	0	(1%)	0	0	0	0
103	533	22FT BLACK COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	3	608	898	10%	1,009	1,110	1,059	12,713
104	534	22FT WHITE COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	0	0	1	1%	1	1	1	12
105	535	AL DIRECT BURIED 21FT BLK 3IN TENON	1	1	1	1%	1	1	1	12
106	536	COLONIAL CTE 16FT 6T QSM	6	257	335	5%	369	387	378	4,533
107	537	AL AB 37FT SAT DOT	0	0	1	1%	1	1	1	12
108	539	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 10BKT	1	1	1	1%	1	1	1	12
109	541	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 12BKT	0	0	1	1%	1	1	1	12
110	543	AL AB 36FT SAT BWY 10ARM	12	129	331	5%	364	382	373	4,478
111	544	WASH CTE 25FT BLK	10	14	71	3%	74	76	75	899
Totals			339,565	343,103	348,098	1%	352,314	357,173	354,744	4,259,831

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 124 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 121

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2b.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Summary of Current Installed Costs

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
1	404	35' Deco Concrete - Mariner	\$2,480.40	\$472.92	\$2,953.32
2	405	Concrete 30/35'	\$545.22	\$472.92	\$1,018.14
3	406	16' Deco Conc - Single Sanibel ¹	\$1,344.33	\$426.78	\$1,771.11
4	407	16' Deco Conc - Double Sanibel ¹	\$1,429.74	\$426.78	\$1,856.52
5	408	26' Aluminum DOT Style Pole	\$2,155.14	\$426.78	\$2,581.92
6	409	36' Aluminum DOT Style Pole	\$3,705.39	\$426.78	\$4,132.17
7	410	Concrete, 15' ¹	\$550.01	\$426.78	\$976.79
8	411	16' Octagonal Conc ¹	\$877.50	\$426.78	\$1,304.28
9	412	32' Octagonal Deco Concrete ¹	\$1,994.85	\$472.92	\$2,467.77
10	413	25' Tenon Top Concrete	\$502.75	\$472.92	\$975.67
11	414	13' Deco Conc St James ¹	\$1,884.87	\$426.78	\$2,311.65
12	415	Concrete, Curved ¹	\$624.42	\$196.09	\$820.51
13	416	23' Deco Conc Vic II Bronze	\$1,464.84	\$472.92	\$1,937.76
14	418	35' Tenon Top Black Concrete	\$2,343.51	\$472.92	\$2,816.43
15	420	Wood, 30/35'	\$80.39	\$472.92	\$553.31
16	421	PROMENADE 25FT BLACK DIRECT BURIED	\$1,723.41	\$472.92	\$2,196.33
17	425	Wood, 14' Laminated ¹	\$384.93	\$311.43	\$696.36
18	428	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Reinforced ¹	\$965.25	\$426.78	\$1,392.03
19	429	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze, Reinforced ¹	\$2,077.84	\$426.78	\$2,504.62
20	430	Fiberglass, 14', Black ¹	\$429.39	\$311.43	\$740.82
21	431	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze ¹	\$1,233.18	\$426.78	\$1,659.96
22	432	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Anchor Base ¹	\$2,135.25	\$426.78	\$2,562.03
23	433	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze ¹	\$654.83	\$426.78	\$1,081.61
24	434	Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black, Deco Base ¹	\$531.18	\$426.78	\$957.96
25	435	Aluminum, Type A ¹	\$1,480.05	\$196.09	\$1,676.14
26	436	Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted ¹	\$1,178.05	\$426.78	\$1,604.83
27	437	Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Dual Mount ¹	\$2,650.05	\$426.78	\$3,076.83
28	438	Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black ¹	\$531.18	\$196.09	\$727.27
29	439	Black Fiberglass 16' ¹	\$1,485.60	\$426.78	\$1,912.38
30	440	Aluminum, Type B ¹	\$1,480.05	\$426.78	\$1,906.83
31	441	15' Black Aluminum	\$401.77	\$311.43	\$713.20
32	445	Aluminum, Type C ¹	\$1,480.05	\$426.78	\$1,906.83
33	446	Deco Fiberglass, 30', Bronze ¹	\$523.07	\$426.78	\$949.85
34	447	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Silver, Anchor Base ¹	\$1,095.75	\$426.78	\$1,522.53
35	448	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Silver ¹	\$1,233.18	\$426.78	\$1,659.96
36	449	Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Anchor Base ¹	\$825.43	\$426.78	\$1,252.21
37	450	Concrete, 1/2 Special	\$312.21	\$236.46	\$548.67
38	451	Concrete 40/45 T2	\$1,193.44	\$472.92	\$1,666.36
39	452	36ft Aluminum Breakaway Pole	\$1,939.86	\$426.78	\$2,366.64

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 125 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 122

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2b.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Summary of Current Installed Costs

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
40	454	35ft OAL Promenade Receptacle Pole	\$2,343.51	\$472.92	\$2,816.43
41	455	Steel, Type A ¹	\$1,480.05	\$472.92	\$1,952.97
42	456	PROMENADE 29FT BLACK DIRECT BURIED ¹	\$1,914.12	\$472.92	\$2,387.04
43	460	Steel, Type B ¹	\$1,480.05	\$472.92	\$1,952.97
44	461	16' Vic II Brnz ¹	\$1,301.04	\$426.78	\$1,727.82
45	464	35FT BRONZE PROMONADE SPECIAL ST JOE ¹	\$2,115.36	\$472.92	\$2,588.28
46	465	Steel, Type C ¹	\$1,480.05	\$472.92	\$1,952.97
47	466	16' Deco Con Vic II - Dual Mount	\$1,803.15	\$426.78	\$2,229.92
48	467	16' Deco Conc Washington - Dual	\$1,899.09	\$426.78	\$2,325.86
49	468	16' Deco Conc Colonial - Dual Mount	\$1,637.01	\$426.78	\$2,063.78
50	469	35' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	\$899.24	\$472.92	\$1,372.15
51	470	45' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	\$1,433.92	\$472.92	\$1,906.83
52	471	22' Deco Concrete	\$1,364.22	\$472.92	\$1,837.14
53	472	22' Deco Conc Single Sanibel ¹	\$1,269.45	\$472.92	\$1,742.37
54	473	22' Deco Conc Double Sanibel ¹	\$1,778.40	\$472.92	\$2,251.32
55	474	22' Deco Conc Double Mount	\$1,856.38	\$472.92	\$2,329.30
56	476	25' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	\$1,550.25	\$472.92	\$2,023.17
57	477	30' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	\$1,914.12	\$472.92	\$2,387.04
58	478	35' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	\$2,480.40	\$472.92	\$2,953.32
59	479	41' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	\$2,737.80	\$472.92	\$3,210.72
60	480	Wood, 40/45'	\$254.25	\$472.92	\$727.17
61	481	30' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	\$638.57	\$472.92	\$1,111.49
62	482	30' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$770.77	\$472.92	\$1,243.69
63	483	46' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$1,334.12	\$472.92	\$1,807.03
64	484	46' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$1,342.20	\$472.92	\$1,815.12
65	485	Concrete, 40/45' ¹	\$1,182.87	\$472.92	\$1,655.79
66	486	Tenon Style Concrete 46' Single Flood Mount	\$1,210.00	\$472.92	\$1,682.92
67	487	35' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$799.22	\$472.92	\$1,272.13
68	488	35' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$807.30	\$472.92	\$1,280.22
69	489	35' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	\$675.10	\$472.92	\$1,148.02
70	491	30' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$762.69	\$472.92	\$1,235.61
71	492	16' Smooth Decorative Concrete/The Colonial	\$1,093.95	\$426.78	\$1,520.73
72	493	19' White Aluminum ¹	\$2,399.20	\$426.78	\$2,825.98
73	494	46' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	\$1,210.00	\$472.92	\$1,682.92
74	495	Dual Mount 20' Fiberglass ¹	\$531.18	\$426.78	\$957.96
75	496	30' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	\$638.57	\$472.92	\$1,111.49
76	497	16' Decorative Concrete w/decorative base/The Washington	\$1,386.45	\$426.78	\$1,813.23
77	498	35' Tenon Top Concrete,/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	\$675.10	\$472.92	\$1,148.02
78	499	16' Decorative Concrete-Vic II	\$1,301.04	\$426.78	\$1,727.82
79	504	Promenade Black 41ft	\$2,737.80	\$472.92	\$3,210.72
80	506	Promenade Black 30FT	\$1,914.12	\$472.92	\$2,387.04
81	507	22FT WHITE DECO CONC MARINER	\$1,415.70	\$472.92	\$1,888.62

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 126 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 123

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2b.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Summary of Current Installed Costs

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Total Material	Total Labor	Current Installed Cost/Unit
82	509	AL AB 26FT BLK 10FT BWY	\$2,288.52	\$426.78	\$2,715.30
83	510	AL AB 26FT BLK 12FT BWY	\$2,288.52	\$426.78	\$2,715.30
84	511	AL AB 36FT BLK 10FT BWY	\$3,974.49	\$426.78	\$4,401.27
85	512	AL AB 36FT BLK 12FT BWY	\$3,974.49	\$426.78	\$4,401.27
86	515	AL DB 30FT BLK HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	\$2,596.23	\$426.78	\$3,023.01
87	517	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	\$2,920.32	\$426.78	\$3,347.10
89	519	HOLIDAY REC RISER ¹	\$263.25	\$115.35	\$378.60
90	520	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK ¹	\$360.36	\$115.35	\$475.71
91	521	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY ¹	\$360.36	\$115.35	\$475.71
92	522	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT ¹	\$360.36	\$115.35	\$475.71
93	523	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK ¹	\$420.03	\$115.35	\$535.38
94	524	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY ¹	\$420.03	\$115.35	\$535.38
95	525	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT ¹	\$420.03	\$115.35	\$535.38
96	526	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK ¹	\$369.72	\$115.35	\$485.07
97	527	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT ¹	\$369.72	\$115.35	\$485.07
98	528	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK ¹	\$522.99	\$115.35	\$638.34
99	529	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY ¹	\$522.99	\$115.35	\$638.34
100	530	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT ¹	\$522.99	\$115.35	\$638.34
101	531	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK ¹	\$518.31	\$115.35	\$633.66
102	532	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT ¹	\$518.31	\$115.35	\$633.66
103	533	22FT BLACK COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	\$1,269.45	\$472.92	\$1,742.37
104	534	22FT WHITE COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	\$1,421.55	\$472.92	\$1,894.47
105	535	AL DIRECT BURIED 21FT BLK 3IN TENON	\$555.75	\$426.78	\$982.53
106	536	COLONIAL CTE 16FT 6T QSM	\$1,099.80	\$426.78	\$1,526.58
107	537	AL AB 37FT SAT DOT	\$2,089.62	\$426.78	\$2,516.40
108	539	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 10BKT	\$2,655.90	\$426.78	\$3,082.68
109	541	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 12BKT	\$2,706.21	\$426.78	\$3,132.99
110	543	AL AB 36FT SAT BWY 10ARM	\$3,705.39	\$426.78	\$4,132.17
111	544	WASH CTE 25FT BLK	\$2,001.87	\$472.92	\$2,474.79

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 127 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 124

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4) + (5)	Current Installed Cost/Unit	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6) X (9)
1	404	35' Deco Concrete - Mariner	679	0	679	\$2,953.32	0.60	\$1,771.99	\$1,203,927
2	405	Concrete 30/35'	111,514	3288	114,802	\$1,018.14	0.97	\$988.36	\$113,465,320
3	406	16' Deco Conc - Single Sanibel ¹	4,346	73	4,419	\$1,771.11	0.94	\$1,660.86	\$7,339,706
4	407	16' Deco Conc - Double Sanibel ¹	191	1	192	\$1,856.52	0.95	\$1,767.40	\$340,147
5	408	26' Aluminum DOT Style Pole	1,041	0	1,041	\$2,581.92	0.90	\$2,323.73	\$2,418,770
6	409	36' Aluminum DOT Style Pole	487	0	487	\$4,132.17	0.80	\$3,305.73	\$1,610,013
7	410	Concrete, 15' ¹	740	13	753	\$976.79	1.12	\$1,098.26	\$827,275
8	411	16' Octagonal Conc ¹	67	0	67	\$1,304.28	1.12	\$1,466.48	\$97,717
9	412	32' Octagonal Deco Concrete ¹	781	0	781	\$2,467.77	0.95	\$2,349.31	\$1,834,434
10	413	25' Tenon Top Concrete	79	0	79	\$975.67	0.95	\$928.83	\$73,202
11	414	13' Deco Conc St James ¹	201	0	201	\$2,311.65	0.95	\$2,200.69	\$441,988
12	415	Concrete, Curved ¹	517	5	522	\$820.51	1.12	\$922.55	\$481,677
13	416	23' Deco Conc Vic II Bronze	391	27	418	\$1,937.76	0.95	\$1,844.74	\$770,367
14	418	35' Tenon Top Black Concrete	1,369	34	1,403	\$2,816.43	0.95	\$2,681.24	\$3,761,689
15	420	Wood, 30/35'	65,041	9604	74,645	\$553.31	0.96	\$532.18	\$39,724,695
16	421	PROMENADE 25FT BLACK DIRECT BURIED	416	0	416	\$2,196.33	0.90	\$1,976.69	\$822,507
17	425	Wood, 14' Laminated ¹	839	35	874	\$696.36	1.12	\$782.96	\$684,669
18	428	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Reinforced ¹	144	2	146	\$1,392.03	1.12	\$1,565.14	\$228,519
19	429	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze, Reinforced ¹	287	8	295	\$2,504.62	1.12	\$2,816.09	\$831,011
20	430	Fiberglass, 14', Black ¹	15,169	161	15,330	\$740.82	1.12	\$832.95	\$12,769,239
21	431	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze ¹	1,308	14	1,322	\$1,659.96	1.12	\$1,866.39	\$2,467,317
22	432	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Anchor Base ¹	14	0	14	\$2,562.03	1.12	\$2,880.64	\$39,633
23	433	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze ¹	385	26	411	\$1,081.61	1.12	\$1,216.12	\$500,113
24	434	Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black, Deco Base ¹	180	11	191	\$957.96	1.12	\$1,077.09	\$205,792
25	435	Aluminum, Type A ¹	85	4	89	\$1,676.14	1.12	\$1,884.58	\$168,298
26	436	Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted ¹	1,913	67	1,980	\$1,604.83	0.90	\$1,444.34	\$2,860,047
27	437	Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Dual Mount ¹	331	0	331	\$3,076.83	0.80	\$2,461.46	\$815,041
28	438	Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black ¹	7,942	187	8,129	\$727.27	1.12	\$817.71	\$6,647,419
29	439	Black Fiberglass 16' ¹	346	1	347	\$1,912.38	0.95	\$1,820.58	\$631,372
30	440	Aluminum, Type B ¹	228	6	234	\$1,906.83	1.12	\$2,143.96	\$502,525
31	441	15' Black Aluminum	16,027	0	16,027	\$713.20	0.85	\$606.22	\$9,715,919
32	445	Aluminum, Type C ¹	60	4	64	\$1,906.83	0.95	\$1,815.30	\$115,490
33	446	Deco Fiberglass, 30', Bronze ¹	218	0	218	\$949.85	1.12	\$1,067.97	\$233,139
34	447	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Silver, Anchor Base ¹	222	0	222	\$1,522.53	1.12	\$1,711.87	\$379,984
35	448	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Silver ¹	491	2	493	\$1,659.96	1.12	\$1,866.39	\$919,606
36	449	Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Anchor Base ¹	127	0	127	\$1,252.21	1.12	\$1,407.93	\$179,505
37	450	Concrete, 1/2 Special	151	15	166	\$548.67	1.12	\$616.90	\$102,484
38	451	Concrete 40/45 T2	225	0	225	\$1,666.36	1.00	\$1,666.36	\$374,389
39	452	36ft Aluminum Breakaway Pole	5	0	5	\$2,366.64	0.95	\$2,253.04	\$11,407

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 128 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 125

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4) + (5)	Current Installed Cost/Unit	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6) X (9)
40	454	35ft OAL Promenade Receptacle Pole	1,076	0	1,076	\$2,816.43	0.95	\$2,681.24	\$2,885,682
41	455	Steel, Type A ¹	3	0	3	\$1,952.97	1.12	\$2,195.84	\$6,042
42	456	PROMENADE 29FT BLACK DIRECT BURIED ¹	111	0	111	\$2,387.04	0.95	\$2,272.46	\$251,661
43	460	Steel, Type B ¹	4	0	4	\$1,952.97	1.12	\$2,195.84	\$8,056
44	461	16' Vic II Brnz ¹	214	0	214	\$1,727.82	0.95	\$1,644.88	\$351,972
45	464	35FT BRONZE PROMONADE SPECIAL ST JOE ¹	15	0	15	\$2,588.28	0.95	\$2,464.04	\$37,000
46	465	Steel, Type C ¹	17	0	17	\$1,952.97	1.12	\$2,195.84	\$36,254
47	466	16' Deco Con Vic II - Dual Mount	962	12	974	\$2,229.92	0.95	\$2,122.89	\$2,067,527
48	467	16' Deco Conc Washington - Dual	835	18	853	\$2,325.86	0.95	\$2,214.22	\$1,889,514
49	468	16' Deco Conc Colonial - Dual Mount	404	5	409	\$2,063.78	0.95	\$1,964.72	\$803,586
50	469	35' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	19	0	19	\$1,372.15	0.95	\$1,306.29	\$25,131
51	470	45' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	14	0	14	\$1,906.83	0.95	\$1,815.30	\$25,733
52	471	22' Deco Concrete	1,484	3	1,487	\$1,837.14	1.00	\$1,837.14	\$2,731,942
53	472	22' Deco Conc Single Sanibel ¹	8,102	80	8,182	\$1,742.37	1.00	\$1,742.37	\$14,256,279
54	473	22' Deco Conc Double Sanibel ¹	744	12	756	\$2,251.32	0.95	\$2,143.25	\$1,620,807
55	474	22' Deco Conc Double Mount	201	7	208	\$2,329.30	0.95	\$2,217.49	\$462,341
56	476	25' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	1,842	64	1,906	\$2,023.17	0.95	\$1,926.05	\$3,670,728
57	477	30' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	1,122	17	1,139	\$2,387.04	0.95	\$2,272.46	\$2,588,115
58	478	35' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	3,443	81	3,524	\$2,953.32	0.95	\$2,811.56	\$9,906,998
59	479	41' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	436	6	442	\$3,210.72	0.95	\$3,056.60	\$1,350,659
60	480	Wood, 40/45'	1,360	38	1,398	\$727.17	0.95	\$692.26	\$967,681
61	481	30' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	49	4	53	\$1,111.49	0.95	\$1,058.13	\$55,660
62	482	30' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	58	0	58	\$1,243.69	0.95	\$1,183.99	\$68,334
63	483	46' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	5	1	6	\$1,807.03	0.95	\$1,720.29	\$10,430
64	484	46' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	34	4	38	\$1,815.12	0.95	\$1,727.99	\$66,401
65	485	Concrete, 40/45' ¹	880	26	906	\$1,655.79	0.95	\$1,576.31	\$1,428,640
66	486	Tenon Style Concrete 46' Single Flood Mount	15	0	15	\$1,682.92	0.95	\$1,602.14	\$24,334
67	487	35' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	32	7	39	\$1,272.13	0.95	\$1,211.07	\$47,718
68	488	35' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	147	11	158	\$1,280.22	0.95	\$1,218.77	\$192,345
69	489	35' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	204	0	204	\$1,148.02	0.95	\$1,092.91	\$223,168
70	491	30' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	6	0	6	\$1,235.61	0.95	\$1,176.30	\$7,146
71	492	16' Smooth Decorative Concrete/The Colonial	41,184	231	41,415	\$1,520.73	0.95	\$1,447.73	\$59,957,534
72	493	19' White Aluminum ¹	130	0	130	\$2,825.98	1.12	\$3,177.42	\$413,849
73	494	46' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	829	28	857	\$1,682.92	0.95	\$1,602.14	\$1,373,477
74	495	Dual Mount 20' Fiberglass ¹	1	0	1	\$957.96	1.12	\$1,077.09	\$988
75	496	30' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	1,329	0	1,329	\$1,111.49	0.95	\$1,058.13	\$1,406,767
76	497	16' Decorative Concrete w/decorative base/The Washington	12,279	302	12,581	\$1,813.23	0.95	\$1,726.19	\$21,716,518
77	498	35' Tenon Top Concrete,/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	4,701	159	4,860	\$1,148.02	0.95	\$1,092.91	\$5,311,842
78	499	16' Decorative Concrete-Vic II	33,220	286	33,506	\$1,727.82	0.95	\$1,644.88	\$55,113,030
79	504	Promenade Black 41ft	5	0	5	\$3,210.72	0.95	\$3,056.60	\$15,475
80	506	Promenade Black 30FT	496	0	496	\$2,387.04	1.00	\$2,387.04	\$1,184,208
81	507	22FT WHITE DECO CONC MARINER	1	0	1	\$1,888.62	0.75	\$1,416.46	\$1,427

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 129 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 126

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2c.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Development of Embedded Investment

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Quantity Active 2025	Quantity Inactive 2025	Quantity Total (4) + (5)	Current Installed Cost/Unit	Ratio Embedded/ Current	Embedded Unit Cost (7)x(8)	Total Embedded Cost (6) X (9)
82	509	AL AB 26FT BLK 10FT BWY	1	0	1	\$2,715.30	0.95	\$2,584.96	\$2,604
83	510	AL AB 26FT BLK 12FT BWY	1	0	1	\$2,715.30	0.95	\$2,584.96	\$2,604
84	511	AL AB 36FT BLK 10FT BWY	24	0	24	\$4,401.27	0.95	\$4,190.01	\$101,822
85	512	AL AB 36FT BLK 12FT BWY	1	0	1	\$4,401.27	0.95	\$4,190.01	\$4,221
86	515	AL DB 30FT BLK HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	1	0	1	\$3,023.01	0.95	\$2,877.90	\$2,900
87	517	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	6	0	6	\$3,347.10	0.95	\$3,186.44	\$19,359
89	519	HOLIDAY REC RISER ¹	161	0	342	\$378.60	1.02	\$386.17	\$132,079
90	520	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK ¹	1	0	1	\$475.71	1.02	\$485.22	\$485
91	521	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY ¹	0	0	0	\$475.71	1.02	\$485.22	\$0
92	522	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT ¹	0	0	0	\$475.71	1.02	\$485.22	\$0
93	523	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK ¹	24	0	32	\$535.38	1.02	\$546.08	\$17,632
94	524	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY ¹	1	0	1	\$535.38	1.02	\$546.08	\$546
95	525	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT ¹	4	0	6	\$535.38	1.02	\$546.08	\$3,526
96	526	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK ¹	56	0	105	\$485.07	1.02	\$494.77	\$51,990
97	527	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT ¹	0	0	0	\$485.07	1.02	\$494.77	\$0
98	528	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK ¹	0	0	0	\$638.34	1.02	\$651.10	\$1
99	529	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY ¹	0	0	0	\$638.34	1.02	\$651.10	\$1
100	530	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT ¹	0	0	0	\$638.34	1.02	\$651.10	\$1
101	531	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK ¹	0	0	0	\$633.66	1.02	\$646.33	\$1
102	532	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT ¹	0	0	0	\$633.66	1.02	\$646.33	\$1
103	533	22FT BLACK COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	1,059	0	1,059	\$1,742.37	1.12	\$1,959.12	\$2,075,585
104	534	22FT WHITE COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	1	0	1	\$1,894.47	0.95	\$1,803.53	\$1,817
105	535	AL DIRECT BURIED 21FT BLK 3IN TENON	1	0	1	\$982.53	0.95	\$935.37	\$947
106	536	COLONIAL CTE 16FT 6T QSM	378	0	378	\$1,526.58	0.95	\$1,453.30	\$548,930
107	537	AL AB 37FT SAT DOT	1	0	1	\$2,516.40	0.95	\$2,395.61	\$2,414
108	539	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 10BKT	1	0	1	\$3,082.68	0.95	\$2,934.71	\$2,972
109	541	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 12BKT	1	0	1	\$3,132.99	0.95	\$2,982.60	\$3,005
110	543	AL AB 36FT SAT BWY 10ARM	373	0	373	\$4,132.17	0.95	\$3,933.82	\$1,468,113
111	544	WASH CTE 25FT BLK	75	0	75	\$2,474.79	1.00	\$2,474.79	\$185,479
Total			354,744	14,990	369,976				\$415,788,388

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 130 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 127

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Development of Unit Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	COS Unit Charge	Comment
1	404	35' Deco Concrete - Mariner	\$12.66	\$11.98	\$17.04	
2	405	Concrete 30/35'	\$8.11	\$6.68	\$9.50	
3	406	16' Deco Conc - Single Sanibel ¹	\$14.20	\$11.23	\$15.97	
4	407	16' Deco Conc - Double Sanibel ¹	\$12.31	\$11.95	\$16.99	
5	408	26' Aluminum DOT Style Pole	\$17.35	\$15.71	\$22.34	
6	409	36' Aluminum DOT Style Pole	\$25.40	\$22.35	\$31.79	
7	410	Concrete, 15' ¹	\$2.31	\$7.43	\$10.56	
8	411	16' Octagonal Conc ¹	\$10.46	\$9.92	\$14.10	
9	412	32' Octagonal Deco Concrete ¹	\$17.77	\$15.88	\$22.59	
10	413	25' Tenon Top Concrete	\$7.77	\$6.28	\$8.93	
11	414	13' Deco Conc St James ¹	\$18.36	\$14.88	\$21.16	
12	415	Concrete, Curved ¹	\$2.14	\$6.24	\$8.87	
13	416	23' Deco Conc Vic II Bronze	\$19.08	\$12.47	\$17.74	
14	418	35' Tenon Top Black Concrete	\$20.56	\$18.13	\$25.78	
15	420	Wood, 30/35'	\$4.32	\$3.60	\$5.12	
16	421	PROMENADE 25FT BLACK DIRECT BURIED	\$13.49	\$13.36	\$19.01	
17	425	Wood, 14' Laminated ¹	\$1.07	\$5.29	\$7.53	
18	428	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Reinforced ¹	\$9.60	\$10.58	\$15.05	
19	429	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze, Reinforced ¹	\$20.25	\$19.04	\$27.08	
20	430	Fiberglass, 14', Black ¹	\$5.21	\$5.63	\$8.01	
21	431	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Bronze ¹	\$13.36	\$12.62	\$17.95	
22	432	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze, Anchor Base ¹	\$9.70	\$19.48	\$27.70	
23	433	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Bronze ¹	\$8.64	\$8.22	\$11.69	
24	434	Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black, Deco Base ¹	\$5.28	\$7.28	\$10.36	
25	435	Aluminum, Type A ¹	\$2.95	\$12.74	\$18.12	
26	436	Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted ¹	\$8.74	\$9.77	\$13.89	
27	437	Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Dual Mount ¹	\$15.53	\$16.64	\$23.67	
28	438	Deco Fiberglass, 20', Black ¹	\$2.62	\$5.53	\$7.86	
29	439	Black Fiberglass 16' ¹	\$13.42	\$12.31	\$17.51	
30	440	Aluminum, Type B ¹	\$15.38	\$14.50	\$20.61	
31	441	15' Black Aluminum	\$3.99	\$4.10	\$5.83	
32	445	Aluminum, Type C ¹	\$6.42	\$12.27	\$17.45	
33	446	Deco Fiberglass, 30', Bronze ¹	\$7.57	\$7.22	\$10.27	
34	447	Deco Fiberglass, 35', Silver, Anchor Base ¹	\$10.60	\$11.57	\$16.46	
35	448	Deco Fiberglass, 41', Silver ¹	\$8.06	\$12.62	\$17.95	
36	449	Deco Fiberglass, 16', Black, Fluted, Anchor Base ¹	\$10.04	\$9.52	\$13.54	
37	450	Concrete, 1/2 Special	\$1.75	\$4.17	\$5.93	
38	451	Concrete 40/45 T2	\$12.90	\$11.27	\$16.02	
39	452	36ft Aluminum Breakaway Pole	\$13.41	\$15.23	\$21.66	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 131 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 128

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Development of Unit Charges

(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	COS Unit Charge	Comment
40	454	35ft OAL Promenade Receptacle Pole	\$20.56	\$18.13	\$25.78	
41	455	Steel, Type A ¹	\$1.84	\$14.85	\$21.11	
42	456	PROMENADE 29FT BLACK DIRECT BURIED ¹	\$17.20	\$15.36	\$21.85	
43	460	Steel, Type B ¹	\$1.97	\$14.85	\$21.11	
44	461	16' Vic II Brnz ¹	\$12.49	\$11.12	\$15.82	
45	464	35FT BRONZE PROMONADE SPECIAL ST JOE ¹	\$20.56	\$16.66	\$23.69	
46	465	Steel, Type C ¹	\$2.76	\$14.85	\$21.11	
47	466	16' Deco Con Vic II - Dual Mount	\$12.49	\$14.35	\$20.41	
48	467	16' Deco Conc Washington - Dual	\$13.29	\$14.97	\$21.29	
49	468	16' Deco Conc Colonial - Dual Mount	\$10.56	\$13.28	\$18.89	
50	469	35' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	\$8.36	\$8.83	\$12.56	
51	470	45' Tenon Top Quad Flood Mount	\$11.81	\$12.27	\$17.45	
52	471	22' Deco Concrete	\$14.25	\$12.42	\$17.66	
53	472	22' Deco Conc Single Sanibel ¹	\$14.25	\$11.78	\$16.75	
54	473	22' Deco Conc Double Sanibel ¹	\$14.25	\$14.49	\$20.61	
55	474	22' Deco Conc Double Mount	\$14.25	\$14.99	\$21.32	
56	476	25' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	\$14.85	\$13.02	\$18.52	
57	477	30' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	\$17.20	\$15.36	\$21.85	
58	478	35' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	\$18.99	\$19.01	\$27.03	
59	479	41' Tenon Top Bronze Concrete	\$21.00	\$20.67	\$29.39	
60	480	Wood, 40/45'	\$5.91	\$4.68	\$6.66	
61	481	30' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	\$7.97	\$7.15	\$10.17	
62	482	30' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$7.97	\$8.01	\$11.38	
63	483	46' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$11.81	\$11.63	\$16.54	
64	484	46' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$11.81	\$11.68	\$16.62	
65	485	Concrete, 40/45' ¹	\$12.90	\$10.66	\$15.16	
66	486	Tenon Style Concrete 46' Single Flood Mount	\$11.81	\$10.83	\$15.41	
67	487	35' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$8.22	\$8.19	\$11.64	
68	488	35' Tenon Top Conc, Double Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$8.22	\$8.24	\$11.72	
69	489	35' Tenon Top Concrete, Single Flood Mount	\$8.22	\$7.39	\$10.51	
70	491	30' Tenon Top Conc, Triple Flood Mount/Includes Bracket	\$7.97	\$7.95	\$11.31	
71	492	16' Smooth Decorative Concrete/The Colonial	\$10.56	\$9.79	\$13.92	
72	493	19' White Aluminum ¹	\$22.87	\$21.48	\$30.55	
73	494	46' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	\$11.81	\$10.83	\$15.41	
74	495	Dual Mount 20' Fiberglass ¹	\$5.27	\$7.28	\$10.36	
75	496	30' Tenon Top Concrete/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	\$7.97	\$7.15	\$10.17	
76	497	16' Decorative Concrete w/decorative base/The Washington	\$12.13	\$11.67	\$16.60	
77	498	35' Tenon Top Concrete,/Non-Flood Mount/1-4 Fixtures	\$8.22	\$7.39	\$10.51	
78	499	16' Decorative Concrete-Vic II	\$12.49	\$11.12	\$15.82	
79	504	Promenade Black 41ft	\$21.00	\$20.67	\$29.39	
80	506	Promenade Black 30FT	\$19.38	\$16.14	\$22.95	
81	507	22FT WHITE DECO CONC MARINER	\$9.37	\$9.58	\$13.62	

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 132 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 129

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 2d.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Poles - Development of Unit Charges

(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Line No.	Billing Type	Description	Current Unit Charge	Proposed Unit Charge	COS Unit Charge	Comment
82	509	AL AB 26FT BLK 10FT BWY	\$38.08	\$17.48	\$24.86	
83	510	AL AB 26FT BLK 12FT BWY	\$39.42	\$17.48	\$24.86	
84	511	AL AB 36FT BLK 10FT BWY	\$48.63	\$28.33	\$40.29	
85	512	AL AB 36FT BLK 12FT BWY	\$49.99	\$28.33	\$40.29	
86	515	AL DB 30FT BLK HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	\$27.20	\$19.46	\$27.67	
87	517	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY DBL10FTBRKT	\$26.27	\$21.54	\$30.64	
89	519	HOLIDAY REC RISER ¹	\$3.12	\$2.61	\$3.71	
90	520	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP BLK ¹	\$3.97	\$3.28	\$4.67	
91	521	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP GRAY ¹	\$3.97	\$3.28	\$4.67	
92	522	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP WHT ¹	\$3.97	\$3.28	\$4.67	
93	523	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON BLK ¹	\$4.01	\$3.69	\$5.25	
94	524	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON GRAY ¹	\$4.01	\$3.69	\$5.25	
95	525	HOLIDAY REC FESTOON WHT ¹	\$3.15	\$3.69	\$5.25	
96	526	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP BLK ¹	\$3.99	\$3.35	\$4.76	
97	527	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP WHT ¹	\$3.99	\$3.35	\$4.76	
98	528	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL BLK ¹	\$5.17	\$4.40	\$6.26	
99	529	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL GRAY ¹	\$5.16	\$4.40	\$6.26	
100	530	HOLIDAY REC BRKT TOP DUAL WHT ¹	\$5.16	\$4.40	\$6.26	
101	531	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL BLK ¹	\$5.22	\$4.37	\$6.21	
102	532	HOLIDAY REC BRKT POST TOP DUAL WHT ¹	\$5.22	\$4.37	\$6.21	
103	533	22FT BLACK COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	\$16.16	\$13.25	\$18.84	
104	534	22FT WHITE COLONIAL 6" TENON QSM	\$14.73	\$12.19	\$17.34	
105	535	AL DIRECT BURIED 21FT BLK 3IN TENON	\$6.98	\$6.32	\$8.99	
106	536	COLONIAL CTE 16FT 6T QSM	\$12.37	\$9.83	\$13.97	
107	537	AL AB 37FT SAT DOT	\$18.03	\$16.20	\$23.03	
108	539	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 10BKT	\$25.09	\$19.84	\$28.22	
109	541	AL DB 30FT SAT HUB BWY 12BKT	\$24.66	\$20.17	\$28.68	
110	543	AL AB 36FT SAT BWY 10ARM	\$20.82	\$26.60	\$37.83	
111	544	WASH CTE 25FT BLK	\$21.20	\$16.73	\$23.80	

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 3a.
Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Development of Monthly Fixture Charge Rate

Line No.		
1	Life of Fixtures - Years	12
2	Life of Fixtures - Months	144
3		
4		
5	Annual Interest Rate	
6	Cost of Capital - per Schedule D-1	6.75%
7	Pretax Cost of Capital	8.42%
8		
9		
10	Monthly Interest Rate	0.7%
11		
12	Monthly Levelized Carrying Charge Rate	1.11%
13		
14	Current Charge	1.08%
15		
16	Proposed Charge	1.11%

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 3b.

Projected Test Year 1 Ended: 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Development of Monthly Pole Charge Rate

Line No.		Distribution Secondary Facilities
1		
2	Annual Cost of Service per MFR E-6b, page 1	\$ 275,062
3		
4	Add Back Equipment Rental Revenue Credit per MFR E-5	7,278
5		
6	Total Revenue Requirements	\$ 282,339
7		
8		
9	Divide by Gross Plant related to Distribution Secondary Service per COSS	\$ 2,446,950
10		
11		
12	Annual Rate of Return	11.54%
13		
14	Monthly Rate of Return	0.96%
15		
16	Current Charge	1.08%
17		
18	Proposed Charge	0.96%

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 136 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 133

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 4

Projected Test Year 1 Ended 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Development of Facility Maintenance Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Line No.	Maintenance Description	Time (Min.)	Material	Stores Loading (11%)	Labor	Total	Truck (6%)	Total	Failure Rate	Monthly Cost
38										
39	21,000 Lumen - 400 Watt									
40	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	5.95	0.65	86.51	93.12	5.59	98.70	17.50%	1.44
41	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	4.42	0.49	38.45	43.36	2.60	45.96	10.00%	0.38
42	Starter Board Replacement	60	28.00	3.08	115.35	146.43	8.79	155.22	5.00%	0.65
43	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
44	Total Maintenance Cost									2.82
<u>Mercury Vapor Continued</u>										
45	62,000 Lumen - 1000 Watt									
46	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	21.07	2.32	86.51	109.90	6.59	116.49	17.50%	1.70
47	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	4.42	0.49	38.45	43.36	2.60	45.96	10.00%	0.38
48	Starter Board Replacement	60	28.00	3.08	115.35	146.43	8.79	155.22	5.00%	0.65
49	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
50	Total Maintenance Cost									3.08
51										
52	Notes									
53	1. Labor is per 2023 Maximo rates: Man-hour Loaded Wages - Lighting				115.35					
54	2. Failure rate for MV lamps is 24,000 hrs									
55	3. Failure rate for PE cells is 10%									
56	4. Average burn is 4,200 hours per year									
<u>High Pressure Sodium Vapor</u>										
57	4,000 Lumen - 50 Watt									
58	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	8.97	0.99	86.51	96.47	5.79	102.26	17.50%	1.49
59	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	4.42	0.49	38.45	43.36	2.60	45.96	10.00%	0.38
60	Starter Board Replacement	60	28.00	3.08	115.35	146.43	8.79	155.22	5.00%	0.65
61	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
62	Total Maintenance Cost									2.87
63										
64	6,500 Lumen - 70 Watt									
65	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	10.54	1.16	86.51	98.21	5.89	104.10	17.50%	1.52
66	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	4.42	0.49	38.45	43.36	2.60	45.96	10.00%	0.38
67	Starter Board Replacement	60	28.00	3.08	115.35	146.43	8.79	155.22	5.00%	0.65
68	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
69	Total Maintenance Cost									2.89
70	9,500 Lumen - 100 Watt									
71	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	7.85	0.86	86.51	95.23	5.71	100.94	17.50%	1.47
72	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	4.42	0.49	38.45	43.36	2.60	45.96	10.00%	0.38
73	Starter Board Replacement	60	28.00	3.08	115.35	146.43	8.79	155.22	5.00%	0.65

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 137 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 134

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 4

Projected Test Year 1 Ended 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Development of Facility Maintenance Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Line No.	Maintenance Description	Time (Min.)	Material	Stores Loading (11%)	Labor	Total	Truck (6%)	Total	Failure Rate	Monthly Cost
74	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
75	Total Maintenance Cost									2.85
76										
77	16,000 Lumen - 150 Watt									
78	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	11.74	1.29	86.51	99.54	5.97	105.52	17.50%	1.54
79	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	4.42	0.49	38.45	43.36	2.60	45.96	10.00%	0.38
80	Starter Board Replacement	60	28.00	3.08	115.35	146.43	8.79	155.22	5.00%	0.65
81	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
82	Total Maintenance Cost									2.92
83										
84	22,000 Lumen - 200 Watt									
85	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	10.98	1.21	86.51	98.70	5.92	104.62	17.50%	1.53
86	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	4.42	0.49	38.45	43.36	2.60	45.96	10.00%	0.38
87	Starter Board Replacement	60	28.00	3.08	115.35	146.43	8.79	155.22	5.00%	0.65
88	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
89	Total Maintenance Cost									2.90
90										
91	27,500 Lumen - 250 Watt									
92	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	8.98	0.99	86.51	96.48	5.79	102.27	17.50%	1.49
93	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	4.42	0.49	38.45	43.36	2.60	45.96	10.00%	0.38
94	Starter Board Replacement	60	28.00	3.08	115.35	146.43	8.79	155.22	5.00%	0.65
95	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
96	Total Maintenance Cost									2.87

High Pressure Sodium Vapor Continued

97	50,000 Lumen - 400 Watt									
98	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	10.54	1.16	86.51	98.21	5.89	104.10	17.50%	1.52
99	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	4.42	0.49	38.45	43.36	2.60	45.96	10.00%	0.38
100	Starter Board Replacement	60	28.00	3.08	115.35	146.43	8.79	155.22	5.00%	0.65
101	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
102	Total Maintenance Cost									2.89
103										
104	Notes									
105	1. Labor is per 2023 Maximo rates: Man-hour Loaded Wages - Lighting				115.35					
106	2. Failure rate for HPS lamps is 24,000 hrs									
107	3. Failure rate for PE cells is 10%									
108	4. Average burn is 4,200 hours per year									

Metal Halide Standard

109	12,000 Lumen - 175 Watt									
110	Spot Lamp Replacement	45	19.99	2.20	86.51	108.70	6.52	115.22	42.00%	4.03

Docket No. 20260064-EI
DEF 2024 Settlement Agreement Cover Letter & Ex. 5
Exhibit KRR-3, Page 139 of 143

Docket No. 20240025
Settlement Agreement
Exhibit 5
Page 136

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment F
Part 4

Projected Test Year 1 Ended 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Development of Facility Maintenance Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Line No.	Maintenance Description	Time (Min.)	Material	Stores Loading (11%)	Labor	Total	Truck (6%)	Total	Failure Rate	Monthly Cost
151										
152	6,316 - 7,439 Lumen - 60 Watt									
153	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00
154	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
155	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
156	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
157	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
158										
159	4,133 - 8,122 Lumen - 70 Watt									
160	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00
161	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
162	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
163	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
164	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
165										
166	6,500 Lumen - 80 Watt									
167	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00
168	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
169	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
170	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
171	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
172										
170	5,325 - 12,748 Lumen - 110 Watt									
171	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00
172	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
173	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
174	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
175	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
176										
174	16,436 - 17,098 Lumen - 130 Watt									
175	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00
176	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
177	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
178	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
179	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
180										
178	14,215 - 16,192 Lumen - 150 Watt									
179	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00
180	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
181	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
182	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
183	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
184										
182	23,061 - 26,799 Lumen - 220 Watt									
183	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00

Projected Test Year 1 Ended 12/31/2025
Witness: Cowling

Development of Facility Maintenance Charges

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
Line No.	Maintenance Description	Time (Min.)	Material	Stores Loading (11%)	Labor	Total	Truck (6%)	Total	Failure Rate	Monthly Cost
184	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
185	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
186	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
187	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
186	32,963 - 34,291 Lumen - 260 Watt									
187	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00
188	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
189	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
190	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
191	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
190	31,599 Lumen - 280 Watt									
191	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00
192	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
193	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
194	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
195	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
194	43,765 - 48,514 Lumen - 420 Watt									
195	Surge Protector	40	16.00	1.76	76.90	94.66	5.68	100.34	12.00%	1.00
196	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	17.79	1.96	38.45	58.20	3.49	61.69	7.00%	0.36
197	Driver Unit 75w	65	55.00	6.05	124.96	186.01	11.16	197.17	2.00%	0.33
198	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
199	Total Maintenance Cost									2.04
Receptacles										
200	Receptacle 5 Amp Breaker									
201	5 Amp Breaker Replacement	10	10.00	1.10	19.23	30.33	1.82	32.14	4.76%	0.13
202	Spot PE Cell Replacement	20	19.50	2.15	38.45	60.10	3.61	63.70	2.22%	0.12
203	Outlet Replacement	30	26.65	2.93	57.68	87.26	5.24	92.49	4.76%	0.37
204	Connector Replacement	40	1.52	0.17	76.90	78.59	4.72	83.30	5.00%	0.35
205	Other Troubleshooting Event	30	0.00	0.00	57.68	57.68	3.46	61.14	3.33%	0.17
206	Total Maintenance Cost									1.13
207	Notes									
209	1. Labor is per 2023 Maximo rates: Man-hour Loaded Wages - Lighting				115.35					
210	2. Failure rate for MH lamps is 10,000 hrs									
211	3. Failure rate for PE cells is 10%									
212	4. Average burn is 1,400 hours per year									

DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
DOCKET NO. 20240025-EI
MFR Schedule E-14
Attachment G
Page 1 of 1

__X__ Projected Test Year Ended 12/31/25

Development of Premium Distribution Service Charges
Dollars in Thousands

Line		GSD/SS-1	CS/IS/SS-2/SS-3
1	<u>General Service Demand Metered Rate Schedules:</u>		
2			
3	Distribution Primary Unit Cost - \$ / KW Month	\$4.45	\$3.71
4			
5	Times: Expected Capacity Requirement	50.00%	50.00%
6			
7	Equals: Premium Distribution Service Charge - \$ per Kw Month		
8	(Line 3 x Line 5)	\$ 2.23	\$ 1.86
9			
10			
11			
12	<u>General Service Non-Demand Metered Rate Schedules:</u>		
13			
14	Customer Max Load Factor per E-17	21.1%	100%
15			
16	Hours per Month	730	730
17			
18	Hours Usage per Month (Line 14 x Line 15)	154	730
19			
20	Premium Distribution Service Charge - \$ per KWH		
21	(Line 8 / Line 16)	\$ 0.01447	\$ 0.00305

Unitized Cost of Service: Summary of Residential Unit Cost Methodology for Optional Company Offered Load Control Programs

Type of Charge	2025	Billing Determinant	Type of Costs Recovered
Customer Charge - \$ per Line of Billing	13.76	Per Customer	Customer
On Peak - ¢/kWh	1.769	Per On Peak kWh	On Peak Energy
Off Peak - ¢/kWh	1.298	Per Off Peak kWh	Off Peak Energy
Super Off Peak - ¢/kWh	1.036	Per Super Off Peak kWh	Super Off Peak Energy
CP Demand Charge - \$/kW	15.39	Per System Peak kW	Production & Transmission
Class Peak Demand Charge - \$/kW	5.97	Per Class Peak kW, last 12 months	Primary Distribution
Customer Max Demand Charge - \$/kW	1.20	Per Customer Maximum kW, last 12 months	Secondary Distribution

Note: This rate design is only applicable for customers who grant the Company the ability to control different customer owned assets outside of, or in addition to, applicable Commission-approved DSM programs.

Delivery Voltage Credit (DVC) Calculation

Docket No. 20240025-EI
Duke Energy Florida
Witness: Marcia J. Olivier
Exhibit MJO-8
Page 1 of 1

2025

	(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	
	Cost of Service ⁽¹⁾		Billed kW at Effective Secondary		Unit Cost \$/kW	Cumulative Unit Cost	
	E-6b	E-6b Ref.	E-6b	E-6b Ref.	(1)/(2)	\$/kW	
GSD, CS, IS, SS-1, SS-2, SS-3							
Distribution Secondary	\$38,440,002	line 9, col. 5 & 6	32,618,636	line 32, col. 5 & 6	\$1.18	\$1.18	<- DVC Dist Primary
Distribution Primary	\$178,148,851	line 7, col. 5 & 6	40,705,352	line 31, col. 5 & 6	\$4.38	\$5.56	<- DVC Transmission < 230 kV
Transmission < 230 kV	\$98,082,255	line 6, col. 5 & 6 x 65.36% ⁽²⁾	45,127,555	line 30, col. 5 & 6	\$2.17	\$7.73	<- DVC Transmission ≥ 230 kV

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by Duke Energy Florida, LLC,
for a limited proceeding to approve large load
tariff

Docket No. 20260064-EI

Dated: June 8, 2026

**DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, LLC'S RESPONSE TO
FLORIDA RISING'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSION (NOS. 1-10)**

Duke Energy Florida, LLC, ("DEF") hereby responds to Florida Rising's ("Florida Rising"), First Request for Admission to DEF (Nos. 1-10) as follows:

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION

1. Duke Energy Florida contends that its Petition in this docket, dated April 22, 2026, complies with Chapter 2026-65, Laws of Florida.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida admits that its Petition does comply with Chapter 2026-65, Laws of Florida.

2. Duke does not intend to file any additional tariffs for Commission approval before October 2, 2026, beyond what it has already filed in this docket, relating to the service of large load customers.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida admits that it currently does not have any intent to file any additional tariffs for Commission approval, but it reserves the right to do so consistent with the procedural order set forth in this docket.

3. If Duke does serve large load customers, Duke expects to incur costs to serve them.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida can neither admit nor deny this request for admission, as it does not currently have any large load customers and cannot opine on whether it will incur any costs to serve them. Duke does believe it is likely that a new large load customer would have some cost associated with it and DEF further believes the GSD-1 and GSDT-1 along with provisions in our proposed LLCA reasonably ensure a new customer would pay said costs. To the extent they did not, due to the 2024 Settlement, any shortfall would fall to DEF's bottom line and not existing customers as DEF is not changing base rates.

4. At the time of Duke's last rate case, Docket Number 20240025-EI, no data centers with a maximum demand of 50 MW or greater took service under Duke's GSD-1 or GSDT-1 rates.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida admits that, at the time of its last rate case, no data centers with a maximum demand of 50 MW or greater took service under the GSD-1 or GSDT-1 rate.

5. At present, no data centers with a maximum demand of 50 MW or greater take service under Duke's GSD-1 or GSDT-1 rates.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida admits that, at present, no data centers with a maximum demand of 50 MW or greater take service under Duke's GSD-1 or GSDT-1 rates

6. Duke expects the increased demand on Duke's system from new large load customers, including data centers with maximum demand of 50 MW or greater, will exceed the historical forecasted rate of growth in demand from the GSD-1 and GSDT-1 classes, as reflected in Duke's past cost of service studies included in its last rate case, Docket Number 20240025-EI.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida can neither admit nor deny this request for admission, as it does not currently expect to have any new large load customers.

7. The GSD-1 and GSDT-1 rates were not designed to recover the full cost of service of new large load customers, including but not limited to data centers with maximum demand of 50 MW or greater.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida admits that the GSD-1 and GSDT-1 rates were not designed to recover the full cost of service of new large load customers because there were no known new large load customers when these rates were designed. The cost of service used to derive GSD-1 and GSDT-1 rates does not include costs to serve large load customers. DEF further states that without knowing the specific location and load ramp of potential future load DEF cannot specifically design rates to recover the full cost of service for future large loads. DEF believes that the GSD-1 and GSDT-1, in combination with DEF's LLCP and LLCA, reasonably ensure we will recover the cost of service from a potential future large load customer and that due to the 2024 Settlement, no existing customer will be paying for any speculative new large load customer prior to DEF resetting rates in a future rate case. If the revenues generated in this speculative case did not recover the full cost to serve, the impact would fall to DEF's bottom line until the next rate case thereby protecting existing customers.

8. The GSD-1 and GSDT-1 rates do not ensure that each new large load customer will bear its own full cost of service, including, but not limited to, connection, incremental transmission, incremental generation, and other infrastructure costs; operations and maintenance expenses; and any other costs required to serve a large load customer.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida can neither admit nor deny this request for admission, as it does not currently have any large load customers and cannot opine on whether it will incur any costs to serve them. Costs are not passed to any customer until a new cost of service study is completed and new rates are put in place.

9. The GSD-1 and GSDT-1 rates do not include an incremental generation charge.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida admits that the GSD-1 and GSDT-1 rates do not include an incremental generation charge.

10. The GSD-1 and GSDT-1 rates do not include an incremental transmission charge.

Response:

Duke Energy Florida admits that the GSD-1 and GSDT-1 rates do not include an incremental transmission charge.