```
DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
 2
 3
     RE IN:
             FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER
 4
     COST RECOVERY CLAUSE WITH GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE
     FACTOR,
 6
 7
           Petitioner,
 8
     vs.
                                               CASE NO. 19-6022
9
10
           Respondent.
11
12
                                 VOLUME 2
1.3
                              PAGES 157 - 290
14
     PROCEEDINGS:
                            Administrative Hearing
15
     BEFORE:
                            Honorable Lawrence P. Stevenson
16
                            February 4, 2020
     DATE:
17
     TIME:
                            Commenced: 8:55 A.M.
18
     LOCATION:
                            Division of Administrative Hearings
19
                             1230 Apalachee Parkway
                             The DeSoto Building,
20
                             Tallahassee, Florida
21
     REPORTED BY:
                             DEBRA R. KRICK
                            Court Reporter
22
                           (As heretofore noted.) PREMIER REPORTING
     APPEARANCES:
23
                          114 W. 5TH AVENUE
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
24
                              (850) 894-0828
25
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIAL INDEX TO WITNESSES 2 WITNESS PAGE 208 233 Examination by Mr. Moyle Examination by Mr. Brew 254 284 Examination by Ms. Brownless Further Examination by Mr. Bernier Examination by Mr. Hernandez 285 8 \*Huh-uh is a negative response \*Uh-huh is a positive response 10 11 12 1.3 14 15 16 1.7 18 19 20 23 24 25

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

```
1
                      PROCEEDINGS
2
              THE COURT: Mr. Rehwinkel, whenever you are
 3
          ready, I think we are all set.
              MR. REHWINKEL: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
    BY MR. REHWINKEL:
 6
              I want to take you back to the drafts that we
    called -- that's Exhibit 115. If you can go to that.
8
    think we will spend most of the rest of the time on that
    document.
10
              I am there.
11
         0
              And I want to take you to Document 2, which is
12
    at Bates 19.
13
         Α
              Okav. I am there.
14
         0
              Now, the file name for this document says P
15
    Crimi comments, do you agree with that?
16
         Α
              T do.
17
              And also it says above the text on the upper
         0
    right-hand side, REV 10-15-16 HMC, do you see that in
1.8
19
    the -- just above the -- well, do you see --
20
              I do see that, yes.
21
              Okay. Would it be a reasonable conclusion
22
    that this is a document that was at least originally
23
    generated by Harry Carbone --
24
              Yes, I would agree with that.
25
              -- on October 15th?
```

```
160
 1
               Okay. And would it be also reasonable to
 2
     assume, based on the Duke file name, that Paul Crimi
     made some comments or edits to this document?
 4
               It would. And one correction, I don't know
    that Mr. Carbone would have created it, but he
    certainly, by the revision date, it appears that he
     edited it.
 8
               Okay. We wouldn't know necessarily when he
    did it, but it would have been on or after the 15th of
     October of 2016, is that right?
11
               I would say on or before.
12
          Q.
               Okay. Now, Mr. Crimi was a consultant to Duke
13
    and also a member of the root cause team, is that
14
     correct?
15
          Α
               Yes.
16
          Q
               Okay. And he is a former employee of Duke and
17
    Progress, right?
18
          Д
               Yes, that's correct.
19
          0
               And at some point, he was also an employee
20
    probably of GE?
21
               He was, yes.
          Q
               Okay. And would it be fair to say he is a
23
     subject matter expert with steam turbines?
24
               He is.
25
               Okay. So let's go down to the second
```

paragraph -- and just so we understand what these documents are, I mean, the night janitor wouldn't be able to go and make changes to these things? These are -- these changes are all by authorized engineers, right? 6 That's correct. 7 0 Okay. So there is a statement here that starts "it is important" -- could you read that full 8 9 paragraph there with those edits? 10 Yes, sir. 11 "It is important to note that this turbine was 12 originally designed for another project and built by the 1.3 OEM but not shipped. It was subsequently reapplied to 14 the Bartow project with the limitations and turbine 15 outputs shown on the heat balances and other 16 documentation provided. However, it was much less clear 17 about the exhaust flow limit the output limit implied 18 since this pressure and flow limit is not clearly stated 19 on the documentation given." 20 Okay. Now, is that -- isn't that true? 21 MR. HERNANDEZ: Objection, Your Honor, 22 compound. 23 MR. REHWINKEL: I'll ask you this --THE COURT: Overruled. Yeah, you can break it 25 down, I quess.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

BY MR. REHWINKEL: 2 0 The first sentence is true, is it not? Yes, it is true. The second sentence is true, is it not? Yes. Α And the third sentence is true? Q. Д That one is difficult to say a true or false. It was much less clear about the exhaust flow limit the output limit implied -- again, it's a working document 1.0 of a root cause team. You are just asking me if that statement is true or false, that sentence? 12 0 Well, I am asking you if you agreed with that, 1.3 let me ask it that way. 14 I would say I generally agree with that. 15 The operating parameters to operate the steam 16 turbine are really on -- you know, we, like -- for 17 instance, we don't have, as I stated earlier, a low 18 pressure inlet instrument, so exhaust flow limit, there 19 is no way to measure that, so I think that's what this 20 sentence is getting at. 21 Okay. But there is no expression of a lack of clarity about what the heat balances represent by 23 Mr. Crimi here, is there? 24 No, that's correct. Α 25 And if we go to page 27 --Q.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

Α

T do.

2

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

(850) 894-0828

Restrictions", do you see that caption?

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

Α Okav. 2 -- in the keynote section under Period 1. 3 It says MHPSA was hired to evaluate ST design conditions, parentheses, original design was for Tenaska 3-x-1 heat balance and continue the warranty. Do you see that? 8 I do. Okav. And even though it has an A in there, there is no -- that's still Mitsubishi? It is. The A, I believe, stands for America. All right. So somebody, when they developed Appendix A, put 450 megawatts as the steam turbine rating in 2016, do you see that, for Period 1?

10 11 12 13 14 15 I do see that. 16 And that turned out to be an error? 17 Are you asking me if that was an error? 18 O Yes 19 It appears it was an error. 20 Okay. But if we go back -- let's go back to 21 page 175 now. Let's go -- go out a year in time. 22 Α 1752 2.3 Yes, sir. 24 And this Appendix A here, we see in the 25 line -- or the row that's headed "Operating

Q All right. And for Period 1, it says none, dash, MHPS intent was to follow heat balance diagrams, do you see that? Okay. So that's consistent, is it not, with Mitsubishi's contention that the heat balances were limitations that -- for operating the steam turbine, 10 correct? 11 Again, calling heat balances limitations I do not believe is accurate. They are predictions based on 13 a certain set of criteria, and so what this is saying is 14 the intent was to follow those predictions. 15 And that's what Mr. Crimi said a year earlier 16 in his statement, right, that the heat balances were 17 limitations in turbine output, back on page 19, right? 18 Д There is a reference to the heat balances in

the sentence -- in the paragraph I read.

As a limitation, right?

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

heat balances, yes.

Okay.

0

0

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

variables, okay. So a limitation is according to

Now, again, that's with other operating

The limitations in turbine output shown on the

whatever the other variables are for that specific heat case, which there are dozens, as we've seen. 3 So let's go to page 195, and this is -- it's got the draft water mark on it. So I am going to refer to this as the final draft, is that fair? I am almost there. Okay. Sorry, this is Document 18. 8 Yes, that's fair. All right. So Table A is here, and we see --10 if you go back to -- what did I have you look at? 175. 11 Why don't you keep your finger on 195. 12 Okav 1.3 0 So this document here, we saw that says 14 operating restrictions, right? For -- on 175 for the 15 one, two, three, fourth column -- for the fourth row 16 down 17 Oh, yes, I see where, yes. 18 Okay. And then if we flip back over to 195, 19 it now says MHPS IP exhaust pressure operating limits. 20

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

I do.

see that?

Α

Q

21

22

23

24

25

(850) 894-0828

control to HP, IP and condenser design limits; do you

And then for Period 1, it now says machine

Okay. Now, isn't what's happened between the

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

October 11th draft and February 6th draft is that the question has been changed? What question? Well, what the operating restrictions are. 0 I think it's pretty clear on page 175, it refers to the heat balance diagrams. And on page 195, it refers to the HP, IP and condenser design limits. I am not sure I understand the guestion. Q So if I'm looking at Table A on page 195 --10 and let's just go back to page five of the exhibit. which is the final --12 Д Table A of JS-22 Yes, JS-2. It's identical, it appears, to 1.3 0 14 page 195, right? 15 Α Yes. 16 0 Okay. So now just working off of this one, 17 this heading seems to ask what are the Mitsubishi IP 18 exhaust pressure operating limits. And then the answer 19 given is different than on the October 11th draft. It 20 says machine control to HP, IP and condenser design 21 limits. It doesn't refer to heat balances, right? 22 Correct. 23 Okay. And Mitsubishi never said that these 24 were the operating limits, these meaning what's in 25 column one on page five here. They never said these

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

167

CONFIDENTIAL

were the operating limits. They said 420 was the 2 operating limit, right? 3 No, that's not correct. For Period 12 That is not correct. In all of their documents that they provided that you provided us, they don't ever say that's the operating limit for Period 1, do they? 8 420 is a predicted megawatt output if a whole 9 10 bunch of variables, dozens of variables are controlled at certain points, 420 was predicted as the generator output at a power factor of .949, or a power factor of 13 14 You have to look at each heat case 15 specifically. That is not an operating limit. 420 was 16 the minimum contractual amount that Mitsubishi had to 17 achieve in order to avoid liquidated damages on the 18 project. 19 I mean, that's your opinion, right? 20 That's not my -- I believe it to be a fact. 21 Okay. 22 Α I think we've looked at several pages that 2.3 show it's a fact. 24 Well, in the RCA process, Duke Engineering 25 didn't see it that way, did they, until you got to the

final document? 2 Α I disagree with that. I don't see how you can draw that conclusion. Let's go back and look at Document 3, which is 0 at Bates 23. Document -- I am sorry, JS-3? No, sir. Document 3 in 115. Oh, okay. I am sorry. That's okav. 10 Α Okav. 11 And just so I understand, this is a document 12 that you have agreed you edited, right -- or you had an 13 opportunity to edit? 14 Yes, that's correct. Д 15 Okay. And at the time you edited this Q 16 document, you had both access to both the heat balances 17 and the contract and the IP, HP and condenser limits, 18 correct? 19 I could have had those available, yes. 20 0 Okay. I mean, none of that was new 21 information. That all existed back in 2006? Д Right. 23 Okay. So if I look at on page 24, if I could 0 24 get you to turn to that.

A Okay.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

25

okay.

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

In the first paragraph, about halfway down there is a sentence on the far right that starts MHPS. 2 do vou see that? 4 Yes. So it says: MHPS Engineering indicated that Bartow Unit 4S was an outlier relative to the Mitsubishi 6 40-inch LO fleet with several operating hours above the design limit of 15,000 -- I am stumbling over that --8 9 foot pounds per hour squared, parenthesis, the 10 Mitsubishi 40-inch LO fleet average was closer to 12,000 11 foot pounds squared per hour, close parenthesis; do you 12 see that? 1.3 Α T do 14 0 Okay. You didn't propose to make a change to 15 that in your edit, did you? 16 It does -- no, I did not. 17 Is that because it's factual? 18 I don't believe it to be factual. Remember, 19 this is a draft document, and there is a lot of people 20 looking at it, and I wasn't privy to all the 21 conversations that took place over a long period of time 22 with this root cause team, but I have not seen any 23 Mitsubishi documentation that calls it a design limit.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

25

(850) 894-0828

their fleet -- maybe their fleet average or their

I have seen documentation from Mitsubishi that refers to

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

normal -- their fleet operating experience, but that the 2 15,000 and 17,000 pounds per hour per square foot was beyond their fleet experience and put them in a zone of uncertainty. 0 You -- okay. The next sentence -- I mean, the next paragraph, it starts, "While Duke Engineering", do vou see that? Α Yes. So would you be considered part of Duke Q 10 Engineering for purposes of the RCA? 11 Would T be? Α 12 0 Yes. I mean, you are an engineer. This is in 1.3 your area. You were overseeing the root cause team? 14 Α We can assume that. Technically I am not and 15 never have been part Duke Engineering, but, yes, I can 16 see -- I was overseeing the process. 17 Right. And I accept that. Just to -- for the 0 18 judge to understand, Duke, big Duke that serves multiple 19 states has a centralized engineering area, which you 20 call Central Engineering, right? 21 Α Correct. 22 0 And you also have engineers that are in your 23 direct chain of command that report to you, up the chain 24 to you, right? 25 That is correct, yes. Α

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

171

- Okay. Those two groups of engineers and some 0 2 outside consultant engineers and yourself would have 3 been all part of bigger Duke Engineering, right? 4 Α Voc 5 So this sentence says: While Duke 6 Engineering agrees that back-end loading should be considered a significant contributing factor toward root cause, one cannot definitively conclude that it has been 8 the root cause of all five of the documented LO events. 10 You didn't propose to change that sentence? 11 Correct. 12 Do you believe it to be true? 13 Well, I know that there is some issues with 14 that sentence. For example, there were really four LO 15 events. And then there was a fifth iteration where we 16 replaced blades proactively to try to get a new design 17 that would provide more megawatt output of the 18 generator, just to make that part clear. 19 Okav. Well, that's sort of a detail, isn't 20 it? It's not really that material to what's going on 21 here, is it? It would cover Period 1, and it would 22 cover Period 5, right? 2.3 Right. 24 Okay. And, in fact, just so we can 25 understand, let's go to page one of this document, and I
- just want to ask you something that struck me curious 2 about this sentence It says: Duke Engineering concluded that there was no correlation between any one of the above listed factors and the five failure periods, is that accurate? Could you show me where on page one that --Oh, I am sorry. I apologize. It's in the next to the last paragraph. 10 Α Oh, page one of JS-1? 11 Yes, sir. 0 I am sorry. I was on the wrong document. 13 THE COURT: I was on -- yeah, final report. 14 THE WITNESS: Could you point to that sentence 15 again, please? 16 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 17 0 Okay. Go to the second to the last paragraph. 18 Α Okav. 19 0 And it says: Duke Engineering concluded that 20 there was no correlation between any one of the above 21 listed factors and the five failure periods. Is it events or periods that you are looking 23 at here? I mean, just to go to your point about there 24 were only four events, five periods, but --25 Yeah. Well, I think it's both, right? There

(850) 894-0828

was four events where we found damage, but there were five operating periods. One of the periods came after 3 an iteration where we didn't have an event that caused us to shut down, or we didn't find something on an inspection that led us to change out blades, but we shut down in order to install a new design of blade, so that 6 began Period 3. But you can't do the root cause on just 8 periods or just events. It's all-encompassing. 9 Okay. So in that context -- well -- so going 10 back to page 24 now that I understand how you are 11 looking at it. 12 MS BROWNLESS: Excuse me. of which document? 1.3 Of JS-22 14 MR. REHWINKEL: I'm just working in Exhibit 15 115. 16 MS. BROWNLESS: Okay. So you are on 18? 17 MR. REHWINKEL: So when I say a page, I mean 18 the Bates number. 19 THE COURT: Bates stamp, right? 20 MR. REHWINKEL: Yeah. I am not worried about 21 how the document is numbered. 22 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 23 So you said there was really four events instead of five events. Apart from that, what other 25 problems are there with this sentence?

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

Well, I think the key part of the paragraph that you are pointing to is the sentence that starts, as Appendix A illustrates, Periods 2, 4 and 5 saw operating hours in the avoidance zone of one hour, 1.5 hours and zero hours respectively. This indicates that back-end loading was not the cause of any of the reported blade indications failures during those periods of operation. 8 So you are saving that that undermines, or it 0 takes out any agreement that Duke Engineering had that back-end loading should be considered a significant 1.0 11 contributing factor toward root cause? 12 Α Again, this is not the root cause document. This is notes, draft notes of a team. So there is no 1.3 14 conclusion here. 15 0 So why would people have put stuff in here it 16 if they didn't believe it to be true? 17 I think it's a process, as we've talked about 18 before, working through probable, possible things that 19 could impact the blades that could cause the damage that 20 21 The -- I think what this says is that Duke 22 agrees that back-end loading needs to be looked at, but 23 then when you look at the real-life operating experience 24 in these periods when we ran lower than even Mitsubishi's fleet experience on steam flow loading on 25

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

blades, we still had damage with the blades. So that 2 clearly shows the lack of design margin. 3 Let me ask you about page seven of Exhibit Q 4 115 5 Before I ask you the questions here, you agree 6 that no other Mitsubishi LO 40-inch blade steam turbine experienced the kind of blade failures that you had in Bartow; is that correct? 8 I am not 100 percent sure that's accurate. 9 10 It's -- I just don't know. 11 THE COURT: Did Mitsubishi make that 12 representation during all this? 13 THE WITNESS: They did. 14 THE COURT: Okav. 15 THE WITNESS: Mitsubishi made that 16 representation, ves. Your Honor. In fact, it was 17 very -- more than that. They talked about how the 18 typical problems in their fleet of low pressure 19 turbines with LO blades was due to erosion, which 20 is not surprising. That's the same problem across 21 the industry with all equipment manufacturers of 22 turbines 2.3 As the steam travels through a turbine and 24 uses its energy, it gets lower and lower pressure 25 and gets closer to the saturation point where it

might turn into water. The blades aren't designed 2 to have water impinge upon them. They are designed 3 for steam. The water can cause erosion, which can lead to cracking and failure. That's what Mitsubishi told us was the issue that they had We did not see this issue here, but there had been some other indications through user groups, 8 not from Mitsubishi, that there, perhaps, were some 10 issues around the Mitsubishi fleet that Mitsubishi did not report to us. 12 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 13 Now, I asked you in the deposition for any 14 information from users groups, and you said there was 15 none, correct? 16 Α We have information from users groups. 17 I asked you in a late-filed Exhibit No. 11 to 0 18 provide it, did vou? 19 Oh, I don't know that we have documents. We 20 have people who have attended user groups, and we 21 have -- so they've had conversations with people at users groups --23 0 Okay. 24 -- so that's information.

So isn't it true that one of those

(850) 894-0828

25

conversations was you gave a presentation, and somebody came up to you afterwards and said I am interested in 3 what you are talking about? That is true. Okay. But that person never said that that utility had any problems with Mitsubishi that were the 6 same as vours? 8 He indicated that they had similar issues. 9 Q Did he give you any information? 10 No. 11 0 Okay. And did you present any of that 12 information in the root cause analysis? 1.3 Α No 14 0 And you had that information before 2018. right? 15 16 I don't know the relative dates of that user 17 group meeting compared to the root cause. 18 If I asked you that in your deposition and you 19 said it was, do we need to go look at it? 20 Okav, then --21 Okav. 22 -- I agree. 23 And there was an inci -- there was a situation in Louisiana where someone came up to Mr. Salvarezza and 25 said he was interested, right, in what was going on at

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

2 Α Yes. 0 But he didn't say that they had LO blade problems, that they had failures like you had with excessive vibration, right? That's correct. Α Okay. And that was before 2018, correct? 0 Α Yes. And that didn't show up in the RCA or any of 0 10 the documentation that we were provided other than that 11 Q&A in the depo, right? 12 Д We tried to verify through various means. The fleet operating experience is obviously very important. 1.3 14 We rely on our OEMs for that information typically, 15 whether it's GE or Siemens or Mitsubishi. User groups 16 are also important, but we couldn't find any 17 documentation that there were similar failures to what 18 we've experienced at Bartow. 19 Now, would you agree with me that there are 32 20 Mitsubishi LO 40-inch blade steam turbines out there in 21 the world? I don't know if that includes you or not. I think at the time of this document, that was 23 the number that was used, right. 24 So you are one of 32 or you are one of 33? 25 I don't know. There is probably more than Α

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

179

that now. In fact, I know there are more than that now. 2 But at that time, there was 32 or 33? Yes. Yes 3 And that was, like, 55 or 57 rows of blades among all those units? Oh, yes, that's -- it was in the fifties. Okay. And you have provided no evidence that any unit other than Bartow among that fleet had blade 8 failures based on excessive vibration like you 10 experienced? 11 That's accurate. 12 Okay. And you also agree, I think we just 13 talked about it in that draft, is that Duke Bartow was an outlier compared to all of the other Mitsubishi LO 14 15 40-inch blade steam turbines? 16 Α It was an outlier on steam flow pounds per 17 hour per square foot, right. 18 Wasn't it also an outlier in blade failure 0 19 experience? 20 Α Yes. 21 Now, just to be clear in the R  $\operatorname{--}$  in 22 the -- if we go back to JS-2, the word outlier has been 23 taken out of the root cause analysis. In other words,

it doesn't show up in there with respect to how you

How the Bartow plant compares to Mitsubishi? Α 2 0 Yes. I will take your word for it. Δ Okay. I mean, isn't it also true that in these documents that were -- that preceded the final draft, there was a reference to the Mitsubishi experience and that got converted to industry experience. So you took out the comparison of Mitsubishi plants with respect to the blade failure experience comparison, right? 11 MR. HERNANDEZ: Objection, Your Honor. We are 12 talking about a lot of documents. He is 13 referencing specific language in those documents. 14 If the witness could see the documents to answer 15 the question specifically. 16 THE COURT: Sure. 1.7 MR. REHWINKEL: Sure. I mean, we can go 1.8 through it. 19 BY MR REHWINKEL. 20 0 Let's go to page 125. Just pick one. And 21 this is Document 13 under the tabs. Okav. I am there. Д 23 And if you go back to 123, it looks like it 24 was a October 12th document.

(850) 894-0828

compare to Mitsubishi, right?

24

25

25

Okav.

183

CONFIDENTIAL

```
And back on page 125, and the one, two, third
 2
    full paragraph there, halfway down it starts on the
 3
    right-hand side, the number of blade failures and
    problems with ST LO blade performance is not typical,
    i.e., these issues are outliers among the Duke CC fleet
    as well as the Mitsubishi 40-inch LO fleet.
              Did I read that right?
 8
              Yes.
 9
              And that's true, isn't it?
10
              As far as T know, that is true.
11
              Okay. Now, is it fair to say -- and if you go
12
    back to JS-2, which is Document 1, that sentence does
    not reappear, does it -- or let me withdraw that and say
1.3
14
    that sentence does not appear?
15
         Α
              It doesn't, but I don't -- again, we talked
16
    about this before. All these documents you are going
17
    through are drafts of a working team. There is notes.
18
    They are not a final root cause, and I wouldn't expect
19
    the final root cause to be identical to any of these
20
    documents.
21
         0
              Well, let's look at page two of Exhibit 15,
22
    it's also JS-2.
23
              MR. BERNIER: So two of JS-2?
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

24

25

(850) 894-0828

MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.

MR. BERNIER: Thank you.

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

BY MR. REHWINKEL: 2 In the second full paragraph it starts "based 0 on", do you see that? I do. This is your ultimate conclusion, right? It is. We discussed that before. Α 0 And this doesn't mention a comparison to elsewhere in the industry, but elsewhere in the industry referenced there is really Mitsubishi, right? 1.0 No. that's not accurate. If you look at the Α footnote at the end of that paragraph, that refers down 12 to the -- I will read it to you 1.3 The most commonly reported issue with the 14 40-inch LO blade design elsewhere is water erosion, 15 which both Duke and MHP also agree is not a contributing 16 factor to the Bartow failures. So I really was 17 referencing the industry in general. 18 Okay. So -- but the outlier language and the 19 comparison to Mitsubishi and those other 32 plants, 20 experience is not contained in the final report that the 21 Public Service Commission gets to see, right? 22 It's not in the root cause. And by agreeing 23 to what you just said, I am agreeing that these are 24 various drafts of root cause documents, which I don't 25 agree with that.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

183

Wait, now, you don't agree that Bartow and 0 2 Period 1 was an outlier compared to the rest of the Mitsubishi LO 40-inch steam turbine fleet? 4 An outlier from the standpoint of steam flow, and an outlier from the blade, the snubber and Z-lock 6 damage we talked about, yes, I agree that it was an outlier. 8 Okay. All right. So now I started this line of questioning by asking you to go to page seven, and 10 look at footnote six 11 MR. BERNIER: Seven of which? I am sorry. 12 MR. REHWINKEL: Of 115. 13 MR. BERNIER: Got you. MR. REHWINKEL: It's the same as --14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. I am there. 16 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 17 You are there. And you see footnote six down 0 18 there? 19 T do 20 0 Would you mind reading that aloud? And I am 21 going to ask you about the last sentence 22 Α Okay. Even though the LO blades are no longer 2.3 in the ST and the pressure plate has been installed, 24 MHPS Engineering does not have enough technical data to 25 support releasing Duke to operate the machine beyond the

1 current IP turbine exhaust pressure operating limits 2 because of potential impacts to upstream blading. That is the L1 blade sets. This suggests that MHPS is unsure what effect, if any, is created by its avoidance zone, and more importantly, points to a design flaw that affect more than the LO blades. Now, this statement about a design flaw, is there an analysis that was conducted to determine that there was a design flaw in the L -- in the Mitsubishi steam turbine that you bought? 11 I think this statement is pointing to the fact that Mitsubishi couldn't relieve the operating 13 constraints that were in place. Even after we took the 14 LO blades out and put a pressure plate in, Mitsubishi 15 still said you need to operate at a more conservative --16 or the more conservative operating parameter led Duke to 17 believe that there is more concern on Mitsubishi's 1.8 standpoint that perhaps it's not just an LO issue, 19 perhaps it's an issue elsewhere in the low pressure 20 turbine, and that perhaps they are questioning their own 21 design. 22 0 Well, what is elsewhere in your opinion? The 2.3 upstream blades? The nozzles? Anything? 24 Well, technically, thousands of blades are the 25 same thing, but, yes, the -- so the -- well, let me show

(850) 894-0828

184

```
this picture.
 2
              So upstream would be -- so remember the steam
    goes in the middle of the turbine and then goes this way
    and this way. So here's the LO blade, the largest
    blades. Upstream of that would be this row of blades
    and this row of blades.
              So there was concern that perhaps we need to
    continue operating at that lower pressure limit because
 8
9
    maybe there would be damage to other blades that
10
    Mitsubishi appeared to be questioning their own design,
11
    and that perhaps those rows of blades might become
12
    damaged
1.3
         Q
              But beyond this footnote, there is no analysis
14
    where you determine that there was something wrong with
15
    the turbine, is there?
16
              There is not. But I can tell you we obviously
17
    very concerned every time we opened up this machine, and
18
    we had multiple opportunities to do very detailed
19
    inspections on the steam turbine, many more so times
20
    than is the norm.
21
              At the end of Period 1, we did an inspection.
22
    At the end of Period 2 -- at the end of every single
23
    period, we did a very detailed inspection of those
    blades, so we had to gather that information.
25
             How many 4-x-1 combined cycle units did Duke
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

```
have experience with before you commissioned Bartow?
 2
              This is Duke's only 4-x-1.
         0
              Okay. And how many 4-x-1 -- so would it be
    fair to say that you did not have robust operating
    experience with a 4-x-1 combined cycle unit?
              Yes.
         Α
         0
              Are you familiar with Exhibit 106 -- it's the
    August 13, 2018 -- called the settlement.
              MR. BERNIER: 106?
              MR. REHWINKEL: Yeah, 106.
1.0
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
11
    BY MR REHWINKEL.
12
1.3
         0
              Okay. Now, is it --
14
              MR. BERNIER: Give us one second, Charles. I
15
          am trying to find it.
16
              MR. REHWINKEL: Oh, I am sorrv.
17
              MR. BERNIER: Okav.
18
    BY MR. REHWINKEL:
19
              So if we go to the back, page 11, we see Tony
20
    Salvarezza signed this thing on October 13, 2018?
21
              Yes, I do.
22
         0
              And you would agree that Duke was having
23
    discussions and trying to either work -- well, trying to
24
    work out a resolution of these matters with Mitsubishi
25
    at sometime not long after the RCA was completed?
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

```
MR. BERNIER: Judge, I am going to have to
2
         object to this. I am not sure how any resolution
 3
         Duke was trying to work out with Mitsubishi has
 4
         anything to do with how the unit was operated
 5
         leading up to 2017, or after 2017 when the pressure
         plates were put in. And I think those are the two
 6
         issues that we are here to talk about today. And I
         am not sure how a settlement agreement is relevant.
 8
              THE COURT: Well, you are going to show us
 9
10
         it's relevant?
11
              MR. REHWINKEL: Yes.
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
13
    BY MR. REHWINKEL:
14
         0
              And my question is: You signed -- you
15
    provided -- you finalized an RCA and you filed it with
16
    the Public Service Commission on March 1st. right?
17
              I don't know that that was the date of filing,
         Α
1.8
    but ves.
19
              Sometime in March?
20
              It was done in February -- it requires it's
21
    finalized in February, so that makes sense.
22
         0
              And you filed testimony in March of 2018?
2.3
         Α
              Yes. Yes. Yes. March 1st, you are right.
24
    Thank you.
25
              Okay. And in this document, it says there was
```

```
a design flaw in the turbine, right?
 2
               Could you point to where you are looking at?
               I am sorry. When I say this document, I am
     pointing to your root cause analysis at page seven.
               Page seven of the root cause analysis?
 6
               Yeah, footnote six that we were just talking
               So, yes, it says it suggests that Mitsubishi
 8
     is unsure, and that there may be a design issue.
10
         Q
               And it says, more importantly, points to a
    design flaw that may affect more than the LO blades,
12
    right?
13
         Α
               Yeah.
14
         0
               Okav. So my question to you is, you just said
15
     there was a design flaw in February. In August you
16
     signed an agreement where you gave up all your rights to
17
     sue under the contract that you bought the unit for,
18
    isn't that right?
19
               MR. BERNIER: I'm going to go back to
20
         objecting, Your Honor. I just don't see how that's
21
          relevant to how we operated the unit, how Duke
         operated the unit in 2017, the decision whether or
23
         not to settle any potential contract claim. I just
24
         don't see the relevance to the two issues we've got
25
         identified here today.
```

(850) 894-0828

190

CONFIDENTIAL

1 MR. REHWINKEL: My response to that, Your 2 Honor, is that the root cause analysis we 3 established early on today that this is their principle evidence to meet their burden of proof. 5 This root cause analysis purports to be what 6 happened, and they are asking the Public Service 7 Commission to rely on it to absolve them of any 8 liability to the customers for replacement power. 9 One the problems that we've raised is that the 10 way they ran the unit in the first period has 11 caused problems in later periods. They have -- and 12 part of our case is that their explanations about 1.3 the blade are inconsistent with the experience 14 other units have had. 15 Now, in the root cause analysis, they are 16 saving that there may be a design fault with the 17 turbine itself, not the blades, which is what their 18 whole case is about, but the turbine itself; and 19 they are asking the Commission to rely on that 20 while they are settling with Mitsubishi to give up 21 their right to sue for a design flaw. 22 It doesn't -- so we are offering this as 23 impeachment to the conclusions in the RCA because 24 the RCA is submitting that there is a design flaw 25 while, at the same time, they are giving up their

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

right to sue. That's an inconsistency that we 2 would like you to consider in your fact-finding. THE COURT: I am going to allow it, but -- I mean, it's without prejudice. I mean, you can continue to argue that it's not relevant. I am not sure that you are totally tying it up, but I am going to at least let them present it. MR. BERNIER: Understood. 8 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 1.0 0 Okay. So my question to you was is that what 11 happened? 12 Д Well. I think there is more to this agreement 1.3 that you are not talking about that's pretty important, 14 and you need to also think about the whole timeline of 15 events and what the warranty provisions were in the 16 contract, which I don't know specifically, but 17 typically, there is about a three-year warranty period. It's typically one year for some pieces. It may be two 18 19 years, three years if you are lucky, all right. 20 that's from '09 when we first started operating. This 21 agreement was in 2018, six years later. So I don't know 22 is that we had any warranty claim left on the original 23 blades, and I think that's what you are inferring.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

defect?

24

25

(850) 894-0828

Well, if you are saying there is a design

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

191

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

- A Even for a design defect.
- 2 Q Okay. And we don't know -- and I am not
- 3 asking you to resolve that question because I know you
- 4 are not an attorney, right. But the -- my original
- $\,^{5}\,\,$  question that started this line is what analysis did you
- 6 do to demonstrate that there was a design flaw in the
- 7 turbine? And I don't think there was one, was there?
- 8 A I think we are -- well, what we are saying is
- 9 that there was inadequate design margin at the very
- 10 least.

11

- Q That was for the blades, right?
- 12 A Well, you are -- I think you are
- 13 misinterpreting something. So look back at the point
- $14\,$   $\,$  that -- the wording that you are pointing to is on page
- 15 seven of JS-1 and JS-2, correct?
- 17 A Right. So the last sentence, this suggests
- 18 that MHPS is unsure what effect, if any, is created by
- 19 its avoidance zone, and more importantly points to a
- 20 design flaw that may affect more than the LO blades. So
- 21 what do you mean when you say the turbine?
- Q Well, the part of the turbine that's not the
- $23\,$   $\,$  LO blades, everything else that you showed the judge on
- 24 the picture.

25

A So -- which would be the L1 blades, the other

sets of blades, which we know from very detailed

- 2 examination didn't have any damage. We looked way more
- 3 times than we should have, but we gained a lot of
- 4 information doing those inspections.
- Q And is there -- did you present any evidence
  in this RCA concurrent with this assertion here in this
- 7 footnote that any other Mitsubishi LO 40-inch steam
- $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$  turbine units were having the same kind of problems, or
- had a design defect or flaw in them?
- 11 The question of the root cause is why did these snubbers
- 2 and these Z-locks fail?
- 13 And so, yes, you take into consideration what
- 14 is that fleet experience? And the root cause shows, or
- 15 says we reviewed, the team knew that the fleet
- 16 experience at the Bartow plant was an outlier compared
- 17 to the Mitsubishi fleet from a damage standpoint. But
- 18 when you go back and look at what caused the Bartow
- 19 failure, that's what the root cause is about. It's not
- 20 about -- we didn't do a root cause on Mitsubishi's
- 21 issues. We did a root cause on Duke Energy's issues.
- 22 Q But if there was a design flaw, it wouldn't
- 23 have been just -- not a manufacturing flaw, you are
- $24\,$  saying it's a design flaw. That would have applied to

(850) 894-0828

25 the other 32 units, right?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Objection, Your Honor, 2 foundation. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. I mean, I think it's understandable. THE WITNESS: Potentially it could be 6 applicable to the other units. And you have to 7 look at the design conditions, the operating 8 parameters of each of those 30-some units, or 9 50-some rows of blades that we talked about. And 10 if any of those other 50 blades were operated the 11 same way as Bartow within the guidelines 12 established by the OEM, I would be pretty worried 1.3 if I owned one of those other sets of blades. 14 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 15 Well, you agreed you are an outlier, and they 16 didn't have any of the same kind of problems, right? 17 Correct. 18 So why wouldn't -- isn't that just as 19 correlative that there is not a design flaw in there 20 because they are not having any problems and only you 21 22 Not necessarily. That's a factor that you 23 have to take into account, but the operating parameters at Bartow -- we just talked about how Bartow is a 4-on-1 combined cycle. We talked about how it's an outlier

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

compared to the Mitsubishi fleet. It's about 15 -- the calculation is about 15,000 pounds per hour per square foot of impact on the last stage blades, which is an outlier to the Mitsubishi fleet, but we operated within the design parameters given by Mitsubishi. They didn't say, don't operate beyond 15,000 or 17,000. There is no way to measure that. They said, don't operate beyond this operating pressure. Don't operate besides this -- beyond this operating 10 temperature, which we did. 11 0 Would you agree with me that Exhibit 106 covers claims that dated back to Period 1? 12 1.3 Д Yes 14 0 Okay. And what -- basically what you did 15 here, I -- and tell me if I am oversimplifying it, is 16 you had -- they had a claim against you for, like, \$10.2 17 million and you had a claim against them for \$6 million, 18 and you settled it where you gave them \$3 million and 19 they gave you a \$2 million credit on the next set of 20 blades, is that --21 That's a fair summary. Okay. So I just want to ask you about --23 I am sorry, with one exception. I am sorry. 24 Sure. Q 25 The credit could have been used in many Α

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

```
different ways. Not necessarily at Bartow.
2
              Right. It specifically mentioned you could
    use it on the blades, but you could use it elsewhere
    under other conditions, right?
         Α
              Correct.
 6
              Okay. And it can be read in here, right?
 8
               So let's just go to page one, and I just want
    you to read for the record the last two whereas clauses
10
    aloud, please.
11
              Okay. Whereas, after the steam turbine was
12
    commissioned in June 2009, MHPS designed enhanced LO
13
    blades that would endeavor to allow Bartow station to
14
    increase its output from 420 megawatts to 450 megawatts.
15
    and whereas, the parties entered into purchase order
16
    718383 on February 10th, 2014, whereby MHPS was to
17
    design and install such enhanced design LO blades at a
18
    $6 million cost to DEF, which amount DEF has paid.
19
              Okav. So this is a document that Mr.
20
    Salvarezza signed on behalf of the company, right?
21
              Yes.
22
         0
              So he agreed to what is stated in these two
23
    whereas clauses, correct?
24
              Yes.
25
              And doesn't that say that, reading these two
```

together, that the output of the steam turbine was 2 420 megawatts and you wanted to increase it to 450, right? Generally that's correct. And that specifically is in Period 1 that it's Q 420, right? MR. REHWINKEL: Your Honor, I believe if we take a short break here, I can substantially 10 shorten the day at least from what I am 11 contributing to it. 12 THE COURT: How long do you need? 13 MR. REHWINKEL: Just five minutes. THE COURT: Five minutes. Sure, we will take 14 15 five. 16 (Brief recess.) 1.7 THE COURT: Back on. 1.8 Whenever you are ready, Mr. Rehwinkel. 19 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you for that, Your 20 Honor. And I think it did help a great deal. 21 Thank you. THE COURT: Yep. 2.3 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 24 Let's go to -- we are still on Exhibit 115, 25 and go to page two.

(850) 894-0828

2 And if you wouldn't mind reading the second paragraph above where it says historical overview, where it says for Bartow, just that sentence. 5 Starting with the words "for Bartow"? 6 Yes, sir. For Bartow, the long-term solution is to replace the LO blades with blades of a different design 8 9 and/or to retrofit the LP steam path and/or continue 10 operation with pressure plate. 11 All right. Would you mind explaining, so 0 12 that -- to the judge what is referred to there as the LP 1.3 steam path? 14 Yes, sir. 15 May I stand up, Your Honor? 16 THE COURT: Sure. 17 THE WITNESS: So the three options are 18 essentially replace the LO row again, the two rows 19 with another design of blades, or when we say the 20 whole low pressure steam path, it would basically 21 be lifting this section of the overall turbine 22 generator out and putting a different low pressure 23 turbine in. 24 So that would entail all rows of blades, all 25 rows of fixed diaphragms in between the blades, the

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

casing, everything associated with the low pressure 2 turbine. BY MR. REHWINKEL: Thank vou. 0 And it says and/or there, is that correct? It does. Α 0 Now, I am not trying to -- just for factual purposes, in October, November of this year, you actually put in the solution that you chose, which was 1.0 we call the Period 7 blades? 11 We are on Period 7. Yeah, we put in another Α 12 iteration of blades redesigned that had very significant 1.3 testing done at a facility in Japan that we witnessed. 14 Those blades were installed November, December 15 timeframe. I can't remember the date we started up, but 16 it was in, I think, early December. So we are operating 17 with those -- that generation of blades right now. 18 Okay. So is it fair to say that -- well, so 19 now Mitsubishi has installed the fourth set of blades on 20 this -- on that low pressure turbine, is that fair, or a 21 different set? 22 Well, we installed one end at the start of 23 Period 2, then both ends at the start of Period 3, both 24 ends at the start of Period 4, both ends at the start of Period 5. The start of period six had pressure plates. 25

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

So to your point, this is really the start of Period 7 2 with new blades, but I think it's more than four. 3 So it's five sets? Yes Α Now, this is just a hypothetical, because I am not suggesting that it's not going to work. But if there is a problem with this set of blades, will you replace the steam path for certain? 8 MR. BERNIER: I'm going to object. Again, we 9 10 are going back to the two issues of operation, and 11 now we are dealing with a hypothetical about what 12 could happen in the future. 13 THE COURT: That's -- well, I will overrule it 14 again. I mean, Mr. Swartz, if you have any notion, 15 you can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: Well, let me start by saving one 17 big difference in this iteration is we've installed 18 a permanently-mounted blade vibration monitoring 19 system along with the new sets of LO blades. So as 20 we increase load, we can take data. 21 It's very much like the temporary system that 22 was used at the beginning of Period 3, where we 2.3 came up with the avoidance zones. This is a 24 permanently mounted system, much more robust, so 25 it's made so it's not going to come apart and

potentially cause what we would call domestic 2 object damage inside the turbine. That gives us much greater confidence that we will find an issue prior to any type of vibration that would lead to component failure. So I think that's a really significant difference with what we did with this iteration of blades. You know, the hypothetical, what would we do if this set of blades failed? Really, we would 10 have to -- like, how would they fail? I mean --11 and I am not trying to be funny, but was it an 12 erosion issue? Was it high cycle fatigue? Was it 13 a snubber? Was it a shroud? 14 I think it depends on the type of failure. If 15 it's an erosion issue, for instance, there are ways 16 to deal with that. So it really depends. 1.7 I think where you are going, though, is, you 1.8 know, our appetite -- my personal appetite for 19 putting in more sets of blades is very low, you 20 know, that's why we put a pressure plate in at the 21 start of Period 6. No more trying. We've got to figure something else out, and our customers can't 23 stand that. 24 And I think that was a really good decision, a

200

25

very sound decision, because once we put that

pressure plate in in the spring of 2017, we finally 2 had two-and-a-half years of nothing happening. 3 Yes, we ran about 40 megawatts lower from the total output. Instead of a 1,200-ish megawatt site, it was 40 megawatts lower than that. But we didn't 6 have any issues where we had to shut down for the low pressure turbine, and I think that was really good for customers. 8 9 It was the right decision while we were 10 figuring out what we could do for the next 11 iteration, which I do believe will be the long-term 12 solution, but Period 7 is going to go on for a long 1.3 time 14 BY MR. REHWINKEL: 15 0 Just on your blade vibration monitor point, it 16 is true, as is stated in the next sentence, that even 17 had you replaced the steam path, you still would have 18 insisted on a blade vibration monitor as a part of 19 anything that was done, right? 20 That was Duke Energy's position. We wanted to 21 make sure that that was part of the solution. Not all 22 the -- not all vendors agreed to that. 23 All right. 24 So it actually disqualified some from the --25 from that project.

And GE was a close second to the solution that you put in in the fall of '19, right? Α Thev were. Okay. And the fact that it says and/or means 0 that you still haven't completely given up on maybe replacing the steam path, right? I think the and/or is really just trying to show that there were three options, that they're not mutually exclusive, right? Let me get back to the 1.0 sentence and read it again. 11 Right. So it might require new designed LO blades and a new steam path. That's what the root cause 12 1.3 is showing. But at the point of this root cause, we 14 didn't know what the long-term solution was. So we're 15 just leaving all options open while the team studied 16 what the ultimate long-term solution would be, which we 17 then decided, you know, long after, months after this. 18 Okay. When you did your industry experience 19 research, you didn't find any instance of an L 40 -- an 20 LO 40-inch steam turbine in the Mitsubishi fleet having 21 to replace even one set of blades in 11 -- after only 11 years of operation, did you? 23 I am not sure. I actually suspect that there 24 were issues, but likely caused by erosion. 25 Okay. I should have added based on a 0 114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick (850) 894-0828

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

vibration-induced damage? 2 Right. No snubber or shroud issues like we've experienced at Bartow. 4 Okay. So with that clarification, your research didn't reveal --Correct. And you did not, likewise, turn up any industry experience that showed that a LO 40-inch 8 9 Mitsubishi steam turbine operator had to replace a steam 10 path? 11 Correct, we did not find anything of that. 12 Okay. All right. I have just have a couple 13 of sort of clarification questions to ask you on -- I am still on 115, and I want to take you back to page 17 of 14 15 18, which is Exhibit 17 --16 Α Okav. 17 -- exhibit page 17. 18 Can you tell me why Citrus LO on the far 19 right-hand side is the header for that column? 20 I may be on the wrong page. 21 I apologize. It's the one -- it's the 22 Appendix A in your JS-2. It's page 17, and it says 23 Appendix A 24 I am sorry, I was on page seven. 25

All right. I am there. Α 2 So my question to you is: Can you tell me why Q Citrus LO is the header for the far-right column? I don't know specifically, but what I do know is that Duke had -- Duke Energy had some concerns over the Citrus LO blades. Citrus combined cycle is our newest plant. It's two 2-on-1 combined cycles on one site, and it does have Mitsubishi equipment, Mitsubishi combustion turbines and Mitsubishi steam turbines. So there is two steam turbines, and it does have 40-inch steel blades, so there is a similarity there. 13 The design at Citrus is such that the calculated steam flow -- we've been talking about this 14 15 pounds per hour per square foot number. It's less than 16 11,000, or around 11,000 at Citrus. So because of that. Mitsubishi doesn't not think that there is any issues, 17 18 but I believe they are similar to Type 5 blades, or they are Type 5 -- Mitsubishi Type 5 blades, which don't 19 20 mistake that with period, right. So they are different 21 style of blades than any of the iterations at Bartow, 22 but they are similar in that they are 40-inch steal L02.3 blades, if that makes sense. 24 Okay. I just wanted to understand whether

this was supposed to be an identical comparison of what

(850) 894-0828

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

25

Premier Reporting

outage on a steam turbine, which we had just had an

you are putting on as a type -- as a Period 5 blade, and 2 that's --3 I know we obviously had concern with this Citrus project because of what were finding out, or what we found out here. So we are just showing for comparison purposes what is installed at Citrus. 6 Okay. I understand that. 8 And can you tell me, do you know at what point 9 in any of the periods any of the damage to your LO 10 blades occur at Bartow? 11 Α We do know. If we could look -- if we look at 12 that same exhibit and go to -- just because it's going 1.3 to help me remember some things. If we go to page five. 14 Tt's Table A 15 During Period 4, we were able to pinpoint when 16 some of the damage occurred. We had -- if you look at 17 the keynotes from period row and go over to that Period 18 4 column, it said -- it shows the two separate step 19 changes that were actually reductions, decreases in 20 vibration, led Duke Engineering recommendation to remove 21 the steam turbine from service for inspection. 22 So there was discussion with Mitsubishi after 23 we noticed these reductions in vibration. It's interesting, Mitsubishi believed it to be bearing 25 settling in, just some normal course of action after an

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

outage at the start of Period 4. Our Duke Engineering wasn't convinced. It's -- an unexplained change in vibration, you know, typically -- well, you monitor vibration for increases. If there is an increase in vibration, you need to understand why, and if you can't figure it out, if it gets beyond a certain point, you typically stop 8 operation and go conduct inspections, because that can 1.0 lead to damage very significant issues in multiple 11 components. 12 In this case, there were slight changes and there were reductions, but after inspection, we -- when 1.3 14 what we found, we found, looking at row broken snubbers in the row that's titled "Broken Z-locks", we found one 15 16 broken snubber on the generator end of the machine, one 17 broken Z-lock on the turbine end of the machine, and two 18 broken Z-locks on the generator end of the machine, and 19 so that's one, two -- that's four pieces of metal. 20 Small pieces of metal. Remember, we are talking about 21 the snubbers and the Z-lock. But two of those instances 22 were almost certainly the times we saw the slight 23 reductions in vibration. 24 So the fact that we shut down to do an inspection and take a look was the right thing to do, 25

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

CONFIDENTIAL

the prudent thing to do. Before we could operate any more, we had to replace blades yet again.

So is that the only --

That's not the only time. I am sorry. Back Α

to your question. 5

2

3

In Period 5, if you look at the same row, 6

keynotes from period, it doesn't show the date, but we

do know same -- similar type of thing. It wasn't 8

vibration in this case, but we had two things happen

10 simultaneously.

11 We had a decrease in pressure of vacuum.

are losing vacuum in the condenser. And we also, all of

13 a sudden, have indications of sodium in the condenser.

14 The cooling water that flows through the

15 condenser is saltwater. It's from Tampa Bay. So sodium

16 is much easier to monitor than chloride level, so we

17 monitor for sodium. Any indication of sodium above

18 very, very minute traces is a large alarming. If you

19 get sodium, or especially chlorides into your pure water

20 that you are going to just turn back into steam and

21 reuse it in the process again, that causes all kinds of

22 issues in the system, and potentially turbine issues in

23 the long-term.

24 So we got an alarm that we had high sodium.

25 We have an alarm that there is reduction in vacuum, so we shut down the turbine immediately. So we know when

2 that failure occurred as well. So Period 4 and 5, we do

know when those happened.

Δ Period 1, we don't know when that happened.

Period 3, we don't know when that happened.

Okay. And for Period 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, can

you tell me what pressures were on the blades at the

time damage occurred?

By pressures on the blades, you mean this mass

flow rate that we've been discussing?

Yes.

11

2.3

Premier Reporting

I don't know that number. Again, it's a Α

13 calculated number. What I can tell you is that we were

14 operating below whatever the LP turbine or IP exhaust

15 pressure limitation was at the time.

16 0 Okay. Mr. Swartz, those are all the questions

17 I have for you today?

1.8 MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Who's next?

20 EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. MOYLE:

Good afternoon. I am Jon Moyle, I am 0

representing the Florida Industrial Power Users Group.

(850) 894-0828

24 You have been in the electric world a long

25 time, have you not? 114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

Yes, sir, I have. 2 Are you familiar with the U.S. Energy Okav. Information Administration? Not really. No, sir. EIA, you have never --It's somewhat familiar, but I wouldn't say I 6 am -- the acronym is, rather, but I am not familiar with what it does. 8 9 MR. MOYLE: I have a document if I can just 10 show him? 11 THE COURT: Sure. 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 1.3 BY MR. MOYLE: 14 Sir, I have handed you a document from U.S. 15 Energy Information Administration. It's a glossary of 16 terms under the letter G, right? 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. I might have handed you my copy that 19 had a little star on it. 20 Oh, it has the answer on it. 21 The generator nameplate capacity, which is on 22 page three of six, do you see that? 23 Generator nameplate capacity? 24 Right. 25 Yes, sir. Α

(850) 894-0828

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

Would you mind just reading that into the record? Α Generator nameplate capacity installed. The maximum rated output of a generator, prime mover, or other electric power production equipment under specific conditions designated by the manufacturer -- designated by the manufacturer. Installed generator nameplate capacity is commonly expressed in megawatts and is usually indicated on a nameplate physically attached to 10 the generator. 11 Q Okay. Are you comfortable with that 12 definition for generator nameplate capacity? 1.3 Д T am 14 Okay. And a couple of follow-ups on that. 15 Does the unit that we are talking about here 16 have a, you know, have a nameplate on it? 17 Α I don't know. 18 If you were to show me around, you could you 19 say, Mr. Moyle, let me show you our nameplate, and it 20 would be right there, and I would see 420? 21 I wish I could tell you. I don't know if it has a physical nameplate or not. 23 So you just don't know one way or the other on 24 that? 25 I don't. But what I can tell you is in the Α

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

25

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

21

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

```
contract -- we looked a little bit earlier today at the
2
    generator capability curves, and that does include the
3
    nameplate ratings of the generator.
 4
              Right. And there is nameplate -- I mean, we
    have it throughout these documents, right? You looked a
    it, and that chart you were looking at it says 420,
    right, in your root cause analysis?
 8
              Right.
              And I just want to get your understanding on
10
    the record with respect to nameplate and what it means.
11
               Also, with respect to when Duke or others
12
    announce a project, don't they typically announce it by
13
    using the megawatts that are expected from the
14
    nameplate?
15
              Yes, I would agree with that.
16
              Again, we typically -- that's our product.
17
    That's what people are familiar with, and that would
18
    make sense to make announcements in that manner
19
              Okay. I am wanting to ask you some questions
20
    about the root cause analysis.
21
              If I understand -- I mean, the history of this
22
    generator is, is that it's referred to in some of your
23
    documents as it got picked up on the gray market, right?
24
    You have to say yes or no
25
              Yes, I am sorry, yes.
```

Q The court reporter needs to put nodding head 2 yes Α Sorry. Anyway, that's all right. And so the gray market is, you know, kind of an interesting term. What does that mean, the gray market for generators? 8 So the gray market would mean it wasn't bought -- or a piece of equipment isn't bought from the original equipment manufacturer. In this case, the steam turbine that was installed at the Bartow project was purchased -- it was originally manufactured for a 13 different company for a different project, and so -- and 14 that project fell through. I don't know why it didn't 15 come to fruition. And so instead of going to the 16 original equipment manufacturer and buying something 17 directly from them, it was this one that was already 18 there that was really owned by a company called Tenaska, 19 and we purchased that one. So that would be the gray 20 market. 21 Q Yeah. Would it be somewhat analogous to if I was going to buy a Ford F150 truck, I can buy it from 22 2.3 the dealership and nobody had owned it before, or I 24 could buy it from somebody who bought it from a

212

dealership and then drove it home and then said, you

know, I don't really like it and left it in his garage for a few years and I bought it from him in his garage after a few years; is that fair? I don't think it's exactly fair. In this case, the turbine was never delivered to Tenaska. It was kept in storage at Mitsubishi, so it 6 was subject to the same -- whenever Mitsubishi or any 8 turbine manufacturer makes a product, they are 9 manufacturing it and they are storing it under a certain 10 set of conditions. So this one was stored in those same sets of conditions as a regular new turbine, never left 11 12 Mitsubishi, but staved -- or never -- yeah, never left 1.3 Mitsubishi, so it -- yeah, it didn't go to that other 14 person's garage in your --15 0 Yeah. And so you -- as we sit here today, you 16 know for sure it didn't get in a warehouse somewhere 17 else. It stayed on Mitsubishi grounds and stayed in 18 their warehouse, or you are not sure of that? 19 No. It's my understanding Mitsubishi had 20 possession, and we've actually looked at -- well, the 21 project team involved in the project looked at all kinds 22 of documentation of the storage conditions from 23 manufacture to the date of purchase and to inspections 24 as well.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

0

25

(850) 894-0828

How long from date of manufacture to date of

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

purchase for you all, how long did it stay in the warehouse? I am sorry, I don't know that number. It was more than a year, was it not? 0 It was more than a year, yes. Do you know if it was more than five years? 0 Δ I don't think it was more than five. It may have been around four, if I remember correctly. Yeah. Are -- I have a boat. And people tell Q me on my boat that the best thing you can do for it is 10 11 use it, run the engine, that you need to run the engine to make it operate okay. Have you ever heard anything 12 1.3 like that being an engineer, it helps to run things? 14 I have heard similar things like that, but I 15 would also tell you that that engine then is going to 16 need more frequent maintenance intervals because you put 17 on run hours. 18 Yeah. Yeah. The engine -- the turbine you Q 19 bought, it was not run while it was in the warehouse, 20 correct? 21 Α Correct. 0 Okay. And if things are not run, there are 23 issues that can arise from an engineering standpoint, 24 correct? 25 Not necessarily. Again, you have to think Α

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

about how this turbine is stored, and it was actually 2 stored under inert gas pressure in casings with the 3 pressure monitored so the regular atmosphere that we are breathing now never even got to the turbine, so that 5 prevented corrosion, for example 6 Was the plant near the sea? I mean, Japan is surrounded by a lot of water, is it not? I don't know. 8 9 You don't know where it was? 10 I don't know where the plant was. 11 There have been a lot of questions about root 12 cause analysis, or RCA, and let me just make sure I got 13 this right. You all, Duke, did a root cause analysis, 14 correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 0 And that was comprised of seven people who are 17 all Duke employees, correct? 18 Α I think that's what this says. One of them was actually a consultant, as Mr. Rehwinkel pointed out, 19 20 a former Duke employee, that at the time of the root 21 cause was actually a consultant back for the company. 22 0 Okay. So you had six Duke employees and some 2.3 person who was a Duke employee for a number of years 24 that recently left and came back in?

Okay. And you were not on that seven-member Q 2 team? That's correct. Okay. So some of the questions that Mr. 0 Rehwinkel asked you, you were struggling a little bit and surmising, and there were a couple of objections from your lawyer about I don't want you to have to guess. I assume that's because you weren't involved in drafting the report, correct? 10 That's correct. 11 All right. Mitsubishi, they also did a root cause analysis, did they not? 13 Α They did. In fact, I think they've done 14 multiple root causes. 15 Right. And their first take at it, their 0 16 first take at it was essentially too much steam is being 17 put through the process, correct? 1.8 Д Too much steam to the low pressure turbine, 19 ves. 20 0 Right. And your -- your being Duke -- root 21 cause analysis, you spent a lot of time with Mr. Rehwinkel on it. I am going to try to characterize it 22 2.3 at a high level and see if I can get you to agree that 24 you are comfortable with this, but that there were 25 identified a number of possible causes for the problems

216

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

that happened to the turbines, correct? 2 Correct. 3

And you all couldn't really come to

100 percent conclusion, decisive conclusion as to what

caused the problem, but you said, here are what we think

6 are our best ideas as to what caused the problem,

correct?

8 Α That's correct. And we are able to conclude

9 based on in-depth analysis that that lack of blade

10 design margin was the root cause.

11 Right. And it struck me a little bit as, 0

12 like, well, you couldn't figure out exactly what it was,

1.3 so it was, like, well, it wasn't designed right. But I

14 am -- I was a little curious about how you all followed

up on that, and you -- I think Mr. Rehwinkel asked you 15

16 what was the design flaw. I think you said, well, it

17 wasn't designed within the right margins, is that right?

18 I don't know if that's what I said, but as far

19 as follow-up, it's difficult at that point because

20 essentially Duke Energy is saying, Mitsubishi, we

believe you have an inadequate lack of design margin in

22 your blades. The OEM does not want to admit to that.

23 They did admit in their later presentation in the fall

of 2017 that the blade flutter was caused by -- or that

25 the failures were caused by blade flutter in all of the

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

21

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

2 And blade flutter, that's like 0 Yeah. vibration, right? It is. Same thing. And you can get vibrations caused by a whole bunch of things, correct? Д Yes 8 0 Yeah. Including putting too much steam If you are putting too much steam and it's not through. 1.0 designed for that, that can cause vibration or flutter. 11 correct? 12 Д That's correct 1.3 0 All right. And it could be some other things? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. But in terms of Duke looking at it, you Q 16 all never came to a conclusion with respect to -- I 17 wrote it down -- the margin. You said they didn't design it and they didn't have enough design margin, I 18 19 think; is that right? 20 Right. 21 And design margin, what is design margin? I assume it's like a level of tolerance. They say, oh, 23 well we can, you know, do this or do that. Is that 24 right?

Α 114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

It is. For many pieces of equipment, they may

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

220

be designed for a certain level, but there is an

2 engineering design margin or extra capacity that's built

in, or design factor that if someone were to go above or

if the limit is low or below, then there won't be a

5 failure or an issue with that particular component.

Yeah. And that wouldn't make a lot of sense,

would it, if somebody was operating an expensive piece

8 of equipment that you had, you know, zero tolerance,

9 right?

6

12

2.3

10 It would not make sense, right.

11 And wouldn't it make sense for a manufacturer

also to make sure that the equipment is not torn up to

13 say, here, y'all should operate it, you know, at this

14 level, you know, give you some good parameters in which

15 to operate the piece of equipment?

16 Α Yes.

17 All right. And given the definition we just

1.8 read, you know, the federal government with respect to

19 their definition of generator nameplate capacity, they

20 call it the maximum out -- maximum rated output of a

21 generator is what that 420 would be, right?

22 That's what that said, yes.

Okay. And when you were doing your

investigation, or your critical -- your root cause

25 analysis and you said, well, we don't think it was

1

25

designed within the right amount of tolerances, can you

2 tell me anything about that? Like, did you say, oh, you

only gave it a five-percent tolerance, or a 20-percent

tolerance? I mean, do you have anything substantively

more than a conclusionary statement that it wasn't

designed within a range of tolerance?

Well, I think --

If you give the answer yes, no, and then

explain it, that would be great.

10 Well, it's difficult to say yes or no because

it's a complicated issue, and I think it's most

important to go back and look at what happened across

13 all the periods. So you keep talking about steam flow

14 and operating above a certain amount of steam flow.

15 Starting with Period 2, the operating

16 pressures that we ran the steam turbine at were reduced.

17 and then throughout Period 2, 3, 4 and 5. In fact, in

18 Period 5, they were very low, but yet the blades still

19 had damage to the snubbers or the airfoil tips even with

20 lower steam flows, even with lower steam flows than what

21 the Mitsubishi fleet had experienced. I think that that

22 shows that there wasn't enough design margin in the

2.3 hlades

Premier Reporting

24 And can you describe the failure of design

(850) 894-0828

25 margin in any order of magnitude?

I don't have a percentage for that. 2 Or any narrative description for it? 3 I think it would be difficult to do that without testing with instrumentation and breaking them on purpose with instrumentation hooked up so that you could see when they break, and you know what all the 6 different parameters were at the point of the failure 8 occurring. 9 0 I want to shift a little bit and talk about 10 the blade a little bit. You guys were running a blade 11 which I have gone over and looked at. It is pretty 12 heavy 1.3 Α It is. 14 Do you know if Mitsubishi made that blade or 15 whether they had it made by a subcontractor and had it 16 casted by a third party? 17 Α It's my understanding that Mitsubishi does 18 that themselves. 19 Okay. Are you aware that in the turbine 20 business, that some turbine blades are made by third 21 parties? 22 I am. 23 But you got affirmation that Mitsubishi said, no, it's on us, this is our blade? 25 That's my understanding. Α

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick (850) 894-0828

Okay. And do you know if that blade was cast in a single casting? Do you know what I mean by single casting? I do. I don't know the specifics of the manufacturing process. Right. So just to make sure we are on the Q. same page. Like a single casting is you got a form and you put in the metal, and then it hardens and that's it. and you don't have to weld anything else on to it, 1.0 correct? 11 Α Oh, so I do know the answer then. It's not a 12 single casting 1.3 0 And the things that were breaking off, I 14 looked at that, they looked to me like they were welded 15 on; is that right? 16 Α I believe they are, yes. And there is other 17 pieces like the tip of the airfoil, I believe, is not part of the forge, the original forging. 18 19 Right. So from the engineering standpoint, 20 when you weld something on and you have a single form 21 that's cast, the weakest part is where something has 22 been welded on, all other things being equal; correct? 23 There are certainly stress risers that at the 24 heat affected zone of a weld. That doesn't mean 25 necessarily that it's the weakest point, though. And in

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

the case of these blades, it didn't fail at the heat 2 affected zone in the weld. The snubbers and the Z-locks failed near the tips, not near the welds. 4 So when you say the snubbers and -- just can you go point just so we know exactly what --MR. MOYLE: If I could approach, Your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Sure. THE WITNESS: So the mid-span snubbers, this 8 9 being the span of the blade, the mid-span snubbers 10 are these pieces, and then the airfoil tips, or the 11 Z-locks, are these pieces up here, the tips. 12 BY MR. MOYLE: 13 Okay. And the mid what do you call them? 14 Mid-span snubbers. 15 Yeah. They look like they are welded on, Q 16 right? 17 I believe they are, ves. Α 18 O The same with the ones on the top? 19 Α Yes 20 0 All right. So is that -- in your business, is 21 that maybe not such a big surprise, that the piece is 22 welded on? 2.3 That is not a surprise. That's correct. 24 That happens? Q 25 That's right.

1 0 And all other things being equal, wouldn't you 2 think it's more likely that for that to happen if you are running at a higher frequency rate than at a lower frequency rate? That what would happen? That you would have a failure just with respect to vibration? If something were welded on? Or not, just in terms of, you know, if you are 10 running something, you know, at 150 percent of its 11 capacity compared to 80 percent of its capacity, all other things being equal, isn't it more likely that 13 something being run at 150 percent of its capacity is 14 more likely to have a problem? 15 А That could lead to problems, I agree, but I 16 don't agree with the idea that the heat affected zone of 17 a weld makes it necessarily the weak point. I think you 18 went back to that 19 0 Okay. And just so we have a clear record, I 20 mean, the operations of the unit in question during 21 Period 1, those were run for a pretty extended period of 22 time. They were run more often over the 420-megawatt 2.3 nameplate rating as compared to other periods in time, 24 correct?

25

Premier Reporting

That's correct.

start slowly blending. You start increasing the

I saw something in one of the documents that 2 said about 15 percent of the time. Does that sound about right to you? It does, yes. 4 Yeah, okay. What's blending? Blending operation in a combined cycle --6 remember that there is inherent flexibility in a 8 combined cycle operation, and Bartow is a 4-on-1. So 9 the transition between operating in 4-on-1 or 3-on-1 to 10 2-on-1 to 1-on-1, any time you do that, you have to -let's use an example. 11 12 If you are going from 2-on-1 configuration to 1.3 3-on-1 configuration, so that means two combustion 14 turbines operating with a steam turbine in service. You 15 start up the third combustion turbine. You are 16 generating electricity with the combustion turbine 17 generator. The exhaust, remember, is going out the 18 stack. It's not going to the HRSG yet. When you 19 start -- then you start warming up the heat recovery 20 steam generator. You start generating steam. You don't 21 immediately put the steam into the turbine. You have to 22 wait for certain conditions to be met on the steam. You 23 don't want to put water in the steam turbine. 24 So what you do is you bypass steam to the 25 condenser, and then once steam conditions are met, you

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

2

(850) 894-0828

Because there is no industry standard for the

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

percentage of steam that's input to the steam turbine. so more to the steam turbine, less to the condenser until all of it is going into the steam turbine. If you are going from 3-on-1 to 2-on-1 configuration, it's just the opposite. You start taking it out of the steam turbine and bypassing steam to the condenser, and then you shut down the HRSG and start exhausting out to the atmosphere. 10 0 And this is a 4-on-1, right? 11 This is a 4-on-1. Α 12 0 But you can run it 3-on-1, 2-on-1? We can run it in any of those other 1.3 Д 14 combinations. 15 Q So if you are not blending, you know, the way 16 you are supposed to do it, the way you described it, 17 what are the consequences of that? 18 Well, that's something we looked at in the 19 root cause. In fact, Duke Energy made up our own 20 definition of what a high-energy blend was because 21 that's a possibility that that could exert more energy 22 on the LO blades because, as I described, there is steam 23 flow that's being put into the condenser. It goes into 24 the condoner nearby the LO blades, so that's something 25 we needed to look at. 114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

amount of energy that's put in the condenser during a 3 blend, we looked at a lot of data. We came up with a method for classifying and a definition for high energy, and it was just based on the change in temperature over a certain period of time. More than a certain change in 6 temperature in a minute's time, we said, let's just call that high energy, and it happens so many times over --8 if you have this many blends, we see that -- this many 10 of the blends, a percentage of the blend. 11 And then we were, because we made that 12 definition, we were able to look around industry at both 13 our -- at our combined -- other combined cycles, 14 Mitsubishi or non-Mitsubishi units, and compare, is the 15 energy of the blends at Bartow out of line with the rest 16 of the unit -- what we see in the rest of our fleet? Is 17 that change in temperature over a period of time 1.8 greater, which could put in more energy into the 19 condenser which could be negatively impacting the 20 blades? And what we found is about consistent at Bartow 21 with other units. 22 0 Yeah. And when you did that analysis, a lot

1 country how much of the energy is supplied by combined 2 cycle units, what would you say, 40, 50 percent? Α It's growing. It's probably 40-ish percent,

(850) 894-0828

plus or minus.

Yeah. There was something that caught my eye

in one of the documents Mr. Rehwinkel was discussing

with you, and I will refer it to you. It's on his

Exhibit 115, and it's on Tab No. 6, and it's on Bates

number 49.

10 Α Okay. I am there.

11 And there is -- about middle of the page there

it says, blending operation, do you see that?

13 Α Yes.

14 Just read the quote, if you would, underneath 0 15

there.

16 Α It says: We've had bad blends during all five

17 periods of operation.

1.8 0 Yeah. And you said you came up with a

19 definition for the energy. I mean, what's a bad blend?

I assume that's something that's not good just by the

21 term, right?

20

22 Α Yeah. I would say this is a good example of

23 why this is a draft document, and why we go through a

24 lot of iterations before putting out a final document.

25 But I believe that the term bad is being used here in

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 (850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

Oh, yes.

23

24

25

I mean, if we were to hazard a guess in this

of people are running combined cycle units, right?

place of high-energy. 2 During the course of this root cause, we were developing this high energy blend definition. Maybe we didn't have it at this time. I don't know. I don't want to speculate, but I believe it means the same thing 6 as a high-energy blend. 7 0 Do you know who came up with that word, which 8 member of the seven-member team? 9 Α I do not. 10 Did you ever talk to anybody about what that 11 meant, or are you just kind of saying, oh, I think it 12 means high-energy and surmising that? I don't know. 1.3 Α 14 Yeah. 15 I didn't have that discussion. 16 And that's fair, because you didn't, you know, 17 you didn't work on the report. You weren't in all those 18 meetings. 19 Correct. 20 Okay. I just want to make sure the record is 21 When these problems occurred, you opted to just 22 run the Bartow facility on the simple cycle, not 23 combined cycle, right?

blades, we were running in simple cycle mode. I don't 114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick (850) 894-0828

During the periods when we were replacing

24

25

know how many of the simple cycles were in operation. It changes the economics of those units. They are much less efficient obviously in simple cycle mode. So they are placed in our dispatch order changes. And then based on what the system load is on any given day, they may or may not be asked to run, but there is always at least one in service, I know, during all these time periods. 8 Q Yeah. So just in terms of the impact on 1.0 efficiency, just give the judge an idea about the negative effect on efficiency if you are only running it 11 12 in simple cycle and not using the heat recovery system, 1.3 the HRSG system, which you have done as a result of some 14 of these issues, correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 0 So just is it about a third -- is the heat 17 recovery system about a third? Use the 420 nameplate, 18 what would you not realize not being able to run it in 19 the combined cycle mode? 20 Well, from a production standpoint, it was 21 about -- oh, when the steam turbine was not in service? Right. 0 23 When the steam turbine was not in service, we 24 are missing that 380 to 420 megawatts, depending on 25 where we were running at the time. The combustion

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

turbines, the four combustion turbines are nominally 2 around 200 megawatts. Again, as I have described, they 3 can do more than that depending on the ambient conditions in the heat of the summer they may not make that much. But let's say they are 200-megawatt units, we had about 200 megawatts capacity instead of about 1,200 megawatts of capacity. Okay. So about a third is knocked out? 8 9 During the outages. 10 Right. 11 During the outages, right. 12 Okay. And then for that third, you had to go 13 find that power somewhere else, either run it on your 14 system or buy it in the market; is that right? 15 That's correct. If it was needed. 16 So with respect to -- you got on -- you testified on this a little bit with Mr. Rehwinkel about 17 the status of Mitsubishi blades in the market. Duke has 1.8 19 a lot of other Mitsubishi blades, do they not? 20 Α I don't believe Duke has a lot of other 21 Mitsubishi blades. In steam -- well, I mean, correct 22 that. So in steam turbines, we do have other combustion 2.3 turbines that are Mitsubishi. Combustion turbines have 24 blading. I am not aware of any other Mitsubishi steam

turbines other than what's installed at Bartow and the

232 two that we have at Citrus. 2 Okay. And you haven't had any similar Q problems with the turbines at those other Duke units that you have experienced at Bartow, correct? Well, the two Citrus steam turbines didn't start up until late in 2018. Yeah. And we are not looking for problems, it's okay to say there are no problems? Yeah, no problems. I am not disappointed, you know. The operating experience is limited to about a 12 vear-and-a-half. 13 0 Right. Right. 14 And you have no personal knowledge with 15 respect to anybody else having any problems with 16 Mitsubishi blades of the ilk that are at your Bartow 17 unit, correct? 18 Д Other than what we had discussed earlier 19 There is some discussion at user group meetings and some 20 interest in our issues, but nothing that I can show you 21 in documents. 22 0 Yeah. In these administrative proceedings, we

treat hearsay a certain way, so if I ask you have

something going on, correct? That's the only

personal knowledge if somebody told you I am having

25

2.3

24

information you have that somebody else may have an 2 issue? 3 That's correct. That's correct. 4 Yeah. And the -- what company was that? Do 0 you remember the company that --I don't remember. Mr. Rehwinkel thought it 6 was in Louisiana, I think he said. I have no reason to 8 doubt that. 9 0 I'm asking you the name of the company, like 10 energy or somebody from energy? 11 I don't remember. 12 You don't remember who it was? 1.3 T don't 14 MR. MOYLE: Okay. I think that's -- Judge, if 15 I could just get one quick second? THE COURT: Sure 16 17 MR. MOYLE: Thank you. That's all I have. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Brew. 19 MR. BREW: May I approach the witness, Your 20 Honor? 21 THE COURT: Sure. 22 EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. BREW: Good afternoon. These are the two exhibits I 0 25 want to show you. Your Honor, you have them there. I

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

am going to talk about this one. Α Okav. Thanks. Good afternoon, Mr. Swartz, one of the advantages of going at the tail end is that many of my questions have been answered. The downside is that I will be jumping all over the place. 8 First, I want to just really quickly run through some stuff that's been covered in different 1.0 pieces about the function and operation of Bartow. 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 0 It was originally designed and built for a 1.3 3-x-1 configuration, right? 14 Α That's correct. 15 Okay. And Duke decided when it did its 16 repowering program that it might be better do a 4-x-117 operation, right? 18 Α That's correct. 19 And that's eventually what was built? 20 Α Yes. 21 Okav. And so we have 4 CTs, combustion turbines, that produce electricity and exhaust gas that 23 run through the heat recovery steam generators, right? 24 Α Yes. 25 And then the steam from those steam generators Q.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

235

run down to the steam turbine?

2 A Yes, sir.

 $^{3}$  Q We've gone through where the steam goes in

through the middle, goes out both sides and into the

5 condensers?

6 A From the low pressure turbine.

Q From the low pressure turbine eventually, yes.

8 And you can run any one of those sets 1-x-1,

2-x-1, 3-x-1, 4-x-1?

10 A Yes.

14

15

2.3

 $11\,$  Q Okay. You can -- for any of the CTs, you can

 $12\,$  do duct firing, which is to add gas to the combustion

gas, much like an afterburner on a jet?

A Yes, sir. That's right.

Q Okay. And so you can do that for short

 $16\,$   $\,$  periods for peaking because it gives you real punch on

17 your output, but it's not particularly efficient?

18 A That's accurate.

19 Q Okay. And you also can divert steam, I think,

 $20\,$   $\,$  from the intermediate pressure to the CT itself for what

21 you call power augmentation?

22 A Correct.

Q Okay. And that's sort of like supercharging a

 $24\,$  car. You smoosh more stuff into the combustion zone and

25 you get more power out of it?

1 A Yes, sir. That's right.

Q Again, that's not the most efficient way to do

3 it either in terms of heat rate in the operational

4 plant, right?

5 A Yes, sir.

6 Q Okay. So when -- the Bartow unit went into

7 service in June of '09, right?

8 A Yes.

Q And in your 2010 10-year site plan filed with

 $10\,$   $\,$  the Public Service Commission, you listed the max

11 generation capability in megawatts of the unit is 1,253

2 megawatts; is that right?

13 A I would have to look at that. I am not

14 familiar with that document.

Q Okay. But that would be about right, 1,200

16 megawatts?

21

23

25

17 A That's about right, yes.

18 Q And of that 1,200 megawatts, about

19 420 megawatts would have been ascribed to the steam

20 turbine, right?

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

A That's about right.

Q Okay. Now, the different modes of operation,

the plant does not simply run 4-x-4 all the time, right?

24 A Correct -- 4-x-1, right.

Q It could be 4-x-4-x-1, it could be in any

(850) 894-0828

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

eporting.com

possible combinations --2 Yes, sir. That's right. 3 -- as you ramp it up and ramp it down? Yes. And as you're ramping up it up, if you are 6 adding another heat recovery system to the steam, as you said, you would bypass that steam to the condenser to 8 blend it before introducing it into the steam turbine. 9 right? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q Okay. So you have a number of ongoing 12 systems -- normal operation is, in fact, a constant set 1.3 of dynamic changes, where you are going up, you are 14 going down, you are ramping up, you are ramping down, 15 you are taking parts off, you are adding parts on; is that right? 16 17 Yes, part of the value of the Bartow combined 18 cycle is the operational flexibility that it provides so 19 that we can change the operation as you described to 20 meet the customer needs. 21 And so when the units first -- well, let me 22 back up a little bit, I guess. You have been asked this 23 question around-about, but I want to ask it directly. 24 The company's position on what is wrong with 25 the zero blades is captured in your root cause analysis,

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

right? 2 Α Yes. 0 JS-1, JS-2, whatever one we want to refer to? Yes. 0 Is it the company's position that the zero level blades were insufficiently designed for 450 megawatts or the originally promised 420? It's actually neither. The inadequate design 8 for the operating pressures and temperatures that we 1.0 were given as operating parameters. 11 0 Okay. So are you saying -- is the company 12 saving that the blades as designed were not sufficient 1.3 to meet the specifications per your agreement with what 14 Mitsubishi had to do? 15 А Yes. 16 0 Okay. And the company's proposal is that 17 ratepayers be insurers for that design defect by paying for all the replacement power costs? 18 19 I am not sure what you mean by insurers. 20 You want us to pay the bill? 21 Well, our company's position is that we 22 prudently operated the equipment within the parameters 23 provided to us from the equipment manufacturers, and we 24 did that each period of operation and we took action 25 each period of operation.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

That wasn't my question. Are you asking 2 consumers to pay the bill or are you asking Mitsubishi to pay the bill? 4 Well, as far as asking Mitsubishi to pay the bill, I think that goes back to contract language that we have found it's very unlikely, if not impossible to get manufacturers or vendors to agree to clauses that 8 would include lost business. It simply will back out of 9 that contract before agreeing to that. 10 You said that in your direct testimony. Would 11 you refer to it for a minute on page seven? I am pointing to the answer that begins on line nine. This 13 is of your original direct testimony, because that's 14 what we are talking about right now. 15 Page seven? 16 Page seven. The answer on lines nine to 13. 17 Okav. I am there. 18 0 Okav. Actually above that, the answer on lines one through three, where you talk about the 19 20 unwillingness of original equipment manufacturers to 21 accept the risk of paying consequential damages; do you 22 see that? 2.3 I must be on the wrong document. I do not see 24 that. 25 I am looking at your March 19th -- March 1 --

240 March 1, 2019, direct testimony. 1 2 Α Okay. I was looking at the March 18 testimony. 4 0 Okay. You have a question: In your experience, do DEF's agreements with OEMs usually include a similar disclaimer of liability? You are talking about consequential damages, do you see that? Yes, I do. That's row 22, or line 22? Yeah, that's --I don't have page numbers on mine. 11 Okay. I am looking at the answer that you 0 12 gave there --13 Α Okay. 14 -- that starts with the word ves. 0 15 Right. Α 16 0 Okay. You say: To my knowledge, this is the 17 case throughout the industry. What industry do you 18 mean? 19 Д The utility industry. 20 0 The utility --21 Well, to clarify, so I have experience in the

utility industry, obviously, but also in the pulp and

paper manufacturing industry, and then before that in

the Navy. But in my 35 years of experience in those

entities, I have not experienced a company willing to

(850) 894-0828

22

2.3

24

take on business interruption risk. 2 So for a combined cycle unit that's sold to a 3 merchant generator, to the extent that they can't recover consequential damages for a design defect from the OEM, that becomes the responsibilities of their investors? 7 Α I am not familiar with exactly the financing 8 of a merchant generator. I have not worked in that 9 business, but that sounds plausible. 10 Okay. Somebody that can't recover their cost 11 of operations through regulated rates? 12 Right 1.3 (Discussion off the record.) 14 BY MR. BREW: 15 0 You had talked about on a number of occasions 16 that, I don't know if it's common, but a typical failure 17 mode of LO blades elsewhere in the industry was erosion? 18 Yes, sir. 19 Which basically in the turbine and gas, 20 excessive moisture in the blade areas and the water 21 starts pounding in at 3,600, but you and Mitsubishi 22 agreed that in this case that the root cause was too

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

Α

level blades; is that right?

Yes, that's correct.

23

25

(850) 894-0828

much vibration, or flutter, that was affecting the zero

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

Okay. And when you went to Mitsubishi in 2012 and asked them to look into going to 450 megawatts, or higher steam loading levels, you had described it as their initial response is that they didn't have any experience with the loadings at that level in their steam turbines; is that right? I don't know that that was their original response. I think what I said is when they first --8 when we first experienced the damage, or discovered the 1.0 damage in 2012, that was one of Mitsubishi's responses. 11 is that the calculated steam flow on your LO blades at 12 Bartow is beyond our industry experience. 1.3 0 Okay. So it was beyond their experience. 14 Isn't it true that it was also beyond yours, because as 15 you noted, Bartow is only 4-x-4-x-1 combined cycle, 16 right? 17 It is our only 4-on-1 combined cycle, but I don't know what the pounds per hour per square foot is 18 19 on LO blades on any other units. 20 Okay. All right. And you did the comparison 21 and your root cause analysis for blending counts, particularly with your Lee and Hines combined cycles? 23 24 Now, the Lee combined cycle is a 3-x-1, right? Q 25 Α Correct.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

243

Q And the Hines a series of 2-x-1s?

2 A Correct.

3 Q Okay. So neither one of them would operate at

a same energy levels as, say, going from a 3-x-4

5 operation -- blending operation at Bartow?

6 A Well, when you talk about energy we probably

7 need to define that. But I also would say that the

 $\ensuremath{\mathrm{8}}$   $\,$  reason H.F. Lee and Hines we are using for comparison is

because those are the installations that have 40-inch  ${\tt L0}$ 

10 blades. Other installations have different sized

11 blades, so we wanted to make sure we used installations

that had similar blades. But the pressure -- the energy

13 that you are stating really goes back to the pressure

14 and temperature of the steam, and that's the pressure

15 that equates to the mass flow rate.

So whether you are in 2-on-1 or 3-on-1, it

17 depends on the design of the heat recovery steam

18 generators. It might be a heat recovery steam generator

19 that's capable of producing twice as much steam as the

20 ones at Bartow at much higher pressures. And then even

21 though it's a 2-on-1, it could be more energy into the

 $\,$  22  $\,$  low pressure turbine than a 4-on-1 at lower pressures.

Q Is that the case in Hines 2-x-1 steam

24 pressures?

2.3

25

A  $\,\,$  I don't know that the exact steam pressures.

I would say they are likely very similar to Bartow, but

2 I wanted to characterize your -- it's an

3 oversimplification to say a 4-on-1 has more energy than

4 a 3-on-1.

5 Q Okay. But you don't know what the steam

6 pressures were -- for Lee are?

7 A Correct

8 Q Okay. After the -- I get hung up on the

9 period statements, but after the, I guess the third

10 period failure in 2014 is when Duke and Mitsubishi

agreed to actually test for vibration levels by doing

the telemetry test and installing the vibration monitor?

13 A Well, not exactly. It was during Period 2

14 when we were running with the second set of blades in

when we were running with the second set of blades in
one end of the machine and still had original blades in

16 the generator end of the machine when we contracted with

to the generator end or the machine when we contracted with

17 Mitsubishi to make a new design of blade called a Type 3

18 blade that was installed during that outage between

19 Period 2 and Period 3.

20 So when we started up in Period 3, we had this

21 new design blade. Part of that project was also to

22 install the temporary blade vibration monitoring system

parameters that we may need to protect the system.

23 and take data at the very beginning of Period 3 so that

24 we could come up with any additional operating

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

Okay. With that clarification, Duke and 2 Mitsubishi jointly agreed to install the temporary vibration monitoring telemetry to get actual information using string gauges on the stresses at the zero level blades, right? 6 Yes, that's correct. 7 0 Okav. And is there any part of the design of 8 the testing and installation that Duke was not onboard 9 with for the vibration limit? 10 Not that I am aware of. 11 Okay. And you got the result of that 0 12 vibration monitoring testing, and it was at that point 1.3 that Mitsubishi recommended establishing the avoidance 14 zone? 15 That's correct. Α 16 0 Okav. And so -- and specifically what we're 17 talking about is you had two other data points. You had 18 the outlet pressure from the intermediate turbine --19 turbine pressure area, and you had condenser vacuum or 20 back pressure? 21 Α Correct. 22 0 Okay. And the problem with the condenser is 23 that if you put too much steam energy in there, it can lose vacuum and that's when you get back pressure and 25 this can cause other problems?

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

That's an issue with the condenser, right. 2 0 Okay. So you had that information, and if I can ask you to take a look at the document that I showed you, the one-pager, that is a diagram that's listed bypass connection locations, do you see that? Yes, I do. Α Are you familiar with this diagram? 0 I have seen it before, ves. Okay. It's in one of Mr. Polich's exhibits. 10 It's in JS-4. It appears in a number of places on the exhibits. And I will represent to you that it's a 11 12 diagram for where the, I guess you call them spargers or 1.3 dump tubes go when you are sending steam to the 14 condensers in bypass mode? 15 Yes, that's correct. 16 0 And that the C and D hot reheat tubes are 17 located closer to the exhaust, right? 18 I don't know if that's correct or not. I Α 19 can't tell from this diagram. 20 Isn't it true that Mitsubishi found that when 21 you were engaging the D and C tubes is when they experienced more vibration in the zero level blades? 23 I do believe that there as document that shows 24 that. I know our concern and what we saw in operation

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

25

25

(850) 894-0828

was that alpha and delta concerned us more actually, A

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

248

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

247

and D. 2 A and D. 3 I'm sorry, I default to the phonetic. And the reason why is A and D are physically farther from the condenser than B and C, and so there is more likelihood of water entrainment in the A and D lines. Okay. And so my question, then, is there is nothing about the final fix that's been implemented that 8 has changed the design or the configuration within the 10 condenser, right? 11 Α Not for the sparger tubes that you are talking 12 about. 13 Right. That's what I am talking about. 14 Yeah. I believe there were some other minor Α 15 changes, but not to what we are looking at here to my 16 knowledge. 17 MR. BREW: Okav. We are sticking to direct 18 right, not rebuttal? 19 MR BERNIER. That's correct Mr Swartz is 20 excited to come back for rebuttal. 21 MR. BREW: All right. Then I will wait. 22 THE COURT: So there is no redirect, is 2.3 that -- or is there? 24 MR. BERNIER: Typically we do redirect after 25 staff would go through, or actually after the

Commissioners would, but then he will come back and 2 do his rebuttal testimony. THE COURT: Okay. I just want to be sure. I don't want to --MS. BROWNLESS: Can I just ask a question? Most of the testimony filed by Mr. Swartz is rebuttal testimony, and many of the questions that have been asked today concern those areas. So just 8 so I am clear, would it not be appropriate to allow 10 us to ask our questions about all of Mr. Swartz's 11 testimony, subject to, of course, you being able to 12 go at the very end and do redirect? 13 MR. BERNIER: After Mr. Polich testifies? 14 Because I thought that was the agreement that we 15 had set up in the agenda. 16 MS. BROWNLESS: That's fine. I'm sorry. 1.7 Perhaps I was confused. 1.8 MR. BERNIER: That's okav. I understand that 19 we have strayed around a little bit, but we have 20 stayed really close to the root cause analysis. 21 MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you. MR. MOYLE: Just to be clear, then, the plan 23 will be he will retake the stand and talk about 24 rebuttal and we will have a chance to ask questions

about his rebuttal?

```
1
              MR. BERNIER: That's correct.
 2
              MR. MOYLE: Okav.
 3
              MR. BERNIER: Unless when he gets done y'all
         want to let him go.
              MS. BROWNLESS: Thank you.
              THE COURT: Was there anything from the
 6
 7
         Commission?
 8
              MS. BROWNLESS: No, sir. I am good. Thank
 9
         vou, sir.
10
              MR. BERNIER: Can we have one minute?
11
              THE COURT: Sure. Take 10.
12
              MS BROWNLESS: Oh. I am sorry
              MR. BREW: I'm not finished.
1.3
14
              THE COURT: Oh, I am sorry. I thought you
15
          were done.
16
              MS. BROWNLESS: Yeah.
17
              MR. BREW: No. No. I had an exhibit --
18
              THE COURT: I knew you never got to this one.
19
              MR. BREW: Right.
20
              THE COURT: I thought you were waiting for
21
          rebuttal.
22
              MR. BREW: Well, I am waiting for rebuttal on
23
         that one, but that's why I asked a clarifying
24
         question.
25
              THE COURT: The floor is yours.
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

BY MR. BREW: 2 0 You talked a little bit with Mr. Rehwinkel about what happened after Period 5, but I just wanted to clarify that, too. What we will call Period 6 is when you installed the pressure plate beginning around April of 20172 Yes, sir. That's right. 8 Α And you finally removed that with the outage Q that occurred fourth quarter of last year? 1.0 11 Α Correct. 12 0 And so during that entire time, your max 1.3 generation was confined to about 380 megawatts from the 14 steam turbine? 15 Α That's accurate. 16 0 Okay. And did you experience any ancillary 17 damage from the use of the pressure plate? 18 We did experience some damage. Nothing to the 19 steam turbine itself, or the steam -- the centerline 20 turbine components. What we found during a routine 21 inspection, we didn't shut down in a forced manner for

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

0

damaged.

(850) 894-0828

this, but during a routine inspection, we found that

some, like, ladders, some supports for different things

inside the casing of the low pressure turbine had been

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

252

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

```
We suspect that the pressure plate caused some
 2
    pressure waves or vibration inside the casing that was
 3
    different than operation with blades. So we had to do
    extra stiffeners in some locations, and we actually
    removed some unnecessary things like ladders that were
    attached to the inside of the casing.
              So nothing that impacted the operation, and
    the stiffeners worked well because in subsequent
 8
 9
    inspections, we didn't see any issues.
10
              So running with the pressure plate for
11
    two-and-a-half years, it was noisy and vibrated a lot
12
    because of the impingement of steam and stuff through
13
    the pressure plate hold?
14
              Well, it's all relative. When you say
15
    vibrating a lot, there was no turbine centerline
16
    vibration. The unit ran very well at low vibration
17
    levels. There was obviously vibration in the casing.
18
    And, ves, it was louder operating with a pressure plate
19
    than with a set of blades in the LO position. To be
20
    honest, it was not as loud as what we had thought it
21
    might be.
22
         0
              And the new redesigned Level 0 blades were
2.3
    installed in December, and the unit is now back in
24
    operation for what we now call Period 7?
25
```

And it's too early to tell whether that is --

2 has any results to speak of, or are you operating at 450

or 420?

22

23

24

25

4 Д There are some results so far, and this is

somewhat of a -- understand why we haven't run it there

yet, during that same fall outage where we installed the

blades, we also did maintenance on the combustion

turbines. Two of the combustion turbines were at their

major maintenance interval and two of the combustion

turbines generators were at their major maintenance

11 interval. During testing of one of those generators, we

experienced a generator failure that we had to rewind

13 the stator, which is the bars that go around the

14 rotating part of the generator. We just finished that

15 project about two weeks ago, so we didn't have the

16 capability to run it 4-on-1 configuration until about

17 two weeks ago.

2.3

25

1.8 We didn't immediately go to 4-on-1. In fact,

we still haven't gone to 4-on-1. We wanted to 19

20 coordinate with Mitsubishi. We have this permanently

21 installed blade vibration monitoring system. When we

22 first operated with the steam turbine in December, we

did a lot of testing with Mitsubishi, and we operated in

24 a lot of different combinations, 1-on-1, 2-on-1, 3-on-1,

```
at the response, or lack thereof, on the blade vibration
    monitor, and everything looked really good for up to
    3-on-1 operation with full duct burners.
 4
              We will continue that testing protocol
    starting this coming Monday as a matter of fact.
    Mitsubishi will be on site and will be stepping through
 6
    in 4-on-1 configuration.
 8
              Okav. So you mentioned it earlier, but the
         0
9
    blade vibration monitoring system has now been made
10
    permanent?
11
         Α
              Correct.
12
         0
              And to this date, you haven't gone back up to
1.3
    full power operation?
14
              Correct.
15
              MR. BREW: Thank you. That's all I have.
              THE COURT: Still nothing?
16
17
              MS. BROWNLESS: I will pass these out.
18
              THE COURT: Oh, okay.
19
              MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, James, did you want
20
          to mark this?
21
              MR. BREW: That was marked for identification
22
         as I believe 113.
23
              THE COURT: It's already been marked?
              MR. BERNIER: Yes.
24
25
              THE COURT: Are we talking about the
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

```
one-pager?
 2
              MR. BREW: The one-pager was marked as 113.
          The other one was actually marked as 112.
              THE COURT: Okav.
                           EXAMINATION
 6
    BY MS. BROWNLESS:
         0
              Good afternoon.
              Good afternoon.
         Α
              It's been a long day.
10
              I want to start by asking some pretty basic
11
    questions. The original --
12
              MR. REHWINKEL: Is your mic on? I think there
1.3
          is -- look underneath, there as button.
14
              MS. BROWNLESS: It's green.
15
              THE COURT: You need to really lean into it
16
         mavbe.
17
              MS. BROWNLESS: Oh, thank you, Judge.
18
              MR. REHWINKEL: Okay. I just couldn't hear
19
          you down there.
    BY MS. BROWNLESS:
20
21
              The original Tenaska contract, an excerpt of
    which I provided as Exhibit 111.
23
24
              The original Tenaska plan was to connect three
         0
    M501F CTs to the steam turbine, is that correct?
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

255

```
Could I ask which page you are referring to
2
    just so I make sure I verify? That does sound correct,
    I just want to verify the type of combustion.
 4
              If you look at the very last page, it says
    M501F cast turbine
              Yes, that's correct. I see it.
              And the original Tenaska configuration was to
    produce gross steam turbine output of 420 megawatts, is
 8
    that correct?
10
              That's correct.
11
              The type of steam turbine that you have
12
    connected that's 401 is also a 501F type CT, right?
13
         Α
              The four combustion turbines, yes, they are
14
    also 501 technology.
15
              Okay. And I am curious as to why you were
         Q
16
    willing to accept -- well, let me refer you to Exhibit
17
    110. If I look on page -- let me -- it's Bates page
18
    12432, and the page 3-2-1; do you see it, sir?
19
              T do
20
              It's under 3.2, quaranteed performance and
21
    other guarantees of acceptance tests?
22
         Α
              I see that.
2.3
              Okay. It indicates here under the liquidated
24
    damage performance guarantees that the MPS net steam
25
    turbine maximum electrical output would be 420.07
```

```
256
    megawatts, is that correct?
 2
               Yes, it is.
               And so I guess I am curious why the 3-on-1
    configuration with F type combustion turbines would
    produce 420 and Mitsubishi is saying that your 4-on-1
     configuration would also produce 420.07?
               Well, I don't know the specifics about the
    Tenaska 3-on-1 that was originally considered or
    contemplated for that contract. It could have had -- it
    may not have had duct burners installed, which makes a
11
     very significant difference whether they have duct
    burners installed or not. That's one variable that
13
    could be different. But I just -- not knowing the
14
    specifics of that Tenaska contract, I don't know that I
15
    can explain that contract.
16
         0
              Okav. Well. I guess if there were duct
17
    burners on the Tenaska contract, then that would make it
18
    comparable to your contract where there were duct
19
    burners, correct?
20
         Α
               It would, ves.
21
               Okay. And if there were no duct burners,
22
    wouldn't that result in potentially a lower megawatt
2.3
    maximum electrical output?
24
              Well, the maximum electrical output really
```

25

goes back to the generator, the electrical generator.

And so the generator is the same for the Tenaska project, we bought that generator for the Progress Energy project. And at a power factor of .90, as we looked at in the generator capability curve, the maximum electrical output is 420 megawatts, approximately. 6 Actually the generator performance curve shows 421.2 megawatts. 8 0 And I guess what you are saving is that there 9 could be other -- well, let me make sure I understand 10 what you are saving. 11 You are telling me that the generator that's 12 connected to your system is the same as the generator 1.3 which was going to be connected to the Tenaska system? 14 Correct. 15 0 So that's identical, and that you have the 16 same type of combustion turbine, F? 17 Α Well, I don't know. They are F, 501F 18 machines, but there has been multiple generations of 19 501F machines in the industry. F -- I don't know 20 specifically what we have at Bartow, but they go by FD1, 21 FD2, FD3, each subsequent generation has some improved 22 capabilities.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

23

4

(850) 894-0828

501F was compared to the Bartow 501F. They may have

been different models of combustion turbine with

I don't know exactly what model the Tenaska

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

same, but every time they make a new model, much like a car, a Honda Accord in 2002, when this machine was built, is still different than the Honda Accord in 2009. There has been many generations. It's still a Honda Accord, it's still the same type of machine so I just don't know 8 So your testimony is that the details between 0 those two units could be different and, therefore --1.0 Yes, ma'am, that's correct. 11 -- they wouldn't be exactly comparable? 0 12 Okav. In 2009, Mitsubishi was aware that you were going to run this in a 4-on-1 configuration, 1.3 14 correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 0 Did Mitsubishi provide operating parameters, 17 pressure temperature, steam volume for each of the three sections of the combustion turbines? Did you get those 18 19 parameters for the high pressure section? 20 We did. The parameters for the steam turbine 21 are typically provided for the high pressure section and 22 not for the entire turbine. 23 And you had -- you were given a parameter, 24 were you given a measuring device to measure that 25 parameter?

different characteristics, probably pretty close to the

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

260

Α Yes.

2 Okay. And did you have parameters and Q

measuring devices for the intermediate pressure section?

We had measure -- some measuring devices, but

the parameters -- remember the HP/IP turbine is one

6 machine. And so the operating limits are really the HP,

the high pressure steam is the limit.

8 Okay. Were you given specific operating

parameters for the low pressure section of the turbine?

10 We were given specific parameters that applied

to the exhaust of the low pressure turbine, which is

into the condenser, which is very important. You have

13 to know the energy of the steam at the inlet and the

14 energy of the steam at the exhaust of the whole system

15 helps you determine flows and the energy of the product,

16 the substance.

20

25

17 So the intermediate turbine exhaust pressure 0

1.8 was used as a proxy for the low pressure section inlet

19 pressure, is that correct?

> Α Only after the -- it is correct, but that

21 wasn't an operating parameter provided in 2009, but in

22 2012. After we discovered damage in the spring outage,

2.3 that's when Mitsubishi lowered -- made a recommendation

intermediate pressure turbine to be used as a proxy of

24 that we don't exceed 118 pounds in the exhaust of the

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

what steam was being emitted to the low pressure

2 turbine.

Q And I guess I want to understand this.

Initially in June of 2009, were you given a number for

the IP exhaust pressure?

Α No.

No number?

Correct.

Did you have a measuring device at the IP

10 exhaust?

11

15

17

25

I believe we did, yes.

Okay. But you were not given a pounds per 0

13 square inch or --

14 Д It would have been a pounds per square inch

gauge, but not an operating parameter for that

16 measurement.

> 0 Okav. And can you show me where that was,

18 nlease?

19 So the -- this -- the exhaust of the

20 intermediate pressure turbine is shown in this pipe

21 right here, and then the steam would flow up and over

22 and into the middle of the low pressure turbine.

2.3 So where was the exhaust low pressure --

24 intermediate pressure exhaust gauge?

It would be somewhere in this vicinity. I

(850) 894-0828

don't know exactly where, and this obviously isn't to scale, but it would be close to the -- right at the outlet of the IP turbine. 4 Would it be before the inlet where steam from the HRSG would enter the system? I don't know for sure, but, yes, I believe it 6 Α would be. So there is also some low pressure steam 8 called admission steam that's coming through this line 9 right here from the low pressure drum on the HRSGs, and 10 that comes into this line right here. So I believe it 11 is before, ves. 12 Okay And would it also take into account any 1.3 steam that was being recycled from the high pressure to 14 the intermediate pressure, from the intermediate 15 pressure to the --16 That would be the -- most of the steam would 17 be coming from that direction, yes. 18 Okay. Is it possible that the pressure 19 measured at the IP exhaust could deviate from the 20 pressure measured at the low pressure inlet? 21 I think it's possible. It's unlikely that it 22 would be a lot different. The pressure dropped through 23 this pipe isn't very much, and I do believe Mitsubishi made calculations taking into account potential pressure 25 drop across that pipe.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

So they would give us -- like, let's use an example. It was 118 pounds here on the start of Period 2. That may have meant they didn't want to exceed 117 pounds here. I am just making that number up. I don't know. But when they used this as a proxy, they took into account the pressure drop possible through that pipe. 8 Okay. When you installed a low pressure 0 gauge, where did you put it? 1.0 Again, I don't know specifically, but it would be in this vicinity right here. 12 0 Once you installed that low pressure gauge, 1.3 did you make any comparisons between the pressure recorded at the IP exhaust and the pressure recorded at 14 15 the low pressure inlet? 16 I don't know. I suspect our engineers did 17 that comparison. It would make perfect sense, but I just -- I am not aware of that. 18 19 So you don't know how reliable the low Q 20 pressure exhaust --21 The intermediate pressure? 0 -- intermediate pressure exhaust readings were 23 compared to the actual? 24 I am pretty confident that had they been --Α 25 had we found an issue there, that that would have come

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

out during the root cause, during our analysis. And I 2 didn't hear -- there was nothing that indicated that 3 once we put this pressure gauge here on the LP inlet, that it showed any inconsistencies with what we were using at the IP exhaust. Okay. Thank you. 6 When you first purchased this unit, I assume all the parts get sent to Bartow and you put them all 8 together, and that there is a period of testing for that 10 unit prior to your acceptance of the unit, is that 11 correct? 12 That is correct. Α 13 So when you are doing this initial testing, 14 are you doing the testing to make sure that the 15 quarantees given in the contract can actually be met? 16 That's a piece of the testing. There is a lot 17 of testing that goes on, and the contractual guarantee 18 testing would be part of the later tests that are 19 conducted 20 At first, you want to make sure that, first of 21 all, the equipment operates the way it's supposed to

operate; that it can operate over a certain period of

time. So typically, there might be a 96-hour run where

you monitor conditions and make sure that the equipment

is doing what it's supposed to do over a longer run.

1 There would be tests where you would cycle off 2 and on to make sure that valves and dampers and different pieces of equipment operate the way they are supposed to when you shut down and when you start up a process because those put more stress on equipment when you do startups and shutdowns. So those are all part of the performance tests. 8 Eventually, you would get to contractual testing, just to make sure from the contract that we can execute the contract effectively, and prove that the 11 quarantee was met or not. 12 Okay. And I want to go back to Exhibit 110. Q 13 And look on page 12432, which is 3.2 of the agreement. 14 Okav. I am there. 15 MR. BERNIER: I am sorry, which page? I am 16 sorry. 1.7 MS. BROWNLESS: 3-2.1. It's 12432. 1.8 MR BERNIER. Thank you 19 MS. BROWNLESS: Its section 3.2, guaranteed 20 performance. 21 MR. BERNIER: Thanks. MS. BROWNLESS: Are you good? 23 MR. BERNIER: Yep. Thank you. 24 MS. BROWNLESS: Sure. 25 BY MS. BROWNLESS:

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

22

23

24

```
So I am going to turn to the very next
    page. 33, and ask about the figures that are on eight.
    nine and 10 there, LP admission steam pressure, LE
    admission steam temperature, LP exhaust pressure.
 5
         Α
              Yes.
 6
              These are in the contract, but if I understand
    your testimony previously, you had no way to measure any
 8
    of that directly, is that correct?
9
              Well, these are different points, so let's
10
    take one at a time, if we could.
11
              No. 8, LP admission steam pressure, let me do
12
    eight and nine together, and if I could, I would like to
1.3
    approach the chart again.
14
              So LP admission steam pressure and LP
15
    admission steam temperature, what this shows is a
16
    certain value -- at No. 8 it says, at LP SV inlet. So
17
    that's the low pressure stop value inlet --
18
              Where is that?
19
              -- and it's admission pressure.
20
               So remember, this is the LP admission line,
21
    okay. This is not -- this is not the -- it's this. So
22
    the stop valve is right here. So there is
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

23

25

(850) 894-0828

admission steam pressure was at this point right here,

no reason to doubt it's not still there. So the LP

instrumentation -- or there was at that time, and I have

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

at this valve. The LP admission steam temperature well, it doesn't say, but I am assuming it's that same line. So it's the admission line. 0 Okav. And then the LP exhaust pressure, it says it's at LP casing outlet. So that's basically in the condenser. The outlet at the low pressure turbine dumps down into the condenser. So there is a measurement of pressure in the condenser. 1.0 Okav. And so were these parameters that you 0 were adhering to throughout your operation in Period 1? 12 These aren't operating limits. These are from a contractual standpoint in order to achieve the output 1.3 14 of, in this case -- it was 420 in case 48. It was 389 15 in case 24. These are the measurements that were likely 16 when you are achieving that 420 megawatts. It's not 17 thou shalt not exceed this temperature. Thou shalt not 18 exceed this pressure. This is the likely pressure at 19 that production limit. 20 Okay. And when you were testing this unit 21 prior to purchase and you met these temperature and 22 pressure limits, did, in fact, you produce the megawatts 23 that were anticipated? 24 Well, again, I wouldn't call these limits. Α These are the predicted pressures and temperatures for 25

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

the heat cases that were used in the contract. It 2 wasn't a limit that we had to stay below or stay above. It was the predicted outcome. 4 So I am sorry, could you repeat the rest of that question? 6 Here's what I am trying to figure out, you 8 Oh, I think -- so did we achieve 9 420 megawatts? 10 Q Yes. 11 Yes, we did achieve 420 megawatts, with some corrections. There is errors in every instrument, or 13 potential errors in every instrument. And so just like 14 everything else, any time you take a measurement in a 15 complex environment like this, there is debate. There 16 is discussion. 17 I think when they first measured -- in fact, I 1.8 think Mr. Rehwinkel pointed out a document earlier. 19 When we first measured the performance test, I think we 20 were achieving 402 megawatts. But then with some 21 corrections to get to what the data should have been 22 that we calculated it would have been 420 megawatts. 2.3 Were you ever given by Mitsubishi a -- in your 24 operating manual or anything, something that said for 25 the IRP RSV -- or the LP SV inlet, you cannot exceed X?

Not that I am aware of. No. Α 2 Okay. Basically, then what you are telling me Q 3 this says is if you have these conditions in eight, nine and 10, we guarantee that the output will be --At least 420 megawatts. At least 420 megawatts? Α And in your testing, that, in fact, proved to true? 10 Α Correct. 11 Okay. Now, can you tell me the difference between the numbers on the quaranteed performance and 13 guaranteed acceptance test that we just discussed, and 14 on the very next page, the liquidated damages quarantee? 15 Α If you give me just one moment to look at the 16 difference. So the difference between one 12433 and 124342 17 1.8 0 Yes 19 Α Oh, yes, ma'am. So page 12433 is heat balance 20 case 24, which is 4-on-1 configuration with no duct 21

(850) 894-0828

268

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

firing. And page 12434 is heat balance case 48, which 22 is 3-on-1 configuration with no duct. So those get 2.3 different steam flows, pressures, temperatures that were 24 predicted, different megawatt output at the generator

(850) 894-0828

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

predicted.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

Did Duke personnel believe that knowing the manufacturer's parameters for the LP section of the steam turbine was necessary for operating the unit at 420 before March of 2012?

5 No. it did not.

6 And how did you go about establishing parameters for the LP pressure before the planned 8 outage? Is that only parameter you had was the exhaust 9 pressure from the IP?

10 Before the 2012 outage? Well, it was as a Α 11 result of the damage we found in 2012. That's when we 12 came up with the new limit measured at that IP exhaust, 1.3 and that was the best instrument that we had to use for 14 LP in that pressure.

15 Q And before that, you just didn't have any?

16 Α Correct

17 Okay. After the March 2014 outage, did DEF 0 18 personnel bring operating parameters for the LP part of 19 the steam turbine to the attention of DEF's management?

20 After the spring of 2014 outage, there was 21 much discussion of those parameters, yes. That's when 22 we started operating with an avoidance zone in place, 23 the recommended avoidance zone from Mitsubishi, and so that had a great deal of discussion.

25 And so, again, you are measuring it at the IP

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

Α The avoidance zone was a combination of -- yes. I am sorry. Yes. That's one of the

pressures

2

20

You are measuring -- that's --Q -- one of the pressures was measured there, Α

6 ves.

8 0 And at that time, you are not only bringing it to the attention of your own management, you are

1.0 bringing it to the attention of Mitsubishi, correct?

11 Well, Mitsubishi is the one that calculated Α 12 the avoidance zone and presented it to Duke Energy as a

1.3 recommendation. So it really worked the other way.

14 Mitsubishi said, in this combination of intermediate

15 pressure, turbine exhaust and condenser vacuum, in these

16 areas, avoid operating.

17 Okay. In Period 1, when you were increasing 18 the output of the unit, in your -- in the time that you 19 were testing the unit before you accepted it, was the

plant -- did you ever -- let me start over. 21 When the Bartow Unit 4 combined cycle plant

22 was tested prior to its commercial operation in June of

23 2009, was the plant tested in a configuration that

24 reflected commercial operation of the unit in a maximum

25 total megawatt output scenario?

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

I am confident it was, but I don't have that

2 data available. I can't show you a document that shows

that we did that.

4

6

11

15

25

Do you know how many combustion turbines were

operational during the preliminary testing period?

Well, during the preliminary testing -- the

testing goes on for a long period of time, maybe even

months, and with four combustion turbines being 8

installed, and so I don't have any personal knowledge of

10 that construction project. But having been involved

with other construction projects, the likelihood is that as combustion turbines were finished, they were tested

12

13 while other combustion turbines still had work ongoing.

14 So it's a sequence of events, and then the

steam turbine comes on, and then you start testing in 16 combined cycle mode. So I just don't know exactly what

17 time period each different piece of equipment was ready

18 for testing during that construction project.

19 And I guess what I am trying to ask is during

20 the preliminary testing period, was there ever a point

21 in time in which all four CTs and all four HRSGs with

22 all the bells and whistles were tested?

2.3 I don't know. I suspect there was, but I just

24 don't know.

And do you have any information here today

what the megawatt output of that full-blown testing was?

2 I don't.

Or what megawatt output of just the steam Q

turbine was?

I don't.

Would that type of testing been used to 6

determine the 420-megawatt nameplate capacity of the

unit?

14

21

25

No. The testing would be an agreement between

the EPC constructor, the owner of Progress Energy and

the various OEMs on which heat balance case to use, and

then replicate those conditions and see if those minimum

13 contractual numbers were met.

> 0 Got it

15 Was your assumption in Period 1, your Period 1

16 operation, that if you stayed within the parameters

17 given to you by Mitsubishi, whatever those parameters

were, that any -- that the flow limits of the low 18

19 pressure section, turbine section, would be okay, that

20 it would take care of itself?

Yes. That was the assumption.

22 Q Did your operating engineer at the time think

2.3 that he should know any flow limits for the low pressure

24 section or the inlet pressure for the LP turbine before

returning the plant to service in April of 2012?

When you say operating engineer. 2 0 The guy on the ground. The Mr. Wayne Tom's 3 equivalent? 4 Okay. Well, there was a lot of discussion Α between Mitsubishi and Duke Energy during that outage between Periods 1 and 2. And the plant manager and the 6 operating staff at Bartow were part of those conversations when the 118-pound limit on the IP exhaust 8 9 was recommended by Mitsubishi and we agreed to install 10 that as an operating limit at that point, and we did. 11 So yes, there was discussion around that point. 12 When we discussed where the gauges were 1.3 located before, does your one gauge that you had reflect 14 any additional pressure that comes from the HRSGs? 15 The one gauge that we had being the IP exhaust 16 that we talked about? 17 0 Yes 18 I don't know specifically. I suspect it did 19 As we talked about, I think the gauge was likely 20 located between the IP turbine and the point where the 21 admission flow, the LP admission flow enters that line. 22 And so that could have caused additional

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

23

24

25

(850) 894-0828

know the operating pressures, but there are mechanical

It could have. Now, there are -- and I don't

pressure that wouldn't have been reflected?

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

constraints within the HRSG to make sure that we don't go above certain pressures in each section; safety valves, for instance; sky valves; vent valves that are operated as part of startups and shutdowns that are safeguards against over-pressurizing those lines. just want you to understand, there are other safeguards involved with that In 2009, did Mitsubishi provide any 8 0 operational instructions for blending the steam produced by the four CTs prior to introduction into the steam 1.0 11 turbine? 12 Д I don't know if Mitsubishi provided blending instructions, or if they were provided. They were more 1.3 14 likely provided by the EPC contractor of the project, 15 because the HRSGs were manufactured by a different 16 equipment manufacturer, so the EPC more than likely gave 17 those operating procedures. 18 Okay. In other words, the manufacturer of the 19 HRSGs themselves? 20 Gave them to the consortium of Bibb and TIC that I talked about and became Kiewit, and so it probably would have come from Kiewit. 23 Okay. In 2009, did Mitsubishi provide any 24 operating instructions for blending the steam produced 25 by each HRSG with the steam removed from each of the

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

steam turbine sections? 2 When you say steam removed from each of the steam turbine sections? 4 Recycled to each of the -- because you had 5 exhaust steam from the high pressure that goes into the intermediate pressure, that then goes into -- were there 6 any type of blending instructions for that? 8 Well, again, any instructions like that likely would have come from the Kiewit the EPC. 9 10 Could I approach the chart again? 11 THE COURT: Sure. 12 THE WITNESS: This is a point that I think you 13 need to understand. 14 The exhaust of the high pressure turbine --15 let me get the exact line here. So here is the 16 inlet steam to the high pressure turbine. It goes 17 through the high pressure turbine in this 18 direction, exits here, and now it's called cold 19 reheat steam. We need to reheat it, get the 20 temperature back up and increase the energy in that 21 steam. 22 So it's sent back to the HRSG and it's 2.3 reheated. It's made into intermediate pressure 24 steam, which is then -- comes down in that 25 admission line we talked about before.

So again, you are -- we are mixing up the HRSG 2 with the steam turbine operation, so any procedures 3 having to do with blending steam in and out of different sections would be --BY MS. BROWNLESS: 6 Q Between those sections would have to he come from the HRSG? Right. So the EPC, the Kiewit entity would be 8 taking input from the HRSG manufacturer and the steam 10 turbine manufacturer to provide those type of 11 procedures. 12 Okay. In 2009, was there an exhaust system in Q 13 place with pressure if the low pressure area rose above 14 a certain level? 15 Yes. Α 16 0 And can you show me where that is? 17 Would you ask that again? If pressure -- I am Д 18 sorry 19 0 Was there an exhaust system in place if 20 pressure in the low pressure area rose above a certain 21 level? 22 Д Well, there is multiple points where there is 2.3 protection. There is protection -- you can't really see 24 it on here, but there are rupture diaphragms. They are

just a thin piece of material much like a porthole on a

276

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

25

to 420 megawatts. We certainly were far away from the

ship or a submarine, but manufactured so that when they -- they will burst and relieve pressure or relieve vacuum. You might really want to relieve vacuum and let air come in. But there are rupture discs around the casing of the low pressure turbine. There are safety valves on the low pressure turbine -- on the admission steam line. And there are --8 On the HRSG? 9 Yes. And there are things called sky valves 10 which are automatically operated valves that the 11 operator controls to raise or lower pressure as needed 12 to make sure that the pressures are suitable for 1.3 admission into the system. 14 Okay. If I look at what's Exhibit 80, which 15 is the chart, the Table A, do you have that? 16 Exhibit 802 17 It's Table A. 0 18 Oh, Table A. I am sorry. Yes, I have that

right in front of me.

20 Okay. When was the request made of Mitsubishi 21 to figure out a way to increase the megawatt output of 22 the Bartow steam turbine to 450 megawatts?

23 That request was made during Period 2, when it became apparent with the 118-pound limit we had placed on the IP turbine exhaust would not allow us to even get 25

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

19

(850) 894-0828

I know it's expensive replacing rows of

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

generator's capability of 468 megawatts, and so we started discussions with Mitsubishi on what, if anything, could be done to rectify that. Q Okay. And who made that request? Y'all made it to them? Who made it? Д Yes. I don't know specifically who, but Duke Energy made that request to Mitsubishi. Q And why did you decide that you wanted to ramp it up to 450 at that time? 1.0 We felt that there was capability in that Α 12 4-on-1 power block at Bartow that we weren't able to use 1.3 that we should be able to use specifically with the 14 generator. We knew we could generate steam flow. 15 Think about this for a minute, in case 48, 16 heat balance 48 is three HRSGs -- three combustion 17 turbines, three HRSGs, duct firing in the HRSG on the steam turbine and we achieved a corrected value of 420 18 19 point something megawatts in order for the contractual 20 minimum to be met. 21 At that time, everybody involved with the 22 project knew there is more steam flow available. We 23 weren't even using one of the combustion turbines and 24 HRSGs. So we have this expensive power block that we 25 know that there is more capability.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

2 blades, but when you think about a several-hundred-3 million-dollar project or power block being held up by perhaps the \$3 million row of blades, if there is a way to get all of the output -- all the capability out of the power block, then that's the prudent thing to do to make sure we can operate as efficiently as possible and as much output as possible for our customers. 8 9 Wasn't the excess capability available when 10 you first accepted the unit? I guess what I am trying 11 to understand is was there anything in the design 12 substantially different from the time you did the 13 initial testing until 2012, when you decided to ask for 14 more megawatts? What was different? 15 Well, during Period 1, we weren't operating 16 with that 118-pound limit on the intermediate pressure 17 turbine exhaust. So we were adhering to the other 1.8 operating parameters, making sure we didn't exceed 19 anything that had been provided by the OEM, and trying 20 to take advantage of the full capability of the steam 21 generator. 22 0 Right. And I gets my question is --2.3 I am sorry, the electrical generator.

was nothing different between when you started out in 2 Period 1 and you made this request to increase the nameplate capacity by another 30 megawatts? 4 Д That's correct. It was the same set of equipment with just a different operating parameter. Right. And the operating parameters had to do with the amount of steam that you were putting through the system? Α Ultimately, yes. 10 Q Okay. Because you would have expected that a 4-on-1 configuration would give you a higher nameplate capacity than a 3-on-1 configuration? 13 Α Well, we would have expected that 4-on-1, if 14 we had at least as much duct firing as the 3-on-1 case, 15 yes, as long as we are staying within the capability of 16 the generator, we should be able to do better than the 17 420 megawatts. 1.8 0 Okav. And I guess that gets me back to my 19 original question, was why did you think 420 megawatts 20 was acceptable in the first place if you knew you had 21 another combustion turbine available? 22 Α So when you mean acceptable, why did we test 2.3 at 420? 24 Why did you accept 420 megawatts from the

280

Premier Reporting

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

25 get-go and not a higher nameplate rating?

24

25

any additional equipment, you didn't do anything, there

There was nothing different -- you didn't add

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

Yeah. So I don't know the specifics of why -- heat balance case No. 28 and heat balance case No. 48 were selected between the consortium building the project and Progress Energy. Probably it's because we wanted to test or make sure that where we would normally operate the system runs efficiently at that normal 6 operation range. 8 It wasn't a maximum operation. You typically 9 don't run the Bartow combined cycle at maximum output. 10 In fact, we can look at the data and there is many more 11 hours not at maximum output than there are at maximum 12 output 1.3 We are following the load of the system and 14 making sure we have the most efficient economic low-cost 15 units in service. Bartow is one of the more efficient 16 units, but we have other units that are more efficient 17 and lower cost than Bartow. So we typically don't 18 operate flat-out high -- highest load possible. 19 So I am speculating, but that's perhaps why it 20 was tested at those levels. But remember, the 21 420 megawatts for heat balance case No. 48 was the 22 minimum that Mitsubishi had to achieve. It didn't mean 23 that was a limit, or that was a maximum. We should be and were pleased that we could get more than 420 megawatts if we still adhered to the operating 25

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

pressures that we were given, and temperatures. 2 0 And this may be redundant, but again I just want to make sure I understand. Do you agree that Mitsubishi concluded in its September 22nd, 2017, analysis that excessive blade loading was the cause of the blade failure? I don't agree with that. Could you show me where that's -- the document that shows that? MR. BREW: That's the rebuttal exhibit. 1.0 THE WITNESS: Actually, if we could look at 11 JS-4. It's attached to my testimony. 12 MR. BERNIER: Judge, for what it's worth this 1.3 is getting into rebuttal. 14 MS. BROWNLESS: Oh, I am sorry if it is. MR. BERNIER: That's okay. 15 16 MS. BROWNLESS: I will stop. 17 MR. BERNIER: We are pointing it out. I was 18 hearing it over here, and that's correct. 19 MS. BROWNLESS: No problem. No problem. 20 And if you give me just a minute. 21 THE COURT: Just wait for the next question. THE WITNESS: Okav. 23 MS. BROWNLESS: Can I have a minute, please? 24 THE COURT: Sure. 25 MS. BROWNLESS: That's all I have, Your Honor.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

283

1 Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Swartz, to plug on, all 3 we have left is redirect. MR. BERNIER: We do, and we need to consult 5 real quick to see what we have for redirect, and it 6 may be more than a half-hour set of questions, so maybe I don't know if we would want to do it in the morning. 8 THE COURT: I will leave that the will the 9 10 group here. I mean, do we want to put off until 11 tomorrow morning? I am just not sure -- I mean, I 12 want to make sure we finish this in two days. I 13 mean, is -- do we expect Mr. Polich to take as long 14 as this did today? 15 MR. BERNIER: I can assure you I do not have 16 as much for Mr. Polich as we have had for 17 Mr. Swartz. 18 THE COURT: Okav. I mean, I am happy to 19 recess if everybody wants to do that, but I was 20 thinking if it's a half hour of questions, we may 21 as well wrap it up and then start fresh in the 22 morning. 2.3 MR. REHWINKEL: Yeah, I think that would be a 24 good break. 25 THE COURT: We can take five. We can take

five. 2 MR. BERNIER: We can take some time. THE COURT: Let's take a recess for about five (Brief recess.) THE COURT: Okay, redirect. MR. BERNIER: Thank you, Judge. And I appreciate that break. That was helpful. I think 9 we were able to streamline this some. 10 FURTHER EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. BERNIER: 12 Mr. Swartz, you have been asked a good number 13 of questions today primarily by Mr. Rehwinkel, but by 14 others, about whether or not Mitsubishi in Period 1 15 specifically ever provided any documentation to Duke 16 Energy stating it was okay to operate over 17 420 megawatts. Do you recall those questions? 18 Α Yes 19 0 Okay. Let me ask this in another way. 20 Did Mitsubishi in Period 1 specifically ever 21 provide Duke Energy a document or any instructions saying do not operate over 420 megawatts? 23 Д Nο 24 MR. BERNIER: Okay. I think Mr. Hernandez has 25 some questions for you.

MR. HERNANDEZ: I do. 2 EXAMINATION BY MR. HERNANDEZ: Mr. Swartz, let's stay with Period 1. During Period 1, did Duke operate the steam turbine at less than 420 megawatts? 6 Yes, for considerable periods of time. 8 0 Can you give us an approximate percentage of 9 the time that it operated under 420? 10 About half, I think. And how I achieve that Α 11 is there is a part of Mr. Polich's testimony actually, 12 Exhibit RAP-5, tabulates the number of hours that were over 420 megawatts. So if you just look at that and 1.3 14 think about the number of operating hours in that 15 period, which can be found on JS-1 on the Table A on 16 page five and do the math, it's about half of the hours 17 were above and about half of the hours were below 18 420 megawatts. 19 Okay. Thank you. 20 You spoke in your testimony about pounds per 21 hour per square footage. Is that something that Duke 22 could have measured while operating the steam turbine? 23 No. That's a calculated number. 24 So that's not a operating parameter that Duke 25 could have followed while operating the steam turbine?

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

That's correct. 2 Okav. Did Mitsubishi participate in any of 0 the inspections that you testified about that Duke conducted as it was replacing blades? Yes, in fact, every one. Each iteration of installing different LO blades, Mitsubishi was the execution arm of that outage. We had Mitsubishi personnel, engineers on site each time inspecting blades with us, inspecting the entire low pressure turbine. 1.0 And I know that you testified that Duke found 0 no irregularities, no abnormalities, no damage to the 12 steam turbine components aside from the blades, but did 1.3 Mitsubishi find anything? 14 Nothing was found. The rest of the low 15 pressure turbine blading diaphragms was all good in each 16 one of those inspections. 17 During Period 1, was there any way for Duke to 0 18 know that it operated within the avoidance zone during 19 Period 1? 20 No. The avoidance zone wasn't actually 21 calculated until the beginning of Period 3, after we had 22 done testing using the temporary blade vibration 23 monitoring system. And it was only at that time that 24 Mitsubishi provided, or recommended avoidance zone.

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

25

(850) 894-0828

The only reason that Table A in the Exhibit

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

288

287

JS-1 has hours in the avoidance zone and other periods 2 is because we back calculated that. If it had been in 3 existence, that's how many hours, but it didn't exist 4 until the beginning of Period 3. 5 Okay. I want to get some clarification on heat balance testing. Is that something that is 6 actually -- you use the term testing, but is that 8 testing actually performed when the steam turbine is a 9 attached to the generator? 10 No. The heat balance cases are actually 11 generated -- in this case, they were generated years prior to construction of the power block. And they are 13 engineering predictions of output and other variables 14 based on different combinations of equipment and 15 operating parameters that may be in place when you get 16 to operation. So it's all theoretical. 17 So when actual testing was performed after the 1.8 steam turbine was actually installed, part of the 19 purpose of that was to determine whether or not the 20 steam turbine would perform in accordance with the heat 21 balance prediction? 22 That's correct. And that's really important Α 2.3 from an efficiency standpoint especially. We want to 24 make sure we are getting the efficiency  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  achieving the 25 efficiency of converting fuel into our product of

1 megawatts as designed. The long-term operation, 40 2 years operation of any power plant, that's really the most important thing for the sake of the customer. So 4 that's whv --I am sorry. I think you testified that there were approximately 300 heat balance predictions. During the course of actual testing of the steam turbine, not all of those prediction models were tested, correct? That's correct. There were over 300 heat balance cases that were generated years before 11 construction, and then two were included in the contract for liquidated damages purposes. 13 0 And there were some questions regarding the 14 settlement agreement, and the fact that within the 15 settlement agreement there was language indicating that 16 the parties negotiated an increase of megawattage from 17 420 to 450. 1.8 Can you tell me the significance of those numbers, 420 and 450, in the context of the settlement 19 20 agreement, why were they put in there? 21 Sure. They are good reference numbers. And

just like the 420 was a minimum that Mitsubishi had to
meet to get full payment on the original project, the

(850) 894-0828

 $24\,-\,450$  was what we wanted to achieve as a minimum with a

25 redefine of the blades.

```
So the 450 in the context of the settlement
    agreement was similar to the 420 guaranteed amount set
     forth in the contract by Mitsubishi, is that correct?
              Yes, that's accurate.
              MR. HERNANDEZ: No further questions, Judge.
              MR. MOYLE: I was going to say so much for the
         relevancy of the settlement agreement, to tweak my
         friend Mr. Bernier.
 8
              THE COURT: I am assuming there is no point
10
         starting with another witness this evening. I take
11
         it we are all in agreement we should stand in
12
          recess until nine o'clock in the morning?
              MR. MOYLE: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
13
14
              THE COURT: See you then.
15
               (Whereupon, the proceedings adjourned for the
16
     day at 4:50 p.m., and the transcript continues in
17
     sequence in Volume 3.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
25
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick

```
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
     STATE OF FLORIDA
     COUNTY OF LEON
               I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
    certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
     time and place herein stated.
 8
               IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
    stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10
    same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
    and that this transcript constitutes a true
11
12
     transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13
               I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14
    employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15
     am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16
     attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17
     financially interested in the action.
18
               DATED this 18th day of February, 2020.
19
20
                          Debli R Kaice
21
                         DEBRA R. KRICK
NOTARY PUBLIC
23
                         COMMISSION #GG015952
EXPIRES JULY 27, 2020
25
```

114 W. 5th Avenue, Tallahassee, FL 32303 Premier Reporting

(850) 894-0828

premier-reporting.com Reported by: Debbie Krick