65

Proposed Stipulations

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI ORDER NO. ISSUED:

PROPOSED STIPULATIONS

The following issues are proposed as Type 2¹ stipulations in this proceeding²:

<u>I.</u> <u>FUEL ISSUES</u>

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF's Risk Management Plan?

This issue should be deferred to the 2022 Fuel Docket, with the understanding that all parties retain the right to raise any issues, concerns, or alternatives they deem appropriate. The parties recognize that deferral of this issue will result in DEF entering into no hedging transactions unless or until authorized to do so by order of the Commission.

ISSUE 1B:

What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF's Clean Energy Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2022?

Stipulation: \$11,109,749.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 2A:

What is the appropriate revised SoBRA factor for the 2019 projects to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial SoBRA factor?

Stipulation: 0.7945%.

¹ A Type 2 stipulation occurs on an issue when the utility and staff, or the utility and at least one party adversarial to the utility, agree on the resolution of the issue and the remaining parties (including staff if they do not join in the agreement) do not object to the Commission relying on the agreed language to resolve that issue in a final order.

² The OPC position on each Type 2 stipulation stated herein is as follows:

OPC takes no position on these issues nor does it have the burden of proof related to them. As such, the OPC represents that it will not contest or oppose the Commission taking action approving a proposed stipulation between the Company and another party or staff as a final resolution of these issues. No person is authorized to state that the OPC is a participant in, or party to, a stipulation on these issues, either in this docket, in an order of the Commission or in a representation to a Court.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00268 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 2

ISSUE 2B: What is the appropriate revised SoBRA factor for the 2020 projects to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to

develop the initial SoBRA factor?

Stipulation: 0.731%

ISSUE 2C: What was the total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by

Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2020 through December 2020, and how should that gain be shared

between FPL and its customers?

Stipulation: FPL's asset optimization activities in 2020 delivered total benefits of

\$46,135,050. Of the total gains, FPL is allowed to retain \$3,681,030.

ISSUE 2D: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under

FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2020 through

December 2020?

Stipulation: \$512,326.

ISSUE 2E: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable

to Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through

the fuel clause for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

Stipulation: \$1,827,307.

ISSUE 2F: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due

to Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

Stipulation: FPL has included a credit of \$167,870 as the amount of Incremental Optimization

Costs under the Asset Optimization Program for variable power plant O&M avoided due to economy purchases for the period January 2020 through

December 2020.

ISSUE 2G: What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL's

SolarTogether Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be

included for recovery in 2022?

Stipulation: \$113,512,426.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00269 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 3

ISSUE 2H: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2022 Risk Management Plan?³

Stipulation: Yes. FPL's Risk Management Plan (RMP), which was filed as Exhibit GJY-2(S),

contains no natural gas financial hedging transactions pursuant to the Settlement Agreement approved in Docket No. 20210015-EI. The RMP complies with the Hedging Guidelines established by the Commission and should be approved.

ISSUE 21: What is the appropriate revised base rate adjustment factor for the

Okeechobee Clean Energy Center (OCEC) limited scope adjustment (LSA) to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used

to develop the initial factor?

Stipulation: 3.014%.

ISSUE 2J: Has FPL appropriately accounted for any redispatch related to its 2022

operation of the NFRC in its 2022 projections? If not, what adjustment, if

any, should be made?

Stipulation: Yes. FPL's fuel projections for 2022 are based on the economic dispatch of its

system under normal operating conditions and therefore, do not include any potential redispatch related to the operation of the NFRC, or any other contingency. FPL does not adjust its projection model to account for real-time contingencies or system conditions, that may or may not occur, that would necessitate the redispatch of generation to alleviate transmission issues. As is the case for all redispatch occurrences, any redispatch related to the operation of the NFRC will be reflected as actuals in the subsequent actual/estimated and true-up filings. The OPC reserves the right to contest any costs related to redispatch of transmission that may be included in subsequent actual/estimated and true-up

filings.

Florida Public Utilities Company

ISSUE 3A: Should an adjustment be made to remove any legal and/or consultant fees

included for recovery in FPUC's 2022 fuel factors?

Stipulation: OPC and the utility agree that recovery by FPUC of legal and/or consultant fees

through the Fuel Clause is a broader issue involving a potential shift from past Fuel Clause precedent, as well as the consideration of recovering some, or all, of these costs in the Company's base rates. Given the complexity of this analysis and the current docket schedule, the parties agree to further discuss whether this issue is appropriate for consideration in the 2022 Fuel Proceeding, or in the Company's next base rate case. As such, the parties agree that a decision on this issue is not necessary or appropriate at this time. The parties further agree that the legal and/or consultant fees submitted for recovery in this docket will remain

³ FPL and Gulf filed a single 2022 Risk Management Plan applicable to both utilities. Document No. 11768-2021.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00270 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 4

subject to refund or true-up in the subsequent proceeding wherein they are addressed pursuant to this stipulation.

Gulf Power Company

ISSUE 4A: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2022 Risk Management Plan?

Stipulation: Yes. FPL and Gulf filed a single 2022 Risk Management Plan (RMP) applicable to both utilities. The RMP, which was filed as Exhibit GJY-2(S), contains no natural gas hedging transactions pursuant to the Settlement Agreement approved in Docket No. 20210015-EI. The RMP complies with the Hedging Guidelines established by this Commission and should be approved.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 5A: What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2020 through December 2020, and how should that gain to be shared between TECO and customers?

Stipulation: Total gains were \$6,642,047. TECO customers receive \$5,356,819 and TECO receives \$1,285,228.

<u>ISSUE 5B</u>: Should the Commission take any action related to the optimization mechanism regarding pipeline capacity release gains or coal car leases for the period of October 21, 2021, through December 31, 2021?

Stipulation: No. The Parties agree that TECO does not intend to engage in transactions described in Paragraph 12(i)-(ii) of the proposed 2021 Settlement Agreement during that time, and that no adjustment to Asset Optimization Mechanism sharing is required (notwithstanding the 2017 Settlement Agreement). Nevertheless, the Parties agree that to the extent circumstances change, sharing can be trued-up/adjusted in a future proceeding.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

<u>ISSUE 6</u>: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2021 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$1,714,254

FPL: FPL's revised Asset Optimization Program approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-16-0560-AS-EI does not rely upon the three-year average Shareholder

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00271 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 5

Incentive Benchmark specified in Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, so it is not applicable to FPL for calendar year 2021.

Gulf:

FPL's revised Asset Optimization Program approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-16-0560-AS-EI does not rely upon the three-year average Shareholder Incentive Benchmark specified in Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, so it is not applicable to FPL for calendar year 2021.

TECO:

The company did not set an actual benchmark level for calendar year 2021. Pursuant to Tampa Electric's amended and restated settlement agreement approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI, the company's Optimization Mechanism replaces the non-separated wholesale energy sales incentive.

<u>ISSUE 7</u>: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2022 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

Stipulation:

FPL:

DEF: \$1,408,076.

If the Commission approves the rate Settlement Agreement proposed in Docket No. 20210015-EI, the Asset Optimization Program contained therein does not rely upon the three-year average Shareholder Incentive Benchmark specified in Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, so it would not be applicable to FPL for calendar year

2022.

TECO: The Company did not set an estimated benchmark level for calendar year 2022.

Pursuant to Tampa Electric's amended and restated settlement agreement approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI, the company's Optimization Mechanism

replaces the non-separated wholesale energy sales incentive.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the

period January 2020 through December 2020?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$39,503,838 under-recovery, which was collected as part of DEF's Fuel

Midcourse approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0328-PCO-EI.

FPL: \$72,891,803 under-recovery, which is being recovered as part of the midcourse

correction approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0142-PCO-EI.

FPUC: For the period ended December 2020, the Company over-recovered \$2,937,906,

reflecting an actual, end of period over recovery of \$3,235,074, as compared to

the Company's projected amount.

GULF: \$6,085,680 over-recovery.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00272 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 6

TECO: \$3,769,256 over-recovery.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts

for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$246,837,576 under-recovery.

FPL: \$288,304,271 under-recovery.

FPUC: The Company projects an under-recovery of \$680,436 for the 2021 period.

GULF: \$71,727,041 under-recovery.

TECO: \$4,094,674 under-recovery.

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be

collected/refunded from January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$123,418,788 under-recovery if the Rate Mitigation Pan is approved. Pursuant the

Rate Mitigation Plan filed in Docket No. 20210158-EI, DEF will recover the total 2021 net true-up under-recovery of \$246,837,576 over two years (2022 and 2023). If the Rate Mitigation Plan is denied, the appropriate amount is

\$246,837,576.

FPL: \$353,945,632 under-recovery.

FPUC: The appropriate true up amount is an over-recovery of \$2,257,470, which

incorporates a \$75,358 over-recovery in the calculation to address tax savings, as well as \$677,060 associated with the settlement of COVID-19 related costs in

Docket No. 20200194-PU.

GULF: See FPL's position stated above.

TECO: \$325,418 under-recovery.

ISSUE 11: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost

recovery amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$1,431,565,051, which is adjusted for line losses and excludes prior period true-

up GPIF amount and CEC Bill Credits.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00273 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 7

FPL: \$3,348,601,615 unified and jurisdictionalized, excluding prior period true-ups,

FPL's portion of Asset Optimization Program gains, FPL's 2022 SolarTogether

Credit amount and the unified GPIF reward.

FPUC: The appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amount

for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is \$48,707,195.

GULF: See FPL's position above.

TECO: The total recoverable fuel and purchased power recovery amount to be collected,

adjusted by the jurisdictional separation factor, is \$598,798,451.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Gulf Power Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 14A, 14B, 14C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 15A, 15B, 15C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 16: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved

during the period January 2020 through December 2020 for each investor-

owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$2,657,279 reward.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00274 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 8

FPL: \$6,390,846 reward.

FPUC: No position.

GULF: \$1,642,650 penalty.

TECO: A reward in the amount of \$3,673,726 for January 2020 through December 2020

performance to be applied to the January 2022 through December 2022 period.

ISSUE 17: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2022

through December 2022 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the

GPIF?

Stipulation:

DEF:

Table 17-1
GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2022

		<u> </u>	EAF		ANOHR			
	Plant/Unit	Target Maximum		Target	Maximum			
	Flant/Onit	EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)	
	Bartow 4	82.04	85.08	191	7,758	8,075	6,738	
DEF	Crystal River 4	69.57	78.31	6,846	9,472	9,980	5,809	
DEF	Crystal River 5	74.10	79.02	3,714	9,802	10,397	5,895	
	Hines 1	89.40	91.66	304	7,705	7,871	944	
	Hines 2	89.32	89.84	194	7,550	7,664	751	
	Hines 3	93.62	94.82	168	7,394	7,570	1,653	
	Hines 4	85.09	86.44	<u>201</u>	7,057	7,237	<u>1,888</u>	
	Totals			<u>11,620</u>			<u>23,677</u>	

Source: GPIF Target and Range Summary (Exhibit MIL-1P, Page 4 of 76).

FPL/GULF:

Table 17-2
GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2022

		EAF			ANOHR			
		Target	Maximum		Target	Maximum		
	Plant/Unit	EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)	
FPL	Canaveral 3	81.5	84.0	61	6,726	6,804	1,321	
	Ft. Myers 2	91.7	94.2	50	7,121	7,270	4,793	
	Manatee 3	81.4	83.9	151	6,901	7,172	6,289	
	Martin 8	87.5	90.0	113	6,967	7,061	1,782	

Table 17-2
GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2022

	01111011901		EAF		ANOHR			
	D1 //T	Target	Maximum		Target	arget Maximum		
FPL	Plant/Unit	EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)	
	Port Everglades							
	5	82.1	85.1	409	6,597	6,685	2,622	
	Riviera 5	89.8	92.3	116	6,633	6,719	1,900	
	Sanford 5	92.2	94.7	33	7,275	7,438	2,837	
	St. Lucie 1	81.4	84.9	4,975	10,437	10,538	372	
	St. Lucie 2	93.6	96.6	4,072	10,297	10,392	294	
	Turkey Point 3	92.9	95.9	3,875	10,512	10,635	441	
	Turkey Point 4	85.7	88.7	3,482	10,900	11,188	1,045	
	Turkey Point 5	89.1	92.1	87	7,160	7,268	2,109	
	West County 1	83.5	86.0	128	7,220	7,492	3,848	
	West County 2	68.4	70.9	145	7,004	7,104	1,714	
	West County 3	90.1	92.6	<u>183</u>	6,997	7,114	<u>2,571</u>	
	Totals*			<u>17,880</u>			<u>33,938</u>	

Source: GPIF Target and Range Summary (Exhibit CRR-2, Pages 6-7 of 40).

TECO:

Table 17-3
GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2022

			3.6	•	Tr	3.6	
		Target	Max	imum	Target	Max	imum
	Plant/Unit	EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)
	Big Bend 4	71.7	75.6	1,396.6	10,726	11,828	3,563.3
TECO	Polk 1	87.7	89.9	160.0	8,855	10,440	2,111.3
	Polk 2	89.3	90.3	1,595.5	6,841	7,764	16,725.7
	Bayside 1	77.4	78.9	592.7	7,339	7,510	1,417.9
	Bayside 2	92.7	93.6	<u>458.8</u>	7,695	7,971	<u>3,855.2</u>
	Totals			<u>4,203.60</u>			<u>27,673.40</u>

Source: GPIF Target and Range Summary (Exhibit PAB-2, Document 1, Page 4 of 32).

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

^{*}May not compute due to rounding.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00276 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 10

Stipulation:

DEF: \$1,568,750,867 if the Rate Mitigation Plan is approved. \$1,692,131,754 if the

Rate Mitigation Plan is denied. Both amounts include CEC Bill Credits and the

prior period true-up.

FPL/GULF: \$3,824,311,080 including separate prior period true-ups for FPL and Gulf, FPL's

portion of Asset Optimization gains, FPL's 2022 SolarTogether Credit amount

and the unified GPIF reward.

FPUC: The appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and

Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is \$46,449,725, which

includes prior period true-ups.

TECO: The projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery amount to be included

in the recovery factor for the period January 2022 through December 2022, adjusted by the jurisdictional separation factor, is \$598,798,451. The total recoverable fuel and purchased power cost recovery amount to be collected, including the true-up, optimization mechanism, and GPIF, adjusted for the

revenue tax factor, is \$604,515,118.

ISSUE 19: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each

investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period

January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation:

DEF: Pursuant to the 2021 Settlement approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI,

DEF has removed the Regulatory Assessment Fee from the 2022 Projection Filing

and included it with the Gross Receipts Tax on customer bills.

FPL/GULF: The revenue tax factor is comprised of the Regulatory Assessment Fee ("RAF").

FPL's 2021 Settlement Agreement proposes to remove the RAF from base and clause rates and collect it in the Gross Receipts Tax line item. As such, FPL's

unified 2022 FCR Factors do not include a revenue tax factor.

FPUC: The appropriate tax revenue factor is 1.00072.

TECO: The appropriate revenue tax factor is 1.00072.

ISSUE 20: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period

January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation:

DEF: 3.986 cents/kWh (adjusted for jurisdictional losses) if the Rate Mitigation Plan is

approved. 4.300 cents/kWh (adjusted for jurisdictional losses) if the Rate

Mitigation Plan is denied.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00277 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 11

FPL/GULF: FPL is proposing a unified levelized factor of 3.132 cents/kWh.

FPUC: The appropriate factor is 4.580¢ per kWh.

TECO: The appropriate factor is 3.052 cents per kWh before any application of time of use

multipliers for on-peak or off-peak usage. (Sizemore)

ISSUE 21: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in

calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery

voltage level class?

Stipulation:

DEF:

Table 21-1
DEF Fuel Recovery Line Loss Multipliers for the period January-December, 2022

Group	Delivery Voltage Level	Line Loss Multiplier
A	Transmission	0.98
В	Distribution Primary	0.99
С	Distribution Secondary	1.00
D	Lighting Service	1.00

Source: Schedule E1-F, Exhibit GPD-3, Part 2.

FPL/GULF: The appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class

are shown in Issue No. 22.

FPUC: The appropriate fuel recovery line loss multiplier to be used in calculating the fuel

cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class is

1.00000.

TECO:

Table 21-2
TECO Fuel Recovery Line Loss Multipliers
for the period January-December, 2022

Delivery Voltage Level	Line Loss Multiplier		
Transmission	0.98		
Distribution Primary	0.99		
Distribution Secondary	1.00		
Lighting Service	1.00		

Source: Schedule E1-D.

<u>ISSUE 22</u>: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00278 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 12

Stipulation:

DEF:

If the Rate Mitigation Plan is approved, the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Table 22-1(a) below:

Table 22-1(a)
Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

. do: coci itocoro, i dotoro ici dio poriodi caridary 2000mbor, 2022							
D 1:		Fuel Co	st Recovery (cents/kWh		Time of Use (cents/kWh)		
Group	Delivery Voltage Level	First Tier	Second Tier	Levelized	On-Peak Multiplier 1.281	Off-Peak Multiplier 0.984	Super Off-Peak Multiplier 0.732
A	Transmission	-		3.912	5.011	3.849	2.864
В	Distribution Primary	-		3.952	5.063	3.889	2.893
С	Distribution Secondary	3.681	4.751	3.992	5.114	3.928	2.922
D	Lighting Service	-		3.700			

Source: Schedule E1-E (Exhibit GPD-3, Part 2, Page 1 of 1).

If the Rate Mitigation Plan is denied, the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Table 22-1(b) below

Table 22-1(b)
Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

	i doi occi itocoro y i dotoro ici dio poriod caridary Docombor, 2022						
		Fuel Co	st Recovery (cents/kWh		Time of Use (cents/kWh)		
	Delivery		(CCIIIS/K W II	.)		(CCIIIS/K WII)	
Group	Voltage Level	First Tier	Second Tier	Levelized	On-Peak Multiplier 1.281	Off-Peak Multiplier 0.984	Super Off-Peak Multiplier 0.732
A	Transmission	1	-	4.220	5.406	4.152	3.089
В	Distribution Primary	1	i	4.263	5.461	4.195	3.121
С	Distribution Secondary	3.995	5.065	4.306	5.516	4.237	3.152
D	Lighting Service	1	l	3.991			

Source: DEF's Response to Staff's 1st POD No. 1; Alternative Schedule E1-E (Page 1 of 1).

FPL: The appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Tables 22-2 and 22-3 below:

Table 22-2 FPL Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

	Fuel Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022 Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Group (Adjusted for Line Losses)					
	Tuel Recovery Lactors – By Rate Group	Tajusica 101 L	Fuel	Fuel		
			Recovery	Recovery		
Group	Rate Schedule	Avg. Factor	Loss	Factor		
Group	Nate Selledule	(cents/kWh)	Multiplie	(cents/kWh		
			r)		
	RS-1, first 1,000 kWh	3.132	1.00291	2.822		
A	RS-1, all additional kWh	3.132	1.00291	3.822		
	GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1, WIES-1	3.132	1.00291	3.141		
A-1	SL-1, OL-1, PL-1	3.069	1.00291	3.078		
В	GSD-1	3.132	1.00284	3.141		
С	GSLD-1, CS-1	3.132	1.00173	3.137		
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET	3.132	0.99371	3.112		
Е	GSLD-3, CS-3	3.132	0.97168	3.043		
	GST-1 On-Peak	3.445	1.00291	3.455		
A	GST-1 Off Peak	2.997	1.00291	3.006		
A	RTR-1 On-Peak			0.314		
	RTR-1 Off-Peak			(0.135)		
	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW)					
В	On-Peak	3.445	1.00284	3.455		
В	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW)					
	Off-Peak	2.997	1.00284	3.006		
	GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW)					
С	On-Peak	3.445	1.00173	3.451		
	GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW)					
	Off-Peak	2.997	1.00173	3.002		
	GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW)					
D	On-Peak	3.445	0.99399	3.424		
D	GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW)					
	Off-Peak	2.997	0.99399	2.979		
	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T)					
E	On-Peak	3.445	0.97168	3.347		
	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T)					
	Off-Peak	2.997	0.97168	2.912		
F	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) On-Peak	3.445	0.99429	3.425		
1	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) Off-Peak	2.997	0.99429	2.980		

Source: Schedule E1-E, (Exh. RBD-5, Appendix II – 2022 FCR Projections, Page 7 of 143).

Table 22-3
FPL Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

				-,		
Seasonal Demand Time of Use Rider (SDTR) Fuel Recovery Factors						
		Ανωνοσο	Fuel	Fuel		
		Average Factor	Recovery	Recovery		
Group	Rate Schedule		Loss	Factor		
		(cents/kWh	Multiplie	(cents/kWh		
)	r)		
В	GSD(T)-1 On-Peak	3.834	1.00284	3.845		
Б	GSD(T)-1 Off-Peak	3.041	1.00284	3.050		
С	GSLD(T)-1 On-Peak	3.834	1.00173	3.841		
	GSLD(T)-1 Off-Peak	3.041	1.00173	3.046		
D	GSLD(T)-2 On-Peak	3.834	0.99399	3.811		
D	GSLD(T)-2 Off-Peak	3.041	0.99399	3.023		

Source: Schedule E1- E, (Exh. RBD-5, Appendix II – 2022 FCR Projections, Page 8 of 143).

FPUC:

The appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Tables 22-4, 22-5, and 22-6 below:

Table 22-4
FPUC Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Schedule Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Schedule					
Rate Schedule	Levelized Adjustment (cents/kWh)				
RS	7.346				
GS	7.389				
GSD	6.795				
GSLD	6.531				
LS	4.957				

Source: Schedule E1, Page 3 of 3 (Exhibit CDY-3, Page 3 of 8).

Table 22-5
FPUC Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

	,			
Step Rate Allocation For Residential Customers (RS Rate Schedule)				
Rate Schedule and Allocation	Levelized Adjustment (cents/kWh)			
RS Rate Schedule – Sales Allocation	7.346			
RS Rate Schedule with less than or equal to 1,000 kWh/month	6.989			
RS Rate Schedule with greater than 1,000 kWh/month	8.239			

Source: Schedule E1, Page 3 of 3 (Exhibit CDY-3, Page 3 of 8).

Table 22-6
FPUC Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

Tree rule sections fraction and period carrain period and period carrain period and peri			
Fuel Recovery Factors for Time Of Use – By Rate Schedule			
Rate Schedule	Levelized Adjustment On Peak (cents/kWh)	Levelized Adjustment Off Peak (cents/kWh)	
RS	15.389	3.089	
GS	11.389	2.389	
GSD	10.795	3.545	
GSLD	12.531	3.531	
Interruptible	5.031	6.531	

Source: Schedule E1, Page 3 of 3 (Exhibit CDY-3, Page 3 of 8).

TECO: The appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Table 22-7 below:

Table 22-7
TECO Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

12001 0001 10001 10001 101 1	1200 I del 0001 Recovery I detero for the period editidity December, 2022				
	Fuel Cost Recovery Factors (cents per kWh)				
Motoring Voltage Level	Levelized Fuel	First Tier	Second Tier		
Metering Voltage Level		(Up to 1,000	(Over 1,000		
	Recovery Factor	kWh)	kWh)		
STANDARD					
Distribution Secondary (RS only)		2.745	3.745		
Distribution Secondary	3.057				
Distribution Primary	3.026				
Transmission	2.996				
Lighting Service	3.008				
TIME OF USE					
Distribution Secondary- On-Peak	3.318				
Distribution Secondary- Off-Peak	2.944				
Distribution Primary- On-Peak	3.285				
Distribution Primary- Off-Peak	2.915				
Transmission – On-Peak	3.252				
Transmission – Off-Peak	2.885				

Source: Schedule E1-E.

II. CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00282 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 16

ISSUE 23A: What adjustment amounts should the Commission approve to be refunded through the capacity clause in 2022 associated with the SoBRA III project, specifically Plants Santa Fe and Twin Rivers approved in Docket No. 20200245-EI?

Stipulation:

The Commission should approve credits of \$257,563 and \$355,679 through the capacity clause for the final cost true ups for the Santa Fe and Twin Rivers projects, respectively. The Commission should also approve credits of \$386,291 and \$533,447 for the reduction of the revenue requirements for Santa Fe and Twin Rivers, respectively, in lieu of reflecting these reductions in base rates. In addition, the Commission should approve \$7,386,099 in credits for the unexpected delay in the Charlie Creek and Sandy Creek in-service dates.

ISSUE 23B: What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement?

Stipulation:

\$6,885,232.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 24A: What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with the 2019 SOBRA projects to be refunded through the capacity clause in 2022?

Stipulation: \$85,034.

ISSUE 24B: What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with the 2020 SOBRA projects to be refunded through the capacity clause in 2022?

Stipulation: \$119,716.

<u>ISSUE 24C</u>: What are the appropriate Indiantown non-fuel base revenue requirements to be recovered through the Capacity Clause pursuant to the Commission's approval of the Indiantown transaction in Docket No. 160154-EI for 2022?

Stipulation: Per the rate Settlement Agreement proposed in Docket No. 20210015-EI, which proposes to discontinue the recovery of Indiantown non-fuel revenue requirements through the Capacity Clause and instead proposes to recover Indiantown site revenue requirements through base rates, FPL has not included Indiantown non-fuel base revenue requirements in the 2022 Capacity Clause.

ISSUE 24D: What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with Okeechobee Clean Energy Center Generation Limited Scope Adjustment as required by Order NO. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI?

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00283 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI **PAGE 17**

Stipulation: \$5,055,917.

Gulf Power Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 25A, 25B, 25C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 26A, 26B, 26C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$6,533,167 over-recovery.

FPL: \$3,863,612 over-recovery.

GULF: \$838,127 over-recovery.

TECO: \$3,354,779 under-recovery.

What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up **ISSUE 28**: amounts for the period January 2021 through December 2021?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$3,814,896 under-recovery.

FPL: \$4,916,997 over-recovery.

GULF: \$1,687,693 over-recovery.

\$ 5,739,145 over-recovery. TECO:

What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be **ISSUE 29**:

collected/refunded during the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$2,718,273 over-recovery. 20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00284 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 18

FPL/GULF: \$11,306,429 over-recovery.

TECO: \$25,180 under-recovery.

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for

the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$402,634,269.

FPL/GULF: \$291,876,857 unified for the period January 2022 through December 2022,

excluding separate current and prior period true-ups for FPL and Gulf, the OCEC

LSA and 2019 and 2020 SoBRA projects true-up credits.

TECO: The projected total capacity cost recovery amount for the period January 2022

through December 2022 is \$5,184,806.

ISSUE 31: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost

recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period

January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation:

DEF: \$406,801,229.

FPL/GULF: The unified projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be

recovered over the period January 2022 through December 2022 is \$275,309,761, including current and prior period true-ups, the OCEC LSA and 2019 and 2020

SoBRA projects true-up credits.

TECO: The total recoverable capacity cost recovery amount to be collected, including the

true-up amount, adjusted for the revenue tax factor, is \$5,128,028.

ISSUE 32: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity

revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period

January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation:

DEF: Base -92.865%, Intermediate -88.321%, Peaking -90.678%, consistent with the

2021 Settlement approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00285 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 19

FPL/GULF:

ENERGY

Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Base/Solar	95.8917%
Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Intermediate	94.7558%
Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking	95.7721%

DEMAND

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Transmission	90.2581%
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Base/Solar	95.9314%
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Intermediate	95.4287%
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking	95.1837%
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Distribution	100.0000%

GENERAL PLANT

Retail General Plant Jurisdictional Factor - Labor 96.9001%

TECO: The appropriate jurisdictional separation factor is 1.0000000.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00286 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 20

<u>ISSUE 33</u>: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

Stipulation:

DEF: The appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2022

through December 2022 is shown in Tables 33-1A and 33-1B below:

Table 33-1A
DEF Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period January 2022 - April 2022

Pata Class		Capacity and ISFSI Cost Recovery Factors		
	Rate Class		\$/kW-month	
Pagidantial (DS	S-1, RST-1, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1)	¢/kWh	\$/KW-month	
At Secondary V		1.103		
	e Non-Demand (GS-1, GST-1)	1.103		
General Servic	At Secondary Voltage	0.966		
	At Primary Voltage	0.956		
	At Transmission Voltage	0.930		
General Servic		0.683		
Lighting (LS-1	()	0.085		
	e Demand (GSD-1, GSDT-1, SS-1)	0.263		
General Servic	At Secondary Voltage		2.85	
	At Primary Voltage		2.82	
	At Transmission Voltage		2.79	
Curtailable (CS	S-1, CST-1, CS-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST-3, SS-3)		2.17	
Curtanable (CE	At Secondary Voltage		1.19	
	At Primary Voltage		1.18	
	At Transmission Voltage		1.16	
Interruptible (IS-1, IST-1, IS-2, IST-2, SS-2)			1.10	
interruptione (i	At Secondary Voltage		2.26	
	At Primary Voltage		2.24	
	At Transmission Voltage		2.21	
Standby Month	·		2.21	
	At Secondary Voltage		0.274	
	At Primary Voltage		0.271	
	At Transmission Voltage		0.268	
Standby Daily (SS-1, 2, 3)				
<i>y =2y</i>	At Secondary Voltage		0.130	
	At Primary Voltage		0.129	
	At Transmission Voltage		0.127	

Source: Schedule E12-E (Exhibit GPD-3, Part 3, Page 3 of 3).

Table 33-1B
DEF Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period May 2022 - December 2022

Rate Class		Capacity and ISFSI		
		Cost Recovery Factors		
		¢/kWh	\$/kW-month	
Residential (RS-	1, RST-1, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1)	,		
At Secondary Vo	oltage	1.181		
General Service	Non-Demand (GS-1, GST-1)			
	At Secondary Voltage	1.044		
	At Primary Voltage	1.034		
	At Transmission Voltage	1.023		
General Service	(GS-2)	0.730		
Lighting (LS-1)		0.304		
General Service	Demand (GSD-1, GSDT-1, SS-1)			
	At Secondary Voltage		3.04	
	At Primary Voltage		3.01	
	At Transmission Voltage		2.98	
Curtailable (CS-1, CST-1, CS-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST-3, SS-3)				
	At Secondary Voltage		1.26	
	At Primary Voltage		1.25	
	At Transmission Voltage		1.23	
Interruptible (IS-	-1, IST-1, IS-2, IST-2, SS-2)			
	At Secondary Voltage		2.40	
	At Primary Voltage		2.38	
	At Transmission Voltage		2.35	
Standby Monthly	y (SS-1, 2, 3)			
	At Secondary Voltage		0.292	
	At Primary Voltage		0.289	
	At Transmission Voltage		0.286	
Standby Daily (S	SS-1, 2, 3)			
	At Secondary Voltage		0.139	
	At Primary Voltage		0.138	
	At Transmission Voltage		0.136	

Source: Schedule E12-E (Exhibit GPD-3, Part 3, Page 1 of 3).

FPL/GULF: The appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is shown in Table 33-2 below:

Table 33-2 FPL Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

FPL Gapacity Cost Recovery Factors	ioi tiic pei	ioa oana	ary-Decembe	1, 2022
	2022 Capacity Cost Recovery Factors			
Rate Schedule	\$/kW	\$/kWh	Reservation Demand Charge (RDC) \$/kW	Sum of Daily Demand Charge (SDD) \$/kW
RS1/RTR1	-	0.00239	ı	ı
GS1/GST1	-	0.00248	ı	ı
GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1/GSD1-EV	0.81635	ľ	ı	ı
OS2	ľ	0.00144	ı	ı
GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2/GSLD1-	0.90050	-	-	-
EV				
GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3	0.90087	-	ı	1
GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3	0.81843	-	ı	ı
SST1T	-	-	0.10	0.05
SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3	-	-	0.11	0.05
CILC D/CILC G	0.91616	-	ı	ı
CILC T	0.88907	-	-	-
MET	0.77422	-	-	-
OL1/SL1/SL1M/PL1/OSI/II	-	0.00018	-	-
SL2/SL2M/GSCU1	-	0.00160	1	-

Source: Appendix IV – 2022 CCR Projections (Exhibit RBD-7, Page 4 of 30).

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00289 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 23

TECO: The appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is shown in Table 33-3 below:

Table 33-3
TECO Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022

Data Class and Matawing Voltage	2022 Capacity Cost Recovery Factors		
Rate Class and Metering Voltage	¢/kWh	\$/kW	
RS	0.031		
GS and CS	0.027	-	
GSD, RSD Standard			
Secondary		0.09	
Primary	-	0.09	
Transmission		0.09	
GSD Optional			
Secondary	0.022		
Primary	0.022	_	
Transmission	0.022		
GSLDPR/GSLDTPR/SBLDPR/SBLDTPR		0.08	
GSLDSU/GSLDTSU/SBLDSU/SBLDTSU	-	0.07	
LS-1, LS-2	0.004	-	

Source: Exhibit MAS-3, Document Number 1, Page 3 of 4 (amended filing 10/1/2021).

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 34: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

Stipulation: The new factors should be effective beginning with the first billing cycle for January 2022 through the last billing cycle for December 2022. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2022, and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2022, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the recovery factors became effective. The new factors shall continue in effect until modified by this Commission.

ISSUE 35: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

Stipulation: Yes. The Commission should approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding. The Commission should direct Staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with the Commission decision.

20210001.EI Staff Hearing Exhibits 00290 DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI PAGE 24

ISSUE 36: Should this docket be closed?

Stipulation: This is a continuing docket and should remain open.

c:Proposed.Stipulations-5.sbr