2022 CLAUSE HEARING CONFERENCE November 17, 2022, at 9:30 a.m.

Docket No.	Docket Title	Legal Staff
20220002-EG	Energy Conservation (ECCR)	Walter Trierweiler
20220003-GU	Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA)	Ryan Sandy
20220004-GU	Natural Gas Conservation	Matthew Jones
20220007-EI	Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)	Jacob Imig
20220010-EI	Storm Protection Cost Recovery Clause	Shaw Stiller
20220001-EI	Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor	Suzanne Brownless

All comments in ITALICS are prompts for the Chairman

1. CALL HEARING TO ORDER (Chairman)

Good morning everyone, today is November 17th, 2022, it is 9:30 a.m., and I will now call this hearing conference to order.

2. READ NOTICE

Mr. Jones, please read the notice.

• By notice issued on October 12, 2022, this time and place has been set for Hearing Conferences in Docket Nos. 20220001-EI, 20220002-EG, 20220003-GU, 20220004-GU, 20220007-EI, and 20220010-EI. The purpose of these hearings are set out more fully in the notice.

3. TAKE APPEARANCES

Thank you Mr. Jones, let's move to appearances

- There are six dockets to address today. We suggest that all appearances be taken at once. All parties should enter their appearances and declare the dockets that they are entering an appearance for.
- Several parties will make appearances. After the parties make their appearances, staff will need to make theirs.

Ok, we will now take appearances beginning with Florida Power and Light.

• Florida Power & Light Company

- o Christopher Wright (03, 10)
- o Maria Moncada (01, 02, 07)
- o David Lee (01, 07)

• Duke Energy Florida, LLC

- o Dianne Triplett (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Matthew Bernier (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Stephanie Cuello (01, 07, 10)

• Florida Public Utilities Company

o Beth Keating (01, 02, 03, 04, 10)

• Tampa Electric Company

- o J. Jeffrey Wahlen (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Malcom Means (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Virginia Ponder (02, 04, 10)

Florida City Gas

- o Beth Keating (03, 04)
- Christopher Wright (04)

Peoples Gas System

- o J. Jeffrey Wahlen (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Malcom Means (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Virginia Ponder (02, 04, 10)

Sebring Gas System, Inc.

o Beth Keating (04)

• Office of Public Counsel

- o Richard Gentry (01, 02, 03, 04, 07, 10)
- o Patricia A. Christensen (01, 02, 03, 07, 10)
- o Charles Rehwinkel (01, 02, 04, 07, 10)
- o Mary A. Wessling (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Stephanie Morse (07, 10)

• Florida Industrial Power Users Group

- o Jon C. Moyle (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Karen Putnal (01, 02, 07)

PCS Phosphate

- o James W. Brew (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Laura Wynn Baker (01, 02, 07, 10)

• Nucor

- o Peter J. Mattheis (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Michael K. Lavanga (01, 02, 07, 10)
- o Joseph R. Briscar (01, 10)
- o Corey Allain (10)

• SACE

o George Cavros (02)

• Walmart Inc.

- o Stephanie U. Eaton (10)
- o Derrick Price Williamson (10)
- o Barry A. Naum (10)

• Florida Retail Federation

- o Schef Wright (01)
- o John T. Lavia (01)

• Commission Staff

- o Walt Trierweiler (02)
- o Ryan Sandy (03)
- o Matthew Jones (04)
- o Jacob Imig (07)
- o Shaw Stiller (10)
- o Suzanne Brownless (01)

Advisor to PSC

o Mary Anne Helton

• General Counsel

Keith Hetrick

4. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Mr. Jones, are there any preliminary matters?

• We are unaware of any preliminary matters at this time.

5. ORDER OF DOCKETS

02, 03, 04, 07, 10, and 01.

Open the '02 Docket

Parties	Excused	Staff
FPL Maria Moncada, Will P. Cox		Walt Trierweiler
DEF Dianne Triplett, Matthew Bernier, Stephanie A.		
Cuello		
TECO J. Jeffrey Wahlen, Malcom Means, Virginia		
Ponder		
FPUC Beth Keating		
OPC Mary A. Wessling Richard Gentry, Charles		
Rehwinkel		
FIPUG Jon C. Moyle, Karen Putnal		
PCS James W. Brew, Laura Wynn Baker, Joseph R.		
Briskar		
Nucor Peter J. Mattheis, Michael K. Lavanga		
SACE George Cavros		

1. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Mr. Trierweiler, are there any preliminary matters we need to address?

- Staff will note that all witnesses have been excused and that all parties have waived opening statements.
- There are proposed Type 2 stipulations on all issues, with OPC taking no position. OPC does not object to, but does not join in, the proposed stipulations.

Does any other party have a preliminary matter?

THE RECORD

2. PREFILED TESTIMONY FOR EXCUSED WITNESSES

Staff, let's address the prefiled testimony

• Staff requests that the prefiled testimony of all witnesses identified in Section VI of the Prehearing Order on page 4 be inserted into the record as though read.

3. EXHIBITS

Staff, exhibits?

Marking the Exhibits:

• Staff has compiled a stipulated comprehensive exhibit list, which includes the prefiled exhibits attached to the witnesses' testimony in this case. The list has been provided to the parties, the Commissioners, and the court reporter. This list is marked as the first hearing exhibit and the other exhibits should be marked as set forth in the comprehensive exhibit list.

Moving the Exhibits:

- Staff requests that the Comprehensive Exhibit List, marked as Exhibit No. 1, be entered into the record.
- Staff would move Exhibits 2-22 into the record as set forth in the Comprehensive Exhibit List.

The exhibits are moved into the record

4. THE DECISION

• If the parties are willing to waive briefs and the Commission decides that a bench decision is appropriate, Staff recommends that the proposed stipulations of Issues 1-10 on Pages 7-15 of the Prehearing Order be voted on by the Commission.

Are the parties willing to waive briefs?

[After Questions:] *Is there a motion regarding the proposed Type 2 stipulation of Issues 1-10?*

5. CONCLUDING THE HEARING

Are any other matters to be addressed in the '02 Docket?

• Since the Commission has made a bench decision, post hearing filings are not necessary.

Does any party have any additional matters that need to be addressed?

6. ADJOURN '02 DOCKET

Seeing no additional matters, this hearing is adjourned. Let's proceed to the '03 docket.

OPEN '03 DOCKET

Parties	Excused	Staff
FCG Beth Keating, Chris Wright	SJNG Andy Shoaf, Debbie Stitt	Austin Watrous
FPUC Beth Keating		Ryan Sandy
OPC Richard Gentry, Patty Christensen		Jennifer Crawford
PGS J. Wahlen, M. Means, And Virginia Ponder		

1. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Mr. Watrous, are there any preliminary matters we need to address?

- I note for the record that St. Joe Natural Gas has been excused from participating in these proceedings.
- Furthermore, all parties have stipulated to the issues presented in this docket as set forth in the Prehearing Order.
- Finally, all witnesses have been excused from these proceedings and their testimony and exhibits may be entered into the record as though read.

Thank you, Mr. Watrous. Does any other party have a preliminary matter?

THE RECORD

2. PREFILED TESTIMONY FOR EXCUSED WITNESSES

Staff, let's address the prefiled testimony.

• Staff asks that the prefiled testimony of all witnesses identified in Section VI of the Prehearing Order on Page 4 be inserted into the record as though read.

3. EXHIBITS

Staff, exhibits?

Marking the Exhibits:

• Staff has compiled a comprehensive exhibit list, which includes the prefiled exhibits attached to the witnesses' testimony in this case, as well as responses to staff discovery. The list has been provided to the parties, the Commissioners, and the court reporter. The parties have agreed to include all exhibits on the

comprehensive exhibit list in the record. Staff requests that this list be marked as the first hearing exhibit, and the other exhibits marked as set forth in this list.

The exhibits are so marked.

Moving the Exhibits:

- Staff requests that the Comprehensive Exhibit List, marked as Exhibit No. 1, be entered into the record.
- Staff requests to move Exhibits 2-17 into the record as set forth in the comprehensive exhibit list.

4. DECISION/STIPULATED ISSUES

• Because the parties have reached Type 2 stipulations, with OPC not objecting to the Commission considering the stipulations on all the issues in the case, Staff suggests that the Commission could make a bench decision in this docket provided parties are willing to waive post-hearing briefs.

Does anyone want to file a brief?

• If the Commission decides that a bench decision is appropriate, Staff recommends that the proposed Type 2 stipulations of Issues 1-7 on Pages 8 and 9 of the Prehearing Order be voted on by the Commission.

Commissioners, this is the time for you to ask the staff any questions you might have about the case.

[After questions:] *Is there a motion regarding the proposed Type 2 stipulations of Issues 1-7?*

5. CONCLUDING MATTERS

Are there any other matters to be addressed in the '03 Docket?

• Staff is not aware of any. Since the Commission has made a bench decision, post hearing filings are not necessary.

Does any party have any additional matters that need to be addressed?

6. ADJOURN '03 DOCKET

Seeing no additional matters, this hearing is adjourned. Let's proceed to the '04 docket.

OPEN '04 DOCKET

Parties	Excused	Staff
FCG Beth Keating, Christopher Wright	SJNG Andy Shoaf, Debbie Stitt	Matthew Jones
FPUC/FPUC-Fort Meade/ FPUC-		
Indiantown/FPUC-Chesapeake Beth		
Keating		
Sebring Beth Keating		
OPC Charles Rehwinkel, Richard Gentry		
SJNG Debbie Stitt		
PGS Jeffry Wahlen, Malcolm Means,		
Virginia Ponder		

1. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Mr. Jones, are there any preliminary matters we need to address?

- I'll note for the record that St. Joe Natural Gas has been excused from participating in these proceedings.
- Furthermore, I'll note that all witnesses have been excused and that all parties have waived opening statements.
- Finally, I'll will mention that there are proposed Type 2 stipulations on all issues, with OPC taking no position. OPC does not object to, but does not join in, the proposed stipulations.

Does any other party have a preliminary matter?

THE RECORD

2. PREFILED TESTIMONY FOR EXCUSED WITNESSES

Staff, let's address the prefiled testimony

• Staff will ask that the prefiled testimony of all witnesses identified in Section VI of the Prehearing Order on page 4 be inserted into the record as though read.

3. EXHIBITS

Staff, exhibits?

Marking the Exhibits:

• Staff has compiled a stipulated comprehensive exhibit list, which includes the prefiled exhibits attached to the witnesses' testimony in this case. The list has been provided to the parties, the Commissioners, and the court reporter. Staff requests that the list be marked as the first hearing exhibit and the other exhibits marked as set forth in the comprehensive exhibit list.

The exhibits are so marked

Moving the Exhibits:

- Staff requests that the Comprehensive Exhibit List, marked as Exhibit No. 1, be entered into the record.
- Staff requests that Exhibits 2-20 be moved into the record as set forth in the Comprehensive Exhibit List.

The exhibits are moved into the record

4. THE DECISION

• If the parties are willing to waive briefs and the Commission decides that a bench decision is appropriate, Staff recommends that the proposed stipulations of Issues 1-8 on Pages 7-14 of the Prehearing Order be voted on by the Commission.

Are the parties willing to waive briefs?

This is the time for the Commissioners to ask the staff any questions they might have about the case. After questions, the Commission should vote on the proposed stipulations.

[After Questions:] Is there a motion regarding the proposed Type 2 stipulation of Issues 1-8?

5. CONCLUDING THE HEARING

Staff, are any other matters to be addressed in the '04 Docket?'

• Since the Commission has made a bench decision, post hearing filings are not necessary.

Does any party have any additional matters that need to be addressed?

6. ADJOURN '04 DOCKET

Seeing no additional matters, this hearing is adjourned. Let's proceed to the '07 docket.

OPEN '07 DOCKET

Parties	Excused	Staff
FPL Maria Moncada, David Lee, Russell		Jacob Imig
Badders, Wade Litchfield		
DEF Dianne Triplett, Matt Bernier,		
Stephanie Cuello		
TECO Jeff Wahlen, Malcolm Means		
OPC Charles Rehwinkel, Richard Gentry,		
Mary Wessling, Patty Christensen		
FIPUG Jon Moyle, Karen Putnal		
PCS Jay Brew, Laura Wynn Baker		
NUCOR Michael Lavanga, Peter		
Matttheis		

1. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Mr. Imig, Are there any preliminary matters we need to address?

- There are proposed stipulations of Issues 1-10, 12, and 14-17. FPL, DEF, TECO, and Commission Staff support the proposed stipulations. As discussed in more detail in the prehearing order, OPC, FIPUG, PCS Phosphate, and NUCOR are willing to facilitate a Type 2 stipulation of these issues by taking no position.
- Issues 11 and 13 are contested and will require a vote by the Commission after briefs are filed. All other issues can be voted on today.
- All witnesses except FPL witness MacGregor have been excused with prefiled testimony and exhibits to be inserted into the record.

Does any other party have a preliminary matter?

THE RECORD

2. PREFILED TESTIMONY

Staff, let's address the prefiled testimony

- The prefiled testimony of all witnesses except FPL witness MacGregor are the subject of a Type 2 stipulation.
- Staff asks that the prefiled testimony of all witnesses except FPL witness MacGregor be entered into the record as though read.

The prefiled testimony of all witnesses except FPL witness MacGregor are entered into the record as though read.

3. EXHIBITS

Staff, exhibits

Marking the Exhibits:

• Staff has compiled a stipulated comprehensive exhibit list, which includes the prefiled exhibits attached to the witnesses' testimony in this case and a number of staff exhibits. The list has been provided to the parties, the Commissioners, and the court reporter. The list is marked as the first hearing exhibit and the other exhibits should be marked as set forth in the chart.

The exhibits are so marked

Moving the Exhibits:

• At this time, staff asks that the Comprehensive Exhibit list marked as Exhibit 1 be entered into the record.

Exhibit 1 is entered

Staff?

• Staff asks that Exhibits 2 through 6, and 8 through 26 be included in the record. Exhibit 7 will be addressed when FPL witness MacGregor testifies.

Have the parties have had an opportunity to review the Exhibit List?

Are there any objections to the entry of the exhibits into the record?

Exhibits 2-6, and 8-26 are entered

4. THE DECISION/STIPULATED ISSUES

Staff, is this docket in the posture for the Commission to make a bench decision?

• Yes. The Type 2 stipulations of Issues 1 through 10, 12, and 14 through 17 are in the posture for a bench decision by the Commission.

This is the time for the Commissioners to ask the staff any questions they might have about the stipulated issues.

[After questions:] Is there a motion regarding the proposed stipulations?

Motion and vote.

The parties whose issues have been resolved may be excused for the remainder of the 07 hearing. Please stay close if you are also in the '01 or '10 Dockets.

5. CONTESTED ISSUES

Staff, are there any preliminary matters we need to address regarding contested Issues 11 and 13?

• No sir.

Parties, anything else we need to discuss?

6. OPENING STATEMENTS

Moving on to opening statements. The parties will have 3 minutes each for opening statements.

The order of the parties shall be as follows: FPL, OPC, and FIPUG.

7. WITNESS TESTIMONY

SWEAR IN THE WITNESSES

Ask counsel if all the witnesses that they expect to testify are present, then ask the witnesses to stand to be sworn.

ORDER OF WITNESSES

I would remind all witness that each of your summaries is limited to 3 minutes.

The order of cross-examination of witnesses is as follows: OPC and FIPUG.

FPL, please call your witness:

Katharine MacGregor

Thank you Ms. Moncada. Cross examination of Ms. MacGregor shall be done in the following order: OPC, FIPUG, and Staff. OPC please proceed.

At the conclusion of cross-examination and when all exhibits associated with Ms. MacGregor have been moved and admitted into the record Ms. Moncada will ask that Ms. MacGregor be excused.

Seeing no objection, Ms. MacGregor is excused.

8. PROCEDURES/CONCLUDING MATTERS

Staff, where do we stand procedurally at this time?

- The issues that remain are Issues 11 and 13.
- ISSUE 11: Should the Commission approve FPL's Combustion Turbine National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Project for cost recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause?
- ISSUE 13: Should FPL be allowed to recover, through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause, prudently incurred costs associated with its proposed modification to its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Requirements Project?
 - The parties may choose to give closing statements in lieu of briefs. If a closing statement is agreed to by the parties, at the conclusion of the closing statements the Commission would then determine if a vote will be taken or deferred to a later date. If briefs are selected, they are limited to 40 pages due on November 29, 2022, with a Commission vote before the end of the year on a date yet to be determined.

At this time I would like to hear from the parties on the procedure to be followed. We'll go in the same order: FPL, OPC, and FIPUG

Thank you. Staff would you like to comment?

Having heard the parties' and Staff's comments, Commissioners any thoughts?

At this time a ruling needs to be made regarding the procedure to follow.

- If even one party wishes to file briefs that is what must be done, OR
- If the parties don't object and the decision is made to make closing statements:

At this time we'll begin closing statements. The order will be FPL, OPC, and FIPUG. I would remind you that closing statements are limited to $\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}}$ minutes. I would also remind you that closing statements may be waived.

At the conclusion of closing statements, a ruling must be made to vote on the outstanding issues

Staff do you have a recommendation on these issues?

[ENG Staff will present staff's recommendations]

Commissioners, are you ready to vote on these issues?

Commissioners, I will open the floor for discussion at this time.

After discussion has concluded.

Is there a motion?

Vote is taken.

Does any party have any additional matters that need to be addressed?

9. ADJOURN

Seeing no additional matters, this hearing is adjourned. Let's proceed to the '10 docket.

Does any party have any additional matters that need to be addressed?

9. ADJOURN

Seeing no additional matters, this hearing is adjourned. Let's proceed to the '10 docket.

OPEN '10 DOCKET

Parties	Excused	Staff
DEF Dianne Triplett, Matthew Bernier, and		Shaw Stiller
Stephanie Cuello		
FIPUG Jon Moyle and Karen Putnal		
FPL Christopher Wright and Jason Higginbotham		
FPUC		
NUCOR Peter Mattheis and Michael Lavanga		
PCS PHOSPHATE Jay Brew and Laura Wynn Baker		
TECO James Beasley, Jeff Wahlen, and Malcolm Means		
WALMART Stephanie Eaton and Barry Naum		
OPC Richard Gentry, Charles Rehwinkel, Patricia		
Christensen, Stephanie Morse, and Mary Wessling		

1. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Mr. Stiller, are there any preliminary matters we need to address?

• Staff is aware of one preliminary matter for the Commission to address.

Walmart and FPUC have reached a stipulation on Issue 7. The stipulation is as follows:

FPUC and Walmart (the "Parties") agree to work towards a potential modification to FPUC's cost allocation in this proceeding consistent with the testimonies of Witnesses Waruszewski and Perry. For purposes of this 2022 proceeding, the Parties agree that, given the current schedule, it may be necessary to implement the SPPCRC Cost Recovery Factors as set forth in Michelle Napier's Revised Exhibit MDN-1 and that those factors are otherwise appropriate for implementation with the first billing cycle for January 1, 2023. The Parties will nonetheless endeavor to reach an agreement as to a revised cost allocation methodology prior to the hearing in this proceeding, in which case, if approved by the Commission, the Parties' intent would be that the revised allocation methodology would be reflected in FPUC's true up filing in 2023, and thereafter, used to calculate subsequent SPPCRC factors for FPUC beginning with the factors developed for 2024 and continuing beyond. The Parties likewise agree that the testimony of the witnesses for both Walmart and FPUC can be entered into the record and that neither would be subject to cross by the other Party. In the event that the Parties are unable to reach an agreement as to an appropriate revised allocation methodology, Walmart would not be precluded from raising the issue in the 2023 SPPCRC proceeding.

There are no other parties to this stipulation. It resolves Issue 7 as to FPUC and Walmart. Staff recommends that the Commission approve this stipulation.

Commissioners, any questions for staff?

Motion and vote.

Does any other party have a preliminary matter?

2. PREFILED TESTIMONY FOR EXCUSED WITNESSES

Staff, let's address the prefiled testimony

• Staff understands that the following witnesses have been excused and their prefiled testimony stipulated to by the parties:

TECO: Witnesses Roche and Latta.

FPUC: Witnesses Napier and Waruszewski.

FPL: Witnesses Deaton and Fuentes.

DEF: Witnesses Brong, Adams, and Menendez.

OPC: Witnesses Kollen and Mara.

<u>Walmart</u>: Witness Perry.

• Staff would ask that the prefiled testimony of these witnesses be moved into the record at this time.

Listed prefiled testimony is moved into the record without objection.

3. EXHIBITS

Staff, exhibits?

Marking the Exhibits:

Staff has compiled a comprehensive exhibit list, which includes the prefiled exhibits attached to the witnesses' testimony and discovery responses in this case. The list has been provided to the parties, the Commissioners, and the court reporter. Staff requests that the list be marked as the first hearing exhibit and the other exhibits marked as set forth in the comprehensive exhibit list.

The exhibits are so marked.

Moving the Exhibits:

Staff requests that the Comprehensive Exhibit List, marked as Exhibit No. 1, be entered into the record.

Staff requests that Exhibits 2-3, 6 (TECO-Roche), 7 (FPUC-Napier), 13-18 (FPL-Deaton), 19-21 (DEF-Menendez/Brong/Adams), 22-28 (OPC-Kollen), 29-35 (OPC-Mara), 36 (Walmart-Perry), 38 (DEF-Menendez), and 39-54 (staff) be moved into the record as set forth in the Comprehensive Exhibit List.

The exhibits are moved into the record.

4. **OPENING STATEMENTS**

Ask parties if they wish to make opening statements.

If the parties wish to make opening statements, the time is limited to 5 minutes per party.

The order of the parties shall be as follows: TECO, FPUC, FPL, DEF, OPC, FIPUG, NUCOR, PCS, and WALMART.

5. WITNESS TESTIMONY

SWEAR IN THE WITNESSES

Ask counsel if all the witnesses that they expect to testify are present, then ask the witnesses to stand to be sworn.

ORDER OF WITNESSES

I would remind all witness that each of your summaries is limited to 3 minutes.

It is my understanding that TECO, FPUC, FPL, DEF, PCS Phosphate, NUCOR, and Walmart have waived cross of these witnesses.

So the order of cross-examination of witnesses will be OPC then FIPUG.

TECO	
TECO, please call your witness:	
David L. Plusquellic	Issues 1-10
	Exhibits 4, 5 & 37
FPUC	
FPUC, please call your witness:	
Mark Cutshaw	Issue 3
<u>FPL</u>	
FPL, please call your witness:	
Michael Jarro	Issues 1-4
	Exhibits 8-12
<u>DEF</u>	
DEF, please call your witness:	
Brian M. Lloyd	Issues 1-3
At the conclusion of cross-examination and witnesses have been entered, the witnesses may b	
Seeing no objection, the witnesses are excused.	
POST-HEARING PROCEDURES	
Do the parties wish to have closing arguments in lieu of briefs? And in that case, is there any opposition to a bench vote?	
YES: How long of a break before argum	ents begin?

Okay, we will be in recess until _____, at which time closing arguments will

How much time per side for arguments?

begin. [Proceed to #7, "Closing Arguments," below.]

6.

NO: Post-hearing briefs are due **November 29, 2022**. [Skip #7 and #8 below and go directly to #9, "Concluding the Hearing."]

7. CLOSING ARGUMENTS (if applicable)

Utilities: TECO, FPUC, FPL, DEF

Intervenors: *OPC, FIPUG, NUCOR, PCS, and WALMART.*

8. COMMISSION DELIBERATION AND VOTE (if applicable)

Issue summaries by staff and votes by Commissioners.

- <u>ISSUE 1:</u> What are the final Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause jurisdictional cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2021 through December 2021? *IDM*
- ISSUE 2: What are the actual/estimated Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause jurisdictional cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022? *IDM*
- ISSUE 3: What are the projected Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause jurisdictional cost recovery amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023? *IDM*
- ISSUE 4: What are the Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause total jurisdictional cost recovery amounts, including true-ups, to be included in establishing Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery factors for the period January 2023 through December 2023? *IDM*
- ISSUE 5: What depreciation rates should be used to develop the depreciation expense included in the total Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023? ECO
- <u>ISSUE 6:</u> What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for the projected period January 2023 through December 2023? *ECO*

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors for the period January 2023 through December 2023 for each rate group? *ECO*

ISSUE 8: What should be the effective date of the new Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors for billing purposes? *ECO*

ISSUE 9: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the new Storm Protection Plan Cost Recovery Clause factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding? *ECO*

ISSUE 10: Should this docket be closed? *GCL*

9. CONCLUDING THE HEARING

Staff, are any other matters to be addressed in this Docket?

• Staff is unaware of any additional matters to be addressed at this time.

Does any party have any additional matters that need to be addressed?

10. ADJOURN '10 DOCKET

Seeing no additional matters, this hearing is adjourned. Let's proceed to the 01 docket.