

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Proposed tariff filing to)	DOCKET NO. 910179-TL
introduce extended calling service)	
(ECS) plan which allows the conversion)	ORDER NO. 25709
of intraLATA toll routes between)	
exchanges of Tampa, Clearwater, Tarpon)	ISSUED: 2/11/92
Springs, and St. Petersburg to 7-digit)	
local measured service by GTE FLORIDA,)	
INC.)	

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

SUSAN F. CLARK
J. TERRY DEASON
BETTY EASLEY

ORDER ON DECEMBER 18, 1991,
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 18, 1991, GTE Florida, Inc. (GTEFL or the Company) filed a Motion for Reconsideration (Motion) of our December 16, 1991, vote in this docket. GTEFL states that the intent of its Motion is to address two limited points prior to the time our written order is entered.

First, GTEFL has requested a March 7, 1992, implementation date for the ECS plan for the Tampa, Clearwater, Tarpon Springs, and St. Petersburg routes. As grounds for this request, GTEFL cites the logistical problems with providing customer notification because our vote on this issue creates a "floating" effective date, dependent upon whether or not reconsideration is sought by any party. In order to accommodate our 30-day notice requirement, GTEFL would need to begin sending out customer notices before the time elapses for filing motions for reconsideration. This would result in notices being received by customers before the actual implementation date could be ascertained by the Company. In addition, the Company has requested a May 2, 1992, implementation date for the Plant City/Tampa portion of the ECS plan. In support of this date, GTEFL asserts that it is physically impossible to add this portion of the calling plan any sooner, since additional transmission facilities must be added and central office modifications must be made for this traffic.

We agree that the present schedule for ECS implementation should be revised for the reasons stated by GTEFL. Without such a

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

01504 FEB 11 1992

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTS

ORDER NO. 25709
DOCKET NO. 910179-TL
PAGE 2

change, customer confusion would surely result on the four main routes, leading to unnecessary problems for both the subscribers and the Company. As to the change requested for implementation of the Plant City/Tampa route, we also find the Company's request to be appropriate, given that this route was not included in the original version of the plan as filed by the Company. We note that the Office of Public Counsel (OPC) filed a Response to GTEFL's Motion (Response) on December 23, 1991. In its Response, OPC indicates support for requiring the tariffs to go into effect, irrespective of any requests for reconsideration that may be filed in this docket.

The second portion of GTEFL's Motion addresses our requirement that the existing premium flat rate OEAS option be retained in addition to offering ECS on the Plant City to Tampa toll route. GTEFL asserts that it cannot presently bill such a dual rate structure. The Company suggests that it may be able to achieve this option over the course of the next 24 months or, the Company states, it can bill a premium flat rate for Plant City residential customers if the entire Tampa area is included, as opposed to only Tampa Central. The Company concludes by stating that it will address this option in its tariff filing to implement ECS on this route. Accordingly, we find it appropriate to evaluate this matter at the time of the actual tariff filing and shall not reconsider our prior decision on this issue at this time.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the Motion for Reconsideration filed on December 18, 1991, by GTE Florida, Inc., is hereby granted to the extent outlined in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that GTE Florida, Inc. shall implement its ECS Plan on the Tampa, Clearwater, Tarpon Springs, and St. Petersburg routes on March 7, 1992. It is further

ORDERED that GTE Florida, Inc. shall implement its ECS Plan between the Plant City exchange and the Tampa exchange on May 2, 1992. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall remain open.

ORDER NO. 25709
DOCKET NO. 910179-TL
PAGE 3

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 11th
day of FEBRUARY, 1992.

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

ABG

by: Kay Flynn
Chief, Bureau of Records

NOTICE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.