

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request for Extended) DOCKET NO. 911185-TL
Area Service between all ex-) ORDER NO. PSC-92-0085-PCO-TL
changes within Volusia County) ISSUED: 3/23/92
by Volusia County Council.)
_____)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

By Order No. 25675, issued February 3, 1992, we directed Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell) and United Telephone Company of Florida (United) to perform certain traffic studies. We ordered the companies to perform these traffic studies so that we could further evaluate Resolution No. 91-153A filed with this Commission by the County Council of Volusia County, Florida. Resolution No. 91-153A requested that we consider requiring implementation of extended area service (EAS) between all exchanges in Volusia County. The companies were to prepare and submit the studies to us within sixty (60) days of the issuance date of Order No. 25675, making the studies due by April 3, 1992.

On February 26, 1992, Southern Bell filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting an extension through and including May 4, 1992, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies. As grounds for its request, Southern Bell stated that the data processing needed would be very complicated and time consuming, since fifty-nine routes are involved, a number of which require pocket studies. By Order No. PSC-92-0064-PCO-TL, issued March 16, 1992, we granted Southern Bell an extension of time until the close of business on May 4, 1992, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies.

On March 17, 1992, United filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting an extension through and including May 4, 1992, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies. As grounds for its request, United states that it has no record of having received Order No. 25675 and was unaware that traffic studies had been ordered until it received a copy of Southern Bell's Motion for Extension of Time. United then obtained a copy of Order No. 25675 on March 6, 1992. United asserts that it does not anticipate that its requested extension of time will delay the scheduled events in this docket.

Upon consideration, I find it appropriate to grant United's request for an extension of time. Accordingly, United shall be granted an extension of time until the close of business on May 4, 1992, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02811 MAR 23 1992

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-92-0085-PCO-TL
DOCKET NO. 911185-TL
PAGE 2

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that the Motion for Extension of Time filed on March 17, 1992, by United Telephone Company of Florida is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that United Telephone Company of Florida shall file the required traffic study data on or before May 4, 1992.

By ORDER of Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 23rd day of MARCH, 1992.


SUSAN F. CLARK, Commissioner
and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

ABG

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial

ORDER NO. PSC-92-0085-PCO-TL
DOCKET NO. 911185-TL
PAGE 3

review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.