

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Request for Extended) DOCKET NO. 911184-TL
Area Service between the Sea-) ORDER NO. PSC-92-0100-PCO-TL
grove Beach, Panama City, and) ISSUED: 3/24/92
Panama City Beach exchanges by)
Walton County Commission.)
_____)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

By Order No. 25620, issued January 21, 1992, we directed Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell) and Central Telephone Company of Florida (Centel) to perform certain traffic studies. We ordered the companies to perform these traffic studies so that we could further evaluate Resolution No. 91-33 filed with this Commission by the Board of County Commissioners of Walton County, Florida. Resolution No. 91-33 requested that we consider requiring implementation of extended area service (EAS) between the Seagrove Beach exchange and the Panama City, Lynn Haven, and Panama City Beach exchanges. The companies were to prepare and submit the studies to us within sixty (60) days of the issuance date of Order No. 25620, making the studies due by March 23, 1992.

On March 18, 1992, Centel filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting an extension through and including April 10, 1992, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies. As grounds for its request, Centel states that the programming and analysis needed to complete the studies will take longer than it had anticipated.

On March 19, 1992, Southern Bell filed a Motion for Extension of Time requesting an extension through and including April 20, 1992, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies. As grounds for its request, Southern Bell states that it has encountered several delays in the data processing necessary to accumulate the information needed for the studies.

Upon consideration, I find it appropriate to grant both of these requests. It does not appear that any party would be prejudiced by the requested extensions, nor will the extensions result in undue delay. Accordingly, Centel shall be granted an extension of time until the close of business on April 10, 1992, and Southern Bell shall be granted an extension until the close of business April 20, 1992, in which to prepare and submit the required traffic studies.

Based on the foregoing, it is

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02877 MAR 24 1992

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. PSC-92-0100-PCO-TL
DOCKET NO. 911184-TL
PAGE 2

ORDERED by Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that the Motion for Extension of Time filed on March 18, 1992, by Central Telephone Company of Florida is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that the Motion for Extension of Time filed on March 19, 1992, by Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that Central Telephone Company of Florida shall file the required traffic study data on or before April 10, 1992. It is further

ORDERED that Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company shall file the required traffic study data on or before April 20, 1992.

By ORDER of Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 24th day of MARCH, 1992.



SUSAN F. CLARK, Commissioner
and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

ABG

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

ORDER NO. PSC-92-0100-PCO-TL
DOCKET NO. 911184-TL
PAGE 3

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.