BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for approval of initial rates to be estab- lished by Sebring Gas System, a division of Coker Fuels, Inc.) ORDER NO.	910873-GU PSC-92-0105-PCO-GU 03/27/92

Pursuant to Notice, a Prehearing Conference was held on March 23, 1992, in Tallahassee, Florida, before Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer.

APPEARANCES:

NORMAN H. HORTON, Jr., Esquire, 2700 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32314-6507. On behalf of Sebring Gas System, Inc.

MARY ANNE BIRCHFIELD, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 E. Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863 On behalf of the Commission Staff.

PREHEARING ORDER

I. CASE BACKGROUND

On April 30, 1991, Sebring Gas System (Sebring), a division of Coker Fuels, Inc., filed a <u>Petition for Issuance of Order Declaring</u> <u>Jurisdiction</u>. We issued an <u>Order Declaring Jurisdiction over</u> <u>Sebring Gas System</u> on July 5, 1991 (Order No. 24761 in Docket No. 910537-GU). On June 4, 1991, Sebring filed a <u>Petition to Resolve</u> <u>Territorial Dispute</u>, and we opened Docket No. 910653-GU. We resolved Sebring's territorial dispute with Peoples Gas System by Order No. 25809, issued February 25, 1992.

Because Sebring is now under our jurisdiction, the Utility requested that we establish initial rates for the company. Accordingly, we opened Docket No. 910873-GU. In Order No. 25456, issued December 9, 1991, we established initial rates for Sebring.

> DOCUMENT KUMBER-DATE 03036 MAR 27 1932 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Finally, we note that we issued Order No. 25618 on January 21, 1992 (Docket No. 920050-GU), wherein we authorized Sebring's name change from Sebring Gas System, a division of Coker Fuels, to Sebring Gas System, Inc.

II. PROCEDURE FOR HANDLING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Any information provided pursuant to a discovery request Α. for which proprietary confidential business information status is requested shall be treated by the Commission and the parties as The information shall be exempt from Section confidential. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, pending a formal ruling on such request by the Commission, or upon the return of the information to the person providing the information. If no determination of confidentiality has been made and the information has not been used in the proceeding, it shall be returned expeditiously to the person providing the information. If a determination of confidentiality has been made and the information was not entered into the record of the proceeding, it shall be returned to the person providing the information within the time periods set forth in Section 366.093(2), Florida Statutes.

B. It is the policy of the Florida Public Service Commission that all Commission hearings be open to the public at all times. The Commission also recognizes its obligation pursuant to Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, to protect proprietary confidential business information from disclosure outside the proceeding.

In the event it becomes necessary to use confidential information during the hearing, the following procedures will be observed:

1) Any party wishing to use any proprietary confidential business information, as that term is defined in Section 366.093, Florida Statutes, shall notify the Prehearing Officer and all parties of record by the time of the Prehearing Conference, or if not known at that time, no later than seven (7) days prior to the beginning of the hearing. The notice shall include a procedure to assure that the confidential nature of the information is preserved as required by statute.

- 2) Failure of any party to comply with 1) above shall be grounds to deny the party the opportunity to present evidence which is proprietary confidential business information.
- 3) When confidential information is used in the hearing, parties must have copies for the Commissioners, necessary staff, and the Court Reporter, in envelopes clearly marked with the nature of the contents. Any party wishing to examine the confidential material that is not subject to an order granting confidentiality shall be provided a copy in the same fashion as provided to the Commissioners, subject to execution of any appropriate protective agreement with the owner of the material.
- 4) Counsel and witnesses are cautioned to avoid verbalizing confidential information in such a way that would compromise the confidential information. Therefore, confidential information should be presented by written exhibit when reasonably possible to do so.
- 5) At the conclusion of that portion of the hearing that involves confidential information, all copies of confidential exhibits shall be returned to the proffering party. If a confidential exhibit has been admitted into evidence, the copy provided to the Court Reporter shall be retained in the Commission Clerk's confidential files.

III. PREFILED TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

Testimony of all witnesses to be sponsored by the parties has been prefiled. All testimony which has been prefiled in this case will be inserted into the record as though read after the witness has taken the stand and affirmed the correctness of the testimony and associated exhibits. All testimony remains subject to appropriate objections. Each witness will have the opportunity to orally summarize his or her testimony at the time he or she takes the stand. Upon insertion of a witness' testimony, exhibits appended thereto may be marked for identification. After all

parties and Staff have had the opportunity to object and crossexamine, the exhibit may be moved into the record. All other exhibits may be similarly identified and entered into the record at the appropriate time during the hearing.

Witnesses are reminded that, on cross-examination, responses to questions calling for a simple yes or no answer shall be so answered first, after which the witness may explain his or her answer.

IV. ORDER OF WITNESSES

<u>Witness</u>	Appearing For	Date	<u>Issues #</u>	
Direct				
Jerry H. Melendy, Jr.	Sebring	3/30/92	3,4,13,17	
Barry K. Asmus	Sebring	3/30/92	all	

V. BASIC POSITIONS

- SEBRING: The initial rates and schedules filed by the company should be approved. Additionally, the rate base, capital structure and costs of capital as modified by Sebring are reasonable and should be approved. If the Commission treats as expenses costs which Sebring has capitalized in the initial filing then the initial rates should be adjusted to recognize the additional expenses.
- STAFF: Staff's analysis has shown that rates higher than those requested would be needed to allow the utility to earn a rate of return comparable to the rest of the industry. The company, in its filing, did not request a return on equity and Staff does not believe it would be appropriate to grant a higher initial rate than was requested. Therefore, the initial rates filed by Sebring should be approved.

VI. ISSUES AND POSITIONS

RATE BASE

- **ISSUE 1:** Should adjustments be made to Accumulated Depreciation and Depreciation Expense to reflect the appropriate amount of depreciation for the projected test year ending December 31, 1993?
- SEBRING: Sebring would not take exception to an adjustment to Accumulated Depreciation and Depreciation Expense except to the extent that the Staff Rate Base does not include Organization Costs which is addressed in issues 3, 4 and 15 below. It is the position of Sebring that the Rate Base should include these costs. After consideration of issues 1, 2, 5, 6, and 8, the appropriate adjustment to Accumulated Depreciation is an increase of \$264,885 as of December 31, 1993 for a total Accumulated Depreciation of \$708,351 at that date. The appropriate adjustment to Depreciation Expense, for the projected test year ending December 31, 1993, is an increase of \$2,531 for a total Depreciation Expense in the amount of \$56,420. However, for purposes of this case Sebring would not take exception to the adjustments stated in Staff's position.
- STAFF: Yes. Adjustments in the amount of \$264,543 should be made to increase Accumulated Depreciation and \$3,711 to increase Depreciation Expense.
- **ISSUE 2:** What are the appropriate initial depreciation rates to be used by Sebring Gas System, Inc.?
- SEBRING: Sebring does not take exception to the depreciation rates as reflected in Attachment 7.
- STAFF: The appropriate initial depreciation rates are reflected in Attachment 7.

- ISSUE 3: Should adjustments be made to Account 301 Organization Costs, Accumulated Amortization, and the related Amortization Expense to eliminate company rate case expenses?
- SEBRING: No. Removal of Organization Costs from the Rate Base is inappropriate. The costs incurred by Sebring are not rate case-related expenses but are expenses associated with initiation of regulated operations. Those expenses are appropriately categorized as Organization Costs and are appropriately included in Rate Base and amortized as proposed by Sebring. This treatment of these costs is consistent with the System of Accounts prescribed by FERC as adopted by this Commission for natural gas utilities. If organization costs are treated as expenses then initial rates should be adjusted to afford the opportunity to recover these expenses.
- STAFF: No. Agree with Company that these expenses are associated with initial rates and therefore appropriately included in Organization Costs. However, adjustments should be made increasing Organization Costs by \$15,304, Accumulated Amortization by \$255, and the related Amortization Expense by \$510, to include additional costs related to the filing for initial rates.
- ISSUE 4: Should adjustments be made to Account 301 Organization Costs and the related Accumulated Amortization to eliminate non-recurring expenses related to a territorial dispute?
- SEBRING: Sebring believes the expenses incurred with regard to the territorial dispute are appropriately included as Organization Costs as resolution of the dispute directly affects the scope of operations of Sebring and provides benefits to the Company's customers. However, for purposes of this case Sebring would not take exception to the Staff position.
- STAFF: Yes. Adjustments in the amount of \$10,981, \$610, and \$366 should be made to Account 301 Organization Costs, Accumulated Amortization, and the related Amortization Expense respectively, to eliminate non-reoccurring

> expenses related to a territorial dispute in Docket No. 910653-GU. With the inclusion of these adjustments, the total appropriate Organization Costs to be include in the projected test year ending December 31, 1993 is \$130,804.

- **ISSUE 5:** Should adjustments be made to Plant-in-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense to eliminate plant items which were double accounted by the Company?
- SEBRING: Sebring does not take exception to this adjustment.
- STAFF: Yes. The following adjustments should be made to eliminate utility plant items that were included twice in the company's MFRs:

Account	<u>Plant-in-Service</u>	AccumulatedDepreciation Depreciation Expense
376.02	(\$70,417) (10,869)	\$574 (\$2,254) 254 (413)
Total	(\$81,286)	\$828 (\$2,667)

- **ISSUE 6:** Should adjustments be made to Plant-in-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense for overprojections in the company's budget?
- SEBRING: Sebring does not take exception to this adjustment.

STAFF: Yes. The following adjustments should be made to reduce overprojections caused by timing differences in the company's budget?

Account	Plant-in-Service	AccumulatedDepreciation Depreciation Expense
381	(\$ 4,784)	\$1,253 (\$ 188)
382	(1,576)	(24) (14)
384	(1,576)	(171) (37)
Total	(\$ 7,936)	\$1,448 (\$ 239)

- **ISSUE 7:** Should adjustments be made to Account 374.02 Land Rights to reflect a revision to the company's projected railroad crossings?
- SEBRING: Sebring does not take exception to this adjustment.
- STAFF: Yes. Account 374.01 Land Rights should be increased by \$19,425 to reflect a revision to the company's projected railroad crossings.
- **ISSUE 8:** Should adjustments be made to increase Account 392.01 Autos, Accumulated Depreciation, and the related Depreciation Expense to reflect a revision to the company's projected capital expenditures?
- SEBRING: Sebring does not take exception to this adjustment.
- STAFF: Yes. Account 392.01 Autos should be increased by \$3,528, Accumulated Depreciation should be increased by \$944, and the related Depreciation Expense should be increased by \$462 to reflect a revision to the company's projected capital expenditures in this account.
- **ISSUE 9:** What is the appropriate amount of projected test year working capital allowance for the year?
- SEBRING: Sebring agrees with the concept but the calculation of the amount itself may vary depending upon resolution of some of the previous issues. The appropriate Working Capital Allowances at December 31, 1993 is \$34,509.
- STAFF: The appropriate working capital allowance should be \$34,134. This amount was calculated using the company's methodology of 1/8 of O&M expenses. Although this is not the Commission normal practice, Staff realizes the unique circumstances in this case, and accepts this methodology. Using this derivation, an adjustment of \$34,510 is necessary to reflect Staff's adjustments to the O&M expenses.

- ISSUE 10: What is the appropriate amount of projected test year rate base for the year ended December 31, 1993? (This is a calculation based on the resolution of the preceding rate base issues.)
- SEBRING: The appropriate amount of Rate Base is \$1,088,251.
- STAFF: The appropriate amount of projected test year rate base is \$1,055,175.

NET OPERATING INCOME

- **ISSUE 11:** Should adjustments be made to remove gas related revenues and the cost of gas from the projected test year NOI calculation?
- SEBRING: Sebring does not take exception to this adjustment.
- STAFF: Yes. Gas revenues in the amount of \$272,140 and cost of gas expense in the amount of \$272,140 should be removed from the projected test year NOI calculation.
- ISSUE 12: Should revenues be removed from the NOI calculation for Sebring Gas System, Inc. in the projected test year?
- SEBRING: With the understanding that the intention is to remove revenue from the projected case because there are no final rates approved, Sebring would not take exception to the Staff position.
- STAFF: Yes. To determine the appropriate cost to serve for Sebring Gas System, Inc., revenues should be removed from the NOI calculation. Since there are no final rates approved, Sebring will have no revenues for the revenue requirement calculation.
- **ISSUE 13:** Should an adjustment be made to remove billboard advertising in the projected test year?

- SEBRING: Sebring believes an allowance for advertising is appropriate given that this is a new utility and advertising will potentially attract new customers. The amount proposed is reasonable and should be included. Given the unique circumstances of this case, Sebring would not take exception to the Staff position provided that this action does not serve as precedent when reviewing expenses or earnings of Sebring in the future.
- STAFF: Yes. Account 913 should be reduced by \$3,000 to remove billboard advertising in the projected test year. The company has not demonstrated that the projected advertising meets Commission criteria for acceptance as a base rate recoverable expense.
- **ISSUE 14**: Should an adjustment be made to eliminate lease related expenses which are included in the lease agreement?
- SEBRING: Sebring does not take exception to this adjustment.
- STAFF: Yes. An adjustment in the amount of \$937 should be made to the company's O&M expenses to eliminate lease related expenses which are included in the lease agreement.
- **ISSUE 15:** Should expenses be increased to include the amortization of rate case expenses which were capitalized in Account 301, Organization Costs?
- SEBRING: No. See the discussion in issue 3 above.
- STAFF: No. See Issue 3.
- ISSUE 16: What is the appropriate amount of the projected test year net operating loss for the year ending December 31, 1993? (This is a calculation based on the resolution of the preceding NOI issues.)
- SEBRING: The projected test year operating loss is \$344,302.
- STAFF: The appropriate projected test year net operating loss is \$340,182.

COST OF CAPITAL

- ISSUE 17: What is the appropriate cost of long-term debt for Sebring Gas System, Inc.?
- The appropriate cost for long term debt of Sebring Gas is SEBRING: a five year average of prime + 2. In previous cases when prime was much higher, rather than recognizing the prime rate at the time of the case the Commission utilized a five year average of prime using the argument that since prime varies this would be a better picture rather than using the higher rate at a particular date. Sebring would suggest that the same argument would be applicable in this case when prime is at the lowest it has been in over 15 years. Using a five year average acknowledges that the prime fluctuates and that an average would probably be more appropriate than a rate at a particular date as the Staff has proposed. For purposes of this case, Sebring would not take exception to the staff position that 10.1% is the cost of long term debt.
- STAFF: The appropriate cost for long-term debt for Sebring Gas System, Inc. is 10.1%.
- **ISSUE 18:** What is the appropriate rate of return on common equity for Sebring Gas System, Inc.?
- SEBRING: Sebring believes that the appropriate cost of equity to be 13% as included in the initial filing. Sebring concurred with the 12.5% for purposes of this case and feels an analysis of conditions specifically related to this company would support at least 12.5%. However, to resolve this issue, Sebring would not take exception to utilizing 12% as the cost of equity to be utilized in calculating the overall cost of capital.
- STAFF: The appropriate rate of return on common equity for Sebring Gas System, Inc. is 12.00% <u>+</u> a range of 100 basis points.

- **ISSUE 19:** What is the weighted average cost of capital including the proper components, amounts, and cost rates associated with the capital structure for the projected test year ending December 31, 1993?
- SEBRING: The appropriate weighted average Cost of Capital is 11.75% using 12.5% as the cost of equity. Sebring agrees that the overall rate of return is 10.86% using 12% as the return on equity.
- STAFF: The appropriate weighed average cost of capital for the projected test year ending December 31, 1993 is 10.86%.

REVENUE REQUIREMENT

- **ISSUE 20**: What is the appropriate revenue tax expansion factor for Sebring Gas System, Inc.?
- SEBRING: Sebring does not take exception with the revenue tax expansion factor proposed by Staff.
- STAFF: The appropriate revenue tax expansion factor to be used for the portion of the revenue requirement not subject to income tax is 1.0038. The appropriate revenue expansion factor to be used for the portion of the revenue requirement that is subject to income tax is 1.6094.
- **ISSUE 21:** Based upon assumptions in its MFRs, Sebring Gas System, Inc.'s requested rates would provide revenues of \$422,795 for the projected test year ending December 31, 1993. Is that the appropriate level of revenues?
- SEBRING: Sebring agrees that the MFRs project \$422,795 as test year revenues which is appropriate for this case.
- STAFF: Yes. Although Staff calculations yield higher total revenues than requested by Sebring, those calculations are based on assumed cost levels. There is neither operating experience nor audited base period data to support the accuracy of Staff's calculations.

COST OF SERVICE

- ISSUE 22: What should the miscellaneous service charges be?
- SEBRING: Sebring does not take exception to the Staff's recommendation.
- STAFF: The following miscellaneous service charges should be approved:

Residential Connection	\$25.00
Commercial Connection	\$50.00
Change of Account	\$10.00
Reconnection	\$25.00
Bill Collection in lieu of	disconnection\$10.00
Returned check charge	5% or\$15.00

- ISSUE 23: What should the rates and charges be for Sebring Gas System, Inc.?
- SEBRING: Sebring agrees that the rates which have been proposed by the Company should be approved except that if Issues 3, 4, and 15 are resolved contrary to Sebring's position then the rates should be reviewed and increases considered.
- STAFF: As proposed by the company. (See Issue 21)

Residential Customer Charge	\$7.00
Energy Charge cents/therm	35.50
Commercial	
Customer Charge	\$17.00
Energy Charge cents/therm	26.50

- **ISSUE 24:** What amount, if any, of the interim/initial revenues collected by the rates granted by Order No. 25456 issued on December 9, 1991 should be refunded?
- SEBRING: Sebring agrees with the Staff position.

STAFF: Based on Staff's final analysis, Sebring Gas System, Inc. should not refund any portion of its interim/initial revenues granted by Order 25456.

VII. EXHIBIT LIST

Witness	Proffered By	I.D. No.	Description
Melendy/ Asmus	Sebring	SEB-1	MFRs
Melendy	Sebring	SEB-2	Service Area and Location of Lines
Melendy/ Asmus	Staff	Staff-1	Attachments 1-8 to Prehearing Order

Parties and Staff reserve the right to identify additional exhibits for the purpose of cross-examination.

VIII. PROPOSED STIPULATIONS

There are no proposed stipulations at this time.

IX. PENDING MOTIONS

There are no pending motions at this time.

It is therefore,

ORDERED by Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that this Prehearing Order shall govern the conduct of these proceedings as set forth above unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>27th</u> day of <u>MARCH</u>, <u>1992</u>.

SUSAN F. CLARK, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

mab

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: 1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; 2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or 3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

SEBRING GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 910873-GU COMPARATIVE AVERAGE RATE BASES PTY 12/31/93

ATTACHMENT NO. 1 MARCH 23, 1992

OTACT

	NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.				STAFF		
	TOTAL	JURIS.	COMPANY	JURIS.	ADJ.		
	PER BOOKS	ADJUST.	ADJUSTED	ADJUST.	JURIS		
PLANT IN SERVICE							
UTILITY PLANT	1,793,862						
	· · · · ·	1	State All				
ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE							
OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING)							
BUDGETING DIFFERENCES							
ACCOUNT 374.02 LAND RIGHTS							
ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION				and the second s			
TOTAL PLANT	1,793,862	0	1,793,862	(61,947)	1,731,915		
CCUM. DEPREC. & AMORT.							
ACCUM DEPR - UTILITY PLANT	443,466						
ADJUST. TO CORRECT DEPRECIATION				264,543			
ORGANIZATION COSTS - INITIAL RATES				255			
ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE				(610)			
OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING)				828			
BUDGETING DIFFERENCES				1,448			
ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION				944			
OTAL DEDUCTION	443,466	0	443,466	267,408	710,874		
IET UTILITY PLANT	1,350,396	0	1,350,396	(329,355)	1,021,041		
VORKING CAPITAL	68,644	0	68,644	(34,510)	34,134		
OTAL RATE BASE	\$1,419,040	\$0	\$1,419,040	(\$363,865)	\$1,055,175		
	UTILITY PLANT ORGANIZATION COSTS - INITIAL RATES ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES ACCOUNT 374.02 LAND RIGHTS ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION OTAL PLANT ACCUM DEPREC. & AMORT. ACCUM DEPREC. & AMORT. ACCUM DEPREC. & AMORT. ACCUM DEPREC. & AMORT. ACCUM DEPREC. & INITIAL RATES ORGANIZATION COSTS - INITIAL RATES ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION OTAL DEDUCTION IET UTILITY PLANT	LANT IN SERVICE 1,793,862 UTILITY PLANT 1,793,862 ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE 0VERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES ACCOUNT 374.02 LAND RIGHTS ACCOUNT 374.02 LAND RIGHTS 1,793,862 CCUM. DEPREC. & AMORT. 1,793,862 CCUM. DEPREC. & AMORT. 443,466 ADJUST. TO CORRECT DEPRECIATION 000000000000000000000000000000000000	LANT IN SERVICE 1,793,862 UTILITY PLANT 1,793,862 ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE 0 OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES ACCOUNT 374.02 LAND RIGHTS ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION OTAL PLANT 1,793,862 0 CCUM. DEPREC. & AMORT. 443,466 ACCUM DEPR - UTILITY PLANT 443,466 ADJUST. TO CORRECT DEPRECIATION 0 ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE 0 OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION 0 OTAL DEDUCTION 443,466 0 OTAL DEDUCTION 443,466 0 OVERING CAPITAL 68,644 0	LANT IN SERVICE 1,793,862 UTILITY PLANT 1,793,862 ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES ACCOUNT 374.02 LAND RIGHTS ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION 1,793,862 OTAL PLANT 1,793,862 CCUM. DEPREC. & AMORT. 443,466 ACCUM DEPR - UTILITY PLANT 443,466 ADJUST. TO CORRECT DEPRECIATION ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION 0 ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE 0 OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION 0 OTAL DEDUCTION 443,466 0 OTAL DEDUCTION 443,466 0 VORKING CAPITAL 68,644 0 68,644	LANT IN SERVICE UTILITY PLANT 1,793,862 ORGANIZATION COSTS - INITIAL RATES 15,304 ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE (10,981) OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) (81,287) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES (7,936) ACCOUNT 374.02 LAND RIGHTS 19,425 ACCOUNT 374.02 LAND RIGHTS 31,793,862 (61,947) CCUM. DEPREC. & AMORT. ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION 3,528 OTAL PLANT 1,793,862 0 1,793,862 (61,947) CCUM. DEPREC. & AMORT. ACCUM DEPR - UTILITY PLANT 443,466 ADJUST. TO CORRECT DEPRECIATION 264,543 ORGANIZATION COSTS - TERRITORIAL DISPUTE (610) OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) 828 BUDGETING DIFFERENCES 1,448 ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS BUDGET REVISION 944 OTAL DEDUCTION 443,466 0 443,466 267,408 VORKING CAPITAL 68,644 0 68,644 (34,510)		

SEBRING GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 910873-GU COMPARATIVE NOIs PYE 12/31/93

ATTACHMENT 2 MARCH 23, 1992

			COMPANY		S	TAFF
ADJ NO		PER BOOKS	ADJUST.	COMPANY ADJUSTED	ADJUSTS.	ADJUSTED JURIS.
11	OPERATING REVENUES ADJUST FUEL REVENUE ADJ TO ZERO OUT REVENUE	694,935	0		(272,140)	
12	TOTALS	694.935		694,935	(422,795)	
11 13 14 15	OPERATING EXPENSES: ADJUST COST OF GAS ADVERTISING LEASED RELATED EXPENSES RATE CASE AMORTIZATION	549,148	. 0		(272,140) (3,000) (937) 0	i.,
	TOTALS	549,148	0	549,148	(276,077)	273,071
1 3 4 5 6 8	DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION ADJUST TO CORRECT DEPRECIATION INTITIAL RATES AMORTIZATION TERRITORIAL DISPUTE AMORT. OVERPROJECTIONS (DOUBLE ACCOUNTING) BUDGETING DIFFERENCES ACCOUNT 392.01 AUTOS	53,889			3,711 510 (366) (2,667) (239) 462	
	TOTALS	53,889	0	53,889	1,411	55,300
	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME - GROWTH ADJ OUT FUEL RELATED TXS	11,811				
	TOTALS	11,811	0	11,811	0	11,811
	CURRENT INC TAXES - FEDERAL CO ADJ FOR EFFECT OF ABOVE STAFF ADJ FOR EFFECT OF ABOVE	0	0		0	
	TOTALS	0	0	0	Ó	0
	CURRENT INC TAXES - STATE ADJ FOR EFFECT OF ABOVE STAFF ADJ FOR EFFECT OF ABOVE	0	0		0	
	TOTALS	0	0	0	0	0
	DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - FED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - ST TOTALS	0 0 0		o	0	0
	INTEREST RECONCILIATION PARENT DEBT ADJ		0	0	0	0
	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	614,848	0	614,848	(274,666)	340,182
16	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$80,087	\$0	\$80,087	(\$420,269)	(\$340,182)

SEBRING GAS SYSTEM DOCKET NO. 910873 - GU COST OF CAPITAL - 13 MONTH AVERAGE TEST YEAR ENDED 12/31/93 STAFF POSITION

STAFF ADJUSTMENTS

CAPITAL	COMPANY ADJUSTED	SPECIFIC	PRO RATA	TOTAL ADJUSTED	RATIO	% COST RATE	% WEIGHTED COST
COMMON EQUITY	638,568	1,940	0	640,508	45.14%	12.00	5.4164%
LONG-TERM DEBT	678,199	856	0	679,055	47.85%	10.10	4 8332%
SHORT - TERM DEBT	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00	0.0000%
CUSTOMER DEPOSITS	102,273	(2,796)	0	99,477	7.01%	8.65	0.6064%
DEFERRED TAXES	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00	0.0000%
TAX CREDITS - 0 COST	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00	0.0000%
TOTAL	1,419,040	0	0	1,419,040	100.00%		10.8560%

OVERALL RATE OF RETURN 10.86% EQUITY RATIO 48.54%

SEBRING GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 910873-GU NET OPERATING INCOME MULTIPLIER FYE 12/31/93

ATTACHMENT 4 MARCH 23, 1992

REVENUE REQUIREMENT	REVENUES	DEVENUES
SEVENUE REQUIREMENT		REVENUES
	100.0000%	100.0000%
THIS		
GROSS RECEIPTS TAX RATE	0.0000%	0.0000%
CALCULATIO	N	
REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEE	0.3750%	0.3750%
WAS		
NET BEFORE INCOME TAXES	99.6250%	99.6250%
NOT		
STATE INCOME TAX RATE	5.5000%	
PROVIDED		
STATE INCOME TAX	5.4794%	
BY		
NET BEFORE FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	94,1456%	
SEBRING		
FEDERAL INCOME TAX RATE	34.0000%	
GAS		
FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	32.0095%	
COMPANY		
REVENUE EXPANSION FACTOR	62.1361%	

SEBRING GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 910873-GU COMPARATIVE DEFICIENCY CALCULATIONS PYE 12/31/93

ATTACHMENT 5

MARCH 23, 1992

	COMPANY		STAFF	
RATE BASE (AVERAGE)			\$1,055,175	
RATE OF RETURN		x	10.8600%	
REQUIRED NOI	THIS		\$114,592	
LESS: ADJUSTED N.O.I.			0	•
N.O.I. DEFICIENCY	CALCULATION	111	114,592	
EXPANSION FACTOR			1.6094	
REVENUE DEFICIENCY SUBJECT TO INCOME TAX	WAS		\$184,424	
	NOT			
Operating Revenues	PROVIDED		\$0	
Operating Expenses:				
	BY			
Operation & Maintenance			273,071	
	SEBRING			
Depreciation & Amortization			55,300	
	GAS			
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes			11,811	
	COMPANY			
Current Income Taxes - Federal			0	
- State			0	
Deterred Income Taxes			0	
ITC Amortization			0	
Interest Reconciliation			0	
Total Operating Expenses			340,182	
ACHIEVED NOI		- H	(\$340,182)	
NET BEFORE INCOME TAX FACTOR		1.14	1.0038	•••
REVENUE DEFICIENCY NOT SUBJECT TO INCOME TAX		1.1	341,475	
TOTAL REVENUE DEFICIENCY			\$525,899	

Net operating loss is excluded in order to calculate revenues subject to income tax. Since the Company experienced a net operating loss, the portion of the r deficiency that is subject to income taxes is the required return on rsie base.

** The not operating loss is expanded by regulatory assessment fees only. Since the Company will not pay income taxes up to the break-even point of zero net operating income.

DOCKET NO. 910873-GU

SEBRING GAS SYSTEM, INC.

ATTACHMENT 6

	COMMISSION APPROVED INITIAL RATES	STAFF PROPOSED FINAL RATES
RATE SCHEDULE RESIDENTIAL	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
Customer Charge	\$7.00	\$7.00
Energy Charge cents/therm	.35.50	35.50
e a ser a transfer de la ser		1
COMMERCIAL		
Customer Charge	\$17.00	\$17.00
Energy Charge cents/therm	26.50	26.50

Initial Connection Residential \$25.00 \$25.00	
Initial Connection Commercial \$50.00 \$50.00	
Reconnection \$25.00 \$25.00	
Change of Account \$10.00 \$10.00	
Bill Collection in lieu of Disconnection \$10.00	
Returned Check Charge 5% or \$15.00	

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY PROPOSED PATE DESIGN

ATTACHMENT 6

COMPANY NAME: SEBRING COMMERCIAL DOCKET NO. 910873-GU TOTAL RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL. INDUSTRIAL INTERRUPT PRESENT RATES (projected test year) GAS SALES (due to growth) 0 0 0 0 0 0 OTHER OPERATING REVENUE 0 0 0 0 0 0 TOTAL 0 0 0 0 0 0 · · · · · -21.10% RATE OF RETURN -32.24% -42.70% ERR ERR ERR ERR EBR ERR INDEX 1 0 0 PROPOSED RATES ERR ERR ERA GAS SALES 508,761 311,218 197,543 0 0 0 OTHER OPERATING REVENUE 17,125 17,025 100 ERR ERA ERA TOTAL 525,886 328,243 197,643 ERA ERR ERR TOTAL REVENUE INCREASE 525,886 328,243 197,643 PERCENT INCREASE ERA ERR ERA ERR ERR ERR 10.86% ERR ERR ERR RATE OF RETURN 10.86% 10.86% ERR ERR ERR INDEX 1 1 1

CONCINE NUME OF TRANS		ERVICE SUMMA			ATTACHMENT	6
COMPANY NAME: SEBRING DOCKET NO. 910873-GU	CALCULATIO	N OF PROPOSE	DHATES	COMMERCIAL		
	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	LARGE VOL.	INDUSTRIAL	INTERRUPT
PROPOSED TOTAL TARGET REVENUES	525,886	328,243	197,643	ERA	ERR	ERA
LESS:OTHER OPERATING REVENUE	17,125	17,025	100	0	0	0
LESS:CUSTOMER CHARGE REVENUES						
PROPOSED CUSTOMER CHARGES		\$7.00	\$17.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
TIMES:NUMBER OF BILLS	14,913	14,064	849	0	0	0
EQUALS: CUSTOMER CHARGE REVENUE	112,881	98,448	14,433	0	0	0
LESS OTHER NON-THERM-RATE REVENU	ES		Second			8. ST -
EQUALS PER-THERM TARGET REVENUES	395,880	212,770	ALL STATE AND	ERR		EBB
EQUALS PEH-THERM TANGET REVENUES	395,660	212,770	183,110	Енн	ERR	Енн
DIVIDED BY:NUMBER OF THERMS	1,006,024	291,024	715,000	0	0	0
EQUALS:PER-THERM RATES(UNRNDED)		0.731109	0.256097	ERR	ERR	ERR
PER-THERM RATES(RNDED)		0.73111	0.25610	ERR	ERR	ERA
PER-THERM-RATE REVENUES	395,882	212,771	183,112	ERR	ERR	ERR
SUMMARY: PROPOSED TARIFF RATES						
CUSTOMER CHARGES		\$7.00	\$17.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
ENERGY CHARGES						
NON-GAS (CENTS PER THERM)		73.111	25.610	ERR	ERR	ERR
PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT		30.460	30.460	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL (INCLUDING PGA)		103.571	56.070	ERR	ERR	ERR
SUMMARY: PRESENT TARIFF RATES						
CUSTOMER CHARGES		\$7.00	\$17.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
ENERGY CHARGES						
NON-GAS (CENTS PER THERM)		35.50	26.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT		30.460	30.460	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL (INCLUDING PGA)		65.960	56.960	0.000	0.000	0.000
SUMMARY:OTHER OPERATING REVENUE		PRESENT		PROPOSED		
		CHARGE	REVENUE	CHARGE	REVENUE	
INITIAL CONNECTION (RESIDENTIAL)		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$7,000.00	
INITIAL CONNECTION (COMMERCIAL)		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$50.00	\$100.00	
RECONNECTION		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25.00	\$9,625.00	
CHANGE OF ACCOUNT		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10.00	\$200.00	
BILL COLLECTION IN LIEU OF DISCONN	ECTION	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10.00	\$200.00	

> SCHEDULE - A (COST OF SERVICE) CLASSIFICATION OF RATE BASE (Page 1 of 2:PLANT)

ATTACHMENT 6

COMPANY NAME: SEBRING DOCKET NO. 910873-GU

	TOTAL	CUSTOMER	CAPACITY	COMMODITY	CLASSIFIER
LOCAL STORAGE PLANT	0		0		100% capacity
INTANGIBLE PLANT:	119823		119823		*
PRODUCTION PLANT DISTRIBUTION PLANT:	0	• · · · · · · · · ·	0	1. 1. 1. 1.	
374 Land and Land Rights	* 30950	the state of the s	30950	and a fin	
375 Structures and Improvements	. 0		0		
376 Hains	650091		650091		
377 Comp.Sta.Eq. 378 Meas.& Reg.Sta.EqGen	10419		10419		
379 Meas.& Reg.Sta.EgCG	53994		53994		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
380 Services	611220	611220			100% customer
381-382 Meters	148832	148832			
383-384 House Regulators	60177	60177	and the base of the		
385 Industrial Meas.& Reg.Eq.	0	Colline of the state	0	Service Se	100% capacity
386 Property on Customer Premises	0	0	0	0	ac 374-385
387 Other Equipment	6695	3507	3188	0	ac 374-386
Total Distribution Plant	1572378	823736	748642	0	1572378
GENERAL PLANT:	39715	19858	19858		50% customer,50%, capacity
PLANT ACQUISITIONS:	0		0		100% capacity
GAS PLANT FOR FUTURE USE:	0		0		
CW1P:	٥	0	0	0	dist.plant
TOTAL PLANT	1731916	843594	888322	0	1731916 checksum

		A (COST OF SER TION OF RATE E CUMULATED DEPR	IASE		ATTACHMENT 6
COMPANY NAME: SEBRING					
DOCKET NO. 910873-60	TOTAL	CUSTOMER	CAPACITY	COMMODITY	
LOCAL STORAGE PLANT:	0	0	0	0	CLASSIFIER related plant
INTANGIBLE PLANT:	7343	0	7343	0	rel.plant account
PRODUCTION PLANT DISTRIBUTION PLANT:		and the second	0		Ter print account
375 Structures and Improvements	0	0	0	0	
376 Hains	200865	0	200865	0	
377 Compressor Sta. Eq.	0	0	0	0	
· 378 Heas.& Reg.Sta. EqGen	3359 -	0.	. 3359	. 0	
379 Meas.& Reg.Sta. EqCG	9469	. 0	9460	0	
380 Services	383071	383071	0	. 0	
381-382 Meters	58079	58979	0	0	
383-384 House Regulators	25501	25501	0	0	
385 Indust.Meas.& Reg.Sta.Eq.	0	0	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
386 Property on Eustomer Premises	854	447	407	0	
387 Other Equipment Total A.D. on Dist. Plant	682098	467998	214100	ő	682098 checksum
Total A.D. ON OTSE, Frank	002070	40.230			
GENERAL PLANT:	21433	10717	10717	0	general plant
PLANT ACQUISITIONS:	0	0	0	0	plant acquisitions
RETIREMENT WORK IN PROGRESS:	0	0	0	0	distribution plant
TOTAL ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	710874	478715	232159	0	710874 checksum
NFT PLANT (Plant less Accum.Dep.)	1021042	364879	656163	0	1021042 checksum
Tess:CUSTOMER ADVANCES	0	0	0		50% cust 50% cap
plus:WORKING CAPITAL	34134	24938	8206	991	oper. and maint. exp
equals:TOTAL RATE BASE	1055176	359817	664369	991	1055176 checksum

ACHMENT 6

SCHEDULE - B (COST OF SERVICE) CLASSIFICATION OF EXPENSES (Page 1 of 2)

ATTACHMENT 6

COMPANY NAME: SEBRING DOCKET NO. 910873-GU

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	TOTAL	CUSTOMER	CAPACITY	COMMODITY		CLASSIFIER
LOCAL STORAGE PLANT:	0	0	0	0		ac 301-320
PRODUCTION PLANT DISTRIBUTION:	0		0			100% capacity
870 Operation Supervision & Eng.	24960	24960	. 0	0		ac 871-879
871 Dist.Load Dispatch	0		0			100% capacity
872 Compr.Sta.Lab. & Ex.	0	0	0	. 0		ac 377
873 Compr.Sta.Fuel & Power	. 0		10.28	0	1.14	100% commodity
874 Mains and Services	- 0	. 0	0	0 .		ac376+ac380
875 Meas.& Reg. Sta.EqGen	0	0	. 0	. 0		ac 378
876 Heas.& Reg. Sta.EqInd.	0	0	0	0		ac 385
877 Meas.& Reg. Sta.EqCG	0	0	0	0		ac 379
878 Heter and House Reg.	13370	13370	0	0		ac381+ac383
879 Customer Instal.	0	0	0	0		ac 386
880 Other Expenses	6794	4249	2545	0		ac 387
881 Rents	0		0			100% capacity
885 Maintenance Supervision	0	0	0	0		ac886-894
886 Maint, of Struct, and Improv.	0	0	0	0		ac375
887 Maintenance of Mains	36349	0	36349	0		ac376
888 Maint, of Comp.Sta.Eq.	0	0	0	0		ac 377
889 Maint. of Meas.& Reg. Sta.Eq6	0	0	0	0		ac 378
890 Maint, of Meas.& Reg. Sta.Eq1	0	0	0	0		ac 385
891 Maint. of Meas.& Reg.Sta.EqCG	0	0	0	0		ac 379
892 Maintenance of Services	23243	23243	0	0		ac 380
893 Maint. of Meters and House Reg.	0	0	0	0		ac381-383
894 Maint. of Other Equipment	1423	555	868	0		ac387
Total Distribution Expenses	106139	66377	39762	0		106139 che
CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS:						
901 Supervision	0	0				100% customer
902 Meter-Reading Expense	15600	15600				
903 Records and Collection Exp.	37347	37347				•
904 Uncollectible Accounts	4800			4800		100% commodity
905 Misc. Expenses	0	0				100% customer
Total Customer Accounts	57747	52947	0	4800		
(907-910) CUSTOMER SERV.& INFO. EXP.	0	0				
(911-916) SALES EXPENSE	1515	1515				
(932) MAINT. OF GEN. PLANT	0	0	0	0		general plant
(920-931) ADMINISTRATION AND GENERAL	107671	78662	25884	3125	٥	OSM excl. ASG
TALL AND CONCLUSE	273072	199501	65646	7925		273072 che
TOTAL OBM EXPENSE		133201	CLEASE INCOMENTATION OF			LIGUIL CHE

SCHEDULE - B (COST OF SERVICE) CLASSIFICATION OF EXPENSES (Page 2 of 2) ATTACHMENT 6

COMPANY NAME: SEBRING DOCKET ND. 910873-GU

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPENSE:	TOTAL	CUSTOMER	CAPACITY	COMMODITY	REVENUE	CLASSIFIER
Depreciation Expense Amort. of Other Gas Plant	51310 3990	18336	32974 3990	0		net plant 100% capacity 100% capacity
Amort. of Property Loss Amort. of Limited-term Inv. Amort. of Acquisitiion Adj.	0	0	000	0		intangible plan intan/dist/gen
- Amort. of Conversion Costs Total Deprec. and Amort. Expense	- 55300	18336	36964	ů.	0	100% commodity 55300 che
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES: Revenue Related Other Total Taxes other than Income Taxes	1973 11811 13784	4221 4221	7590 7590	0	1973 1973	100% revenue net plant
REV.CRDT TO COS(NEG.OF OTHR OPR.REV)	-17125	-17125				100% customer
RETURN (REQUIRED NOI)	114592	42334	72150	108		rate base
INCOME TAXES	69138	25542	43531	65	0	return(noi)
TOTAL OVERALL COST OF SERVICE	508761	272809	225882	8097	1973	508761 che

		- C (COST O				ATTACHMENT	6
COMPANY NAME: SEBRING DOCKET ND. 910873-GU CUSTOMER COSTS		RESIDENTIAL		COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.	INDUSTRIAL	INTERRUPT	
No. of Customers Veighting Veighted No. of Customers	1243 NA 1314	1 1172	2 142	0	0	0	
Allocation Factors CAPACITY COSTS	1	0.892272554	0.10772744	•	0	0	
, Peak & Avg. Month Sales Vol.(therms) Allocation Factors	92218		0.71071808	. 0.	0	0	
COMMODITY COSTS							
Annual Sales Vol.(therms) Allocation Factors	1006024	291024 0.289281369		0	00	0	
REVENUE-RELATED COSTS Tax on Cust, Cap, & Commod.	1900	1163	738	ERR	ERR	ERR	
Allocation Factors	1900	0.611718269		ERR	ERR	ERR	

COMPANY NAME: SEBRING DOCKET NO. 910873-GU		E - D (COST OF RATE BAS		R CLASSES		ATTACHMENT	
RATE BASE BY CUSTOMER CLASS	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.	INDUSTRIAL	INTERRUPT	
DIRECT AND SPECIAL ASSIGNMENTS: Customer							1
Heters	89853	80173	9680	0	0	0	
House Regulators	34676	34676		0	Ó	0	
Services	228149	203571	24578	0	0	0	
All Other	37139	33138		0	0	Ő.	
Total	389817	351558		0	0	0	
Capacity							
'Industrial Meas.& Reg. Sts. Eq.	. 0	- 0	0	ERR	· . ERR .	ERR ·	
Meas. &Reg. Sta.EgGen.	7060	. 2042	5018	0	0	0.	
Kains	449226	129953	319273	0	0	0	
All Other	208083	60195		0	0	0	
Igtal	664369	192190		ERR	ERR	ERR	
Commodity					Same and	-	
Account /	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Account /	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Account /	0	0	0	0	0	ő	
All Other	991	287	704	Ó	ő	ő	
Total	991	287	704	0	0	ő	
						1. H. B.	
OTAL	1055176	544035	511141	ERR	ERR	ERR	-

COMPANY NAME: SEBRING	,				CE) ISTOMER CLASSES	ATTACHMENT	6
DOCKET NO. 910873-60	TOTAL R	ESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.	INDUSTRIAL	INTERRUPT	
Custoner	0	0	0	0	0	0	-
Capacity	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Conmodity	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	٥	0	
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE: DIRECT AND SPECIAL ASSIGNMENTS:							
Customer-		A CALE AND A SALE			S. Bartsky		1
878 Meters and House Regulators	13370	11930	1440	. 0	0	0	
.893 Maint. of Meters & House Reg.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
874 Mains & Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	
892 Maint. of Services	23243	20739	2504	0	0	0	
All Other	162888	145341	17548	0	0	0	
Total	199501	178009	21492	0	0	0	
Capacity							
876 Measuring & Reg. Sta. Eq I	0	0	0	ERR	ERR	ERR	
890 Haint, of Meas.& Reg.Sta.Eq1	0	0	0	0	ERR	ERR	
874 Hains and Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	
887 Maint, of Mains	36349	10515	25834	0	0	0	
All Other	29297	8475	20822 46656	ERR	ERR	ERR	
Total	65646	18990	40000	LAK	EAR	LAK	
Conmodity	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Account	0	0	ő	0	0	ő	
Account /	0	ő	ő	0	0	ŏ	
Account /		2292	5632	0	0	0	
All Other Total	7925 7925	2292	5632	0	0	ő	
TOTAL OM	273072	199292	73780	ERR	ERR	ERR	
DEPRECIATION EXPENSE:	A SAME	al States	1	Marshell States		1942	
Customer	18335	16361	1975	0	0	0	
Capacity	32974	9539	23435	0	0	0	
Total	51310	25900	25410	0	0	0	
AMORT, OF GAS PLANT:							
Capacity	3990	1154	2836	0	0	0	
AMORT. OF PROPERTY LOSS:							
Capacity	0	0	0	0	0	0	
when an equiting from lawfer							
AMORT OF LIMITED TERM INVEST.			0	0	0	0	
Capacity	0	0				v	
AMORT. OF ACQUISITION ADJ .:						Stat. Like	
Customer	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Capacity	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	
AMORT, OF CONVERSION COSTS:							
Connodity	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	44.2 Mark	States of		ACTION COMPANY			

.

. .

COMPANY NAME: SEBRING DOCKET NO. 910873-GU	ALLOCATION		E (COST OF S SERVICE TO C	CUSTOMER CLASS	CS	ATTACHHENT	1
UUCKET NO. 310073-00	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL		COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.	INDUSTRIAL	INTERRUPT	
TAKES OTHER THAN INCOME TAKES:		-					1
Customer	4221	3766		0	0	0	
Capacity	7590			0		0	
Subtotal	11811	5962		0	0	0	
Revenue	1973			ERR	ERR	ERR	
Total	13784	7169	6615	ERR	ERR	ERR	
RETURN (NOI)							
Customer	42334			. 0	0	0	14
Capacity .	72150			ERR	ERR	ERR	100
Connodity	108			. 0		0	
Total '	114592	. ,59082	55510	ERR	ERR	ERR	
INCOME TAXES					1999 A.		
Customer	25542			0	0	0	
Capacity	43531	12593		ERR	ERR	ERR	
Connodity	65			0	0	0	
Total	69138	35647	33491	ERR	ERR	ERR	
REVENUE CREDITED TO COS:						in the second	
Customer	-17125	-17025	-100	0	0	0	
TOTAL COST OF SERVICE:							
Customer	272809			0	0	0	
Capacity	225882	65344		ERR	ERR	ERR	
Connodity	8097	2342		0	0	0	
Subtotal	506788			ERR	ERR	ERR	
Revenue	1973			ERR	ERR	ERR	
Total	508761	311218	197543	ERR	ERR	ERR	

SCHEDULE - F (COST OF SERVICE) DERIVATION OF REVENUE DEFICIENCY

ATTACHMENT 6

OMPANY NAME: SEBRING DCKET NO. 910873-GU		ION OF REVEN	or or retrie			
OST OF SERVICE BY CUSTOMER CLASS	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.	INDUSTRIAL	INTERRUPT
USTOMER COSTS	272,809	242,326		0	0	0
APACITY COSTS	225,882	65,344		ERR	ERR	ERR
MMODITY COSTS	8,097	2,342		0	0	0
EVENUE COSTS	1,973	1,207		ERR	ERR	ERR
TOTAL	508,761	311,218	197,543	ERR	ERR	ERR
ss:REVENUE AT PRESENT RATES (in the attrition year)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mals: GAS SALES REVENUE DEFICIENCY	508,761	· 311,218	197,543	TRR	ERR	ERR
US DEFICIENCY IN OTHER OPERALING REV.	17,125			. 0	3 0	.0
uals: TOTAL BASE-REVENUE DEFICIENCY	525,886		197,643	ERR	. ERR	ERR
	**********	***********	**********	***********	*************	**************
NIT COSTS:	18.293367	17.230204	35.905068	ERR	ERR	ERA
Customer	2.449434	2.449634		ERR	ERR	ERR
Capacity Commodity	0.008049			ERR	ERR	ERR

COMPANY NAME: SEBRING DOCKET NO. 910873-GU	SCHEDULE - RATE OF RETU (Page 1 of	ATTACHMENT 6					
DOCKET NO. 9108/3-00	TOTAL RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL			COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.	INDUSTRIAL	TRIAL INTERRUPT	
REVENUES: (projected test year)		1000					-
Gas Sales (due to growth)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other Operating Revenue	0			0	0	0	
Total	U					•	
EXPENSES:							
· Purchased Gas Cost	0	0	0	0	.0	0	1.54
OSH Expenses	273.072	199,292	73,780	ERR	- ERR	ERR	
" Depreciation Expenses	51,310	25,900	25,410	0	. 0	. 0	
Amortization Expenses	3,990	1,154	2,836	0	. 0	0	
Taxes Other Than IncomeFixed	11,811	5,962	5,849	. 0	0	0	
Taxes Other Than Income Revenue	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Expses excl. Income Taxes	340,183	232,308	107,875	ERR	ERR	ERR	
INCOME TAXES:	0	0	0	ERR	ERR	ERR	
NET OPERATING INCOME:	(340,183)	(232,308)	(107.875)	ERR	ERR	ERR	
***************************************	**********	*********			*************		
RATE BASE:	1,055,176	544,035	511,141	ERR	ERR	ERR	
RATE OF RETURN	-0.322395	-0.427009	-0 211048	ERR	ERR	ERR	

1 53 Sec. 1.

4 200

COMPANY NAME: SEBRING DOCKET NO. 910873-GU	RATE OF RETL (Page 2 of	G (COST OF RN BY CUSTO 2:PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL	DHER CLASS	COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.	INDUSTRIAL	ATTACHMENT	6
REVENUES:							
Gas Sales	508,761	311,218		ERR	ERR	ERR	
Other Operating Revenue	17,125	17,025		0	0	0	
Total	\$25,886	328,243	197,643	ERR	ERR	ERR	
EXPENSES:							
Purchased Gas Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O&M Expenses	273,072	199,292		ERR	ERR	ERR	
Depreciation Expenses	51,310	-25,900	25,410	0	0	0	
Amortization Expenses .	3,990	. 1,154		. 0	. 0	. 0	
Taxes Other Than IncomeFixed	11,811	5,962	5,849	0	. 0	. 0	
Taxes Other Than Income Revenue	1,973	1,231	741	ERR	ERR	ERR	1.17
Total Expses excl. Income Taxes	342,156	233, 539	108,616	ERR	ERR	ERR	
PRE TAX NOI:	183,730	94,705	89,025	ERR	ERR	ERR	
INCOME TAXES:	69,138	35,638	33,501	ERR	ERR	ERR	
NET OPERATING INCOME:	114,592	59,067	55,525	ERR	ERR	ERR	
RATE BASE:	1,055,176	544,035		ERR	ERR	ERR	
RATE OF RETURN	0.106600	0.108572	0.108630	ERR	ERR	ERR	11.

SEBRING GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 910873-GU PROPOSED DEPRECIATION RATES

ATTACHMENT 7 MARCH 23, 1992

ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	STAFF
376.01	MAINS - STEEL	2.00
376.02	MAINS - PLASTIC	3.20
378	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GEN	2.50
379	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GATE	3.10
380.01	SERVICES - STEEL	2.50
380.02	SERVICES - PLASTIC	3.80
381	METERS	3.70
382	METER & REGULATOR INST.	2.30
383	HOUSE REGULATORS	2.90
384	HOUSE REG-INST	2.20
387	OTHER EQUIPMENT	4.10
390.02	LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS	2.50
392.01	AUTOS & TRUCKS (UP TO 1/2 TON)	13.10
396	POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT	6.10

P - I A Constanting to a strategy of the second of

16

State of the streets

474

-

PSC-92-0105-PCO-GU ORDER NO. 910873-GU DOCKET NO. PAGE 37

an's

5

SEBRING GAS SYST DOCKET NO. 91087 COMPARATIVE DEFICIENC PYE 12/31/93	73-GU	NS	ATTACHMENT 8 MARCH 23, 1992
	COMPANY'S FILING	STAFF'S CALCULATION	STAFF WITH ZERO EQUITY
RATE BASE (AVERAGE)	\$1,419,040	\$1,055,175	\$1,055,175
RATE OF RETURN	X 5.64%	X 10.86% X	5.44%
REQUIRED NOI	\$80,034	\$114,592	\$57,402
LESS: ADJUSTED N.O.I.			0 .
N.O.I. DEFICIENCY		114,592	57,402
EXPANSION FACTOR		1.6094	1.6094
REVENUE DEFICIENCY SUBJECT TO INCOME TAX		\$184,424	PRODUCTION AND A CONTRACT
Operating Revenues (ADJUSTED TO ZERO)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Operating Expenses:		and the second second	Section 1
Operation & Maintenance (NET OF GAS COST)	277,008	273,071	273,071
Depreciation & Amortization	53,889	55,300	55,300
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	11,811	11,811	11,611
Current Income Taxes - Federal	0	0	0
- State	0	0	0
Deferred Income Taxes	0	0	0
ITC Amortization	0	0	0
Interest Reconciliation	0	0	0
Total Operating Expenses	342,708	340,182	340,182
ACHIEVED NOI	(\$342,708)	(\$340,182)	(\$340,182)
NET BEFORE INCOME TAX FACTOR	342,708	1.0038	1.0038 **
REVENUE DEFICIENCY NOT SUBJECT TO INCOME TAX		341,475	341,475
TOTAL REVENUE DEFICIENCY	\$422,742	\$525,899	\$433,857

1410

no loss the portion of • Net operating loss is excluded in order to calculate revenues subject to income tax. Since the Company experienced a net op deficiency that is subject to income taxes is the required return on rate base.

in point of zer ** The net operating loss is expanded by regulatory assessment less only. Since the Company will not pay income taxes up to the brea net operating income.

Har

The second state of the se

open the property of the second se

Para and the family set of the