

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Resolution by St. Lucie) DOCKET NO. 911011-TL
Board of County Commissioners for) ORDER NO. PSC-92-0692-CFO-TL
extended area service between) ISSUED: 07/22/92
Ft. Pierce and South Port St.)
Lucie.)
_____)

ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR SPECIFIED
CONFIDENTIAL CLASSIFICATION OF DOCUMENT NO. 645-92

By Order No. 25228, issued October 18, 1991, we directed BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell or the Company) to perform certain traffic studies so that we could further evaluate Resolution No. 91-194 filed with this Commission by the St. Lucie County Board of County Commissioners. Resolution No. 91-194 requested that we consider requiring implementation of extended area service (EAS) between the Fort Pierce, North Port St. Lucie, and South Port St. Lucie exchanges. An extension of time to prepare and submit the traffic studies was granted by Order No. 25523, issued December 24, 1991. The Company subsequently filed the requested traffic study data, along with a Request for Specified Confidential Classification (Request) of certain portions of the traffic study data. The Request has not been opposed by any party to this proceeding.

Southern Bell has requested specified confidential treatment of only the data which represents a quantification of traffic along intraLATA routes. Southern Bell asserts that intraLATA traffic data should be afforded confidential treatment due to the advent of intraLATA competition in Florida on January 1, 1992. The Company states that the data at issue is basically a blueprint of toll usage over the various routes and, if disclosed, could be used by competitors to target the most lucrative routes, thus harming the Company and its ratepayers. Finally, Southern Bell asserts that it maintains this information on a proprietary basis and has not disclosed the data to the public.

Upon review, I find it appropriate to grant the Company's Request. The data identified in Appendix "A" to this Order is found to be proprietary confidential business information pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes. As such, it shall be kept confidential and shall be exempt from Section 119.07(1), Florida Statutes.

Based on the foregoing, it is

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03000 JUL 22 1992

7000 00000000/00000000

ORDERED by Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, that the Request for Specified Confidential Classification filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company on January 16, 1992, is hereby granted pursuant to Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, and Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, for the reasons set forth herein. It is further

ORDERED that pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code, the confidentiality granted to the documents specified herein shall expire eighteen (18) months from the date of issuance of this Order in the absence of a renewed request for confidentiality pursuant to Section 364.183. It is further

ORDERED that this Order will be the only notification by the Commission to the parties concerning the expiration of the confidentiality time period.

By ORDER of Commissioner Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 22nd day of July, 1992.


SUSAN F. CLARK, Commissioner
and Prehearing Officer

(S E A L)

ABG

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as

well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.