BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for approval of a purchase and sale agreement between Florida Power & Light Company and Calypso Energy Holdings, LLC, for the ownership of the Indiantown Cogeneration LP and related power purchase agreement. DOCKET NO. 160154-EI ORDER NO. PSC-16-0295-PCO-EI ISSUED: July 27, 2016

ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION

On June 20, 2016, pursuant to Section 366.06, Florida Statutes (F.S.), Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) filed its petition for approval of a purchase and sale agreement between FPL and Calypso Energy Holdings, LLC, for the ownership of the Indiantown Cogeneration LP and related power purchase agreement. This matter has been scheduled for an administrative hearing by Order No. PSC-16-0276-PCO-EI, issued July 19, 2016. By petition, dated June 30, 2016, Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG) has requested permission to intervene in this proceeding.

Petition for Intervention

FIPUG states that it has a substantial interest in this proceeding as it is an ad hoc association consisting of industrial users of electricity in Florida, many of whom receive electricity from FPL. FIPUC states that the cost of electricity constitutes a significant portion of FIPUG members' overall costs of production and that its members require adequate, reasonably-priced electricity in order to compete in their respective markets. FIPUG contends that its interest are of the type this proceeding is designed to protect, since its purpose is to evaluate FPL's petition and evidence, determine the merits of FPL's requests, and decide whether or not FPL's ratepayers should pay for the acquisition of the Indiantown Cogeneration LP.

Standard for Intervention

Pursuant to Rule 25-22.039, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C), persons, other than the original parties to a pending proceeding, who have a substantial interest in the proceeding and who desire to become parties may petition for leave to intervene. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed at least five (5) days before the final hearing, must conform with Rule 28-106.201(2), F.A.C., and must include allegations sufficient to demonstrate that the intervenor is entitled to participate in the proceeding as a matter of constitutional or statutory right or pursuant to Commission rule, or that the substantial interests of the intervenor are subject to determination or will be affected through the proceeding. Intervenors take the case as they find it.

To have standing, the intervenor must meet the two-prong standing test set forth in <u>Agrico Chemical Company v. Department of Environmental Regulation</u>, 406 So. 2d 478, 482

ORDER NO. PSC-16-0295-PCO-EI DOCKET NO. 160154-EI PAGE 2

(Fla. 2nd DCA 1981). The intervenor must show that (1) he will suffer injury in fact which is of sufficient immediacy to entitle him to a Section 120.57, F.S., hearing, and (2) this substantial injury is of a type or nature which the proceeding is designed to protect. The first prong of the test addresses the degree of injury. The second addresses the nature of the injury. The "injury in fact" must be both real and immediate and not speculative or conjectural. International Jai-Alai Players Assn. v. Florida Pari-Mutuel Commission, 561 So. 2d 1224, 1225-26 (Fla. 3rd DCA 1990). See also, Village Park Mobile Home Assn., Inc. v. State Dept. of Business Regulation, 506 So. 2d 426, 434 (Fla. 1st DCA 1987), rev. den., 513 So. 2d 1063 (Fla. 1987) (speculation on the possible occurrence of injurious events is too remote).

The test for associational standing was established in <u>Florida Home Builders v. Dept. of</u> <u>Labor and Employment Security</u>, 412 So. 2d 351 (Fla. 1982), and <u>Farmworker Rights</u> <u>Organization, Inc. v. Dept. of Health and Rehabilitative Services</u>, 417 So. 2d 753 (Fla. 1st DCA 1982), which is also based on the basic standing principles established in <u>Agrico</u>. Associational standing may be found where: (1) the association demonstrates that a substantial number of an association's members may be substantially affected by the Commission's decision in a docket; (2) the subject matter of the proceeding is within the association's general scope of interest and activity; and (3) the relief requested is of a type appropriate for the association to receive on behalf of its members.

Based upon the foregoing, FIPUG's petition for intervention shall be granted. There has been no response filed in opposition to this request, and the time for doing so has expired. Pursuant to Rule 25-22.039, F.A.C., FIPUG takes the case as it finds it.

Therefore, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner Ronald A. Brisé, as Prehearing Officer, that the Petition to Intervene filed by Florida Industrial Power Users Group is hereby granted. It is further

ORDERED that all parties to this proceeding shall furnish copies of all testimony, exhibits, pleadings and other documents which may hereinafter be filed in this proceeding, to:

Jon C. Moyle, Jr. Karen A. Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: (850) 681-3828 Facsimile: (850) 681-8788 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com ORDER NO. PSC-16-0295-PCO-EI DOCKET NO. 160154-EI PAGE 3

By ORDER of Commissioner Ronald A. Brisé, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>27th</u> day of <u>July</u>, <u>2016</u>.

RONALD A. BRISE Commissioner and Prehearing Officer Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399 (850) 413-6770 www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

WLT

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.