E Lartow Keener Attorney

Southern Bell

c/o Marshall M. Criser Suite 400 150 So. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Phone (305) 530-5558

October 26, 1990

Mr. Steve Tribble Director, Division of Records & Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

FPSC Docket No. 891194-TL

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed please find the original and 15 copies of the Rebuttal Testimony of Nancy H. Sims on behalf of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company in the above-referenced docket. All parties of record have been served in accordance with the ACK Tattached Certificate of Service.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please indicate on the copy that the original was filed and return the copy of the - Tetter to me.

> Very truly yours, Keener

E. Barlow Keener

6 the losures

C. . . . . H. R. Anthony

A. M. Lombardo R. D. Lackey

The file was a second

The second commence of the second

ERS LEGISLAW OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT BUTTON FOR

ABELLSOUTH Company 09678 00726 WO

PSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Bocket No. 891194-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States Mail this 26th day of October, 1990

10:

Walter D'Haeseleer Division of Communications Florida Public Service Commission 161 East Gaines Street Tallahassec, Florida 32399-0866

Angela Greene Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Vallahassae, Florida 32399-0863

Jack Shreve Public Counsel Office of the Public Counsel 111 W. Madison Street Room 812 Tellphassee, FL 32399-1400

John E. Thrasher, Esq. Jeffray L. Cohen, Esq. Floxide Medical Association Fost Office Box 2411 Jacksonville, Florida 32203

willis Booth, Director Florids Police Chiefs Association Post Office Box 14038 Tallahasser, Florida 32317-4038

Thomas R. Parker, Esq. OTE Florida Incorporated Fost Office Box, 110 MC 7 Passes, Florids 53601-0110

Cheryl Phoenix, Director Florida Coalitica Against Postatic Violence Post Office Sox 532041 Orlando, Ft 32853-2041

Michael R. Ramage Deputy General Counsel FL Dept. of Law Enforcement Post office Box 1489 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Robert A. Butterworth Attorney General Dept. of Legal Affairs The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Stephen S. Mathues Dept. of General Services Koger Executive Center 2737 Centerview Drive Knight Bldg.-Suite 309 Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Pete Antonacci Statewide Prosecutor Dept. of Legal Affairs The Capitol, Plaza 01 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Alan N. Berg Senior Attorney United Telephone Co. of FL Post Office Box 5000 Altamonte Spgs, FL 32716

Department of Legal Affairs Richard E. Doran Director, Criminal Appeals The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

E Barlow Kierra

		S. VATER	
	ing.		SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY
	en e		
	3		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF NANCY H. SIMS
			FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	<b>4</b> 5		DOCKET NO. 891194-TI
			OCTOBER 26, 1990
	€. •a		
	ig éa	Α	19. C. 79. St. J. P. 19. C. C. O. O. C. C. O. C.
	8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
	9	*1.	
	10	A.	I AM NANCY H. SIMS. MY BUSINESS ADDRESS IS 675
	7		WEST PEACHTREE STREET, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30375.
	12		
i.	<b>3</b>	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME NANCY H. SIMS THAT PREFILED
	24		DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
	15		
	1.6	Λ.	YES, I AM.
	ž 7		
	í. Ü	Ω.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
	19		
	3.0	<i>#</i> .	THE PURPOSE OF MY TESTIMONY IS TO ADDRESS
	23		SEVERAL OF THE CONCERNS ABOUT CALLER ID THAT
	2.2		HAVE BEEN PRESENTED IN THE PREFILED TESTIMONY
	ally the		OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT, PUBLIC COUNSEL AND
	137 - 34 327 - 54		DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AGENCY WITNESSES. MORE
	1 2 5 1 The said		SPECIFICALLY, I WILL DISCUSS THE ISSUES OF

		BLOCKING THE CALLING NUMBER FROM BEING
2		DELIVERED, PROVIDING CALL TRACING ON A PER CALL
J		BASIS, AND DEALING WITH THE ADVANCEMENT IN
4		TECHNOLOGY REPRESENTED BY CALLER ID.
23		
6	Q.	BASED ON THE PREFILED TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET,
7		WHAT IS THE PREVALENT THEME WHEN THE NEED FOR
8		BLOCKING CALLING NUMBER DELIVERY IS DISCUSSED?
9		
10	24 .	OTHER THAN THE MORE GENERALIZED, SPECULATIVE
y -4 AA.		EXAMPLES GIVEN IN DR. COOPER'S TESTIMONY, THE
A. S.		OVERWHELMING STATED REASON FOR WANTING THE
13		CAPABILITY TO BLOCK CALLING NUMBER DELIVERY IS
14		FOR SAFETY AND SECURITY. CERTAIN PARTIES, SUCH
15		AS THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, CRISIS
26		INTERVENTION AGENCIES, AND SOME HELP LINES ARE
å. <i>"I</i>		SPECIAL GROUPS THAT HAVE SPECIAL NEEDS AND
3.8		VALID REASONS FOR SPECIAL TREATMENT. SOUTHERN
19		BELL AGREES THAT THE EMPLOYEES, VOLUNTEERS AND
20		CLIENTS OF THESE GROUPS SHOULD BE AFFORDED
2.1		BLOCKING OPTIONS TO PROVIDE THEM WITH ANONYMITY
22		FOR SAFETY AND SECURITY REASONS.
2.3		
19 14 2. 14		AS STATED IN MY DIRECT TESTIMONY, SOUTHERN BELL
1) å. 40 å		HAS OFFERED A MULTITUDE OF OPTIONS FOR USE BY

THESE GROUPS, ANY ONE OF WHICH WILL AFFORD THEM

THE ANONYMITY THEY DESIRE. IN ADDITION, THESE

OPTIONS ARE NOT DIFFICULT TO USE AND THEY ARE

TO BE OFFERED FREE OF CHARGE.

5

7

13

9

Q. COULD YOU ADDRESS SOME OF THE CONCERNS

EXPRESSED BY THE FLORIDA COALITION AGAINST

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AND THE CENTER AGAINST SPOUSE

ABUSE?

10

11 A. YES. THE FEAR EXPERIENCED BY A JUSED SPOUSES AND CHILDREN DESCRIBED BY MS. BROWN, MS. DUNN, 12 13 AND MS. PHOENIX IS CERTAINLY REAL AND THEIR 14 SAFETY SHOULD NOT BE COMPROMISED. THEREFORE, 15 THE SAFE HOMES AND THE SHELTERS WILL BE 16 AFFORDED ACCESS TO FREE BLOCKING OF CALLING 17 NUMBER DELIVERY. THE VOLUNTEERS OF THE 3 13 AGENCIES WILL ALSO HAVE FREE BLOCKING 19 AVAILABLE, AS WILL THE VICTIMS ON WHOSE BEHALF 20 A REQUEST FOR BLOCKING IS MADE BY THE AGENCY. IN NEW JERSEY, WHERE CALLER ID HAS BEEN IN 23 1 3 EFFECT FOR ALMOST THREE YEARS, SHELTERS USE PAY 23 TELEPHONES FOR OUTGOING CALLS TO PROTECT "HEIR 24 CLIENTS. IN ADDITION, THE INCOMING LINES ARE PROVISIONED WITH CALLER ID IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY

THE NUMBER OF THE PERSON, PERHAPS AN ABUSER, 1 WHO IS CALLING THE SHELTER. Ž 3 MS. DUNN'S CONCERN THAT SOUTHERN BELL WILL BE ASSUMING THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR "SCREENING 5 VICTIMS FOR ELIGIBILITY FOR A CALL BLOCK 6 SERVICE" IS MISPLACED. SOUTHERN BELL HAS NOT PROPOSED TO DO THIS TYPE OF SCREENING NOR WILL 13 IT REQUIRE THE VICTIM TO BE EMBARRASSED BY 9 HAVING TO REVEAL PERSONAL EXPERIENCES IN ORDER 10 TO RECEIVE FREE CALL BLOCKING. WE BELIEVE THE 1.3 AGENCIES ARE QUALIFIED TO MAKE THIS 12 DETERMINATION, AND SOUTHERN BELL WOULD HAVE A 13 PERSON DESIGNATED IN ITS CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE 14 BUREAU TO RECEIVE ORDERS FROM THESE AGENCIES. 15 THIS ARRANGEMENT WILL HELP KEEP ANY 16 ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS TO A MINIMUM. FINALLY, 17 THE FREE BLOCKING BEING PROPOSED WOULD NOT 1.8 INDIEST THE AVAILABILITY OF 911 SERVICES FROM 19 THESE LINES. 20 21 BOW WOULD YOU ADDRESS THE SITUATION DESCRIBED U. 2.2 BY MS. BROWN WHERE A VICTIM OF ABUSE SEEKS 23 REFUGE AT A FRIEND'S HOUSE AND HAS A NEED TO 14

CALL HOME?

A. CALLER ID SERVICE IS AN OPTIONAL SERVICE WHICH

IS SUBSCRIBED TO BY THE CUSTOMER AND WHICH

REQUIRES THE PURCHASE OF A DISPLAY DEVICE.

3 3

THE VICTIM WHO SEEKS SHELTER WITH A FRIEND OR FAMILY MEMBER WILL KNOW BEFORE SHE LAKES THE CALL BACK TO HER HOME IF CALLER ID IS INDEED WORKING ON HER HOME NUMBER. IF IT IS NOT, THEN CALLER ID WILL NOT BE A FACTOR. IF IT IS, OR IF SHE IS UNCERTAIN WHETHER IT HAS BEEN ADDED IN HER ABSENCE, THEN THE VICTIM COULD USE THE OPERATOR IN PLACING THE CALL.

Q. DO YOU AGREE THAT LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES HAVE
UNIQUE NEEDS THAT PER CALL OR PER LINE BLOCKING
MAY NOT ADEQUATELY ADDRESS?

A. YES. AS STATED IN MR. RADIN'S TESTIMONY FOR

GTE TELEPHONE, IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS, LAW

ENFORCEMENT MAY HAVE NEED OF MAINTAINING THE

ABILITY TO APPEAR AS ANY OTHER CALLER. FOR

INSTANCE, WITH PER CALL OR PER LINE BLOCKING, A

"F" WILL BE DISPLAYED WHICH WOULD INDICATE TO A

CALLER ID SUBSCRIBER THAT THE CALLING NUMBER

IS BEING BLOCKED. SOUTHERN BELL AGREES WITH

,		GLE LMVL RA CIAINO DWA BULONCEUDMI INT MOINTY
2		TO PASS A "SAFE" NUMBER AT WILL, THEIR NEEDS
3		SHOULD BE RESOLVED.
4		
ATT Polyg North		OTHERS, SUCH AS INFORMANTS WHO HAVE AN
6	,	OCCASIONAL NEED TO PROTECT THEIR NUMBER, CAN
7		USE THE OTHER READILY AVAILABLE ALTERNATIVES
8		DESCRIBED IN MY DIRECT TESTIMONY.
9		
1.0	Q .	WOULD YOU PLEASE COMMENT ON THE PORTIONS OF MR.
1.7		TUDOR'S TESTIMONY THAT REFER TO THE EFFECTS
12		THAT ADVANCEMENTS IN TECHNOLOGY HAVE HAD ON LAW
13		ENFORCEMENT?
1.4		
15	į,	MR. TUDOR'S TESTIMONY EMPHASIZES HOW LAW
1.6		ENFORCEMENT IN GENERAL HAS OVER THE YEARS HAD
17		TO ADJUST THE WAY IN WHICH IT OPERATES TO MEET
18		THE CHANGES BROUGHT ON BY HEW TECHNOLOGIES. IN
19		PARTICULAR, HE MENTIONS PAGING AND CELLULAR
2.0		SERVICES WHICH HAVE BEEN USED BEAVILY IN
2.1		CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES.
22		
: <b>3</b>		I DO NOT CONDONE THIS USE OF SUCH SERVICES, BUT
		I AM SURE THAT MR. TUDOR DOES NOT PROPOSE THAT
n el		SUCH SERVICES SHOULD NEVER HAVE BEEN OFFERED OR

<i>J.</i> ,	ONDY AROATHED GMBER AREA MESTWICITAR ROPES: I
son Lie	AM ALSO SURE THAT HE WOULD ADMIT THAT CELLULAR
3	AND PAGING SERVICES PROVIDE GREAT BENEFIT TO
á	THE PUBLIC AT LARGE AS WELL AS ASSISTANCE TO
<b>5</b>	LAW ENFORCEMENT.
6	
7	ADVANCEMENTS IN TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES ARE
B	OFFERED TO SERVE THE GENERAL PUBLIC'S INTEREST.
9	IN SO DOING, THEY ALSO HELP LAW ENFORCEMENT.
1.0	MR. TUDOR HAS ONLY EMPHASIZED THE PROBLEMS THAT
1.1	MAY OCCUR WITH THE DELIVERY OF THE CALLING
1.2	NUMBER, WHICH SOUTHERN BELL HAS ADDRESSED
13	THROUGH VARIOUS BLOCKING OPTIONS. HE HAS
1. 42.	IGNORED THE VOLUMINOUS TESTIMONY THAT SAYS
15	CALLER ID WILL ENHANCE EMERGENCY OPERATIONS AND
16	WILL REDUCE AS WELL AS ASSIST INVESTIGATIONS
3.7	INTO BOMB THREATS, HARASSING AND THREATENING
1. 8.	CALLS AND FALSE AS WELL AS ACTUAL FIRE CALLS.
19	
20	NOTING THE EXCEPTIONS FOR SECURITY AND SAFETY,
24	CALLER ID SERVICE SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED WITHOUT
22	PER CALL OR PER LINE BLOCKING IN ORDER FOR THE
23	SERVICE TO BE USED IN THE MANNER IN WEICH IT
24	WAS INTENDED. THE GENERAL OFFERING OF BLOCKING

COULD NOT ONLY HAMPER THE USE OF THE SERVICE IN

1		EMERGENCY SITUATIONS, BUT COULD DEVALUE THE
2		LONG-TERM VIABILITY OF THE SERVICE.
4	٥.	DO YOU AGREE WITH DR. COOPER'S BELIEF THAT WIT
5		CALLER ID THE CALLER ACTUALLY LOSES CONTROL
6		OVER HIS TELEPHONE NUMBER?
Ť		
8	A.	NO. THE CALLER HAS THE ABILITY TO CHOOSE TO
9		MAKE A CALL OR TO NOT MAKE A CALL. THE CALLER
10		CAN CHOOSE WHO THEY WANT TO CALL AND WHEN THEY
1. %,		WANT TO CALL. THE CALLER CAN ALSO CHOOSE THE
12		CALLING LOCATION AND THE METHOD BY WHICH THE
13		CALL IS MADE. WITH CALLER ID, THE CALLER MAY
1, 42		GIVE MORE CONSIDERATION TO THE MANMER IN WHICH
15		THE CALL IS PLACED, BUT WITH CALL TRACING AND
L6		CALL BETURN NOW IN PLACE, THE CALLER PROBABLY
. T		DOES THIS TO SOME DEGREE TODAY.
. 8		
.9		EVEN THE CUSTOMER WITH A NONPUBLISHED NUMBER IS
0.0		PROTECTED WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CALLER ID
1.1		BIS NUMBER WILL NOT BE DISPLAYED UNLESS: 1) HE
.2		INITIATES A CALL, AND 2) THE CALLING PARTY HAS
3		SUBSCRIBED TO CALLER ID. BECAUSE OF THE
, į		MONPUBLISHED STATUS OF THE NUMBER, IF THE
5		NUMBER IS DISPLAYED THROUGH CALLER ID SERVICE,

IT CANNOT BE ASSOCIATED WITH AN ADDRESS. IF ž 2 THE CALLER IS CONCERNED ABOUT AN UNWANTED Ž, CALLBACK, HE CAN INITIATE INCOMING CALL BLOCKING. FURTHERMORE, THE CUSTOMER WITH A 5 NONPUBLISHED NUMBER IS USUALLY MORE CONCERNED 1 ABOUT KNOWING WHO IS CALLING, AND CALLER ID WITHOUT BLOCKING CAN GIVE HIM THAT CONTROL 1 3 MECHANISM. 9 10 Q. IN DR. COOPTR'S TESTIMONY AT PAGES 28 AND 29, 11 HE DISCUSSES THE OPTIONS FOR HANDLING ANNOYANCE 12 CALLS. IS CALLER ID BEING PROPOSED AS THE ANSWER TO PREVENTING ANNOYANCE CALLS? 13 14 15 13. CALLER ID IS NOT BEING PROPOSED BY SOUTHERN 1.5 BELL AS THE ULTIMATE SOLUTION TO THE ANNOYANCE 17 CALL PROBLEM. IT IS, HOWEVER, A USEFUL 18 DETERRENT, ESPECIALLY WHEN COUPLED WITH OTHER 19 SOUTHERN BELL TOUCHSTAR FEATURES. FOR 20 INSTANCE, CALLER ID CAN BE USED TO SCREEN INCOMING CALLS AND, IN CONJUNCTION WITH 21 INCOMING CALL BLOCKING, CAN BE USED TO PREVENT 22 THE RECURRENCE OF AN UNWANTED CALL. 17 3 24

WHILE SOUTHERN BELL ESTIMATES THAT THIRE ARE

15 E.

APPROXIMATELY 75,000,000 CALLS MADE IN SOUTHERN BELL TERRITORY WITHIN THE STATE OF FLORIDA EACH DAY, HARASSING AND ANNOYING CALLS CONSTITUTE 3 ONLY A TINY FRACTION OF THOSE CALLS. THEREFORE, FOCUSING ON CALLER ID AS A SERVICE 5 DIRECTED AT HANDLING ANNOYANCE CALLS DISREGARDS Ĝ THE MORE IMPORTANT REASONS FOR OFFERING THIS 7 SERVICE. 8 Ç CUSTOMERS HAVE INDICATED THAT THEY LIKE TO SEE 10

21

22

23

2

25

THE NUMBER OF THE PERSON CALLING THEM AS THEY
MAY THEN BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE CALLER BEFORE
ANSWERING THE CALL. BASED ON THE CALLING
NUMBER THEY CAN THEN MAKE AN INFOPMED DECISION
AS TO HOW TO ANSWER THE CALL OR WHETHER OR NOT
TO ANSWER THE CALL AT ALL. IN FACT, WHEREAS
SOME OF THE OPPOSITION TESTIMONY SAYS THAT
CALLER ID COMPROMISES SECURITY AND SAFETY, MANY
OTHERS PRAISE THE SERVICE AS AN ENHANCEMENT TO
SAFETY AND SECURITY. ALSO, AS DESCRIBED AT
LENGTH IN MY DIRECT TESTIMONY, THERE APE
NUMEROUS USES FOR CALLER ID SERVICE, SUCH AS
PROVIDING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR EMERGENCY
SERVICES PROVIDERS, ASSISTING DEAF CUSTOMERS TO
DETERMINE IF THEY SHOULD ANSWER THEIR PHONE

1		WITH A TELECOMMUNICATIONS DEVICE FOR DEAF
2		PERSONS, AND PROVIDING SMALL BUSINESSES WITH
3		THE ABILITY TO PERSONALIZE SERVICE, THAT ARE
Ą		TOTALLY UNRELATED TO THE UNWANTED CALL ISSUE.
5		THESE USES ARE IN THE GENERAL PUBLIC'S INTEREST
6		AND SHOULD NOT BE IGNORED.
7		
8		DR. COOPER AND OTHERS WOULD LEAD ONE TO RELIEVE
9		THAT SERVICES SUCH AS CALL TRACING, CALL RETURN
10		AND INCOMING CALL BLOCK CAN SERVE THE SAME
Li		NEEDS OF THE CUSTOMER AS DOES CALLER ID.
1. 2		SOUTHERN BELL DOES NOT AGREE. EACH SERVICE
13		BRINGS A UNIQUE SET OF BENEFITS TO THE
14		CUSTOMER. WHILE THERE ARE SOME CROSS
15		ELASTICITIES AMONG THE SERVICES, CUSTOMERS HAVE
16		VARYING NEEDS AND EACH TOUCHSTAR FEATURE
1.7		PERFORMS A SPECIAL, UNIQUE SERVICE WHICH MAY OF
18		MAY NOT SATISFY AN INDIVIDUAL CUSTOMER'S NEEDS.
19		THESE SERVICES ARE DESIGNED TO ALLOW CUSTOMERS,
20		BOTH CALLER AND CALLING PARTY, TO TAILCR THEIR
2 I		TELEPHONE SERVICE TO BETTER SERVE THEIR
Z Ž.		PERSONAL AS WELL AS BUSINESS NEEDS.
23		
ិស៊ី	( )	DO YOU AGREE WITH DR. COOPER'S PORTRAYAL OF
5.42		CONT. TEN TO A C. ECIET. OC. STEPFER PERMARKETTE

## ACTIVITIES?

A. ABSOLUTELY NOT. TELEMARKETING ABUSES,

INCLUDING OBJECTIONABLE SOLICITATION, IS A

PROBLEM THAT EXISTS TODAY WITHOUT THE PRESENCE

OF CALLER ID SERVICE. COMPUTERIZED

TELEMARKETING CALLING GENERALLY OCCURS AT

RANDOM. TELEPHONE NUMBERS ARE OBTAINED BY

TELEMARKETING FIRMS FROM MANY SOURCES OUTSIDE

THE TELEPHONE COMPANY SUCH AS CREDIT CARD

COMPANIES, MAIL ORDER COMPANIES, BANK

TRANSACTIONS, AND ENTRIES IN CONTESTS.

1.3

1 8

AS A SEPARATE ISSUE. THIS COMMISSION AS WELL
AS THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE HAS RECOGNIZED THIS,
AND HAS ALREADY TAKEN STEPS TO RESTRICT THIS
TYPE OF CALLING. IN ADDITION, SOUTHERN EELL'S
CALLER ID TARIFF SPECIFICALLY PROHIBITS THE
RESALE OF NUMBERS OBTAINED THROUGH THE USE OF
CALLER ID SERVICE.

2 %

23 (). IN THEIR DIRECT TESTIMONIES, DR. COOPER, MS.
24 DUNN, MR. TUDOR, AND MS. PHOENIX ALL SUPPORT
25 THE PROVISION OF CALL TRACING ON A PER CALL

1		BASIS TO BE USED AS NEEDED BY ANY SUBSCRIBER.
eng En		HOW DOES SOUTHERN BELL RESPOND TO THIS
3		SUGGESTION?
ζ <sub>ĝ</sub>		
E.	A.	SOUTHERN BELL CURRENTLY OFFERS CALL TRACING
6		SERVICE ON A MONTHLY SUBSCRIPTION BASIS SIMILAR
7		TO THE WAY WE OFFER CUSTOM CALLING SERVICES AND
8		OTHER TOUCHSTAR FEATURES. WHEN CALL TRACING
9		WAS ORIGINALLY TRIALED, IT WAS OFFERED ON A PER
10		CALL BASIS IN ONE LOCATION AND ON A MONTHLY
1.1		BASIS IN ANOTHER LOCATION. FASED ON THE
12		RESULTS OF THESE TRIALS, SOUTHERN BELL ELECTED
1.3		TO OFFER CALL TRACING ON A MONTHLY FLAT EATED
1 4		BASIS. THIS WAS DONE FOR TWO REASONS: TO
15		REFLECT CUSTOMER PREFERENCE, AND TO GENERATE
15		ENOUGH REVENUES TO COVER THE COST OF THE
Ţ., À		SERVICE AND THEREBY PROVIDE CONTRIBUTION TO
18		SUPPORT BASIC LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE.
13		
20		IF CALL TRACING WERE OFFERED AT \$1.00 PER CALL
21		IT WOULD NOT BE PRICED TO COVER THE COST OF
ny eg Le Lo		THE SERVICE. CURRENTLY THIS SERVICE IS SECOND
23		ONLY TO CALL RETURN IN POPULARITY AMONG THE
24		TOUCHSTAR FEATURES; THEREFORE, IF IT WERE TO BE
12 L		OFFERED ON A USAGE BASIS, THERE WOULD BE A

at A		SIGNIFICANT DROP IN THE CONTRIBOTION THAT IS
2		RECEIVED FROM THE SERVICE TODAY.
3		
A.		FURTHERMORE, IN NEW JERSEY A SURVEY SHOWED THAT
5		84% OF CALLER ID SUBSCRIBERS SAID THAT CALLER
6		ID WAS MORE EFFECTIVE THAN CALL TRACING IN
7		HANDLING NUISANCE CALLING. IN MOST CASES,
8		UNLESS THE CALL IS TRULY OBSCENE OR
9		THREATENING, THE RECIPIENT OF THE CALL JUST
10		WANTS THE CALLING TO CEASE; SHE DOES NOT PANT
<u>1</u> 1		TO TAKE LEGAL ACTION.
â. Z		
13		IT SHOULD ALSO BE NOTED THAT THE AVAILABILITY
14		OF CALLER ID IS EXPECTED TO REDUCE THE NUMBER
15		OF CALLS INITIATED THROUGH CALL TRACING. MANY
16		OF THE CALLS INITIATED TO CALL TRACING ARE
17		THOSE THAT DO NOT WARRANT LAW ENFORCEMENT
3.8		INTERVENTION. THESE INCLUDE SALES SOLICITATION
19		CALLS, WRONG NUMBERS AND PRANK CALLS WITH NO
20		MALICIOUS INTENT. CALLER ID WOULD PROVIDE
		CUSTOMERS CONCERNED WITH THESE TYPES OF CALLS
176 175 275 200		AN EFFECTIVE METHOD OF AVOIDING THEN.
23		
24	Q.,	WOULD YOU PLEASE COMMENT ON THE PREFILED
*9-10 43-28		TESTIMONY OF MR. TUBOR, MS. PROENIX AND MS.

1		DUNN REGARDING THE POSSIBLE USE OF CALLER ID
2		INFORMATION FOR VIGILANTE ACTIVITIES?
3		
4		ALTHOUGH SOME OF THE TESTIMONY SUGGESTS THAT
5		CALLER ID MAY SPAWN VIGILANTE OR RETALIATORY
б		CONFRONTATIONS BETWEEN THE CALLER AND THE
7		CALLED PARTY, I BELIEVE THIS TO BE PURE
S		SPECULATION. IN THE SIX STATES WHERE CALLER IN
9		IS CURRENTLY AVAILABLE, SOME FOR TWO OR MORE
10		YEARS, THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT THIS TYPE OF
3.2		ACTIVITY HAS BEEN A PROBLEM.
1, 2		
1. 3	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
14		
15	A .	YES.
16		
1.7		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
7. B		