## PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 1:00 p.m.)

## IN CAMERA

## CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

commissioner EASLEY: The transcript of this portion of the hearing, and the discussion related thereto, shall be prepared and filed under seal, to be opened only by order of the Commission. The transcript is, and shall be, nonpublic record exempt from Section 119.07 (1). Only the attorneys for the participating parties, Public Counsel, Commission Staff and the Commissioners, shall receive a copy of the sealed transcript.

that the testimony and evidence about to be received is proprietary, confidential business information which shall be kept confidential. No one is to reveal the contents or substance of this testimony or evidence to anyone not present in this room at this time.

The court reporter shall now record the names and affiliations of all persons present in the hearing room at this time. I will start with Mr. Pruitt.

MR. PRUITT: I'm Prentice P. Pruitt, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee 32303, Counselor to the Commissioners.

1	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Commissioner Betty Easley
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioner Terry Deason
3	MR. DeWARD: Thomas C. DeWard, Certified Public
4	Accountant, registered in Michigan, with the firm of
5	Larkin and Associates, 15728 Farmington Road, Lovonia,
6	Michigan 48154.
7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: For OPC.
8	MR. DeWARD: Representing OPC.
9	MR. REILLY: Steve Reilly, with the Office of
10	Public Counsel.
11	WITNESS SEIDMAN: Frank Seidman, Management
12	amd Regulatory Consultants, 11380 Palm Beach Gardens,
13	Florida, rate consultant to the Applicant, Sailfish
14	Point Utility Corporation.
15	MR. BRADFORD: Hal Bradford, counsel for
16	Sailfish Point, Inc. Do you need an address?
17	MR. OLSON: Terry Olson, Manager of Federal
18	Compliance, 1201 Elm Street, Dallas, Texas 75221, Mobil
19	Administrative Services, Inc.
20	MR. LOPEZ: Steve Lopez, Mobil Administrative
21	Services, Inc. I'm Supervisor in the Tax Compliance
22	Group, same address as Terry.
23	MR. GIRTMAN: Ben Girtman, 1020 East
24	Lafayette Street, Suite 207, Tallahassee, Florida
25	32301 representing Sailfish Point Utility Corporation.

1	MR. KING: William Reeves King, of St. Johns
2	and King, West Palm Beach, attorney for the
3	intervenors.
4	MR. O'GORMAN: Joe O'Gorman, Mobil Land
5	Florida, Controller, 4440 PGA Boulevard, Palm Beach
6	Gardens.
7	MS. DAVIS: Noreen Davis, 101 East Gaines
8	Street, Tallahassee, PSC Legal Department.
9	MS. BEDELL: Catherine Bedell, 101 East
10	Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida, for the Commission
11	Staff.
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right.
13	MR. GIRTMAN: Commissioner, excuse me one
14	moment. The material that you placed at the beginning of
15	this meeting regarding how the material would be handled,
16	I would like to please clarify and make sure that we
17	preserve our rights in rejard to attorney/ client
18	privilege, accountant/client privilege, and any other
19	rights or privileges that we may have available to us.
20	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: The language is
21	directed toward proprietary but is inclusive of all of
22	those privileges that you cite
23	MR. GIRTMAN: Thank you.
24	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. Tell me
25	where we need to begin.

1	MR. OLSON: This is Document 16, as we
2	provided, and this is the unredacted document. These
3	do not deal with Sailfish Point, Inc. or the Utility.
4	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I see. All right.
5	What we're dealing with are
6	MR. OLSON: Other real estate developments
7	that are in the Mobil.
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Do you have Mr. Pruitt
9	come over here.
10	MR. GIRTMAN: Steve, do you have a copy?
11	MR. REILLY: Not of the unedited version.
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Where is Bear Island
13	Investments, Inc. located physically? It's not in
14	Florida?
15	MR. O'GORMAN: Certainly not.
16	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: It's not in Florida?
17	MR. O'GORMAN: It's not in Florida.
18	COMMISSIONER EAGLEY: Thank you.
19	MS. BEDELL: Georgia.
20	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: It's not in Florida.
21	Big Creek/Little Creek is it in Florida?
22	MR. O'GORMAN: No.
23	MR. REILLY: For the record, those are the
24	ones I thought we would excise. I expected those.
25	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Then you have no

1	objection to excising these:
2	MR. REILLY: I have no objection to the
3	entities that have nothing to do with Sailfish Point
4	being excised.
5	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Fine. Then maybe it
6	would be quicker too as we go through these, deal with
7	the ones you have an objection to.
8	MR. REILLY: That's really I just thought
9	I'd help out.
10	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Fine. I assumed this
11	first one
12	MR. OLSON: I think we took it out because it
13	didn't deal with the Utility at all or its operation.
14	MR. O'GORMAN: There are many facets of
15	Sailfish Point, Inc. which do not deal with the
16	Utility.
17	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I'm going to ask, for
18	purposes of the court reporter, that we kind of limit
19	how many people are talking. Because otherwise the
20	record is going to get a little confused.
21	Where'd Mr. Reilly go? Oh, there he is. Do
22	you have any problem with that? I'm not sure what it
23	does, one way or the other, to be truthful with you.
24	MR. REILLY: I don't have a problem with
25	that. I mean, I don't know why they have a problem

1	with it either.
2	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I just don't know that
3	it does anything, one way or the other.
4	MR. REILLY: That's correct. It's not
5	something that is going to affect us. Okay. No
6	problem.
7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Okay. That takes care
8	of Page 16, it will remain as excised.
9	17 we go to (Pause) I'm not sure. I
10	don't see anything in there that gets very direct that
11	I can recognize.
12	MR. DeWARD: It could have tangential tax
13	treatment of subordinated sales, which could deal with
14	the cost of sales of those.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah, but we could
16	MR. REILLY: Keep reading on. It gets in
17	context I think the whole page is blocked out.
18	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Okay. Down to B. All
19	right. I don't see anything having to do with the
20	Utility, unless the only subordinated sales and
21	installment sales, tell me what those are.
22	MR. OLSON: Prior to 1987, Mobil in a lot of
23	its sales may take back notes when they sell lots,
24	okay, and it's a method of the way we treated things
25	for tax purposes as to bringing all of the sales

1	proceeds in an 8-year saie. We would bring it in as
2	the customer paid us cash. So it's just the
3	methodology of the way we sold the lots.
4	MR. DeWARD: Per se, we can
5	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I don't see anything
6	relevant.
7	MR. DeWARD: I think we can live with that.
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: The language that is
9	redacted on Pages 1 and 2 of Docket No. 17 will remain
10	redacted.
11	MR. OLSON: Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: And then the next one
13	appears to be
14	MR. OLSON: 17 was all left in.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: The rest of 17 is
16	intact.
17	MR. OLSON: So we can
18	COMMISSIONER EASIEY: There is nothing there.
19	17 is now taken care of. 18.
20	MR. OLSON: The way I understand, it says
21	sales center \$375,000. Life of project, it's hard to
22	read upside down, 150%, eight years, which presumably
23	is the depreciation, method and life.
24	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Or what?
25	MR. REILLY: Development cost, and I don't

1	think that would have to do with the Utility.
2	MR. OLSON: Nothing to do with the utility.
3	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right, the language
4	on Page 18
5	MR. GIRTMAN: It's an unnumbered page.
6	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Unnumbered Page 18 at
7	the bottom of the page relating to sales summary
8	remains redacted.
9	This is not in Florida, is that correct?
10	MR. OLSON: It's Brickton, and I think it was
11	covered under the other that we mentioned before.
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right.
13	MR. GIRTMAN: TP&J is another development down
14	south of Stuart.
15	MR. DeWARD: We're not concerned with those two.
16	MR. OLSON: It just talks about the number of
17	units sold.
18	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. The
19	language on Page 18, item 18, Page 9, that is redacted
20	shall remain redacted. (Pause)
21	I see nothing there.
22	MR. REILLY: I don't see anything.
23	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Item 18, Page 10, is
24	redacted, shall remain redacted.
25	MR. OLSON: Again, this is the golf club.

1	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. And the
2	clubhouse, the marina and the rest of this is in
3	beginning with the utility corporation.
4	MR. REILLY: I don't read as fast as you, I
5	guess.
6	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Sorry. I've been doing
7	this a lot longer than you have.
8	Item 18, Page 11, the redacted language will
9	remain redacted.
10	MR. OLSON: We talked about dunes earlier.
11	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. California region
12	we also talked about. Item 18, Page 12, the items
13	redacted will remain redacted.
14	MR. OLSON: That's all of 18.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That takes care of
16	Document 18. We are now in Document 19. Golf club.
17	What's POA.?
18	MR. REILLY: Property Owners Association.
19	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Oh, of course, I'm
20	sorry. (Pause)
21	MR. DeWARD: I think this POA will not have a
22	tax basis for assets contributed to it by Sailfish to
23	the extent that Sailfish includes the cost of such
24	assets as cost of lot sales. I think that's relevant.
25	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: To what?

1	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. And the
2	clubhouse, the marina and the rest of this is in
3	beginning with the utility corporation.
4	MR. REILLY: I don't read as fast as you, I
5	guess.
6	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Sorry. I've been doing
7	this a lot longer than you have.
8	Item 18, Page 11, the redacted language will
9	remain redacted.
10	MR. OLSON: We talked about dunes earlier.
11	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. California region
12	we also talked about. Item 18, Page 12, the items
13	redacted will remain redacted.
14	MR. OLSON: That's all of 18.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That takes care of
16	Document 18. We are now in Document 19. Golf club.
17	What's POA.?
18	MR. REILLY: Property Owners Association.
19	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Oh, of course, I'm
20	sorry. (Pause)
21	MR. DeWARD: I think this POA will not have a
22	tax basis for assets contributed to it by Sailfish to
23	the extent that Sailfish includes the cost of such
24	assets as cost of lot sales. I think that's relevant.
25	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: To what?
1	

1	MR. DeWARD: The whole cost of sales issue
2	and if it's been costed out
3	MR. OLSON: But we are not talking about the
4	POA here. We're talking about the cost of sales for
5	Sailfish Point, Inc.
6	MR. DeWARD: We're talking about contributing
7	the assets to the POA, which, if they are contributing
8	them to the POA and they're writing them off, it's cost
9	of sales issue and there could be no basis then.
10	That's relevant.
11	MR. REILLY: Didn't the POA make a claim
12	about the common properties, at least as it related to
13	the utility assets outside the utility parcel, so that
14	that gets into the distribution system.
15	MR. DeWARD: To further expand on that, we
16	have discussion in these other memos of a tri-party
17	agreement, which is the POA, Sailfish Point, Inc. and
18	Sailfish Point Utility Corporation. So it's all
19	intertwined and we're talking now about tax basis and
20	tax treatment.
21	WITNESS SEIDMAN: I didn't see anything in
22	there about utilities being transferred.
23	MR. OLSON: Right.
24	WITNESS SEIDMAN: It just says assets that it
) E	two was forward

1	MR. OLSON: All the common areas were
2	contemplated to be turned over to the POA. These are
3	defined common areas.
4	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I think I see where
5	you're going. I think I see where they are going. The
6	difficulty is going to be, I believe, that this is
7	going to be your basic problem and how in the world are
8	you going to break out that and just taking the
9	property owners association dues portion of it, I'm not
10	sure is going to get you there anyway.
11	MR. REILLY: May I throw the ball in the
12	other corner? What is the problem about that
13	information being discovered? Does it compromise
14	Sailfish Point, Inc. or something?
15	MR. GIRTMAN: Let me suggest
16	MR. BRADFORD: Corporate policy.
17	(Simultaneous conversation.)
18	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Now, wait a minute,
19	guys, hold the phone. Because we have a court
20	reporter, we've got to do one at a time now. So, just
21	please.
22	MR. GIRTMAN: Let me comment first on this.
23	When you have a business operation, large or
24	small, whether it be Mobil Corporation with all its
25	subsidies, or ABC Mom and Pop Utility Corporation,

there are certain internal business operations that are not made available to the general public on any number of reasons.

As a matter of policy, regardless of whether this page of documents recited the ABCs, it is still significant to that business that, as a matter of precedence, outside interests do not have a right to come in and just pick up information from them. If there is a need for information, if it is relevant, specifically relevant to a specific purpose to be used for something else, then perhaps it can be used for that purpose.

But the point we're trying to make, and we've made successfully in all three of those documents, is they are not relevant to the proceeding. As a matter of precedent, the Mobil Corporation will not turn them over to anybody without a good reason, you see. If there is a relevant specific, directly relevant reason for something to be used in this proceeding, that's the question.

And I'll defer to whoever is going to speak back here in addition to what I had to say.

MR. REILLY: I have a comment.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Briefly, because I have a question.

1	MR. REILLY: Briefly, the burden is on the
2	Utility in this case to prove the harm that will be
3	caused by the disclosure of this information. This
4	burden must be met if the information is not going to
5	be disclosed.
6	MR. GIRTMAN: Well, I take exception to that
7	but go ahead.
8	MR. REILLY: I would say that, you know, just
9	to be worried about precedence does not meet that
10	burden. The need, the specific need he made reference
11	to is that it has been alleged by intervenors in this
12	case that it is the fact that the POA who has been
13	suggested as a possible party for the tri-party
14	agreement, which was or was not ever entered into, but
15	that it is going to be the ultimate owner of the common
16	property, common elements of which part of that common
١7	elements are lines, collection lines and distribution
18	lines of the utility system.
19	MR. GIRTMAN: Let me register an objection to
20	that.
21	MR. REILLY: Okay. This is an allegation
22	that has been made by one of the parties that's at
23	issue. This could bear on it.
24	MR. DeWARD: I'm only interested not in 5 and

6 but just in 4. I don't know if I made that clear.

25

1	MR. OLSON: What does it have to do with the
2	Utility?
3	MR. DeWARD: Well, because the other
4	documents that we have that are part of the POD No. 3
5	suggest that the plant was going to be donated to the
6	POA and costed in cost of sales. And here it suggests
7	will not have a tax basis for assets contributed.
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Let me ask you a
9	question, and I think maybe this is where part of the
LO	problem is coming in. POAA has not had transfer of
11	ownership take place, has it?
12	MR. DeWARD: That's by understanding.
13	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: It has not occurred yet
L <b>4</b>	MR. KING: That's correct.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: If it has not occurred
16	yet, then this is not operative until such time as the
L7	assets are transferred, is that correct?
18	MR. KING: I have not seen what you're
19	talking about, but it would seem to me that we're
0 0	talking about evidence of whether they had made a
21	decision to contribute these assets and how to handle
22	this development, and whether that has taken place yet
23	or not seems to me is not relevant to that issue.
24	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Well, it's relevant to
25	this extent, Mr. King, and here's my problem. This

Consider Section 200

- 1	
L	specifically says "will not have a tax basis for assets
2	contributed to it to the extent Sailfish includes the
3	cost of such assets in its cost of lot sales." If the
.	assets have not yet been contributed, how can I assume
5	what the result of a future action is going to be?
5	MR. KING: Because they have given it certain

tax treatment and they have made a decision, and as far as a corporate plan and a development scheme as to how they are going to treat those things.

commissioner EasLey: But, Mr. King, until the treatment occurs and the tax liability or credit occurs, I cannot make an assumption of something that hasn't happened yet and is going to happen in the future, or may not happen.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Just one second, let me interrupt here.

If you read that very carefully, it seems to me that it's not stating any more than just a fact of the way the tax law operates. Because it's saying to the extent something is done. The real issue, has that been done or will that be done, and that's a factual determination that can be determined somewhere else.

But this is just really a statement of the tax law unless I'm reading it incorrectly. And I don't see how a statement of what the tax law is could harm

1	the company if disclosed. Of course, I don't see where
2	it's that beneficial to the Public Counsel either
3	because they know what the tax law says, too.
4	MR. GIRTMAN: The burden is not on us. The
5	burden is on the movant seeking the discovery to show
6	that it's relevant and overcomes the privacy.
7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. I'm going
8	to tell you something. I really think Commissioner
9	Deason just solved my problem for me. I think looking
10	at the content and the forward-looking nature of it,
11	but I think Commissioner Deason is right. The law is
12	what the law says it is and because it has I'm going
13	to combine the two together; because such tax basis has
14	not yet occurred, we're going to leave that language
15	redacted.
16	MR. DeWARD: Could I just add a comment?
17	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I've already ruled. Do
18	you want to push your luck? I'd leave it alone if I
19	were you.
20	MR. DeWARD: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Okay. You might get it
22	on the next one if you leave it alone now.
23	5 and 6 you don't have any problem with,
24	right?
25	MR. REILLY: My consultant says he didn't so
1	

1	I don't.
2	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Page 2 of Document 19
3	will remain redacted. (Pause)
4	MR. DeWARD: This is definitely relevant, No. 8
5	(Pause)
6	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I think it is. Tell me
7	how it harms you.
8	MR. GIRTMAN: Let me take a look, please.
9	(Pause)
10	MR. REILLY: I haven't heard anything in a
11	long time that there couldn't possibly be any harm.
12	MR. OLSON: Is this the same concept as the
13	other?
14	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: No.
15	MR. GIRTMAN: Have you seen that?
16	MR. BRADFORD: Are you talking to me?
17	MR. GIRTMAN: Yes. No. 8.
18	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: The difference between
19	that and the other it does not restate tax law.
20	MR. BRADFORD: I was going to say this is
21	Hal Bradford speaking that it was the same as the
22	last one, that it is a statement of tax law.
23	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Do you think it is?
24	MR. LOPEZ: If it's committed.
25	MR. BRADFORD: Are we still talking about

	261
1	what was going to be
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: You're talking again
3	about prospective things that my occur in the future.
4	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I understand about the
5	prospective things, I still don't let me see.
6	MR. DeWARD: I guess if we're talking about
7	tax law putting it in the record is no big deal then,
8	right? I mean it's tax law.
9	MR. GIRTMAN: The point of it is, it's
10	private. If you want the tax law, get the tax law.
11	That's our position. (Pause)
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Do you know whether or
L3	not we're just restating the tax law with this? You
L4	knew in the other one, but I'm not sure. But at this
L5	date, I don't know. I don't enough about it to know
16	that. Just a minute. (Pause)
L7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I don't claim to be a
18	tax law expert but reading that it appears to me that's
19	a statement of what the tax law is. Maybe Mr. DeWard
20	can add to that, explain if that's not a restatement of
1	what the applicable tax law is.

MR. REILLY: Go ahead. You can say it, but when they're asking tax advice, you're going to hope that you're going to get good and correct tax advice from your tax counsel so that's going to hopefully

22

23

24

25

always	be	permeated	in	here,	but	go	ahead,	Tom.
--------	----	-----------	----	-------	-----	----	--------	------

MR. DeWARD: I think it's important we're going after the fact and that's why our motion was so we can go back and look at the actual cost of sales. We're talking now another piece of evidence here that suggests that this is costed out. It's just another piece, it may not have carried through, but it's another piece of evidence that the intent was to donate this property to Sailfish or to the Utility --

(Simultaneous conversation.)

Donated to the POA and costed out on Sailfish Point's books and that's what we're trying to prove.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Guys, hold the phone.

I told Mr. King he could put a comment in here.

MR. KING: I think, if there is going to be rebuttal testimony that the plan to do all of this cost of sales was never carried out, that that provision would be relevant for cross examination purposes.

commissioner Easley: My problem, gentlemen, is that I believe the language is relevant. Where you're confusing me is if it is a restatement of the fact that you all are complying with the tax law, I don't understand why it's harmful to you. But on the other hand, I don't understand why Public Counsel benefits by knowing they are complying with the tax

1	law. So I'm having a little bit of difficulty
2	understanding what the big hoohah is about on this one.
3	MR. BRADFORD: Let me just state this is
4	Hal Bradford that it's just our corporate policy not
5	to reveal anything. I don't know if it would harm us
6	or not but it would be going against our corporate
7	policy.
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Well, corporate policy
9	and the regulated requirement may not be compatible.
10	MR. BRADFORD: I understand.
11	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I will not willingly,
12	knowingly, rule something not confidential that has
13	attorney/client privilege or proprietary information,
14	but to have a restatement of the law and say that in
15	this particular case this entity is doing this because
16	that's what the law requires, I'm not sure that it
17	harms you. I don't know that it gets you anything. I
18	don't know why we're even arguing over it, to be
19	perfectly truthful with you.
20	MR. REILLY: Well, it does display the
21	thinking processes that are going on in the minds of
22	Sailfish Point.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Madam Chairman, let me,
24	and I hate to regress, but I'm going to do it. And I

debated whether to do this or not.

25

We passed over an item on the previous page, 1 Document 18, Page 12, Paragraph 5. Now, that statement 2 right there does go directly to a policy of the 3 Company. This is not a restatement of tax law. This is a statement of how the tax law is going to affect 5 their corporate policy to do something. 6 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: But that's the country 7 club. 8 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But I think that what 9 is being debated here on the other item, Paragraph 8 on 10 Document 19, as to whether the cost of the utility 11 assets or one of the amenities that is anticipated to 12 be transferred in this Paragraph 5 on Document 18 shows 13 that one of those amenities, which is the country club, 14

is going to be treated a certain way.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I'm saying this is a specific point. seems to me this would be a whole lot more relevant than that, which is more speculative and really a restatement of tax law. I'm not saying to go back and change this. I just saying it's these type statements that are specific which would be relevant. I'm not so sure that is relevant. That's my two cents worth.

MR. BRADFORD: I agree.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I will tell you that I'm going to leave it out, but I'm not happy leaving it

1	out, only because I don't know what harm it does to put
2	it in. I'm leaving it out because the Company has
3	requested it, and I don't know that it does anybody any
4	good to put it into the record. I don't think I've
5	learned anything.
6	MR. GIRTMAN: That's exactly our point.
7	Right.
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: But I will tell you
9	that the general reaction on the Commission is going to
10	be ask for confidential treatment of information like
11	this, that I can read in a tax journal or in the Wall
12	Street Journal, is going to get met with a great deal
13	of resentment, and I suggest to you that in the future
14	you all have your ducks in a row on what you're
15	requesting confidentiality on. You know what I'm
16	saying.
17	MR. GIRTMAN: I know what you're saying.
18	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Okay.
19	MR. REILLY: Was that it on that page?
20	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: So what's redacted on
21	Item 19, Page 3 remains redacted. Page 4.
22	MR. OLSON: The next page is all included.
23	(Pause)
24	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That appears to be all
25	marina. I see no reference, no relationship. I'm

-	Solly. I m leading allead of jou again.
2	MR. DeWARD: The point Commissioner Deason
3	brought out earlier, whether we should have gone back
4	to the country club and seen their policy that could
5	apply to the marina discussion, too, and how they are
6	going to cost it out for tax purposes on property
7	donated.
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I am going to rule that
9	this is not relevant on the marina, golf club, not
10	relevant to the utility.
11	Page 19, or Document 19, Page 5, 6
12	I understand until such time as a transfer
13	takes place and a result actually occurs there is
14	nothing to do with it. Unless you have a problem, I'm
15	going to rule that the rest of Document 19 remains
16	redacted. Is that it?
17	MR. GIRTMAN: Would the record please reflect
18	that all pages which were previously redacted remain
19	redacted and that there was no order of disclosure of
20	any of the information.
21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That's fine.
22	MR. GIRTMAN: Thank you.
23	MR. REILLY: Do we have another item to look
24	at, the notes?
25	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. Thank you. I
	1

	267
1	was about to
2	MR. REILLY: Assuming I, of course, know my
3	consultant would not write down anything that's not
4	relevant. Another question is, is it going to do harm.
5	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I'm not worried about
6	relevancy at this point. I am concerned that what we're
7	talking about is what I understood to be a list versus
8	transcription of documents.
9	(Simultaneous conversation.)
10	MR. PRUITT: I think we'd better close the
11	record on this. It's getting pretty jumbled up and the
12	reporter can't get it.
13	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: We're not through, Mr.
14	Pruitt.
15	MR. PRUITT: We're not?
16	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: No, sir. We still have
17	another list.
18	MR. PRUITT: I beg your pardon.
19	MR. GIRTMAN: Commissioner, if I could make a
20	comment preparatory to going through this list. One of
21	the concerns that we have, and a major concern that I

have had in dealing with trying to get relevant

information to intervenors or to the Commission, as it

may be, has been trying to develop vehicles to do that.

22

23

24

25

The Florida Rules of Civil Procedure provide

certain guidelines for us to follow. Sometimes they are difficult, cumbersome and not productive, and so in this case there was no discovery filed. It was merely a telephone call between Mr. Reilly and myself to try to work out a mechanism to allow them to confirm data in the consolidated tax return. And we worked out an agreement to do that, and took a great deal of time, I think -- my first draft, Mr. Reilly chopped to pieces and I agreed with him.

not to do this again,. We have been through this one already. Let me go directly to the documents. We have 15 minutes before the next customer hearing. I'm sorry to cut you off but I really do remember the explanation and how this occurred, and I remember the Letter of Agreement.

MR. GIRTMAN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I need to go to the documents. Tell me what this first page represents.

MR. DeWARD: This is information that was taken from probably the SPUC's tax return which shows taxable income loss and the various Schedule M items. It is no different than -- what I was trying to do is transcribe certain information from some pages. Other pages I actually requested complete copies. There is

	- ST
1	nothing in here, as far as I know, and if it's anything
2	to do with other than SPUC or SPI, I'd be more than
3	happy. I don't think I entered any Montgomery Ward
4	I don't think I entered any Montgomery Ward
5	information or anything. It's the same type of

information or anything. It's the same type of information that I transcribed down here that you have provided to us with the whole page, and I simply copied some information down. And then the purpose was to take it back and verify it with the original information we already had because we were working under a limited time frame. And we were only there for a day, and it was impossible to verify everything back so I just simply copied some information.

commissioner Easley: It is your representation that these numbers we have in our possession at this time is part of the official record?

MR. DeWARD: If there is anything in there from other than SPUC or SPI, I didn't mean to put it in there. I don't believe it's in there. I haven't seen this back.

MR. OLSON: I thought all this information was already provided under -- Mobil Land Development had already provided it.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: If it's already been provided, then what's the problem?

1	MR. OLSON: The problem was it was
2	transcribed and he wasn't supposed to transcribe the
3	numbers.
4	MR. GIRTMAN: Wait a minute. Now, are we
5	sure that the information that is in let's go to
6	these pages, the redacted pages. This one is okay,
7	that's just the list. We have no problem with it. The
8	first page, third page, fourth page, with numbers on
9	it, the next page is
10	MR. LOPEZ: The listing.
11	MR. GIRTMAN: The list again.
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Let me clarify what I'm
13	looking for. If these are and when you're dealing
14	with numbers if these are the equivalent of this,
15	only this is documents, these are compilations of
16	numbers contained on documents that we already have.
17	If I understand the explanation, that's what I
18	understand these to be. If that's what these are, do
19	you have a problem with having those this is a
20	summary, that would also be a summary, you have a
21	problem with that?
22	MR. GIRTMAN: Are we sure those are in
23	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That's my question.
24	MR. GIRTMAN: That's the question, I don't
25	know. Frank is not here, is he? How about checking

and see.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Because the difficulty

I have, and I accept your representation that it was

your intention to deep the numbers SPUC and SPI -
MR. DeWARD: With the years that were --

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: But you recognized that damage could be done if the numbers contain anything else, and I'd make them public record.

MR. LOPEZ: Can I ask one thing, ma'am? I think that's why the purpose was set out ahead of time to clip the documents he requested. It's much easier to clip one page than transcribe 15, 16 items off of a page.

the need of an accountant to put down on a piece of paper the numbers that he sees that are relevant. I understand that. And I under the need to run a total on those numbers. Whether or not they need to be part of the public record is something else again. Or whether you have had the opportunity to count the totals that can be part of the public record, I don't know that. But I'm trying to ascertain number one, is this indeed what I just described it as.

MR. DeWARD: It's the same information that would have been on these that they actually copied the

1	whole sheet but not the same. Obviously, I didn't
2	MR. GIRTMAN: Duplicate.
3	MR. DeWARD: Duplicate. But in some I
4	transcribed, in others I wanted the whole sheet and I
5	thought transcribing was a simpler way to go.
6	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: So what I see all
7	right. So what this represents, for instance, would
8	not appear anywhere on this list. You took this
9	instead of listing
10	MR. DeWARD: The whole page. But it's the
11	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Ah-hah.
12	MR. DeWARD: The exact same information.
13	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: But the difference is
14	the information contained on 1987, letter re, whatever
15	it is, CIAC, does not have this on it.
16	MR. DeWARD: Well, could I point out one
17	thing, though. What Mr. Girtman said is everything
18	that we a good number of items that we asked for
19	here, we already had through discovery, and the reason,
20	again, we were short of time and I wanted the copy that
21	later checked back to the material we had, and the same
22	would be true of this.
23	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. What is the
24	position these appear to be taxable income.
25	MR. DeWARD: Terry, you can look at that and

1	see if there is anything that looks different than what
2	you provided me on
3	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Noreen, what is the
4	situation where we're dealing with you need to come
5	look over his shoulder probably dealing with
6	corporate income tax figures for subject such a
7	corporation. Would that that information is public
8	record normally?
9	MS. DAVIS: Tax returns are often the
10	companies often ask for confidential treatment of tax
11	returns.
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: And what is the
13	situation on that? Is that generally granted?
14	MS. DAVIS: Yes.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Because they are
16	corporate income tax returns?
17	MS. DAVIS: I believe so. I'd have to can
18	I check with Jane? Because she has those things fairly
19	regularly.
20	MR. DeWARD: You did provide to us your
21	Florida returns, which is Florida tax returns, is that
22	correct?
23	MR. GIRTMAN: That's correct.
24	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: These are federal.
25	MR. DeWARD: Right. But, again, this is only

1	the it's not the entire consolidated return, it's
2	only information related to SPUC and SPI.
3	MR. REILLY: We needed to have the utility
4	assets.
5	MR. DeWARD: Right.
6	MR. GIRTMAN: One of the problems I have had
7	when I had first seen this document was that some of
8	these pages, they have numbers on it but they don't
9	have a company name on it. Part of the reason for
10	requesting that the documents be clipped so they can be
11	copied is to provide adequate control. If we have a
12	document that comes out of a tax return, or whatever,
13	we know exactly what that is, we know which subsidiary
14	it relates to and those which it doesn't. And that can
15	provide the security control, and that's why it's
16	necessary to preclude a bunch of transcriptions.
17	MR. DeWARD: That's fine. Steve and Terry
18	can tell you there's nothing different basically on the
19	information than what you provided to us.
20	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: However, the difficulty
21	is you are oftentimes provided with information that
22	remains confidential even though you have it. And my
23	concern now is not the fact that you've had access to
24	the information, my concern is whether or not to make

that information public, and that is what I'm not sure

1	of at the moment.
2	MR. GIRTMAN: I understand.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask a question:
4	Does Public Counsel now in their possession have this
5	information?
6	MR. REILLY: We have been denied it up to
7	this point.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So it is a question of
9	access?
LO	MR. REILLY: Yes.
11	MR. LOPEZ: Think Tom said you had a lot of
12	this information and wanted to check it back.
13	MR. DeWARD: I don't have these notes. What
L <b>4</b>	I have, this is an analysis of Schedule M-1s. I have
15	their Schedule M-1s and the object was to note this and
16	then check it back to the Schedule M-1.
L7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: The M-1s you have are
18	for the Utility Company Florida returns which
19	MR. DeWARD: No, no, no. I have the M-1s for
20	SPUC from '79 through '89, and from SPI from '79 to
21	'83. So I have the M-1s from their individual returns.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: This is information taken
23	from the consolidated return that applies only to SPI and
24	SPUC and you want this information to validate
5	MR. DeWARD: Right.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Compare those numbers 1 and make sure they are the same. 2 3 MR. DeWARD: Right. MR. REILLY: One of the primary reasons we 4 went out there. 5 MR. OLSON: That's why it was made access to 6 7 the Company files and consolidated return so he could do that. 8 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Under other sets of 9 circumstances, we have made information available to 10 Public Counsel for their review and held that 1.1 information confidential. That generally has taken 12 place where the company is -- where they can do like 13 they did, go to Dallas and review it. The trouble is, 14 this is a set of notes that was made as a result of 15 16 that. Now, Public Counsel says "I can't use my own 17 notes" and the Company says "it's confidential 18 material." Public Counsel says "I can't review what 19 I've got in my possession, which I've already got, 20 without my notes to compare it to." And I'm trying to 21 figure out a way to give you the opportunity to compare 22 it without making the numbers public. There is a 23

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

difference. I see no reason to put the consolidated

tax returns on the public record. I understand why you

24

25

1	would wish to use the work product from out there. I
2	think, can we do this let me ask can we do this:
3	Can we keep these confidential, in the possession of
4	the Commission for want of a better place to keep them,
5	and allow Mr. DeWard to come over and look at them for the
6	purposes of making his comparison.
7	MS. DAVIS: Commissioner, I believe with our
8	confidentiality procedures which, fortunately, we don't
9	have to use too much in water and sewer so I'm not 100%
10	up to speed, but as soon as it comes into the
11	Commission's possession
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Oh, that's the problem.
13	MS. DAVIS: a request has to be made for
14	confidential treatment, and until that determination is
15	made it has temporary confidential treatment. So it's
16	best not to ever take it into our possession. Perhaps
17	the parties can work out a protective agreement.
18	MR. LOPEZ: The parties worked out an
19	agreement that stipulated nothing would be transcribed.
20	MS. DAVIS: A supplemental one, perhaps.
21	(Laughter)
22	MR. DeWARD: I mean, you were willing to give
23	us pages, just go through here and find the pages that
24	I took this from and give us the pages, and you can

keep the notes.

25

1	MR. REILLY: That sounds like a capital idea.
2	That's why you did it in the first place was to save them
3	the trouble of running and picking
4	MR. DeWARD: So go run your copies if it's
5	that easy. That's, you know, if you can
6	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Guys, look, look.
7	Let's not get into this.
8	I have no problem with trying to get you
9	where you want to go, but I'm not going to make these
10	numbers public. I don't think that's the proper thing
11	to do, and I'll tell you point blank, I'm not ready to
12	release these as public documents. Now, you tell me
13	how I can get where I need to go.
14	MR. GIRTMAN: May I ask a question?
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah.
16	MR. GIRTMAN: Exactly what is it that you
17	want to do with those numbers?
18	MR. DeWARD: Well, the M-1s that I have that
19	you've provided through discovery are, I presume, are
20	public documents now.
21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. They'd have to
22	be now. There's been no request for confidentiality
23	that I'm aware of.
24	MR. DeWARD: Well, I guess getting a copy of
25	that is if it/s not confidential

1	MR. GIRTMAN: My question is what do you want
2	to do with it?
3	MR. DeWARD: I want to verify it back to the
4	stuff you had given us previously.
5	MR. GIRTMAN: How long would it take you to
6	do it? 20 minutes?
7	MR. DeWARD: Yeah.
8	MR. GIRTMAN: I'll tell you what, let's
9	consider this possibility: To avoid the possession
10	problem, to avoid the whole Chapter 119, if those
11	things remain in our possession not the Commission's
12	possession, not your possession, and not OPC's
13	possession, but remain in our possession you get to
14	sit down beside me and look at it and do what you need
15	to do to confirm, would that co? Would that solve your
16	problem? We don't have a condidentiality problem? We
17	don't have, you know, the whole bit? You sit right
18	down beside me, and it's my document and you're looking
19	at it. And it's under the same conditions which we
20	agreed, you know, you would not take possession and
21	control, or whatever, and the Public Records Law would
22	not apply.
23	MR. REILLY: As we were in Dallas, you mean?
24	MR. GIRTMAN: Yeah.
25	MR. REILLY: Whatever he wants to do is fine

280 with me. My big problem on your great concern about 1 making all this information public is I have yet to 2 hear one single word of what harm would be caused under 3 the statute. 4 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Mr. Reilly, let me tell 5 you what my problem is. Mr. DeWard tells me that he 6 tried to make this company-specific information. He 7 cannot say it is company-specific. He says, "I think 8 it is. I did everything I could to keep it from being 9 anything else." 10 The damage, or potential damage of releasing 11 the numbers, if it is not inadvertently not 12 company-specific, I think, is not a risk I care to 13

The damage, or potential damage of releasing the numbers, if it is not inadvertently not company-specific, I think, is not a risk I care to take, thank you. Especially if we can work it out to where you can utilize the information in the way you need to utilize it. No damage is done to either party that way. Can you live with that?

MR. DeWARD: Fine.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. REILLY: That means "yes."

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. I'm going to ask that, based on the oral, supplementary, temporary protective agreement, which you have just entered into, that we dispose of the note motion. All right?

MR. GIRTMAN: When would you like to do that?
This evening?

1		COMMISSIO	NER EAS	LEY: We	will now	close the
2	in-camera	proceeding	igs. Th	ank you,	gentlemen	Ġ.
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
***						
8						
9						
10						
11				and the second second		
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21	9.					
22						
23						
24						
25						

DRaft In Camera 

## IN CAMERA

## CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

. 7

/17

/ 20

portion of the hearing and the discussion related thereto shall be prepared and filed under seal, to be opened only by order of the Commission. The transcript is and shall be nonpublic record exempt Section 119.07 (1). Only the attorneys for the participating parties, public Counsel, Commission Staff and the Commissioners, shall receive a copy of the sealed transcript.

Everyone remaining in this room is instructed that the testimony and evidence about to be received is proprietary, confidential business information which shall be kept confidential. No one is to reveal the contents of substance of this testimony or evidence to anyone not present in this room at this time.

The court reporter shall now record the names and affiliations of persons present in the hearing room at this time. I will start with Mr. Pruitt.

MR. PRUITT: I'm Prentice P. Pruitt, 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee 32303, Counselex to the Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Commissioner Betty

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioner Terry 2 3 Deason. MR. DeWARD: Thomas C. DeWard, Certificated Public Accountant, registered in Michigan, with the 5 firm of Larkin and Associates, 15728 Farmington Road, 6 Lovonia, Michigan 48514 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: For OPC. 8 MR. DeWARD: Representing OPC. 9 MR. REILLY: Steve Reilly with the Office of 10 Public Counsel. 11 WITNESS SEIDMAN: Frank Seidman, Management and 12 Regulatory Consultant, 11380 Cherry Pines Road (????) on pros <W-R> <AE-S> Palm Gardens, Florida, rate consultant to the applican utility, Sailfish Point 15 Utility Corporation. 16 MR. BRADFORD: Hal Bradford, counsel for 17 Sailfish Point, Inc. Do you need an address? 18 MR. OLSON: Terry Olson, Manager of Federal 19 Compliance, 1201 Elm Street, Dallas, Texas 75221, Mobil 20 Administrative Services, Inc. 21 MR. LOPEZ: Steve Lopez, Mobil Administrative 22 Services, Inc. I'm Supervisor in the Tax Compliance 23 Group, same address as Terry. 24 MR. GIRTMAN: Ben Girtman, 1020 East

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

	1 .	Lafayette Street, Suite 207, Tallahassee, Florida
	2	32301, representing Sailfish Point Utility Corporation.
V	/3	MR. KING: William Reeves King, Office of St.
	4	Johns and King, West Palm Beach, attorney for the
	5	intervenors.
	6	MR. O'GORMAN: Joe O'Gorman, Mobil Land
V	7	Florida, Controller, 4440 PGA Boulevard, Palm Beach
	8	Gardens.
	9	MS. DAVIS: Noreen Davis, 101 East Gaines
	10	Street, Tallahassee, PSC Legal Department.
	11	MS. BEDELL: Catherine Bedell, 101 East
	12	Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida for Commission
	13	Staff.
	14	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right.
	15	MR. GIRTMAN: Commissioner, excuse me one
	16	moment. The material that you placed at the beginning of
	17	this meeting regarding how the material would be handled,
	18	I would like to please clarify and make sure that we
	19	preserve our rights in regard to attorney/ client
V	20	privilege, accountant/client privilege, and any other
	21	rights or privileges that we may have available to us.
	22	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: The language is
	23	directed toward proprietary but is inclusive of all of
	24	those privileges that you cite.
	25	MR. GIRTMAN: Thank you.

In the so we done

7

with

	-	
	2	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I just don't know that
·	/3	it does anything, one way or the other,
	4	MR. REILLY: That's correct. It's not
V	5	something that is going to effect us. Okay. No
i.	6	problems.
	7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Okay. That takes care
F. 7	8	of Page 16 will remain as excised.
C	9	17 we go to I'm not sure - I don't see
	10	anything in there that gets very direct that I can
	11	recognize.
L	12	MR. DeWARD: It could have tangential The
V	13	tax treatment of subordinated sales, which could deal
	14	with the cost of sales of those.
/	15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah, but coulde
(	16	MR. REILLY: Keep reading on. It gets
_	17	context 🕞 🗶 🧷
	18	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: It gets more of this.
_	19	MR. REILLY: I think the whole page is
	20	blocked out.
	21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Okay. Down to B. All
	22	right. I don't see anything having to do with the
V	23	utility, unless the only subordinated sales and
	24	installment sales, tell me what those are.
	25	MR. OLSON: Prior to 1987, Mobil in a lot of

	11 7
/ <sub>1</sub> ·	it's sales may take back notes when they sell lots,
2	okay, and it's a method of the way we treated things
3	for tax purposes is to bring all of the sales proceeds
_4	in a 8-year sale we bring it in as the customer paid us
5	cash. So it's just the methodology of the way we sold
6	the lots.
7	MR. DeWARD: Per se we can
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I don't see anything
9	relevant.
10	MR. DeWARD: I think live with that.
11	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: The language that is
12	redacted on Pages 1 and 2 of Docket No. 17 will remain
13	redacted.
14	MR. OLSON: Okay.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLE!: And then the next one
16	appears to be
17	MR. OLSON: 17 was all left in.
18	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: The rest of 17 is in
intert 19	tact.
20	MR. OLSON: So we can
21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: There is nothing there.
<sub>~</sub> 22	17 is now taken care of.
<b>_23</b>	COMMISSIONER BASLEY: 18
24	MR. OLSON: The way says sales center
25	\$375,000. Life of project, it's hard to read upside
	P

√ <b>1</b>	down, 150%, eight years which presumably is the
<b>∠ 2</b>	depreciation method and life.
3	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Or what?
14	MR. REILLY: Development cost, and I don't
5	think that would have to do with the Utility.
V 6	MR. OLSON: Nothing with the utility.
<sub>~</sub> 7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right the language
8	on Page 18 - ~
9	MR. GIRTMAN: It's an unnumbered page.
10	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Unnumbered Page 18 at
<sub>/</sub> 11	the bottom of the page relating to sales remains redacted.
12	This is not in Florida, is that correct?
13	MR. OLSON: It's Brickton, I think it was
/ 14	covered under the issue before.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY All right.
16	MR. GIRTMAN: TP and J is another development
17	down south of Stuart.
18	MR. DeWARD: We're not concerned with those two.
19	MR. OLSON: It just talks about the number of
20	units sold.
21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. The
22	language on Page 18, item 18, Page 9, that is redacted
23	shall remain redacted. (Pause)
24	I see nothing there.
25	MR. REILLY: I don't see anything.

-	COMMISSIONER ENDEET. Item 10, 1490 10, 15
2	redacted, shall remain redacted.
3	MR. OLSON: Again, this is the golf club.
4	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. And the
<sub>~</sub> 5	clubhouse, the Marina and the rest of this is in
6	beginning with the utility corporation.
7	MR. REILLY: I don't read as fast as you, I
8	guess.
9	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Sorry. I've been doing
10	this a lot longer than you have.
11	Item 18, Page 11, the redacted language will
12	remain redacted.
13	MR. OLSON: We talked about dunes earlier.
14	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. California region
15	we also talked about. Item 18, Page 12, the items
16	redacted will remain redacted.
17	MR. OLSON: That's all of 18.
18	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That takes care of
19	Document 18. We are now in Docket 19. Golf club
20	what's Poola?
21	MR. REILLY: Property Owners Association.
22	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Oh, I'm sorry. (Pause)
23	MR. DeWARD: I think this is POA will not
24	have a tax basis for assets contributed to it by
25	Sailfish to the extent that Sailfish includes the cost

L	<b>/1</b>	of its assets in its cost of lot sales. I think that's
	2	relevant.
	3	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: To what?
	4	MR. DeWARD: The whole cost of sales issue
	5	and if it's been costed out
V	6	MR. OLSON: We are not talking about the POA
	7	here. We're talking about the cost of sales for
	8	Sailfish Point, Inc.
	9	MR. DeWARD: We're talking about contributing
V	10	the assets to the POA, which, if they are contributing
	11	them to the POA and they're writing them off, it's cost
	12	of sales issue and there could be no basis then.
	13	That's relevant.
V	14	MR. REILLY: Didn't POA make a claim about
	15	the common properties, at least as it related to the
/	16	utility assets outside the utility parcel, so that that
	17	gets into the distribution system.
	18	MR. DeWARD: To further expand on that, we
	19	have discussion in these other memos of a tri-party
	20	agreement, which is the POA, Sailfish Point, Inc. and
	21	Sailfish Point Utility Corporation. So it's all
	22	intertwined and we're talking about tax basis and tax
	23	treatment.
	24	WITNESS SEIDMAN: I didn't see anything in
	25	there about utilities being transferred.

	1	MR. OLSON: Right.
	2	WITNESS SEIDMAN: It just says assets that it
	3	transferred.
7	4	LARRY HEAR all the common areas were
(	5	contemplated to be turned over to the POA. These are
	6	defined common areas.
	7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I think I see where
	8	you're going. I think I see where they are going. The
	9	difficulty is going to be, I believe, that this is
	10	going to be your basic problem and how in the world are
	11	you going to break out that and just taking the
	12	property owners association dues portion of it, I'm not
V	13	sure is going to get you there anyway?
	14	MR. REILLY: May I throw the ball in the
V	15	other corner. What is the problem about that
	16	information being discovered? Does it compromise
	17	Sailfish Point, Inc. or something?
	18	MR. GIRTMAN: Let me suggest
/	19	MR. BRADFORD: Corporate policy.
	20	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Now, wait a minute,
	21	guys, hold the phone. Because we have a court
/	22	reporter, we've got to do one at a time now. So just
	23	please.
	24	MR. GIRTMAN: Let me comment first on this.
	25	When you have a business operation, large or

small, whether it be Mobil Corporation with all its subsidies, or ABC mom and pop utility corporation, there are certain internal business operations that are not made available to the general public on any number of reasons.

As a matter of policy, regardless of whether this page of documents recited the ABCs, it is still significant to that business that, as a matter of precedence, outside interests do not have a right to come in and just pick up information from them. If there is a need for information, if it is relevant, specifically relevant to a specific purpose to be used for something else, then perhaps it can be used for that purpose.

made successfully in all three of those documents is they are not relevant to the proceeding. As a matter of precedent, the Mobil Corporation will not turn them over to anybody without a good reason. You see. If there is a relevant specific, directly relevant reason for something to be used in this proceeding that's the question. And I'll defer to whoever is going to speak back here in addition to what I have to say.

MR. REILLY: I have a comment.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Briefly because I have

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

. 25

a question.

/2

/3

MR. REILLY: Briefly the burden is on the utility in this case to prove the harm that will be caused by the disclosure of this information. This burden must be met if the information is not going to be disclosed.

MR. GIRTMAN: Well, I take exception to that but go ahead.

MR. REILLY: I would say that, you know, just to be worried about precedence does not meet that burden. The need, the specific need he made reference to is that it has been alleged by intervenors in this case that it is the fact of the POA who has been suggested as a possible party for the tri-party agreement, which was or was not ever entered into, but that it is going to be the ultimate owner of the common property, common elements of which part of that common elements are line, collection lines, and distribution lines of the utility system.

MR. GIRTMAN: Let me register an objection to that.

MR. REILLY: Okay. This is an allegation that has been made by one of the parties that's at issue. This could bear on it.

MR. DeWARD: I'm only interested not in 5 and

6 but just in 4. I don't know if I made that clear. MR. OLSON: What does it have to do with the 2 ~ 3 utility? MR. DeWARD: Well, because the other 4 documents that we have that are part of the POD No. 3 5 suggest that the plant was going to be donated to the 6 POA and costed in cost of sales. And here it suggests will not have a tax basis for assets contributed. 9 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Let me ask you a question, and I think maybe this is where part of the 10 problem is coming in. POA has not had transfer of 11 ownership take place, has it? 12 MR. DeWARD: That's my understanding. 13 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: It has not occurred yet. 14 MR. KING: That's correct. 15 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: If it has not occurred 16 yet, then this is not operative until such time as the 17 assets are transferred, is that correct? 18 MR. KING: I have not seen what you're 19 talking about, but it would seem to me that we're 20 talking about evidence of whether they had an - made a 21 decision to contribute these assets and how to handle 22 this development, and whether that is taken place yet 23 or not seems to me is not relevant to that issue. 24 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Well, it's relevant to 25

this extent, Mr. King, and here's my problem. This specifically says "will not have a tax basis for assets contributed to it to the extent Sailfish includes the cost of such assets in its cost of lot sales." If the assets have not yet be contributed, how can I assume what the result of a future action is going to be?

/5

/8

MR. KING: Because they have given it certain tax treatment and they have made a decision and as far as a corporate plan and a development scheme as to how they are going to treat those things.

commissioner EasLey: But, Mr. King, until the treatment occurs and the tax liability or credit occurs, I cannot make an assumption of something that hasn't happened yet and is going to happen in the future, or may not happen.

interrupt here. If you read that very carefully, it seems to me that it's not stating any more than just a fact of the way the tax law operates. Because it's saying to the extent something is done. The real issue, has that been done or will that be done, and that's a factual determination that can be determined somewhere else. But this is just really a statement of the tax law unless I'm reading it incorrectly. And I don't see how a statement of what the tax law is could

	1	harm the company as disclosed. Of course, I don't see
	2	where it's that beneficial to the Public Counsel either
	3	because they know what the tax law says, too.
,	4	MR. GIRTMAN: The burden is not on us. The
	√ 5	burden is on the movement seeking the discovery to show
U	/ 6	that it's relevant and it overcomes the privacy.
	7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. I'm going
	8	to tell you something. I really think Commissioner
	9	Deason just solved my problem for me. I think looking
	10	at the content and the forward-looking nature of it,
	11	but I think Commissioner Deason is right. The law is
V	12	what the law says it is and because it has I'm going to
/	13	combine the two together; because such tax basis has not
	14	yet occurred, we're going to leave that language
	15	redacted.
	16	MR. DeWARD: Could I just add a comment?
	17	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I ve already ruled. Do
	18	you want to push your luck? I'd leave it alone if I
	19	were you.
	20	MR. DeWARD: Okay.
ı V	21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Okay. Might get it on
	22	the next one if you leave it alone now.
	23	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: 5 and 6 you don't have
	24	any problem with, right?
	25	MR. REILLY: My consultant says he didn't so

/•	
1.	I don't.
2	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Page 2 of Document 19
√ <sup>3</sup>	will remain redacted. (lause)
4	MR. DeWARD: This is definitely relevant, No. 8.
5	(Pause)
6	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I think it is. Tell me
7	how it harms you.
8	MR. GIRTMAN: Let me take a look, please.
9	(Pause)
10	MR. REILLY: I haven't heard anything in a
V 11	long time that couldn't possibly be any harm.
12	MR. OLSON: Same concept as the other?
13	MR. GIRTMAN: Have you seen that.
14	MR. BRADFORD: Are you talking to me?
15	MR. GIRTMAN: Yes. No. 8.
7 16	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Difference between that
17	and the other not restate tax law.
18	MR. BRADFORD: I was going to say this is
19	Tom Bradford speaking that it wasn't the same as the
20	last one, That it is a statement of tax law.
21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Do you think it is?
/ 22	MR. LOPEZ: If it's compliment.
23	MR. BRADFORD: Still talking about what was
24	going to be
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: You're talking again
- 1	1

V	about perspective things that my occur in the future.
2	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I understand about the
3	prospective things, I still don't let me see.
4	MR. DeWARD: I guess if we're talking about
5	tax law putting it in the record is no big deal then,
6	right? I mean it's tax law.
7	MR. GIRTMAN: The point of it is, it's
/ 8	private. if you want the tax law, get the tax law.
9	That's our position. (Pause) whother ar not we're
<b>1</b> 0	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Do you know of just
J 11	restating with this use knew trestating in the tax law? It way be in the other one, but
12	I'm not sure. Look at this date, I don't know. I
13	don't enough about it to know that. Just a minute.
14	(Pause)
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I don't claim to be a
16	tax law expert but reading that it appears to me that's
17	a statement of what the tax law is. Maybe Mr. DeWard
J 18	can add to that, Explain if that's not a restatement
19	of what the applicable tax law is.
20	MR. REILLY: Go ahead. You can say it, but
21	when they're asking tax advice, you're going to hope
22	that you're going to get good and correct tax advice
23	from your tax counsel so that's going to hopefully
24	always be permeated in here, but go ahead, Tom.
25	MR. DeWARD: I think it's important we're

going after the fact and that's why our motion was so we can go back and look at the actual cost of sales. We're talking now another piece of evidence here that suggests that this is costed out. It's just another piece, it may not have carried through, but it's another piece of evidence that the intent was to donate this property to Sailfish or to the Utility -(Simultaneous conversations.) donated to the POA and costed out on Sailfish Point's books and that's what we're trying to prove, 

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Guys, hold the phone.

I told Mr. King he could put a comment in here.

MR. KING: I think if there is going to be rebuttal testimony that the plan to do all of this cost of sales was never carried out, that that provision would be relevant for cross examination purposes.

is that I believe the language is relevant. Where you're confusing me is if it is a restatement of the fact that you all confined with the tax law, I don't understand why it's harmful to you. But on the other hand, I don't understand why Public Counsel benefits by knowing they are complying with the tax law. So I'm having a little bit of difficulty understanding what the big hoohah is about on this one.

1'	MR. BRADFORD: Let me just state this is Hal
2 V	Bradford That it's just our corporate policy not to
3/	reveal anything. I don't know if it would be harm it
4	would be going against our corporate policy.
5	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Well, corporate policy
6	and the regulated requirement may not be compatible.
7	MR. BRADFORD: I understand.
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I will not willingly,
9	knowingly rule something not confidential that has
10	attorney/client privilege or proprietary information,
11	but to have a restatement of the law and say that in
12	this particular case this entity is doing this because
13	that's what the law requires, I'm not sure that it
14	harms you. I don't know that it gets you anything. I
15	don't know why we're even arguing over it to be
16	perfectly truthful with you.
17	MR. REILLY: Well, it does display the
18	thinking processes that are going on in the mind of
19	Sailfish Point.
20	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Madam Chairman, let me, and
21	I hate to regress, but I'm going to do it. And I
22	debated whether to do this or not.
23	We passed over an item on the previous page,
24	Document 18, Page 12, Paragraph 5. Now that statement
25	right there does go directly to a policy of the

21 This is not a restatement of tax law. company. is a statement of how the tax law is going to affect 2 their corporate policy to do something. 3 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: But that's the country club. 5 Hent 6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

V 12

COMMISSIONER DEASON: But I think what is being debated here on the other item, Paragraph 8 on Document 19 as to whether the cost of the utility assets or one of the amenities that is anticipated to be transferred in this Paragraph 5 on Document 18 shows that one of those amenities, which is the country club, is going to be treated a certain way. What I'm saying this is a specific point. It seems to me this would be a whole lot more relevant than that, which is more speculative and really a restatement of tax law. not saying to go back and change this. I just saying it's these type statements that are specific which would be relevant. I'm not so sure that is relevant. That's my two cents were

MR. BRADFORD: I agree.

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I will tell you that I'm going to leave it out, but I'm not happy leaving it out, only because I don't know what harm it does to put it in. I'm leaving it out because the company has requested it, and I don't know that it does anybody any

1	good to put it into the record. I don't think i've
2	learned anything.
3	MR. GIRTMAN: That's exactly our point.
4	Right.
5	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: But I will tell you
6	that the general reaction on the Commission is going to
7	be ask for confidential treatment of information like
8	this that I can read in a tax journal or in the Wall
, 9	Street Journal, is going to get met with a great deal of
10	resentment, and I suggest to you in the future you all
11	have your ducks in a row on what you're requesting
12	confidentiality on. You know what I'm saying.
13	MR. GIRTMAN: I know what you're saying.
14	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Okay.
15	MR. REILLY: With a that it.
16	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: So what's redacted on
17	Item 19, Page 3, remains redacted. Page 4.
18	MR. OLSON: Next page. (Pause)
19	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That appears to be all
20	Marina. I see no reference, no relationship. I'm
21	sorry. I'm reading ahead of you again.
22	MR. DeWARD: The point Commissioner Deason
23	brought out earlier, whether we should have gone back to
24	the country club and seen their policy of that could
25	apply to the Marina discussion too and how they are

	1	going to cost it out for tax purposes on property
	2	donated.
V	3	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I am going to rule this
/	4 1	on the Marina, golf club not relevant to the utility.
,	<b>/</b> 5	Page 19 or Document 19 Page 5, 6
	6	I understand until such time as a transfer
	7	takes place and a result actually occurs there is
	8	nothing to do with it. Unless you have a problem, I'm
V	· 9	going to rule 19 remains redacted. Is that it?
U	10	MR. GIRTMAN: Would the record/ please
	11	reflect that all pages which were previously redacted
	12	remain redacted and that there was no order of
	13	disclosure of any of the information.
	14	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That's fine. Thank you.
oz.	15	MR. REILLY: Do we have another item to look
	16	at?, The notes.
-	17	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. Thank you. I
	18	was about to
	19	MR. REILLY: Assuming I, of course, know my
	20	consultant would not write down anything that's not
	21	relevant. Another question is is it going to do harm.
	22	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I'm not worried about
	23	relevance. I am concerned that what we're talking about
	24	is what I understood to be a list versus transcription of
	25	documents. We're not through, Mr. Pruitt.  ( Simultaneous Converaction.)  Mr. Pruitt: I think me a better close the  FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  record on this. It's getting metty jumbed up, and the reporter came get it.
		(C) (C) (W) (M) (M) (M) (M) (M) (M) (M) (M) (M) (M

. E: Were not through, The. Prent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: No, sir. We still have

MR. PRUITT: I beg your pardon.

MR. GIRTMAN: Commissioner, if I could make a comment preparatory to going through this list. One of the concerns that we have, and a major concern that I have had in dealing with trying to get relevant information to intervenors or to the Commission as it may be, has been trying to develop vehicles to do that. Florida Rules of Civil Procedure provide certain quidelines for us to follow. Sometimes they are difficult, cumbersome and not productive, and so in this case there was no discovery filed. It was merely a telephone call between Mr. Reilly and myself to try to work out a mechanism to allow them to confirm data in the consolidated tax return. And we worked out an agreement to do that, and took a great deal of time, I think -- my first draft, Mr. Reilly chopped to pieces and I agreed with him. -I --

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I'm going to ask you not to do this again,. We have been through this one already. Let me go strictly to the documents. We have 15 minutes before the next customer hearing. I'm sorry to cut you off but I really do remember the explanation and how this occurred and I remember the Letter of

Agreement.

2

1

MR. GIRTMAN: Okay.

MR. DeWARD:

3

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: I need to go to the

\_\_\_\_

documents. Tell me what this first page represents.

5

taken from the -- one of the probably the SPUC tax

This is information that was

/7

return which shows tax loan loss and the various

Schedule M items. It is no different than what I was

8

7

9

trying to do is transcribe certain information from

10

some pages. Other pages I requested complete copies.

11

There is nothing in here, as far as I know, and if it's

12

anything to do with other than SPUC or SPI, I'd be more

13

than happy. I don't think I entered any Montgomery

14

Ward information or anything. It's the same type of

15

information that I transcribed down here that you have

16

provided to us with the whole page, and I simply copied

17

some information down and then the purpose was to take

18

it back and verify it with the original information we

19

already had because we were working under a limited

20

time frame. And we were only there for a day and it

21

was impossible to verify everything back so I just

22

simply copied some information.

23

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: It is your

24

representation that these numbers we have in our possession at this time as part of the official record?

25

ı	MR. DeWARD: If there is anything in there
<b>/2</b>	from 🕒 other than SPUC or SPI, I didn't mean to put it
3	in there. I don't believe it's in there. I haven't
4	seen this back.
5	MR. OLSON: I thought all this information
6	was already provided under Mobil Land Development ha
7	already provided it.
8	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: If it's already been
9	provided, then what's the problem.
10	MR. OLSON: The problem was it was
11	transcribed and he wasn't supposed to transcribe the
12	numbers.
13	MR. GIRTMAN: Wait a minute. Now, are we
13 14	MR. GIRTMAN: Wait a minute. Now, are we sure that the information that is in let's go to
14	sure that the information that is in let's go to
14 15	sure that the information that is in let's go to these pages, the redacted pages. This one is okay,
14 15 16	sure that the information that is in let's go to these pages, the redacted pages. This one is okay, that's just the list. We have no problem with it. The
14 15 16 17	sure that the information that is in let's go to these pages, the redacted pages. This one is okay, that's just the list. We have no problem with it. The first page, third page, fourth page, with numbers on
14 15 16 17 18	sure that the information that is in let's go to these pages, the redacted pages. This one is okay, that's just the list. We have no problem with it. The first page, third page, fourth page, with numbers on it, the next page is
14 15 16 17 18	sure that the information that is in let's go to these pages, the redacted pages. This one is okay, that's just the list. We have no problem with it. The first page, third page, fourth page, with numbers on it, the next page is  MR. LOPEZ: The listing.
14 15 16 17 18 19	sure that the information that is in let's go to these pages, the redacted pages. This one is okay, that's just the list. We have no problem with it. The first page, third page, fourth page, with numbers on it, the next page is  MR. LOPEZ: The listing.  MR. GIRTMAN: The list again.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sure that the information that is in let's go to these pages, the redacted pages. This one is okay, that's just the list. We have no problem with it. The first page, third page, fourth page, with numbers on it, the next page is  MR. LOPEZ: The listing.  MR. GIRTMAN: The list again.  COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Let me clarify what I'm

numbers contained on documents that we already have.

	•	II I dimerstand the explanation, that a what I
	2	understand these to be. If that's what these are, do
	3	you have a problem with having those this is a
	4	summary, that would also be a summary, you have a
	5	problem with that?
	6	MR. GIRTMAN: Are we sure those are in
	7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: That's my question.
	8	MR. GIRTMAN: That's the question, I don't
./	9	know. Frank is not here, is he. How about checking
V	10	and see.
	11	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Because the difficulty
U	12	I have and I accept your representations, It was your
	13	intention to deep the numbers SPUC and SPI
	14	MR. DeWARD: With the years that were
	15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: But you recognized that
	16	damage could be done if the numbers contain anything
,	17	else, and I'm make them public record.
	18	MR. LOPEZ: Can I ask one thing, ma'am. I
	19	think that's why the purpose was set out ahead of time
	20	to clip the documents he requested. It's much easier
	21	to clip one page than transcribe 15, 16 items off of a
	22	page.
	23	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Well, I also understand
	24	the need of an accountant to put down on a piece of
	25	paper the numbers that he sees that are relevant. I

1	understand that. And I under the need to run a total
2	on those numbers. Whether or not they need to be part
/ 3	of the public record is something else again. Whether
4	you have had the opportunity to count the totals that
5	can be part of the public record, I don't know that.
6	But I'm trying to ascertain one, is this indeed what I
7	just described it as.
8	MR. DeWARD: It's the same information that
9	would have been on these that they actually copied the
10	whole sheet but not the same. Obviously, I didn't
11	MR. GIRTMAN: Duplicate.
12	MR. DeWARD: Duplicate. But in some I
13	transcribed, in others I wanted the whole sheet and I
14	thought transcribing was a simpler way to go.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: So what I see All
16	right. So what this represents, for instance, would
17	not appear anywhere on this list you took this instead
18	of listing
19	MR. DeWARD: The whole page. But it's the
20	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Ah-hah.
21	MR. DeWARD: The exact same information.
22	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: But the difference is
23	the information contained on 1987, letter re, whatever
24	it is, CIAC does not have this on it.
25	MR. DeWARD: Well, could I point out one

1	thing though. What Mr. Girtman said is everything that
2	we a good number of items that we asked for here, we
3	already had through discovery, and the reason, again,
4	we were short of time and I wanted the copy that later
5	checked back to the material we had, the same would be
6	true of this.
7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. What is the
8	position these appear to be taxable income.
9	MR. DeWARD: Terry, you can look at that and
10	see if there is anything that looks different than what
11	you provided me on
12	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Noreen, what is the
13	situation where we're dealing with you need to come
14	look over his shoulder probably dealing with
15	corporate income tax figures for subject such a
16	corporation would that that information is public
17	record normally?
18	MS. DAVIS: Tax returns are often the Company's
19	often ask for confidential treatment of tay returns
20	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: And what is the
21	situation on that? Is that generally granted?
22	MS. DAVIS: Yes.
23	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Because they are
24	corporate income tax returns.
25	MS. DAVIS: I believe so. I'd have to can

I check with Jane because she has those things fairly regularly. no leward COMMISSIONER DEASON: You did provide to us your Florida returns, which is Florida tax returns, is 5 that correct? COMMISSIONER EASLEY: These are federal. MR. DeWARD: Right. But again this is only the -- it's not entire consolidated return, it's only 8 9 information related to SPUC and SPI. we needed to have MR. REILLY: One of the utility assets. 10 nor alectail: digit. 11 MR. GIRTMAN: One of the problems I have had when I first saw this document was that some of these 12 pages have numbers on it but they ton have a company 13 name on it. Part of the reason for requesting that the 14 documents be clipped so they can be copied is to 15 provide adequate control. If we have a document that 16 that comes out of a tax return, or whatever, we know 17 exactly what that is, we know which subsidiary it 18 relates to and those which it doesn'to and that can 19 provide the security control, and that's why it's 20 21 necessary to preclude a bunch of transcriptions. MR. DeWARD: That's fine, Steve and Terry can 22 tell you it's nothing different basically on the 23 information than what you provided to us. 24

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER EASLEY: However, the difficulty

Neeled

25

1	is you are oftentimes provided with information that
2	remains confidential even though you have it. And my
3	concern now is not the fact that you had access to the
1	information my concern is whether or not to make that
5	information public, and that is what I'm not sure of at
6	the moment. Butwee : I uneversal.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Let me ask a question:
8	Does Public Counsel now in their possession have this
9	information?
10	MR. REILLY: We have been denied it up to
11	this point.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: So it is a question of
13	access?
14	MR. REILLY: Yes.
15	MR. LOPEZ: Think Tom said you had a lot of
16	this information and wanted to check it back.
17	HR. DeWARD: I don't have these notes. But I
18	have this is an analysis of 3chedule M-1s. I have
19	their Schedule M-1s and the object was to note this and
20	then check it back to the Schedule M-1.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: The M-1s you have are
22	for the company utility Florida returns which
23	MR. DeWARD: No, no, no. I have the M-1s for
24	SPUC from '79 through '89 and from SPI from '79 to '83.
25	So I have the M-1s from their individual returns.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: This is information taken 1 2 from the consolidated return that applies only to SPI and SPUC and you want this information to validate --3 MR. DeWARD: Right. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Compare those numbers 5 6 and make sure they are the same. The Leword; light MR. REILLY: One of the primary reasons we 7 8 went out there. MR. OLSON: That's why it was made access to 9 the companies files and consolidated return so he could 10 11 do that. 12 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Under other sets of circumstances, we have made information available to 13 14 Public Counsel for their review and held that information confidential. That generally has taken 15 place where the company is -- where they can do like 16 they did, go to Dallas and review it. The trouble is, 17 this is a set of notes that was made as a result of 18 that. 19 Now, Public Counsel says "I can't use my own 20 notes" and company says "it's confidential material." 21 Public Counsel says "I can't review what I've got in my 22 possession, which I've already got, without my notes to 23 compare it to." And I'm trying to figure out a way to 24

give you the opportunity to compare it without making

25

1	the numbers public. There is a difference. I see no
2	reason to put the consolidated tax returns on the
3	public record. I understand why you would wish to use
4	the work product from out there. I think, can we do
5	this let me ask can we do this: Can we keep these
6	confidential, in the possession of the Commission for
7	want of a better place to keep them, and allow Mr. DeWard
8	to come over and look at them for the purpose of making
9	his comparison.
10	MS. DAVIS: Commissioner, I believe with our
11	confidentiality procedures which, fortunately, we don't
12	have to use too much in water and sewer so I'm not 100%
13	up to speed, but as soon as it comes into the
14	Commission's possession
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Oh, that's the problem.
16	MS. DAVIS: a request has to be made for
17	confidential treatment, and until that determination is
18	made it has temporary confidential treatment. So it's
19	best not to ever take it into our possession. Perhaps
20	the parties can work out a protective agreement.
21	MR. LOPEZ: The parties worked out an
22	agreement things wouldn't he transcribed.
23	MS. DAVIS: Supplemental perhaps. Kaughter.
24	MR. DeWARD: I mean, you were willing to give
25	us pages, just of through here and find the pages that

V 1	I took this from and give us the pages and you can keep
2	the notes.  That seemes like a capitalites.
V 3	MR. REILLY: That's why you did it in the first
✓ 4	place was them the trouble of running and picking
5	MR. DeWARD: So go run your copies if it's
6	that easy. That's, you know, if you can
7	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Guys, look, look.
<b>8</b>	Let's not get into this. I have no problem with trying
9	to get you where you want to go, but I'm not going to
10	make these numbers public. I don't think that's the
11	proper thing to do, and I'll tell you point blank, I'm
12	not ready to release these as public documents. Now,
13	you tell me how I can get where I need to go.
<b>14</b>	MR. GIRTMAN: May I ask a question.
15	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah.
16	MR. GIRTMAN: Exactly what is it that you
17	want to do with those numbers?
18	MR. DeWARD: Well, the M-1s that I have that
/ 19	you've provided true discovery are, I presume, are
20	public documents now.
21	COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Yeah. They'd have to
ity 22	be now. There's been no request for confidential that
23	I'm aware of.
24	MR. DeWARD: Well, I guess getting a copy of
25	that is if it's not confidential

MR. GIRTMAN: I question is what do you want to do with it? 2 MR. DeWARD: I want to verify it back to the 3 stuff you had given us previously. MR. GIRTMAN: How long would it take you to 5 6 MR. DeWARD: Yeah. 7 MR. GIRTMAN: I'll tell you what, Consider 8 this possibility: To avoid the possession problem, to 9 avoid the whole Chapter 119, if those things remain in 10 our possession, not the Commission's possession, not 11 your possession, and not OPC's possession remain in 12 our possession you get to sit down beside me and look 13 at it and do what you need to do to confirm, Would that do? Would that solve your problem? We don't have 15 a confidentiality problem. We don't have, you know, 16 the whole bit? You sit right down beside me, and it's 17 my document and you're looking at it. And it's under 18 the same conditions which we agreed, you know, you 19 would not take possession and control, or whatever, and 20 the Public Records Law would in the apply. 21 MR. REILLY: As we were in Dallas, 22 MR. GIRTMAN: Yeah. 23 MR. REILLY: Whatever he wants to do is fine 24 My big problem on your great concern about 25

making all this information public is I have yet to 1 hear one single word of what harm would be caused under 2 the statute. 3 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: Mr. Reilly, let me tell you where -- what my problem is. Mr. DeWard tells me V 5 that he tried to make this company-specific 6 information. He cannot say it is company-specific. He says I think it is. I did everything I could to keep it from being anything else. The damage, or potential damage of releasing the numbers, if it is not 10 inadvertently not company-specific, I think, is not a 11 risk I care to take, thank you. Especially if we can 12 work it out to where you can utilize the information in 13 the way you need to utilize it. No damage is done to 14 either party that way. Can you live with that? 15 MR. DeWARD: Fine. 16 MR. REILLY: That means yes." 17 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: All right. I'm going to 18 ask that based on the oral, supplemental, temporary 19 20 protective agreement, which you have just entered into, that we dispose of the note motion. All right? 21 MR. GIRTMAN: When would you like to do that? 22 This evening? 23 COMMISSIONER EASLEY: We will now close the 24 in-camera proceedings. Think 25