

VOTE SHEETDATE: September 10, 1991

RE: DOCKET NO. 910884-TI - Proposed tariff filing to implement a \$.75 surcharge for certain operator-assisted calls by AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC. (T-91-362 filed 7/31/91)

Issue 1: Recommendation that ATT-C's filing to implement a \$.75 surcharge on certain operator-assisted calls, where the user has the capability to dial but requests that the operator perform this service, be approved.

**APPROVED** with the modification, agreed to by AT&T, that AT&T's operators are to inform customers requesting operator assistance of the amount of this surcharge and that it would be less expensive for the customer to dial the number himself. This requirement is to extend for 16 months. The Commission also directed that Aos tariff filings incorporating similar surcharges may be approved administratively by staff if they include this 16 month notification requirement. See note on second page.  
Commissioner Deason dissented.

Alternative Recommendation: ATT-C's filing should be denied.

**DENIED**

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURESMAJORITY

Susan T Clark  
Beth Godley  
Jeff Brown  
Michael Nelson

DISSENTING

J. Terry Deason

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08995 SEP 11 1991

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Vote Sheet  
Docket No. 910884-TI  
September 10, 1991

Issue 2: Recommendation that if the primary recommendation in Issue No. 1 is approved this tariff should become effective on September 16, 1991. If a timely protest is filed this tariff should remain effective pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed.

If the alternative recommendation in Issue No. 1 is approved, the docket should remain open pending expiration of the protest period. If no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed.

**APPROVED** - Primary Approved.

Issue 1 continued:

It was also agreed that the surcharge will not apply if an end user is not capable of completing a call ~~is~~ due to call blocking which will be construed as equipment failure under the tariff.