

ORIGINAL FILE COPY

From: Laura King  
To: Kay Flynn  
Subject: fwd: 960598

===NOTE=====7/16/97==8:20am==  
Just talked to Richard about this and he believes we should leave the title very general & broad. He suggested something like: Implementation of the Telecommunications Access System Act of 1991. I believe this is the same title that was used in 1991. What do you think?

Fwd=by:=Kay=Flynn=====7/16/97==8:33am==  
Fwd to: Laura King

.....  
I think we need something a bit different than the 91 docket title, to differentiate and also because there had to be some other reason the newer docket was opened, or else why didn't we just continue with 910496? What was different about the newer docket?

Fwd=by:=Laura=King=====7/16/97==9:39am==Fwd to: Kay Flynn

.....  
We had to open the new docket to issue the RFP and select a provider because the them current contract with MCI was going to expire. How about if we just amend the current title to read: Request for Submission of Proposal for Provision of Relay Service, Beginning in June 1997, for the Hearing and Speech Impaired, and Other Implementation Matters in Compliance with the Florida Telecommunications Access System Act of 1991.

Fwd=by:=Kay=Flynn=====7/16/97==9:41am==  
Fwd to: Laura King

.....  
That sounds like it will work. I will use your memo as a directive to change the title on 960598.

Fwd=by:=Laura=King=====7/16/97==9:42am==  
Fwd to: Kay Flynn

.....  
Thanks a bunch!

- ACK \_\_\_\_\_
- AFA \_\_\_\_\_
- APP \_\_\_\_\_
- CAF \_\_\_\_\_
- CMU \_\_\_\_\_
- CTR \_\_\_\_\_
- EAG \_\_\_\_\_
- LEG \_\_\_\_\_
- LIN \_\_\_\_\_
- OPC \_\_\_\_\_
- ROK \_\_\_\_\_
- SEC   1
- WAS \_\_\_\_\_
- OTH \_\_\_\_\_

*Nonnye*  
*ORF DON*

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07079 JUL 16 97