

VOTE SHEET

SEPTEMBER 9, 1997

RE: DOCKET NO. 970882-TI - Joint petition of Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, and the Citizens of the State of Florida, by and through the Office of Public Counsel, for initiation of formal proceedings, pursuant to Section 120.57, F.S., to investigate the practice of slamming and to determine the appropriate remedial measures.

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant the Attorney General and Citizens of Florida's Petition to investigate the practice of slamming?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should conduct an investigation into the practice of slamming within the rulemaking process provided by Section 120.54, Florida Statutes.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Handwritten signatures of commissioners in the majority column, including names like Susan J. Clark and others.

Four horizontal lines in the dissenting column, all of which are blank.

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

89878-SEP 10 5

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

VOTE SHEET

SEPTEMBER 9, 1997

DOCKET NO. 970882-TI - Joint petition of Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General, and the Citizens of the State of Florida, by and through the Office of Public Counsel, for initiation of formal proceedings, pursuant to Section 120.57, F.S., to investigate the practice of slamming and to determine the appropriate remedial measures.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the Commission set public hearings throughout the state to hear testimony from the public about "slamming"?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should hold rule development workshops throughout the state to allow sworn testimony from all interested persons.

# APPROVED

Issue 3: Should the Commission establish an expedited schedule for discovery, prehearing, final hearing, and rule adoption?

Recommendation: Yes. Schedules for discovery and other prehearing matters should be expedited. *The commencement date to begin discovery shall be upon approval of this issue.*

Issue 4: Should the docket be closed? *and*

Recommendation: No. The docket should remain open and be renamed: In re: *proposed Rule* proposed amendments to Rules 25-4.003, F.A.C., Definitions; 25-4.110, F.A.C., Customer Billing; 25-4.118, F.A.C., Interexchange Carrier Selection; 25-24.490, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated; ~~and~~ 25-24.845, F.A.C., Customer Relations; Rules Incorporated.

# MODIFIED

*Approved as modified.*