

VOTE SHEET

MARCH 10, 1998

RE: DOCKET NO. 971635-SU - Notice of abandonment of wastewater system in Citrus County by RHV Utility, Inc.

Issue 1: Should the Commission acknowledge the abandonment of RHV Utility, Inc., and the appointment of Citrus County as receiver for the utility's assets?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should acknowledge the abandonment of RHV Utility, Inc., and the appointment of Citrus County as receiver for the utility's assets. Wastewater Certificate No. 429-S should remain in effect until all issues relating to this docket and Docket No. 961220-SU are resolved. RHV Utility, Inc., should be responsible for estimating and paying 1997 regulatory assessment fees up through November 24, 1997.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in the majority column]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03091 MAR 11 88

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

VOTE SHEET

MARCH 10, 1998

DOCKET NO. 971635-SU - Notice of abandonment of wastewater system in Citrus County by RHV Utility, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the request by RHV Utility, Inc., with Citrus County as Receiver, for a waiver of, or in the alternative an installment plan, for the penalties and interest associated with the utility's untimely remittance of its 1993 and 1996 regulatory assessment fees be approved?

Recommendation: The request by RHV Utility, Inc., with Citrus County as receiver, for a waiver should be denied; however, the request for an installment plan should be approved. The utility should be ordered to pay the penalties and interest for 1993 and 1996 by September 15, 1998, with a minimum of \$198.73 per month. The first payment should be due on April 15, 1998, and subsequent payments should be made by the 15th of each month thereafter. The Commission's approval of this payment schedule would be subject to the final approval of the Department of Banking and Finance.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. This docket should remain open to address the outstanding penalties associated with the utility's late-filing of its 1994 and 1995 annual reports.

APPROVED