

STATE OF FLORIDA OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison St. Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 850-488-9330



June 18, 2001

Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 000604-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced docket are the original and 15 copies of the Rebuttal Testimony of R. Earl Poucher on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. A diskette in Wordperfect format is also submitted.

Please indicate the time and date of receipt on the enclosed duplicate of this letter and return it to our office.

Sincerely,

Charles J. Beck

Deputy Public Counsel

Com · 3 + org

Lg / CJB:bsr

MECENTED & FILED

HEO-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07560 JUN 185

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

-

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

23	31	1 45	O. J. Z	E. 10	State	Timber.	ŭ 79	1.18	16	200	Mar L	1,11	1363	6.33	1, 1143	M. 1835	. [[0]]	Color.	2 3/2	21/4/45	1991	Y	$M_{\rm eff} \sim 10^{-3}$. Alla	1.000	35.17	2	21/2/19	# Sec	1	15/19
, I	ന്	'ם		₹ec	هٔ ا	et/	for	rο	خازز	MAR	ωf	47.0	1.3	0.Ys	19.50	865.7	$h_i \leq 1$			N	. 36°	LD.	~~	امر	٦N	ത്പ	በበ	റദ	വ	-11	460
	MÇ.	О.	0.18	160	чU	Q.	191	40	VIC	YY.	WJ.	196	88.0	SF	C37	M.	1.3	1	10,000			יטי	اب	70,	7.48	O . 37	ŲΨ	ŲΨ	\mathbf{v}^{π}	200	رز والشيا
71	G.	1	J. 194	313	$\bar{K}^{(i)}$	i yaka	فالأناه	(2.1)	m.Zo"	dr.u		100	13.	Six.	23.00	81.74	133	150.33	130 12	2136	(C)* 195	. 3373	1.46	3.80	Part II	10 B	ijM	Paris	- 190 J		6 85
ď	rc	າກເ	លន	ed⊹	าเม	ทต	eri	ma	וסו	าก	4.16	19.15	. 2. 3	V.E	研門	23 X	N 2 1	Mart	養景		<i>.</i> "	15/ 13	140 11	B. Ash	255.	8. (19)	2562	16	130.0	The w	11/18
												953	زراند	377	3h (1)	a plant	C. T. Kim	36350	5. 47.	9754	$e^{i\lambda} V_{i} V_{i}$	1. 16.	28°C	70.7	5647	11:15	11.5	9,30 3.2	E 0.	Pro 300 a	門分分
	21	~4	4.	ما في من		O A	1		~ ~	54	1	341.4	Aut.	$^{\circ}X$	Coh.	13.56	of the	9497	8.65	Mr. W	Tomas .	e in the	12.	Ji.	1000	13 14	10	رد ان 10 م	ΛÖ	41. 3	W. 17 7
۱.,	۲ı	Ψl	୍ବାପ	rth	ĺΑΛ	7 4	1 0	II 🛡 i	a c	UU	Ψ,	Sec. 17	(177	, it is	1996	15.11	أران وأن	2 10 16	16/	16.77	Stille	. 74	160	١,٠٠	Jur	IO.	il O	(A)	UU	1.0	a > b
Α.	Sin's	101	13617	P 3 34	1,74 3	74 , 31	1301	1000	No.	2.2	4 1 1	1. 16.1	5	200	11 32	2 4717	20	L 183	1 13731	r SEL	まいが	3 M.	251/26	7778	1.15° ((.3%) -	, " N	W. A.	(S)	27. 14	re line

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

R. EARL POUCHER

On Behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida

Jack Shrëve. Rublic Counsel

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

(850) 488-9330

Attorney for the Citizens of the State of Florida

DOCUMENT NUMBER -DATE

07560 JUN 18 =
FREC-RECORDS TREPORTING

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

R. EARL POUCHER

FOR

THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL BEFORE THE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 000604-TL

1 Q. Please state your business experience.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A. I graduated from the University of Florida in 1956. I began my telephone career in July 1956 as a Service Representative working in the Southern Bell Jacksonville Business Office. I retired in 1987 with 29 years of service. During my career with Southern Bell, I held positions as Forecaster, Gainesville; Business Office Manager, Melbourne and Orlando; District Manager--Business Office, Atlanta; General Commercial-Marketing Supervisor, Georgia; Supervisor-Rates and Tariffs, Florida; District Manager-Rates and Tariffs, Georgia; General Rate Administrator, Headquarters; Division Staff Manager--Business Services, Georgia; Profitability Manager-Southeast Region, Business Services; Distribution Manager-Installation, Construction & Maintenance, West Florida and LATA Planning Manager-Florida. In addition, I was assigned to AT&T in 1968 where I worked for three years as Marketing Manager in the Market and Service Plans organization. Lioined the Office of Public Counsel in October 1991 where I have performed analytical work and presented testimony primarily in telephone matters. I am also serving as a staff member on the Federal-State Board on Universal

Service assisting the NASUCA consumer advocate, Martha Hogerty.

Q. Have you ever appeared before this Commission?

2

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 A. Yes I have. I testified on behalf of Public Counsel in United 4 Telephone's Docket No. 910980-TL on rate case matters and Docket 5 No. 910725-TL on depreciation matters. GTE Docket 920188-TL on 6 Inside Wire, and in Southern Bell's depreciation Docket No. 920385-7 TL. I filed testimony in Southern Bell's Dockets 920260-TL, 900960-8 TL and 910163-TL, in the GTE Docket No. 950699-TL, in Docket 9 No. 951123-TP dealing with Disconnect Authority, in Docket No. 10 9708820-TI dealing with slamming and in Docket No. 970109-TL 11 dealing with "I Don't Care, It Doesn't Matter". I have filed testimony 12 in connection with Docket No. 991376-TL dealing with Verizon quality 13 of service, in Docket No. 991378-TL dealing with BellSouth quality of 14 service and in Docket No. 990362-TL dealing with Verizon slamming. 15 In addition, as an employee of Southern Bell I testified in rate case 16 and anti-trust dockets before the Public Service Commissions in 17 Georgia and North Carolina.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present to the Commission the recommendations of the Office of Public Counsel regarding the appropriate measures that the citizens are requesting the Commission to take in providing relief for the exhaust of numbering resources within the 941 area code, should relief be required.

Q. What is the basis for the recommendations you are making?

A. The Commission held six public hearings throughout the 941 Area

Code in May of this year to solicit public input regarding the proposals that have been forwarded by the industry in providing relief to the 941 area code. The public response to these hearings was significant, providing Public Counsel and this Commission with a clear understanding regarding citizen preferences for the alternatives available to the Commission in resolving the issues in this Docket. The citizen preferences are at odds with the recommendations of the industry in this Docket, and Public Counsel is asking the Commission to reject the recommendations of the industry and comply with the wishes of the citizens.

Q. What is the recommendation of the industry in this docket?

A.

The industry has recommended that the Commission adopt Option 1 for relief of the 941 area code, which would involve a new area code overlay over the existing 941 area, thus requiring 10 digit dialing for all future local calls within the area as well as different area codes for telephones located within the same geographical area. The industry position also supports a geographical spit that is identified as Option 4, should the Commission determine that an overlay is not appropriate for 941.

Q. What is the recommendation of Public Counsel?

- A. Based on the overwhelming public response received in the six public hearings, the Commission should order the following steps to be taken with regard to the 941 area code:
 - 1. The Commission should reject the industry proposal to create an overlay area code and impose 10-digit local dialing for the entire 941

1 area.

Charlotte counties.

- 2 2. The Commission should select the proposed Option 4 that splits
 3 the 941 at the Charlotte/Lee County boundary and include Boca
 4 Grande in the northern area code, along with Manatee, Sarasota and
 - 3. The Commission should delay the actual date of the geographic split as long as possible and pursue number conservation measures that could extend the life of the existing 941 area code. Pursuit of number conservation measures in the 941 area has the potential to significantly extend the proposed life of the two area codes after the split occurs.
 - 4. The Commission should seek authority from the FCC to investigate the feasibility of a unique area code or area codes for wireless services in Florida.
 - Q. What is the basis of the recommendations made by Public Counsel?
 - A. The Commission heard significant testimony supporting a geographic split during the six hearings, with only minor support for an overlay area code. The testimony was passionate regarding the citizen's opposition to 10-digit dialing and the concept of customers having to deal with two area codes within the same dialing area, between neighbors, and even within the same household. Testimony was received from numerous citizens, elected officials and business leaders from all of the counties and the overwhelming rejection of the overlay concept and 10-digit dialing leads Public Counsel to the belief

that the Commission should make the continuation of 7-digit local dialing a top priority in its agenda as it considers appropriate area code relief. Even when given the option of losing their existing area code or moving to 10-digit dialing, the customer testimony received by the Commission indicated that consumers would rather change their area code than move to 10-digit dialing. It is the conclusion of Public Counsel that consumers place a high priority on being able to identify a geographical area associated with their assigned area code and that overlays associated with 10-digit dialing are confusing and burdensome. It is significant that the concept of a geographic split was endorsed throughout the 941 area code by the business and governmental leaders in the area, despite the fact that much of the cost of changing area codes is born by the business and governmental segment of the customer body.

- Q. Does that complete your testimony?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.

DOCKET NO. 000604-TL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S.

Mail or hand-delivery to the following parties on this 18th day June, 2001.

Charles J. Beck Beck

Lee Fordham
Division of Legal Services
Fla. Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Robert H. Berntsson City of Punta Gorda 326 W. Marion Ave. Punta Gorda, FL 33950-4492

Floyd Self, Esq. Messer Law Firm P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Thomas C. Foley NPA Relief Planner NeuStar, Inc. NANPA 820 Riverbend Blvd. Longwood, FL 32779

Kenneth Hoffman, Esq. Rutledge Law Firm P.O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

Charles Rehwinkel, Esq. Sprint-Florida, Incorporated MC FLTLHO0107 Renee Frances Lee, County Attorney Martha Young Burton Charlotte County Attorney's Office Port Charlotte, FL 33948-1094

James A. Minix, Esq. Manatee County P.O. Box 1000 Bradenton, FL 34206-1000

Kimberly D. Wheeler Morrison & Foerster Law Firm 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006-1888

Peter Dunbar
Karen Camechis
Pennington Law Firm
P.O. Box 10095
Tallahassee, FL 32302-2095

Kathleen Schneider Assistant County Attorney Sarasota County 1660 Ringling Blvd. 2nd Floor Sarasota, FL 34236

Ms. Carolyn Marek
Time Warner Telecom of Florida, L.P.
c/o Time Warner Telecom

Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214 Ms. Michelle A. Robinson c/o Mr. David Christian Verizon Florida, Inc. Tallahassee, FL 32301-7704

Anne Hoskins, Esq. Verizon Wireless 1300 "Eye" Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Franklin, TN 37069-4002 Kimberly Caswell Verizon Select Services, Inc. P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-2617

000644.cos