

VOTE SHEET

JULY 10, 2001

RE: DOCKET NO. 010727-EI - Petition for approval to revise tariff for service charges for payment through a third party vendor by Tampa Electric Company.

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission approve TECO's proposed tariff revision to allow payment through a third party vendor?

PRIMARY RECOMMENDATION: Yes. TECO's proposal affords customers additional payment options, and should be approved.

~~DENIED~~

The Commission acknowledged TECO's withdrawal of its tariff and authorized staff to administratively close the docket.

ALTERNATIVE RECOMMENDATION: No. The proposed fee for the additional payment options is excessive.

~~DENIED~~

An order will be issued to acknowledge TECO's withdrawal of its petition and to close the docket.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

Michael A. Palestini
[Signature]
[Signature]
[Signature]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

(2nd replacement)
DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08395 JUL 10 01

VOTE SHEET

JULY 10, 2001

DOCKET NO. 010727-EI - Petition for approval to revise tariff for service charges for payment through a third party vendor by Tampa Electric Company.

(Continued from previous page)

ISSUE 2: What is the appropriate effective date for TECO's proposed tariff to allow payment through a third party vendor?

RECOMMENDATION: If the Commission approves the Primary Staff Recommendation in Issue 1, the appropriate effective date for TECO's proposed tariff to allow payment through a third party vendor is July 10, 2001. If the Commission approves the Alternative Staff Recommendation in Issue 1, this issue is moot.

Moot

ISSUE 3: Should this docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, if no protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the order.

MODIFIED

*Docket is to be closed
~~administratively by~~
~~staff consistent with~~
~~the findings in Issue 1.~~
by issuance of order (see
decision in Issue 1).*

*CAF note: Vote sheet corrected
7/31/01 after review of audiotape
of discussion.*

kf