State of Florida



Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER ● 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

JULY 12, 2001

TO:

DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF THE COMMISSION CLERK &

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES (BAYÓ)

FROM:

DIVISION OF COMPETITIVE SERVICES (ISLER)

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (K. PEÑA; B. KEATING; ELLIOTT)

MIL JAK

RE:

CANCELLATION BY FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF PAY TELEPHONE CERTIFICATE FOR VIOLATION OF RULES 25-4.0161, F.A.C., REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEES; TELECOMMUNICATIONS

COMPANIES.

DOCKET NO. 010516-TC - PAYPHONE CONNECTION INC.

DOCKET NO. 010517-TC - JAY GOTTLIEB

DOCKET NO. 010531-TC - NORTHSTAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS,

INC.

DOCKET NO. 010544-TC - PARALLEL FOUNDATION, INC.

AGENDA: 07/24/01 - REGULAR AGENDA - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION -

INTERESTED PERSONS MAY PARTICIPATE

CRITICAL DATES: NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\CMP\WP\010516.RCM

CASE BACKGROUND

Each of the certificated companies listed on page 6 were mailed the 2000 Regulatory Assessment Fee (RAF) notice. When payment had not been received by the due date, the Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services mailed a delinquent notice to the companies. As of June 18, 2001, none of the companies have paid the past due amount.

The Commission is vested with jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 364.336, 364.285, and 364.3375, Florida

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Statutes. Accordingly, staff believes the following recommendations are appropriate.

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Should the Commission impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel the certificates issued to the companies listed on page 6 for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on page 6 if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the certificate numbers listed on page 6 should be canceled administratively. (Isler)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes, the Commission may impose a fine or cancel a certificate if a company refuses to comply with Commission rules. Rule 25-24.514, Florida Administrative Code, establishes the requirements for cancellation of a certificate. The rule provides for the Commission to cancel a certificate on its own motion for violation of Commission Rules and Orders.

Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, which implements Section 364.336, Florida Statutes, requires the payment of regulatory assessment fees by January 30 of the subsequent year for telecommunications companies, and provides for penalties and interest as outlined in Section 350.113, Florida Statutes, for any delinquent amounts.

Staff was notified by the Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services that the companies listed on page 6 have not paid their 2000 regulatory assessment fees, plus statutory penalty and interest charges. Therefore, it appears the companies have failed to comply with Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies and have not requested cancellation of their certificates in compliance with Rule 25-24.514, Florida Administrative Code. This fine amount is consistent with amounts used for recent, similar violations.

This is the second docket opened against each company for nonpayment of the RAF. In Docket No. 991193-TC, Order No. PSC-99-2217-AS-TC was issued on November 10, 1999, which accepted Payphone Connection Inc.'s settlement offer. The company paid the past due amount, proposed to pay future RAFs on a timely basis, and offered to pay a \$100 contribution. The company paid the \$100 contribution, and the docket was closed.

In Docket No. 001041-TC, Order No. PSC-01-0189-AS-TC was issued on January 22, 2001, which accepted Jay Gottlieb's settlement offer. Mr. Gottlieb paid the past due amount, proposed to pay future RAFs on a timely basis, and offered to pay a \$100 contribution. The company paid the \$100 contribution, and the docket was closed.

In Docket No. 991336-TC, Order No. PSC-00-0071-AS-TC was issued on January 7, 2000, which accepted NorthStar Telecommunications, Inc.'s settlement offer. The company paid the past due amount, proposed to pay future RAFs on a timely basis, and offered to pay a \$200 contribution. The company paid the \$200 contribution, and the docket was closed.

In Docket No. 991348-TC, Order No. PSC-00-0376-AS-TC was issued on February 22, 2000, which accepted Parallel Foundation, Inc.'s settlement offer. The company paid the past due amount, proposed to pay future RAFs on a timely basis, and offered to pay a \$100 contribution. The company paid the \$100 contribution, and the docket was closed.

Accordingly, staff recommends that the Commission assess a \$1,000 fine for failure to comply with the Commission rules or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on page 6 if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission

within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the certificate numbers listed on page 6 should be canceled administratively.

DOCKET NOS. 010516-TC, 010517-TC, 010531-TC, 010544-TC

DATE: JULY 12, 2001

ISSUE 2: Should these dockets be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action Order. These dockets should then be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final. (K. Peña; B. Keating; Elliott)

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a Proposed Agency Action Order. If no timely protest to the Proposed Agency Action is filed within 21 days of the date of issuance of the Order, these dockets should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order and upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

DOCKET NO.	PROVIDER LAST REPORTED REVENUES & PERIOD COVERED	CERT. NO.	<u>RAFs</u>	P and I
010516-TC	Payphone Connection Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$592,952.02 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	5607	2000	2000
010517-TC	Jay Gottlieb 1999 Revenues - \$1,927.98 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	5820	2000	2000
010531-TC	NorthStar Telecommunications, Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$0 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	5896	2000	1998 bal. 2000
010544-TC	Parallel Foundation, Inc. 1999 Revenues - \$0 For the Period Ended 12/31/99	5974	2000	2000