BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Consideration of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s entry into interLATA services pursuant to Section 271 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Docket No. 960786-TL

Filed: July 20, 2001

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RON BEASLEY

ON BEHALF OF NEWSOUTH COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
08893 JUL 20 =
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Consideration of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s entry into interLATA services pursuant to Section 271 of the Federal Telecommunications

Act of 1996.

Docket No. 960786-TL

Filed: July 20, 2001

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RON BEASLEY

ON BEHALF OF NEWSOUTH COMMUNICATIONS CORP.

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Ron Beasley. My business address is Two North Main Street,
3		Greenville, South Carolina 29601.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	I am employed by NewSouth Communications Corp. ("NewSouth"), as Director of
6		Collocation Engineering and Implementation.
7	Q.	What are your responsibilities as Director of Collocation Engineering and
8		Implementation?
9	A.	I am responsible for all matters concerning ILEC collocation applications augments
10		to applications, engineering, and installation and upkeep of equipment.
11	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
12	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to rebut the direct testimony of W. Keith Milner and
13		Cynthia Cox as it relates to BellSouth's assertion that it has complied with Item 1 of
14		the Competitive Checklist as it relates to collocation.
15	Q.	Briefly describe your professional and educational background.
16	A.	I have been employed in the communications industry for over 24 years. I have
17		personally engineered, installed and upgraded numerous power plants in central
18		office environments running power leads from the Main Power Board to BDFBs,
19		bays/racks, and switching equipment.
20	CHE	CKLIST ITEM 1: DOES BELLSOUTH CURRENTLY PROVIDE
21	<u>INT</u>	ERCONNECTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF
22	SEC	TIONS 251(C)(2) AND 252(D)(1)OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF

1996, PURSUANT TO SECTION 271(C)(2)(B)(I) AND APPLICABLE RULES

PROMULGATED BY THE FCC?

1

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. What does this checklist item require as it relates to collocation?

This item requires BellSouth to provide "[i]nterconnection in accordance with the 4 A. 5 requirements of sections 251(c)(2) and 252(d)(1)." (47 U.S.C § 271(c)(2)(b)(1)). 6 Section 252(d)(1) provides that "the just and reasonable rate for the interconnection 7 of facilities and equipment . . . shall be . . . based on the cost of providing the interconnection. . ." (47 U.S.C. § 252(d)(1)). Rates for interconnection must be 8 9 determined in accordance with the FCC's pricing rules. (See, AT&T Corp. v. Iowa Utilities Board, 525 U.S. 366, 385 (1999)). BellSouth is not in compliance with Item 10 11 I of the Competitive Checklist because of unreasonable practices that result in excessive charges for power in collocation space that are not based upon the cost of 12 providing interconnection. 13

Q. Explain NewSouth's power requirements.

A. NewSouth's typical collocation space contains equipment that draws an average of 27.3 amps of power, which requires fused capacity of at least 45 amps. In order to avoid paying the cost of separate BellSouth power feeds for each item of equipment, NewSouth utilizes a Battery Distribution Fuse Board ("BDFB") that accepts a single power feed from BellSouth and separate fuses for the power feeds required within NewSouth's collocation space. In order to allow for future growth, NewSouth requires approximately 100–120 amps of fused capacity.

Q. Explain how BellSouth currently provisions power to a collocation space.

A. BellSouth provides power to an ALEC collocation space using a Main Power Board that holds a fuse for each collocation space power feed. The very purpose of such Main Power Boards is to utilize the numerous size fuses that are available to address and meet the power needs of various areas within the central office. For this reason, power board manufacturers make available a wide variety of fuses to meet varying load requirements. Fuses are available for BellSouth's Main Power Boards in capacities between 60 amps and 224 amps. However, BellSouth has standardized certain fuse capacities and strictly limits ALECs' choice of power feed capacities to these standard fuse sizes, although power board manufacturers offer fuses in different sizes, and other ILECs offer a broader range of fuse capacities to ALECs. The fused capacities offered by BellSouth do not represent the actual amount of usable power provided to the ALEC, as the actual power drain of equipment can be only approximately two-thirds of the fused capacity. Thus, the BellSouth capacities of 10, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 225 fused amps represent 6.7, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 180 amp drains. BellSouth will only offer power only at capacities of 10, 15, 30, 45, 60, and 225 fused amps. Thus, BellSouth's charges for power are based upon the fused amps provided to an ALEC's collocation space. Because the smallest fuse that BellSouth offers that will meet NewSouth's requirements with any room for growth is the 225 amp fuse, BellSouth charges NewSouth for an average of 140 amps of power that it does not use.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

O. What has NewSouth attempted to do to remedy this situation?

A. NewSouth has offered to pay the cost of labor and materials for BellSouth to install

power board fuses that are sized to meet NewSouth's actual power requirements. NewSouth has also offered to maintain a supply of spare parts for any necessary repairs or replacements. However, BellSouth insists upon using fuses that are either smaller or vastly larger than NewSouth requires, resulting in thousands of dollars in charges for power that NewSouth does not use. The fuse capacities BellSouth offers do not meet NewSouth's requirements for assigning power as needed per rack or bay and do not allow NewSouth to utilize its collocation space to best suit its needs. The power provided is either too much or not enough, with the result that NewSouth must pay for power it does not use or waste rack space due to lack of power. BellSouth's refusal to utilize fuses that are appropriate to NewSouth's requirements is analogous to a power utility basing its demand charges to a commercial customer upon the capacity of the transformer the utility chooses to use to serve the customer, rather than upon the customer's actual power demand.

Q. Do other ILECs offer more appropriate collocation power increments?

Yes. Other ILECs, such as Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, offer collocation power to ALECs in increments of 20, 30, 50, 100, and 200 amps of usable power, or drain. The 100-amp increment offered by Southwestern Bell would meet NewSouth's power requirements if BellSouth offered it. Bell operating companies, such as SBC, that have obtained 271 approval offer fuse capacities that are appropriate for ALECs' power requirements.

Q. Has the FCC addressed this issue?

A.

A. Yes. The FCC addressed a similar practice resulting in overcharges for collocation

power in the *Verizon Massachusetts Order*. In comments opposing Verizon's Section 271 application for Massachusetts, various parties contended "that Verizon improperly charges for the number of amps fused, rather than the number of drained amps actually requested and used by competitive LECs." (See, Verizon Mass. Order at ¶ 200). The FCC noted that Verizon has "amended its tariff to apply collocation power charges on a per-load amp requested basis, rather than on a per-fused amp basis. (*Id*). The FCC found that collocation power pricing disputes did not prevent Verizon from satisfying Competitive Checklist Item I "because, unlike BellSouth, "Verizon amended its collocation tariff... to address the concerns of the parties." (*Id*. at ¶ 201 (emphasis added).)

Q. Is the rationale in the Verizon order applicable here?

Α.

A.

Yes. The standard applied by the FCC to Verizon's collocation power charges in the *Verizon Massachusetts Order* applies to BellSouth's collocation power charges as well. Unlike Verizon, however, BellSouth has not revised its power charges so that it applies collocation power charges based on the amount of power that ALECs actually request and use, rather than the arbitrarily limited number of fuse capacities that BellSouth chooses to offer. Until BellSouth reforms its collocation power charge practices, it cannot be found to satisfy Item I of the Competitive Checklist.

Q. Have you discussed NewSouth's concerns with BellSouth?

Yes. I have spoken with BellSouth representatives on several occasions and have requested that BellSouth either utilize Main Power Board fuses that are appropriately sized to meet NewSouth's requirements or place metering devices on NewSouth's

collocation power feeds to capture the actual current draw. As I said earlier, NewSouth has offered to pay for all charges for materials and labor involved to make these changes and would make available any spare equipment needed for repairs and replacements. BellSouth personnel consistently have responded that BellSouth will not deviate from its standard Main Power Board fuse capacities. When I have asked whether these are the only fuse capacities used to serve ALECs' BDFBs, BellSouth engineers have responded that BellSouth may utilize larger Main Power Board fuses at some sites. BellSouth personnel have not explained why BellSouth cannot use fuses providing the drain required by NewSouth if BellSouth is willing to provide fuses that are larger than the BellSouth standard fuse sizes.

Q. What effect has BellSouth's position regarding power for collocation sites had on NewSouth?

- A. BellSouth's refusal to utilize Main Power Board fuses that are sized appropriately to meet NewSouth's collocation power requirements results in thousands of dollars in charges for power that NewSouth has not requested and does not use at numerous collocation sites, including NewSouth's collocation space at 8 offices in Jacksonville, 2 in Orlando and 1 office each at Melbourne and Sanford.
- Q. Does this conclude your testimony at this time?
- 19 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony of Ron Beasley on Behalf of NewSouth Communications Corp. has been furnished by (*) hand delivery or by U. S. Mail on this <u>20th</u> day of July, 2001, to the following:

(*) Beth Keating Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Jeremy Marcus
Blumenfeld & Cohen
1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Suite 300
Washington DC 20036

Nancy B. White c/o Nancy Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, inc. 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Miami Florida 32301

James Falvey
e.spire Communications
133 National Business Parkway
Suite 200
Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

Michael Gross
Florida Cable Telecommunications
Association
246 E. 6th Avenue
Tallahassee, Florida 32303

GTE Post Office Box 110 FLTC0007 Tampa, Florida 33601

Kim Caswell

Richard Melson Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314

Scott Sapperstein Intermedia 3625 Queen Palm Drive Tampa, Florida 33619-1309

Donna McNulty 325 John Knox Road Suite 105 Tallahassee, Florida 32303

Floyd Self/Norman Horton Messer Law Firm Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Pete Dunbar/Karen Camechis Pennington Law Firm Post Office Box10095 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Susan S. Masterton Sprint Post Office box 2214 MC: FLTLH00107 Tallahassee, Florida 32316-2214

Ken Hoffman Rutledge Law Firm Post Office Box 551 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0551 Andrew Isar TRA 3220 Uddenberg Lane, Suite 4 Gig Harbor, WA 98335

Matthew Feil Florida Digital Network, Inc. 390 North Orange Avenue Suite 2000 Orlando, Florida 32801

Angela Green, General Counsel Florida Public Telecommunications Assoc 125 S. Gadsden Street Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1525

Patrick Wiggins
Katz, Kutter Law Firm
12th Floor
106 East College Avenue
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

John Marks, III Knowles Law Firm 215 S. Monroe Street Suite 130 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Scheffel Wright Landers Law Firm Post Office Box 271 Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street Suite 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400 Rodney L. Joyce 600 14th Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington DC 20005-2004

Catherine F. Boone Covad Communications Company 10 Glenlake Parkway, Suite 650 Atlanta, GA 30328-3495

John Kerkorian MPower 5607 Glenridge Drive, Suite 300 Atlanta, GA 30342

CWA (Orl) Kenneth Ruth 2180 West State Road 434 Longwood, FL 32779

ITC^ DeltaCom Nanette S. Edwards 4092 South Memorial Parkway Huntsville, AL 35802-4343

Network Access Solutions Corporation 100 Carpenter Drive, Suite 206 Sterling, VA 20164

Swidler & Berlin Richard Rindler/Michael Sloan 3000 K. St. NW #300 Washington, DC 20007-5116

Suzanne F. Summerline IDS Telcom L.L.C. 1311-B Paul Russell Road, Suite 201 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Jim Lamoureux AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 1200 Peachtree Street, NE Room 8068 Atlanta, GA 30309

Lori Reese

Vice President of Governmental Affairs

NewSouth Communications

Two Main Street

Greenville, South Carolina

(864) 672-5177 Telephone

(864) 672-5041 Telefax

Vicki Gordon Kaufman McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Decker, Kaufman, Arnold & Steen, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 222-2525 Telephone (850) 222-5606 Telefax

Attorneys for the NewSouth Communications