## AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ORIGINAL

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(850) 224-9115 FAX (850) 222-7560

October 1, 2001

### BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re:

Docket No. 010007-EI

Dear Ms. Bayo:

On September 27, 2001, we filed an amendment to Tampa Electric Company's Petition in this proceeding making a \$302 correction in the calculation of the company's estimated/actual true-up amount for the January 2001 through December 2001. That same numerical error appeared in a number of pages contained in Tampa Electric witness Howard Bryant's Exhibits HTB-2 and HTB-3

Enclosed for filing in this proceeding are the original and ten (10) copies of the corrected pages from Exhibits HTB-2 and HTB-3. These pages marked "Revised October 1, 2001" include Bates stamp pages 8-10, 13-14 and 30-31 of Exhibit HTB-2 and pages 15, 47 and 48 of Exhibit HTB-3. I would appreciate your distributing these corrected pages to the Commission recipients of the original filing so that they may be substituted in place of the corresponding earlier pages.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in connection with this matter.

Sincerely,

JDB/bjd Enclosures

APP CAF

LEG

SER

cc: All Parties of Record (w/encl.)

ED . EH ED

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS 12344 OCT -1 6

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

一天主义

Form 42 - 1E

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated True-Up

January 2001 to December 2001

(in Dollars)

Li	ne	Period Amount	
	1. Over/(Under) Recovery for the Current Period (Form 42-2E, Line 5)	\$51,649	
∞	2. Interest Provision (Form 42-2E, Line 6)	(18,123)	
	3. Sum of Current Period Adjustments (Form 42-2E, Line 10)	0	ż
12344 OCT-15	ABER-D	\$33,526	FORM 42-1E FILED: AUGUST 20, 2001 REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001

ORIGINA

EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 010007-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

DOCUMENT NO. 1

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated True-Up January 2001 to December 2001

#### Current Period True-Up Amount (in Dollars)

1 me	<u>:</u>	Actual Jan-01	Actual Feb-01	Actual Mar-01	Actual Apr-01	Actual May-01	Actual Jun-01	Estimated Jul-01	Estimated Aug-01	Estimated Sep-01	Estimated Oct-01	Estimated Nov-01	Beturnated Dec-01	End of Period Total
	<ol> <li>ECRC Revenues (net of Revenue Taxes)</li> <li>True-Up Provision</li> <li>ECRC Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)</li> </ol>	\$2,628,507 (232,722) 2,395,785	\$2,116,894 (232,722) 1,884,172	\$1,978,434 (232,722) 1,745,712	\$2,018,286 (232,722) 1,785,564	\$2,133,503 (232,722) 1,900,781	\$2,590,128 (232,722) 2,357,406	\$2,506,601 (232,722) 2,273,879	\$2,685,148 (232,722) 2,452,426	\$2,753,822 (232,722) 2,521,100	\$2,461,546 (232,722) 2,228,824	\$2,115,914 (232,722) 1,883,192	\$2,107,494 (232,722) 1,874,772	\$28,096,277 (2,792,660) 25,303,617
	4 Junsdictional ECRC Costs a. O.& M. Activities (Form 42-5E, Line 9) b. Cupital Investment Projects (Form 42-7E, Line 9) c. Total Junsdictional ECRC Costs	579,832 1,442,290 2,022,122	210,395 1,455,357 1,665,752	657,576 1,436,377 2,093,953	247,643 1,438,673 1,686,316	526,137 1,455,229 1,981,366	608,564 1,513,658 2,122,222	876,826 1,533,124 2,409,950	664,390 1,555,725 2,220,115	737,630 1,582,000 2,319,630	632,638 1,598,677 2,231,315	676,439 1,604,952 2,281,391	608,433 1,609,403 2,217,836	7,026,503 18,225,465 25,251,968
	5 Over/Under Recovery (Line 3 - Line 4c)	373,663	218,420	(348,241)	99,248	(80,585)	235,184	(136,071)	232,311	201,470	(2,491)	(398,199)	(343,064)	51,649
	6 Interest Provision (Form 42-3E, Line 10)	(9,095)	(5,763)	(4,780)	(4,004)	(2,728)	(1,554)	(632)	257	1,763	2,876	2,993	2,544	(18,123)
9	7 Beginning Balance True-Up & Interest Provision a Deferred True-Up from January to December 1999	(2,792,660)	(2,195,370)	(1,749,991)	(1,870,290)	(1,542,324)	(1,392,915)	(926,563)	(830,544)	(365,254)	70,701	303,808	141,324	(2,792,660)
	(Order No PSC-00-2391-FOF-EI)	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727	677,727
	8 True-Up Collected/(Refunded) (see Line 2)	232,722	232,722	232,722	232,722	232,722	232,722	232,722	232,722	232,722	232,722	232,722	232,722	2,792,660
	9 End of Period Total True-Up (Lines 5 + 6 + 7 +7a + 8)	(1,517,643)	(1,072,264)	(1,192,563)	(864,597)	(715,188)	(248,836)	(152,817)	312,473	748,428	981,535	819,051	711,253	711,253
	10 Adjustment to Period True-Up Including Interest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	11 End of Period Total Net True-Up (Lines 9 + 10)	(\$1,517,643)	(\$1,072,264)	(\$1,192,563)	(\$864,597)	(\$715,188)	(\$248,836)	(\$152,817)	\$312,473	\$748,428	\$981,535	\$819,051	\$711,253	\$711,253

DOCKET NO. 010007-E1
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(HTB-2)
DOCUMENT NO. 2
PAGE 1 0F 1
FORM 42-2E
FILED: AUGUST 20, 2001
REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001

#### Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated True-Up January 2001 to December 2001

#### Interest Provision (in Dollars)

1	,me	Actual Jan-01	Actual Feb-01	Actual Mar-01	Actual Apr-01	Actual May-01	Actual Jun-01	Estimated Jul-01	Esturnated Aug-01	Estimated Sep-01	Estimated Oct-01	Estimated Nov-01	Estimated Dec-01	End of Period Total
	1 Beginning Balance True-Up Amount (Form 42-2B, Line 7 +7a + 10)	(\$2,114,933)	(\$1,517,643)	(\$1,072,264)	(\$1,192,563)	(\$864,597)	(\$715,188)	(\$248,836)	(\$152,817)	<b>\$</b> 312,473	\$748,428	\$981,535	\$819,051	
	2 Ending True-Up Amount Before Interest	(1,508,548)	(1,066,501)	(1,187,783)	(860,593)	(712,460)	(247,282)	(152,185)	312,216	746,665	978,659	816,058	708,709	
	3 Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 & 2)	(3,623,481)	(2,584,144)	(2,260,047)	(2,053,156)	(1,577,057)	(962,470)	(401,021)	159,399	1,059,138	1,727,087	1,797,593	1,527,760	
	4 Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2)	(1,811,741)	(1,292,072)	(1,130,024)	(1,026,578)	(788,529)	(481,235)	(200,511)	79,700	529,569	863,544	898,797	763,880	
_	5 Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Business Month)	6 50%	5 55%	5 15° o	5 90%	4 37%	3 94%	3 80%	3 75%	4 00%	4 00%	4 00%	4 00%	
0	6 Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Business Month)	5 55%	5 15%	5 00° á	4 37%	3 94%	3 80%	3 75%	4 00%	4 00%	4 00%	4 00%	4 00%	
	7 Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 & 6)	12 05%	10 70%	10 15%	9 37%	8 31%	7 74%	7 55%	7 75%	8 00%	8 00%	8 00%	8 00%	
	8 Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)	6 025%	5 350%	5 075%	4 685%	4 155%	3 870%	3 775%	3 875%	4 000%	4 000%	4 000%	4 000%	
	9. Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12)	0 502%	0 446%	0 423°°	0 390%	0 346%	0 323%	0 315%	0 323%	0 333%	0 333%	0 333%	0 333%	
	10 Interest Provision for the Month (Line 4 x Line 9)	(\$9,095)	(\$5,763)	(\$4,780)	(\$4,004)	(\$2,728)	(\$1,554)	(\$632)	<b>\$</b> 257	\$1,763	\$2,876	\$2,993	\$2,544	(\$18,123)

REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001

DOCKET NO. 010007-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(HTB-2) DOCUMENT NO. 3

PAGE 1 0F 1

FORM 42-3E

FILED: AUGUST 20, 2001

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated Amount January 2001 to December 2001

## Variance Report of Capital Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs (In Dollars)

		(1) Actual/	(2) Original	(3) Varia	(4) nce
		Estimated	Projection	Amount	Percent
13	1 Description of Investment Projects 1a Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Gas Desulfurization Integration 1b Big Bend Units 1 & 2 Flue Gas Conditioning 1c Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors 1d Gannon Ignition Oil Tank 1c Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade 1f Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade 1g Phillips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP 1h Phillips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP 1h Gannon Unit 5 Classifier Replacement 1j Gannon Unit 6 Classifier Replacement 1l Big Bend Unit 1 Classifier Replacement 1l Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement 1m Gannon Coal Crusher (NO <sub>x</sub> Control) 1n Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD 10 Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Platform 1p Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization 1q Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring 1r Big Bend NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions Reduction  2. Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs  3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$1,036,000 619,305 106,055 122,376 67,840 111,582 8,377 13,203 350,950 392,619 185,718 135,482 1,442,100 12,710,828 16,147 1,572,019 64,721 88,231 \$19,043,553 \$ 18,720,175 \$323,378	\$1,036,000 619,305 106,055 122,376 67,840 111,582 8,377 13,203 350,950 392,619 185,718 135,482 1,442,100 12,720,269 16,147 1,107,765 102,901 99,995 \$18,638,684 \$18,315,306 \$323,378	\$0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%
	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy     Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand			•	

#### Notes.

Column (1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-7E.

Column (2) is the approved Projected amount in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-00-2391-FOF-EI.

Column (3) = Column (1) - Column (2)

Column (4) = Column (3) / Column (2)

FORM 42-6E REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001 FILED: AUGUST 20, 2001

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY DOCUMENT NO. 6

EXHIBIT NO

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (FCRC) Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated Amount January 2001 to December 2001

## Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs

(m Dollars)

		Actual Jan-01	Actual Feb-01	Actual Mar-01	Actual Apr-01	Actual May-01	Actual Jun-01	Estimated Jul-01	Estimated Aug-01	Esternated Sep-01	Estimated Oct-01	Estimated Nov-01	Estimated Dec-01	End of Period Total	Method of C Demand	hssafication Energy
Line	1 Description of Investment Projects (A) 1a Big Bend Unit 3 Flue Cas Desulfurization Integration 1b Big Bend Units 1 and 2 Flue Cas Conditioning	\$87,396 52,369	\$87,203 52,231	\$87,010 52,092	\$86,816 51,955	\$86,623 51,816 8,866	\$86,430 51,678 8,848	\$86,237 51,539 8,828	\$86,043 51,402 8,810	\$85,850 51,263 8,791	\$85,657 51,125 8,771	\$85,464 50,986 8,753	\$85,271 50,849 8,734	\$1,036,000 619,305 106,055		\$1,036,000 619,305 106,055
	1c Big Bend Unit 4 Continuous Emissions Monitors 1d Cannon Igantion Oil Tank 1e Big Bend Fuel Oil Tank #1 Upgrade 1f Big Bend Puel Oil Tank #2 Upgrade	8,942 10,682 5,715 9,400	8,923 10,594 5,704 9,382 707	8,904 10,507 5,693 9,363 705	8,885 10,418 5,682 9,345 703	10,330 5,670 9,326 701	10,242 5,659 9,308 699	10,154 5,648 9,289 697	10,066 5,637 9,271 695	9,978 5,625 9,252 693	9,889 5,613 9,234 691	9,802 5,603 9,215 690	9,714 5,591 9,197 687	122,376 67,840 111,582 8,377	\$122,376 67,840 111,582 8,377 13,203	
	ig Philips Upgrade Tank #1 for FDEP th Philips Upgrade Tank #4 for FDEP ti Cannon Unit 5 Classifier Replacement tij Cannon Unit 6 Classifier Replacement	709 1,117 30,354 33,959 15,681	1,114 30,154 33,733 15,644	1,111 29,952 33,508 15,607	1,108 29,750 33,282 15,570	1,105 29,548 33,056 15,532	1,102 29,346 32,831 15,495	1,098 29,145 32,605 15,458	1,096 28,944 32,380 15,421	1,093 28,742 32,155 15,383	1,089 28,540 31,929 15,346 11,198	1,086 28,339 31,703 15,309 11,172	1,084 28,137 31,478 15,272 11,145	13,203 350,950 392,619 185,718 135,482	13,203	350,950 392,619 185,718 135,482
	Ik Big Bend Unit I Classifier Replacement  II Big Bend Unit 2 Classifier Replacement  Im Gunnon Coal Crusher (NO <sub>x</sub> Control)  In Big Bend Units 1 & 2 FGD  to Big Bend Section 114 Mercury Testing Philform	11,435 124,731 1,075,777 1,356	11,408 123,903 1,072,528 1,355	11,382 123,074 1,069,521 1,352	11,355 122,247 1,066,675 1,351	11,330 121,418 1,063,701 1,349	11,304 120,589 1,060,727 1,347	11,277 119,761 1,057,752 1,345	11,251 118,932 1,054,778 1,342 185,042	11,225 118,103 1,051,804 1,341 209,416	11,198 117,276 1,048,829 1,338 216,388	116,447 1,045,855 1,337 218,692	115,618 1,042,881 1,334 220,100	1,442,100 12,710,828 16,147 1,572,019		1,442,100 12,710,828 16,147 1,572,019
<u> </u>	to Big Bend Section 114 Neterral Processing 114 Big Bend FCD Optimization and Utilization 14 Big Bend FM Minimization and Monitoring 17 Big Bend NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions Reduction	21,893 2,324 1,825	34,821 2,480 2,491	50,167 3,838 3,711	57,493 4,547 4,819	79,678 4,145 5,924	122,355 4,544 8,086	155,974 4,846 9,853	6,073 9,501	7,379 9,038	7,638 9,562	8,043 10,512 1,659,008	8,864 12,909 1,658,865	64,721 88,231 19,043,553	\$323,378	64,721 88,231 \$18,720,175
4	Total investment Projects - Recoverable Costs  Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	1,495,665 1,468,042 27,623	1,504,375 1,476,874 27,501	1,517,497 1,490,118 27,379	1,522,001 1,494,745 27,256	1,540,118 1,512,986 27,132	1,580,590 1,553,580 27,010	1,611,506 1,584,620 26,886	1,636,684 1,609,919 26,765	1,657,131 1,630,490 26,641	1,633,597 26,516	1,632,612 26,396		\$18,720,175 323,378		
	5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor 6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0 9644065 0 9593944	0 9675662 0 9593944	0 9463076 0 9593944	0 9449931 0 9593944	0 9446216 0 9593944	0 9576239 0 9593944	0 9593944	0 9593944	0 9545846 0.9593944 1,556,441	0 9630512 0.9593944 1,573,238	0 9675462 0 9593944 1,579,628	0 9703569 0 9593944 1,584,197	17,915,221		
	7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B) 8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C)	1,415,789 26,501	1,428,973 26,384	1,410,110 26,267	1,412,524 26,149	1,429,199 26,030	1,487,745 25,913	1,507,330 25,794	1,530,047 25,678	25,559	25,439	25,324	25,206 \$1,609,403	310,244	-	
	9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	\$1,442,290	\$1,455,357	\$1,436,377	\$1,438,673	\$1,455,229	\$1,513,658	\$1,533,124	\$1,555,725	\$1,582,000	\$1,598,677	æ1,004,932	\$15005400	****	•	

Notes

(A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-8F, Line 9

(B) Lme 3 x Lme 5

(C) Line 4 x Line 6

DOCUMENT NO. 7 PAGE 1 0F 1 FORM 42-7E REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001 FILED: AUGUST 20, 2001

(HTB-2) EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 010007-E1

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated Amount January 2001 to December 2001

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project—Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization (in Dollars)

	(m Donars)														
<u>l u</u>	ie Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual Jan-01	Actual Feb-01	Actual Mar-01	Actual Apr-01	Actual May-01	Actual Jun-01	Estimated Jul-01	Estimated Aug-01	Estimated Sep-01	Estimated Oct-01	Estimated Nov-01	Estimated Dec-01	End of Period Total
	1 Investments														
	a. Expenditures/Additions		\$456,775	\$2,208,181	\$954,857	\$555,669	\$4,017,190	\$4,779,706	\$2,149,990	\$3,841,818	\$1,182,368	\$254,747	\$220,481	\$70,000	\$20,691,782
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ó	
	d Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2. Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$458,148	\$458,146	\$458,146	\$458,146	\$458,146	\$458,146	\$458,146	<b>\$</b> 458, <b>1</b> 46	<b>\$</b> 458,146	\$458,146	\$458,146	<b>\$</b> 458,146	\$458,146	
	3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(563)	(691)	(819)	(947)	(1,075)	(1,203)	(1,331)	(1,459)	(1,587)	(1,715)	(1,843)	(1,971)	(2,099)	
	4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	1,557,258	2,014,033	4,222,214	5,177,071	5,732,740	9,749,930	14,529,636	16,679,626	20,521,444	21,703,812	21,958,559	22,179,040	22,249,040	
	5 Net Investment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$2,014,843	2,471,488	4,679,541	5,634,270	6,189,811	10,206,873	14,986,451	17,136,313	20,978,003	22,160,243	22,414,862	22,635,215	22,705,087	
	6 Average Net Investment		2,243,166	3,575,515	5,156,906	5,912,041	8,198,342	12,596,662	16,061,382	19,057,158	21,569,123	22,287,553	22,525,039	22,670,151	
	7 Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		16,494	26,291	37,920	43,472	60,284	92,625	118,102	140,130	158,601	163,884	165,630	166,697	\$1,190,130
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.82% x 1/12)		5,271	8.402	12,119	13,893	19,266	29,602	37,744	44,784	50,687	52,376	52,934	53,275	380,353
	8 Investment Expenses														
(J)	a Depreciation		128	128	128	128	128	128	128	128	128	128	128	128	0
30	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c. Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d. Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e. Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 +8)		21,893	34,821	50,167	57,493	79,678	122,355	155,974	185,042	209,416	216,388	218,692	220,100	1,572,019
	a. Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		21,893	34,821	50,167	57,493	79,678	122,355	155,974	185,042	209,416	216,388	218,692	220,100	1,572,019
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	10. Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0 9644065	0 9675662	0 9463076	0.9449931	0.9446216	0.9576239	0.9512246	0.9503877	0.9545846	0 9630512	0.9675462	0.9703569	
	11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9593944	0.9593944	0 9593944	0 9593944	0 9593944	0.9593944	0 9593944	0.9593944	0.9593944	0 9593944	0.9593944	0.9593944	
	12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		21,114	33,692	47,473	54,330	75,266	117,170	148,366	175,862	199,905	208,393	211,595	213,576	1,506,742
	13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)	_	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 +	- 13)	\$21,114	\$33,692	\$47,473	\$54,330	\$75,266	\$117,170	\$148,366	\$175,862	\$199,905	\$208,393	\$211,595	\$213,576	\$1,506,742

Notes.

FORM 42-8E
FILED: AUGUST 20, 2001
REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001

DOCUMENT NO. 8
PAGE 16 0F 19

DOCKET NO. 010007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(HTB-2)

<sup>(</sup>A) Line 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11 75% and weighted income tax rate of 38 575% (expansion factor of 1 628002)

<sup>(</sup>B) Line 9a x Line 10

<sup>(</sup>C) Line 9b x Line 11

## Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Current Period Actual/Estimated Amount January 2001 to December 2001

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project—Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring (in Dollars)

I m	e Description	Beginning of Period Amount	Actual Jan-01	Actual Feb-01	Actual Mar-01	Actual Apr-01	Actuał May-01	Actual Jun-01	Estimated Jul-01	1.stimated Aug-01	Estimated Sep-01	Estimated Oct-01	Estimated Nov-01	Estimated Dec-01	End of Period Total
	1 Investments														
	a Expenditures/Additions		\$6,287	\$21,508	\$252,079	(\$108,027)	\$22,832	\$59,086	\$3,121	\$250,000	\$20,000	\$34,000	\$50,000	\$120,000	\$730,886
	b. Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	()	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$84,560	81,967	100,965	104,731	110,631	113,516	114,392	115,896	\$115,896	\$115,896	\$115,896	\$115,896	\$115,896	
	3 Less Accumulated Depreciation	(848)	(1,091)	(1,358)	(1,658)	(1.972)	(2,299)	(2,631)	(2,967)	(3,305)	(3,643)	(3,981)	(4,319)	(4,657)	
	4 CWIP - Non-Interest Bearing	127,719	136,599	139,109	387,422	273,495	293,442	351,652	353,269	603,269	623,269	657,269	707,269	827,269	
	5 Net lavestment (Lines 2 + 3 + 4)	\$211,431	217,475	238,716	490,495	382,154	404,659	463,413	466,198	715,860	735,522	769,184	818,846	938,508	
	6. Average Net Investment		214,453	228,096	364,606	436,325	393,407	434,036	464,806	591,029	725,691	752,353	794,015	878,677	
	7 Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (Λ)		1,577	1,677	2 681	3,208	2,893	3,192	3,418	4,346	5,336	5,532	5,839	6,461	\$46,160
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2 82% x 1/12)		504	516	857	1,025	925	1,020	1,092	1,389	1.705	1,768	1,866	2,065	14,752
31	8 Javestment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		243	267	300	314	127	332	336	338	338	338	338	338	3,809
	b. Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	0.00	0	0	0	3,809
	c Dismantlement		0	ŏ	0	0	o o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	Ô	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Other	_	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 +8)		2,324	2,480	3,838	4,547	4,145	4,544	4,846	6,073	7,379	7,638	8,043	8,864	64,721
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		2,324	2,480	3,838	4,547	4,145	4,544	4,846	6,073	7,379	7,638	8,043	8,864	64,721
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	10 5 1 1 2 2 15 .		0.0414045	0.0476443	0.04/307/	0.0440034	0.044/21/	0.067/710	0.0512246	0.0503077	0.0545046	0.000000	0.0475440	0.0703540	
	Energy Jurisdictional Factor     Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0 9644065 0.9593944	0 9675662 0.9593944	0 9463076 0.9593944	0.9449931 0 9593944	0 9446216 0 9593944	0 9576239 0 9593944	0.9512246 0 9593944	0 9503877 0.9593944	0.9545846 0.9593944	0.9630512 0.9593944	0 9675462 0.9593944	0 97035 <del>69</del> 0 9593944	
	12. Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		2,241	2,400	3,632	4,297	3,915	4,351	4,610	5,772	7,044	7,356	7,782	8,601	62,001
	13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	14 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 +	13)	\$2,241	\$2,400	\$3,632	\$4,297	\$3,915	\$4,351	\$4,610	<b>\$</b> 5,772	\$7,044	\$7,356	\$7,782	\$8,601	\$62,001

Notes:

(A) Lme 6 x 8.8238% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.75% and weighted meome tax rate of 38.575% (expansion factor of 1 628002)

(B) Line 9a x Line 10

(C) Linc 9b x Line 11

DOCUMENT NO. 8
PAGE 17 0F 19
FORM 42-8E
FILED: AUGUST 20, 2001

REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001

DOCKET NO. 010007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Tampa Electric Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered

#### For the Projected Period January 2002 to December 2002

	Energy (\$)	Demand (\$)	Total (\$)
<u>Line</u>			
<ol> <li>Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirements for the projected period</li> <li>Projected O&amp;M Activities (Form 42-2P, Lines 7, 8 &amp; 9)</li> <li>Projected Capital Projects (Form 42-3P, Lines 7, 8 &amp; 9)</li> <li>Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirements for the projected period (Lines 1a + 1b)</li> </ol>	\$9,135,085 18,965,966 28,101,051	\$228,171 282,040 510,211	\$9,363,256 19,248,006 28,611,262
2. True-up for listimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the current period January 2001 December 2001 (Form 42-2E, Line 5 + 6 + 10)	32,976	550	33,526
3. Final True-up for the period January 2000 to December 2000 (Form 42-1A, Line 3)	667,380	10,347	677,727
4 Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered/(Refunded) in the projection period January 2002 to December 2002 (Line 1 - Line 2- Line 3)	27,400,695	499,314	27,900,009
5. Total Projected Jurisdictional Amount Adjusted for Taxes (Line 4 x Revenue Tax Multiplier)	\$27,420,423	\$499,673	\$27,920,097

Notes. Allocation to energy and dermind in each period is in proportion to the respective period split of costs indicated on Lines 7 and 8 of Forms 42-5 and 42-7 of the actuals and estimates.

ì

EXHIBIT NO.

DOCKET NO. 010007-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(HTB-3)

DOCUMENT NO. 1

PAGE 1 0F 1

FORM 42-1P

FILED: SEPTEMBER 20, 2001

REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
January 2002 through December 2002
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO.\_\_\_\_\_

DOCKET NO. 010007-EI

TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(HTB-3)

DOCUMENT NO. 1

PAGE 11 0F 21

FORM 42-5P

FILED: SEPTEMBER 20, 2001

REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001

Project Title: Big Bend FGD Optimization and Utilization

### **Project Description:**

In order to meet the requirements of the DEP Consent Final Judgement and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to optimize the SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency and operations of the Big Bend Units 1, 2 and 3 FGD systems. Tampa Electric will perform activities in three key areas to improve the performance and reliability of the Big Bend Units 1, 2 and 3 FGD systems. The majority of the improvements are required to be performed on the Unit 3 tower module and include tower piping, nozzle and internal improvements, duct work improvements, electrical system reliability improvements, tower control improvements, DBA system improvements, booster fan reliability improvements, absorber system improvements, quencher system improvements, and tower demister improvements. Big Bend Units 1 and 2 FGD system improvements include additional preventative maintenance, oxidation air control improvements, and tower water, air reagent and start-up piping upgrades. In order to ensure reliability of the FGD systems, improvements to the common limestone supply, gypsum dewatering stack reliability and wastewater treatment plant are also being performed.

#### **Project Accomplishments:**

Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through December 2001 is \$1,572,019 as compared to the original projection of \$1,107,765 resulting in a variance of 41.9%. This variance is primarily due to a shift in expenditures from O&M to capital. This resulted from a thorough inspection of the FGD components during a fall outage which indicated that the original assumption of basis equipment repairs would adequately meet the Consent Order requirements was incorrect. Once an additional 30 days of de-integration for unit 3 was granted for May 2001 by EPA, the necessary capital improvements were instituted.

The actual/estimated O & M expense for this project for the period January 2001 through December 2001 is \$675,845 as compared to the original projection of \$1,104,330 resulting in a variance of -38.8%. This variance is primarily due to the shift from O&M expenditures to capital expenditures which were necessary to operate unit 3 in a manner that would attain compliance with the Consent Decree.

Project Progress Summary:

The project is scheduled to go in service January 2002.

**Project Projections:** 

Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2002 through December 2002 is expected to be \$3,208,829.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2002 through December 2002 are projected to be \$437,000.

Form 42-5P Page 12 of 21

Tampa Electric Company
Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
January 2002 through December 2002
Description and Progress Report for
Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

EXHIBIT NO.\_\_\_\_\_
DOCKET NO. 010007-EI
TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY
(HTB-3)
DOCUMENT NO. 1
PAGE 12 0F 21
FORM 42-5P
FILED: SEPTEMBER 20, 2001

REVISED: OCTOBER 1, 2001

Project Title: Big Bend PM Minimization and Monitoring

#### **Project Description:**

In order to meet the requirements of the DEP Consent Final Judgement and the EPA Consent Decree, Tampa Electric is required to develop a Best Operational Practices (BOP) study to minimize emissions from each electrostatic precipitator (ESP) at Big Bend, to perform a best available control technology (BACT) analysis for the upgrade of each existing ESP, and to install and operate particulate matter continuous emission monitors, and operations of the Big Bend Units 1, 2 and 3 FGD systems. Tampa Electric has identified improvements that are necessary to optimize ESP performance such as modifications to the turning vanes and precipitator distribution plates, and upgrades to the controls and software system of the precipitators. Tampa Electric has incurred costs associated with the recommendations of the BOP study and the BACT analysis in 2001 and will continue to experience O&M and capital expenditures during 2002.

#### **Project Accomplishments:**

Project Fiscal Expenditures: The actual/estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2001 through

December 2001 is \$64,721 as compared to the original projection of \$102,901 resulting in a variance of -37.1%. This variance is primarily due to CEM technology research still underway and therefore expenditures for that aspect of the project have

yet to occur.

The actual/estimated O&M expense for this new project for the period January 2001 through December 2001 is \$132,002 as compared to the original projection of \$115,000 resulting in a variance of 14.8%. This variance is primarily due to the completion of a BACT analysis that indicated fly ash hopper gate improvements were necessary. At the time of the original projection, this information was not known.

Project Progress Summary: The project is an ongoing compliance activity.

Project Projections: Estimated depreciation plus return for the period January 2002 through December 2002

is expected to be \$269,507.

Estimated O&M costs for the period January 2002 through December 2002 are

projected to be \$1,361,000.