

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

 CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

MAR 18 AM 11:

COMMISSION
CLERK**-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-****DATE:** March 18, 2004**TO:** Director, Division of the Commission Clerk & Administrative Services (Bayó)
FROM: Division of Competitive Markets & Enforcement (Curry) KLC DM
 Division of Consumer Affairs (Lowery) (51)
 Office of the General Counsel (Rojas) K2 BK

RE: Docket No. 040161-TI – Compliance Investigation of Global Link Communications, Inc. for Apparent Violation of Section 364.02, Florida Statutes, Definitions.

AGENDA: 03/30/04 – Regular Agenda – Proposed Agency Action – Interested Persons May Participate
CRITICAL DATES: None**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:** None**FILE NAME AND LOCATION:** S:\PSC\CMP\WP\040161.RCM.DOC**Discussion of Issues**

Issue 1: Should the Commission impose a penalty on Global Link Communications, Inc. of \$10,000 for its apparent violation of Section 364.02, Florida Statutes, Definitions, or cancel Global Link Communications, Inc.'s tariff and remove Registration Number TJ373 from the register and require the company to immediately cease and desist providing intrastate interexchange telecommunications services in Florida, if the company fails to timely protest the Commission's Order and fails to pay the penalty within fourteen (14) calendar days after the issuance of the Consummating Order?

Recommendation: Yes. (Curry/Lowery/Rojas)

Staff Analysis: Pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes, the Commission may impose a penalty or cancel a certificate if a company refuses to comply with the Commission rules or any

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

03650 MAR 18 08

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

provision of Chapter 364, Florida Statutes. Section 364.02(13), Florida Statutes, states in pertinent part:

...Each intrastate interexchange telecommunications company shall continue to be subject to ss. 364.04, 364.10(3)(a) and (d), 364.163, 364.285, 364.501, 364.603, and 364.604, shall provide the commission with such current information as the commission deems necessary to contact and communicate with the company....

Global Link Communications, Inc. (Global Link) is a registered interexchange telecommunications company (IXC), based in Miami, Florida that provides intrastate interexchange telecommunications services in Florida. On November 17, 2003, staff received a complaint filed against Global Link regarding the purchase of a prepaid calling card. Between November 17, 2003, and January 14, 2004, staff made several attempts, via facsimile, telephone, and certified mail, to notify Global Link of the complaint and to request that the company investigate the complaint and submit a written response to staff. As of the date of filing this recommendation, Global Link has not communicated with staff or replied to the customer complaint, which is in apparent violation of Section 364.02(13), Florida Statutes. A signed green certified mail receipt was returned by the United States Postal Service indicating that the company did receive the certified letter that was sent by the General Counsel. Therefore, staff believes that the company has been adequately notified of its obligation to reply to the complaint and has been provided sufficient time to contact and communicate with staff.

Staff believes that Global Link's failure to communicate with Commission staff is a "willful violation" of Section 364.02(13), Florida Statutes, in the sense intended by Section 364.285, Florida Statutes.

Pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes, the Commission is authorized to impose upon any entity subject to its jurisdiction a penalty of not more than \$25,000 for each day a violation continues, if such entity is found to have *refused to comply with* or *to have willfully violated* any lawful rule or order of the Commission, or any provision of Chapter 364, Florida Statutes.

Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes, however, does not define what it is to "willfully violate" a rule or order. Nevertheless, it appears plain that the intent of the statutory language is to penalize those who affirmatively act in opposition to a Commission order or rule. See, Florida State Racing Commission v. Ponce de Leon Trotting Association, 151 So.2d 633, 634 & n.4 (Fla. 1963); c.f., McKenzie Tank Lines, Inc. v. McCauley, 418 So.2d 1177, 1181 (Fla. 1st DCA 1982) (there must be an intentional commission of an act violative of a statute with knowledge that such an act is likely to result in serious injury) [citing Smit v. Geyer Detective Agency, Inc., 130 So.2d 882, 884 (Fla. 1961)]. Thus, a "willful violation of law" at least covers an act of purposefulness.

However, "willful violation" need not be limited to acts of commission. The phrase "willful violation" can mean *either* an intentional act of commission or one of omission, that is *failing* to act. See, Nuger v. State Insurance Commissioner, 238 Md. 55, 67, 207 A.2d 619, 625

(1965)[emphasis added]. As the First District Court of Appeal stated, "willfully" can be defined as:

An act or omission is 'willfully' done, if done voluntarily and intentionally and with the specific intent to do something the law forbids, or *with the specific intent to fail to do something the law requires to be done*; that is to say, with bad purpose either to disobey or to disregard the law.

Metropolitan Dade County v. State Department of Environmental Protection, 714 So.2d 512, 517 (Fla. 1st DCA 1998)[emphasis added]. In other words, a willful violation of a statute, rule or order is also one done with an intentional disregard of, or a plain indifference to, the applicable statute or regulation. See, L. R. Willson & Sons, Inc. v. Donovan, 685 F.2d 664, 667 n.1 (D.C. Cir. 1982).

Thus, Global Links' failure to communicate with Commission staff meets the standard for a "refusal to comply" and "willful violations" as contemplated by the Legislature when enacting section 364.285, Florida Statutes.

"It is a common maxim, familiar to all minds, that 'ignorance of the law' will not excuse any person, either civilly or criminally." Barlow v. United States, 32 U.S. 404, 411 (1833); see, Perez v. Marti, 770 So.2d 284, 289 (Fla. 3rd DCA 2000) (ignorance of the law is never a defense). Moreover, in the context of this docket, all intrastate interexchange telecommunication companies, like Global Link are subject to the rules published in the Florida Administrative Code. See, Commercial Ventures, Inc. v. Beard, 595 So.2d 47, 48 (Fla. 1992).

The Commission is vested with jurisdiction over these matters pursuant to Sections 364.02 and 364.285, Florida Statutes. Further, the amount of the proposed penalty is consistent with penalties previously imposed by the Commission upon other intrastate interexchange telecommunications companies that failed to respond to customer complaints. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission impose a penalty upon Global Link Communications, Inc. of \$10,000 for its apparent violation of Section 364.02, Florida Statutes, Definitions, or cancel Global Link Communications, Inc.'s tariff and remove Registration Number TJ373 from the register and require the company to immediately cease and desist providing intrastate interexchange telecommunications services in Florida, if the company fails to timely protest the Commission's Order and fails to pay the penalty within fourteen (14) calendar days after the issuance of the Consummating Order.

Docket No. 040161-TI

Date: March 18, 2004

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action Order. This docket should be closed administratively upon the receipt of the payment of the penalty or upon the cancellation of the company's tariff and removal of Registration Number TJ373 from the register. **(Rojas)**

STAFF ANALYSIS: Whether staff's recommendation on Issue 1 is approved or denied, the result will be a Proposed Agency Action Order. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the Proposed Agency Action Order. This docket should be closed administratively upon the receipt of the payment of the penalty or upon the cancellation of the company's tariff and removal of Registration Number TJ373 from the register.