

RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, PURNELL & HOFFMAN

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

STEPHEN A. ECENIA
RICHARD M. ELLIS
KENNETH A. HOFFMAN
LORENA A. HOLLEY
MICHAEL G. MAIDA
MARTIN P. McDONNELL
J. STEPHEN MENTON

POST OFFICE BOX 551, 32302-0551
215 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 420
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1841

TELEPHONE (850) 681-6788
TELECOPIER (850) 681-6515

R. DAVID PRESCOTT
HAROLD F. X. PURNELL
MARSHA E. RULE
GARY R. RUTLEDGE
GOVERNMENTAL CONSULTANTS
MARGARET A. MENDUNI
M. LANE STEPHENS

March 19, 2004

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director
Division Clerk and Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

HAND DELIVERY

RECEIVED - FPSC
MAR 19 AM 11:29
COMMISSION
CLERK


Re: Florida Power & Light Company's Motion to Strike Customers' Response to
FPL's Motion to Compel
Docket No. 030623-EL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed please find the original and fifteen copies of Florida Power & Light Company's
Motion to Strike Customers' Response to FPL's Motion to Compel.

Please acknowledge receipt of these documents by date-stamping the enclosed copy of this
letter and returning it to the undersigned.

Sincerely,



Kenneth A. Hoffman

AUS _____
CAF _____
CMP _____
COM S _____
CTR _____
ECR _____
GCL _____
OPC _____
MMS _____
SEC L _____
OTH _____

KAH/tls
Enclosures

RECEIVED & FILED

R.V.N.
FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

03694 MAR 19 04

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Complaints by Southeastern Utility Services,
Inc. on behalf of various customers, against)
Florida Power & Light Company concerning)
thermal demand meter error)
_____)

Docket No. 030623-EI

Filed: March 19, 2004

**FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S
MOTION TO STRIKE CUSTOMERS'
RESPONSE TO FPL'S MOTION TO COMPEL**

Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL"), by and through its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Rule 28-106.204, hereby moves to strike the untimely Response to FPL's Motion to Compel filed and served by Ocean Properties, Ltd., J.C. Penney Corp., Dillard's Department Stores, Inc., and Target Stores, Inc. (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Customers") on March 15, 2004. In support of this Motion to Strike, FPL states as follows:

1. On February 27, 2004, FPL filed its Motion to Compel Customers' Answers to First Set of Requests for Admissions and Customers' Responses to First Set of Requests for Production of Documents.

2. FPL served its Motion to Compel by United States Mail thereby giving Customers a full twelve days up to and until March 10, 2004, to file and serve their response to the Motion to Compel. See Fla. Admin. Code R. 28-106.103 and 28-106.204. Customers failed to timely file and serve their Response filing it five days late on March 15, 2004. Customers' counsel did not contact counsel for FPL or otherwise seek permission to disregard applicable rules and file Customers' Response to the Motion to Compel five days late.

3. In the meantime, Customers continue to resist production of documents that Customers agreed to produce in their Response to FPL's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents. See Exhibit A, attached hereto. Counsel for FPL has repeatedly asked counsel for

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE


03694 MAR 19 3

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

Customers to consummate arrangements (time, date and location) for FPL to review the documents. Those efforts have been in vain. FPL adds that it has consistently reminded counsel for Customers that documents FPL agreed to produce in response to Customers' First Request for Production of Documents that were not subject to Specific Objections have remained available at FPL's general office since February 9, 2004 for inspection and review.

WHEREFORE, FPL requests that the Prehearing Officer strike Customers' Response to FPL's Motion to Compel and order Customers to immediately produce documents, as previously represented and committed by Customers.

Respectfully submitted,



Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq.
Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A.
P. O. Box 551
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
Telephone: 850-681-6788

- - and - -

R. Wade Litchfield, Esq.
Law Department
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420
Telephone: 561-691-7101


Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Florida Power & Light Company's Motion to Strike Customers' Response to FPL's Motion to Compel has been furnished by Hand Delivery this 19th day of March, 2004, to the following:

Cochran Keating, Esq.
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Room 370
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Jon C. Moyle, Jr., Esq.
Diana K. Shuman, Esq.
Moyle, Flanigan, Katz, Raymond & Sheehan, P.A.
The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

By: 
Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq.

FPL\responsetomotiontocompel

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Complaints by Southeastern Utility Services,
Inc. on behalf of various customers, against
Florida Power & Light Company concerning
thermal demand meter error)
_____)


Docket No. 030623-EI

**CUSTOMERS' OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO
FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY'S
FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION
OF DOCUMENTS TO CUSTOMERS (NOS. 1-12)**

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code and Rule 1.350, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, Customers hereby serve their responses to Florida Power & Light Company's ("FPL") First Set of Requests for Production of Documents pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, and Rule 1.350, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby serves the following First Set of Requests for Production of Documents upon Ocean Properties, Ltd., J. C. Penney Corp., Dillard's Department Stores, Inc. and Target Stores, Inc., and requests that responsive documents be produced within thirty days, pursuant to the time frames provided for in the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. Customers object to each request for production to the extent that it seeks to impose an obligation on Customers to respond on behalf of subsidiaries, affiliates, or other persons that are not parties to this case. Such requests, besides being overly broad, unduly burdensome, or oppressive, are not permitted by applicable discovery rules.



DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
02091 FEB 13 3
FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

2. Customers object to each request for production to the extent that it is intended to apply to matters other than Florida operations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission as being irrelevant, overly broad, unduly burdensome, and oppressive.

3. Customers object to each request for production to the extent that it requests information that is exempt from discovery by virtue of the attorney-client privilege, work product privilege, or other applicable privilege.

4. Customers object to each request for production to the extent that it is vague, ambiguous, overly broad, imprecise, or to the extent that it utilizes terms that are subject to multiple interpretations and are not properly defined or explained for purposes of this discovery. Any documents provided by Customers in response to FPL's discovery will be provided subject to, and without waiver of, the foregoing objection.

5. Customers object to each request for production to the extent that it is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the subject matter of this proceeding.

6. Customers object to providing information in response to FPL's discovery requests to the extent that such information is already in the public record before the Commission or is otherwise public record available to FPL.

7. Customers object to FPL's discovery to the extent that it seeks to have Customers create documents not in existence at the time of the request.

8. Customers object to each request for production to the extent that it seeks to impose obligations on Customers that exceed the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure or Florida Law.

9. Customers object to each request for production to the extent that responding to it would be unduly burdensome, expensive, oppressive, or excessively time consuming.

10. Customers object to each request for production to the extent that it is not limited to any stated period of time and, therefore, are overly broad and unduly burdensome.

11. Customers object to FPL's discovery to the extent that information requested constitutes "trade secrets" defined in Section 688.01(4), Florida Statutes, and which are privileged pursuant to Section 90.506, Florida Statutes. To the extent that FPL's requests seek proprietary confidential information which is not subject to the "trade secrets" privilege, Customers will make such information available to counsel for FPL pursuant to an appropriate Protective Agreement, subject to any other general or specific objections contained herein.

12. Customers generally are corporations with offices in different states. In conducting business, customers create numerous documents that may not be subject to the Florida Public Service Commission's jurisdiction. Such documents may be housed in different locations. Therefore, it is possible that not every document has been identified in response to these requests. Customers will perform a reasonable and diligent search of those files that are reasonable expected to contain requested information. To the extent that FPL's discovery seeks to require more, Customers object on the grounds that compliance would be unduly burdensome and expensive.

DEFINITIONS AS SET FORTH BY FPL

1. "Person" or "persons" means all natural persons and entities, including, but not limited to: corporations, companies, partnerships, limited partnerships, joint ventures, trusts, estates, associations, public agencies, departments, bureaus or boards.

2. "SUSI" shall mean Southeastern Utility Services, Inc., including its employees and authorized representatives.

3. "Customers" shall mean Petitioners Ocean Properties, Ltd., J. C. Penney Corp., Dillard's Department Stores, Inc. and Target Stores, Inc., individually and collectively.

4. "Document" or "documents" means documents as defined in Rule 1.350, Fla.R.Civ.P. In addition, the words "document" or "documents" shall mean any writing, recording, computer-stored information or photograph in your possession, custody, care or control, which pertain directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, to any of the subjects listed below, or which are themselves listed below as specific documents, including, but not limited to, correspondence, memoranda, notes, messages, e-mails, diaries, minutes, books, reports, charts, ledgers, invoices, computer printouts, computer discs, microfilms, video tapes or tape recordings or any other medium in which information is stored, that are in the possession, custody or control of customers and/or, Customer's representative, SUSI.

5. "FPL" means Florida Power & Light Company, its attorneys, employees, officers, directors, agents, representatives, affiliated corporate entities and persons acting on behalf of these entities.

6. "Related to" shall mean contain, discuss, describe or address.

7. "All" means all or any.

8. The singular of any word contained herein shall include the plural and vice versa; the terms "and" and "or" shall be both conjunctive and disjunctive; and the term "including" means "including without limitation".

9. "Landis and Gyr" shall mean the corporate entity which sold or otherwise provided FPL 1-V thermal demand meters that are the subject of this docket, including any corporate affiliates, successors, or predecessors of Landis and Gyr that supplied FPL with thermal demand meters.

INSTRUCTIONS

10. **Scope of Production.** In responding to this request to produce, produce all responsive documents.

11. **Manner of Objections and Inability to Respond.** If you object to a part of a request and refuse to respond to that part, state your objection and answer the remaining portion of that request. If you object to the scope of a request and refuse to produce documents for that scope, state your objection and produce documents for the scope you believe is appropriate.

12. **Limited Response.** If any of the requests cannot be responded to in full after exercising due diligence to secure the requested documents, please so state and respond and produce documents to the extent possible, specifying your inability to respond further. If your response or production is qualified or limited in any particular way, please set forth the details and specifics of such qualification or limitation.

13. **Privileged Information or Documents.** In the event you wish to assert attorney/client privilege or work product doctrine, or both, any other claim of privilege,

then as to such documents allegedly subject to such asserted privileges, you are requested to supply a privilege log identifying such documents, in writing, with sufficient specificity to permit the Prehearing Officer or Commission to reach a determination in the event of a motion to compel as to the applicability of the asserted objection, together with an indication of the basis for the assertion of the claim of attorney/client privilege or work product doctrine, or any other claim of privilege. The identification called for by this instruction shall include the nature of the document (e.g., interoffice memoranda, correspondence, report, etc.), the sender or author, the recipient of each copy, the date of the document, the present custodian of the document, the name of each person to whom the original or any copy was circulated, the names appearing on any circulation list associated with such document, and a summary statement of the subject matter of the document in sufficient detail to permit the Court to reach a determination in the event of a motion to compel.

14. **Computer-Generated Documents.** If a requested document is on computer or word proceeding disc or tape, produce an electronic copy of the document and a printout of the document.

DOCUMENTS REQUESTED

1. All documents exchanged between SUSI and Landis & Gyr on or after July 1, 2002 that are related to the calibration or testing of thermal demand meters.

Subject to objections previously raised herein, Customers will produce any responsive documents as they relate to issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

2. All documents which refer or relate to the possible effects that temperature, including but not limited to radiant heat, can have on thermal demand meters.

Subject to objections previously raised, and the objection raised herein, Customers will produce any responsive documents as they relate to issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

3. All documents reflecting the results of 1-V thermal demand meter tests conducted by SUSI.

Subject to objections previously raised, Customers will produce any responsive documents as they relate to the issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

4. All documents sent or received by SUSI and/or exchanged between SUSI and any customer of FPL (including but not limited to the Petitioner Customers) who receives or received electric service through thermal demand meters from the period of July 1, 2002 through January 14, 2004.

In addition to the objections raised above, this request is objected to as being overbroad, vague and ambiguous. The request is not limited to matters in dispute between the parties, but seeks "all documents sent or received by SUSI and/or exchanged between SUSI and any customer of FPL who receives or received electrical service through thermal demand meters from the period of July 1, 2002 through January 14, 2004." Besides seeking documents that may have nothing to do with this case, Customers are not in a position to know the identities of "any customer of FPL who receives or received electric service through thermal demand meters." Customers would need FPL to provide them with a list of all such customers, and seeks same in its Second Request for Production of Documents.

5. All documents that refer or relate to or reflect any studies and/or analyses performed by SUSI related to the accuracy of thermal demand meters.

Subject to objections previously raised, and the objection raised herein, Customers will produce any responsive documents as they relate to issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

6. All documents referring or related to over-registration and calibration of thermal demand meters.

In addition to the objections previously raised, Customers object to this request as being overbroad; upon refinement, Customers are willing to respond and will

produce any responsive documents related to the issues and meters in this case at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to the Customers.

7. All notes and correspondence exchanged between SUSI and current and former FPL employees regarding thermal demand meters or thermal demand meter testing over the last five years.

Subject to objections previously raised, Customers will produce any responsive documents as they relate to issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

8. All documents, including electronic mails, notes and correspondence, between SUSI and FPL customers (including but not limited to the Petitioner Customers) regarding thermal demand meters or thermal demand meter testing for the period of July 1, 2002 through January 14, 2004.

Subject to objections previously raised, Customers will produce any responsive documents as they relate to issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

9. All documents supporting Customers' claims that the effects of sunlight can cause a thermal demand meter to over-register.

Subject to objections previously raised, Customers will produce any documents as they relate to issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

10. All documents supporting the Customers' allegations in their Petition for Formal Administrative Hearing that:

- a. their 1-V thermal demand meter(s) malfunctioned when last calibrated.
- b. their 1-V thermal demand meter(s) over-registered due to radiant heat.
- c. FPL failed to meet all applicable requirements for the testing of their 1-V thermal demand meter(s).

Subject to objections previously raised, Customers will produce any responsive documents as they relate to issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

11. All documents, correspondence and solicitations to FPL customers from SUSI to FPL Customers to represent such Customers in pursuing claims for thermal demand meter refunds from FPL.

An objection is put forward, in addition to the objections raised above, that certain documents responsive to this request are confidential or may contain business or trade secrets and will not be produced without an acceptable confidentiality agreement being in place. Thus, subject to objections previously raised, and the specific objection set forth herein, Customers will produce any responsive documents as they relate to issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

12. All documents and correspondence exchanged between SUSI and potential witnesses for Customers in this proceeding.

An objection is put forward in that not all potential witnesses have been identified at this time, as discovery has just recently commenced and potential witnesses are likely to be identified during the course of additional discovery. To the extent that conclusive decisions have been made as to witnesses, subject to the objections raised above, Customers will produce any responsive documents as they relate to issues and meters in this case during normal business hours at their respective offices or at a location to be agreed upon by the parties upon reasonable notice being provided to Customers.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by hand delivery to those listed below with an asterisk and the remainder by U.S. Mail without an asterisk this day the 13th day of February, 2004.


*Cochran Keating
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Bill Walker
Florida Power & Light Company
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810
Tallahassee, FL 32301

*Kenneth A. Hoffman
Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman
Post Office Box 551
Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551

R. Wade Litchfield
Law Department
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

Daniel Joy
785 SunTrust Bank Plaza
1800 Second Street
Sarasota, FL 34236


Jon C. Moyle, Jr.