# BEFORE THE 1 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 DOCKET NO. 020896-WS 3 4 In the Matter of: 5 PETITION BY CUSTOMERS OF ALOHA UTILITIES, INC. FOR 6 DELETION OF PORTION OF 7 TERRITORY IN SEVEN SPRINGS AREA IN PASCO COUNTY. 8 9 ELECTRONIC VERSIONS OF THIS TRANSCRIPT ARE A CONVENIENCE COPY ONLY AND ARE NOT 10 THE OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE HEARING, THE .PDF VERSION INCLUDES PREFILED TESTIMONY. 11 VOLUME 1 12 Pages 1 through 147 13 14 PROCEEDINGS: NEW PORT RICHEY SERVICE HEARING 15 BEFORE: COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON COMMISSIONER RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY 16 COMMISSIONER CHARLES M. DAVIDSON 17 Thursday, April 8, 2004 DATE: 18 Commenced at 9:30 a.m. TIME: 19 West Pasco Government Center PLACE: County Commission Board Room (Suite 160) 20 7530 Little Road New Port Richey, Florida 21 REPORTED BY: LINDA BOLES, RPR 22 TRICIA DEMARTE, RPR

23

24

25

DOCUMENT NUMBER-PATE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 4789 APR 22 &

Official FPSC Reporters

# APPEARANCES:

STEPHEN C. BURGESS, ESQUIRE, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel.

F. MARSHALL DETERDING, ESQUIRE and JOHN WHARTON,
ESQUIRE, Rose, Sundstrom & Bentley Law Firm, 2548 Blairstone
Pines Dr., Tallahassee, Florida 32301, appearing on behalf of
Aloha Utilities, Inc.

ROSANNE GERVASI, ESQUIRE, FPSC General Counsel's Office, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

б

# 1 INDEX 2 WITNESS 3 NAME

PAGE NO. SENATOR MIKE FASANO 4 Direct Statement 18 5 REPRESENTATIVE HEATHER FIORENTINO 6 Direct Statement 40 7 EVELYN HAAS Direct Statement 42 8 ABRAHAM KURIEN 9 Direct Statement 44 JOHN H. GAUL 10 Direct Statement 64 11 MARILYN LAMBERT 12 Direct Statement 75 13 HARRY HAWCROFT Direct Statement 83 14 WILLIAM CREAN 15 Direct Statement 90 WAYNE FOREHAND 16 Direct Statement 93 17 EDWARD WOOD Direct Statement 18 105 19 JOSEPH MOONEY Direct Statement 114 20 DAVE HARRISS 21 Direct Statement 117 ERNEST LANE 22 Direct Statement 121 23 TOM SIMPSON 24 Direct Statement 123

25

# I N D E X (Continued) WITNESS NAME PAGE NO. CAROL TEGARDEN Direct Statement LINWOOD OBERG Direct Statement ROBERT WICKETT Direct Statement CHARLES HISE Direct Statement GEORGE HINKES Direct Statement DONALD BALLER Direct Statement ROBERT ZIERDEN Direct Statement CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS

# PROCEEDINGS

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Could I have everyone's
attention, please? Thank you. First of all, can everyone hear
me okay? Fine. Very good. That's always good to know. Let
me take this opportunity to welcome everyone. We have just a
few formalities we need to address, and then we will proceed to
the meat of the meeting today. And the first order of business
is that we need to have the notice read. Counsel, can you do
so?

MS. GERVASI: Pursuant to notice, this time and place has been set for a customer service hearing in Docket Number 020896-WS, petition by customers of Aloha Utilities, Inc., for deletion of portion of territory in the Seven Springs area in Pasco County.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. We will take appearances. We will begin with Mr. Burgess.

MR. BURGESS: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner. My name is Steve Burgess. I work --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Could you raise your hand so people can -- there you go.

MR. BURGESS: Yes. I work for the Office of Public Counsel, and our office represents the customers of Aloha in this proceeding.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, Mr. Burgess.

Let's go to the utility company.

1 MR. DETERDING: Good morning. 2 COMMISSIONER DEASON: You may want to raise your hand, too, Mr. Deterding. 3 MR. DETERDING: F. Marshall Deterding, and with me is 4 John Wharton; we're here on behalf of Aloha Utilities, Inc. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Staff. 6 MS. GERVASI: Rosanne Gervasi appearing on behalf of 7 the Commission. 8 9 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Ms. Gervasi, why 10 don't you take an opportunity to introduce some of the staff 11 personnel that are here today. 12 MS. GERVASI: Also here next to me is Marshall 13 Willis; he's a bureau chief in the Division of Economic Regulation. We also have some other staff members here. 14 Somewhere in the back of the room I believe we have Sandy Moses 15 16 and Bridget Hoyle. COMMISSIONER DEASON: I think Ms. Hoyle is out in the 17 foyer area. 18 19 MS. GERVASI: Okay. And Tom Walden is here 20 somewhere. He's an engineer with the Commission staff. 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Since we're on introductions, let me take this opportunity to introduce 22 23 myself. My name is Terry Deason. I'm a member of the Florida

Public Service Commission. I'll be chairing the hearing here

today. Seated to my right is Commissioner Rudy Bradley and

24

25

seated to my left is Commissioner Chuck Davidson. We will constitute the panel of commissioners which will be hearing this matter today.

1.0

1.4

I want to start by first of all thanking you all for being here today. The turnout is quite impressive. We appreciate all the input that we know that we're going to receive today. There has been some speculation as to the exact purpose of the hearing and what will be or will not be allowed at the hearing. Let me make it perfectly clear that the Commission is here today to hear from you, the customers. We invite you to tell us what you wish to tell us and what is important.

The only word of caution and perhaps word of request that we have is that we would -- it would be our desire to focus as much as we can on trying to find solutions to the problems. And we understand that there are a number of solutions out there; we have the report that has been prepared that lists three technical solutions. I know the customers may have other solutions in mind. So to the extent that we can concentrate on solutions, we invite you to do so.

We also invite you to be cognizant of the fact that there are a large number of customers here today, so we ask you to be brief in your statements, but I'm not going to set any specific time limitation. We want you to have the opportunity to tell the Commissioners what you think is pertinent about the

issues in this case. But please be mindful of your neighbor.

There are a number of people not only here in the hearing room,

but I understand there are people out in the foyer area, so

there are people that will be waiting for their opportunity as

well.

Speaking of this hearing room and the foyer area,

I've been asked to announce that the building administrator as

well as law enforcement has requested that we keep the aisle of

this hearing room open, and that's for, obviously is for fire

code reasons. This hearing is being -- the audio from this

hearing is being provided out in the foyer area, so if -- and

we know we're in an overflow situation this morning, so, but we

do anticipate that everyone will have the opportunity to hear

the proceeding, if not actually be physically in the room here

with us today.

Let me bring your attention to the blue Special

Report. I hope that everyone has a copy of this. It provides

some background information which I think would be useful. I

invite you to review that. It has summary information

concerning the report that was prepared with some of the

possible technical fixes to the problems that we've

encountered. There's also information concerning the conduct

of PSC hearings. I also invite you to -- invite your attention

to the very last page of this handout. This page is designed

to be detached, written upon, folded and mailed to the PSC.

This is another opportunity or means by which you as customers can communicate with the Commission. So if you do not wish to make a formal statement here today, you can write your, your comments to the Commission and mail it in. We also invite you to utilize the Commission's 1-800 number, there's information on that, as well as contacting us via the Internet and our Web site. These are all means available to you to communicate with the Commission, and we invite you to utilize those that's most convenient for you.

2.5

I've also been asked to announce that this hearing only involves the customers of Seven Springs Water System. And if you are a customer of Aloha Gardens, we certainly appreciate you being here, but I think that the specific issues of this hearing are more germane to the Seven Springs System.

Mr. Burgess, I'm not going to go into trying to -I'm not going to try to provide any background information on
the report because I understand that Dr. Levine is here and
will be doing that; is that correct?

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, Dr. Levine is not here.

Instead what we did was we made copies of the executive summary of each of her reports.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.

MR. BURGESS: And I would -- with your approval, I would give a, just a brief word or two of, of what's involved in that, of a little bit of background of that. But, no, she's

not here. Instead we've provided the summaries.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Now you're going to have customers who also have technical backgrounds. Are they going to address Dr. Levine's report?

MR. BURGESS: Yes, absolutely, Commissioner. We have several customers who have been involved in this, as a matter of fact, who were instrumental in encouraging our office to hire Dr. Levine to perform this audit of Aloha Utilities. And these customers have been over this report in some detail, they have technical background to be able to understand and dissect all the specific chemistry and mathematics associated with the report, and they would like to present to the Commission their response to the report and to the alternatives that are available that they believe would be the best solution to the problems that have been facing the customers of Aloha.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Mr. Willis, you indicated to me there was a small glitch in the, the blue Special Report. Will you take just a moment and describe that to customers and offer them an alternative to get the needed information?

MR. WILLIS: Certainly. Commissioner, the little glitch happened to be on Page 2 of the blue Special Report.

About halfway down it's indicated that we have a handout here which was basically the company's response to one of our data requests that a lot of the information in here is gleaned from

on the company's response. That -- those boxes containing those handouts still happen to be in Tallahassee. If there's anyone who would like to have a copy of that, you may get it off our Web site, or you can give your name to Ms. Hoyle, who's in the back of the room outside in the foyer, and she'll be happy -- we will mail that to you the minute we get back.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Mr. Burgess, do you have any type of an opening statement?

MR. BURGESS: Actually, Commissioner, I would like to say nothing more than this. Some time ago our office, at the request of a number of customers that have been seeking solutions to the problems that have been encountered by customers of Aloha Utilities, asked for an independent audit of Aloha Utilities and what problems are being encountered and what the exact nature and the exact cause of those problems are. To this end our office hired Dr. Audrey Levine that you've probably read about in the paper, and she performed an audit and gave two reports: A Phase I report and a Phase II report. And I'm not about to try to -- they were about 50 pages each, so I'm not about to try to tell you what's in all of them. But we have provided for each of these reports out in the foyer an executive summary and primary recommendations that she's made. If you would like a full text of the entire reports, if you can let me know, somehow -- I have them electronically. I can send them back to you e-mail.

But if you let me know at the break, I can make available to you the entirety of the reports. But we have here the summary. A number -- there are several customers that have been involved in this and have been involved with Dr. Levine and been in touch with her and understand exactly what she's been doing and understand the technical and scientific aspects of the report. They're going to testify this morning and it's going to be a little bit technical. It's going to be in some places very technical. So I want you to be aware of that, and I ask for your patience, but it's important that they present this to the Commission because ultimately the final decision made by the Commission is going to be determined on the factors that these people are going to raise. So when we, when we get to that part, and we have some speakers that are going to present some scientific evaluations of the various alternatives and options that are available, I ask you to be attentive and to be patient. And that's all I have to say, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Thank you.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I wanted to confirm one thing with staff counsel. We do intend to swear in witnesses as the normal process; is that correct?

MS. GERVASI: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Let me review for just a moment the process we're going to follow to give you the

opportunity to speak. In a moment I'm going to ask all members of the public who are customers to, to stand and raise your right hand. We will swear you in. This is so that your testimony can become part of the record in this proceeding. So with that, all members of the public who wish to make a formal statement here today, if you'll please stand and raise your right hand.

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Please be seated.

Mr. Deterding, I'm going to give you an opportunity to make whatever brief opening statement you wish to make. And just for your information, it is my intent here today to hear from the public. I am -- it is the intent of the Chair not to engage in full cross-examination of these witnesses. Obviously you will have your opportunity to present a case and there will be cross-examination of technical witnesses at a later time.

But I understand that you may wish to do, at this moment do some things for purposes of the record. I'm going to give you that opportunity.

MR. DETERDING: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just want to make some brief remarks about our understandings here and, and I, and I will seek clarification from the, from the Commission at the end.

We at Aloha are here today to hear from the customers, from our customers about the options for additional

water treatment proposed by Dr. Levine in her report. We're interested in our customers' input on the options presented in Dr. Levine's report and we're ready to begin construction on the preferred option upon direction from the Commission. We've read Dr. Levine's reports, both Phase I and Phase II, and our experts have analyzed and have had several discussions with Dr. Levine to clarify her recommendations.

In Order Number PSC-04-0254-PCO-WS which you issued to authorize this hearing, it specifically provides that the purpose of today is for Aloha and the Public Service Commission to hear from our customers about their views on Dr. Levine's recommendations or other treatment options available to Aloha. However, we are concerned that Dr. Levine has not been invited here today and that her report will not be presented to you. We are also concerned that there may be -- you may hear inaccurate statements about Dr. Levine's findings, as well as testimony well outside the stated purpose of this hearing.

We understand from extensive discussions with your staff over the last several weeks and the Commission's comments this morning that Aloha will not be allowed an opportunity to engage in cross-examination, direct testimony, to object to sworn testimony or exhibits offered in this proceeding. We will in effect not be allowed to participate in this proceeding except to attempt to answer any questions from the Commissioners, and we, we understand that. It is our position

that we are entitled to cross-examination of witnesses, sworn witnesses, and to offer objections to that testimony, make motions to strike, provide direct or rebuttal testimony ourselves and provide other input in this proceeding, and it was our desire to do so today.

In summary, we wish to clearly note that we object to the denial of the opportunity to participate through cross-examination related to each and every witness to be heard in this proceeding, presentation of our own witnesses and exhibits and objection to testimony of others and to make motions as appropriate. We, therefore, wish to make a continuing objection to such denial throughout this proceeding. But we are here under the procedure and limitations that the Commission has imposed, and we accept those, to listen intently and carefully to our customers' comments and suggestions on the treatment alternatives proposed.

And, Commissioner, with the -- with that said, I just want to make sure that we, we clearly understand the Commission's decision in that regard and that we've accurately stated our participation.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And your objection is so noted.

And just let me be clear for the record, it is the intent of the Chair, and unless there's objection by my fellow

Commissioners, we're going to focus on the customers, hear what they have to say, give them full opportunity to do so, not

impede that with extensive cross-examination. I will note for the record there will be opportunity to protect your, in my opinion, your full due process at the technical phase of this hearing, and but your objection is noted for the record and we appreciate you stating that.

MR. DETERDING: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Burgess, do you have anything to add at this point?

MR. BURGESS: No, I don't. I would just note a certain irony that the customers I've spoken to would be delighted to answer cross-examination questions, but --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, we have, we have an overflow crowd, we have a number of people, and we need to be as efficient an operation today as possible.

MR. BURGESS: Absolutely, and I respect that. And I think it's a proper decision by the Commission to, to limit the amount, the time that we have to the testimony that the customers have instead of breaking down into the legalities and technicalities of the situation.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: And one other thing, this is probably an appropriate time, and go ahead and put the customers on notice, and they may already be on notice -- obviously this case has a long controversial history. The Commission is aware of that. The issues are complex. There have been some attempts to enter into mediation, and I don't

know what exact -- maybe it's just at the very preliminary stages at this point, but I think we owe it to everyone to at least engage in that opportunity. I know that -- I think Public Counsel is aware of this, I know staff is, I think that the company is as well. Mediation may not result in a solution, but I think we owe it to all involved to at least explore that opportunity, and I think I speak for my fellow Commissioners, to encourage that process to the extent that we can. We have found in the case -- in the past that there have been cases just as long, difficult and controversial as this that mediation has proven to be effective, and this may be a case that that process could be utilized to its fullest and maybe come to a resolution which may not make everyone happy, but may at least be acceptable and cure the problems that we know have persisted in the past. And let me reiterate one more time, we're trying to at this point look to the future and try to find the best solutions to the problems that we know that exist.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Staff, do you have any preliminary comments?

MS. GERVASI: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. I believe we're prepared to call the witnesses. Is -- are we at that phase now?

MS. GERVASI: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Mr. Burgess, I'm going to turn it over to you to let you call the witnesses in the

order that you see fit, and we will proceed.

MR. BURGESS: Okay. Commissioner, what just -- for information, your information as well as the customers, what I have is a list of people that have signed up on the sign-up sheets. And as, as they were signed up, that's the order in which I'm going to proceed. And I'd like to begin with Senator Mike Fasano.

## SENATOR MIKE FASANO

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

SENATOR FASANO: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Good morning.

SENATOR FASANO: Welcome to Pasco County, gentlemen.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

SENATOR FASANO: My name is Mike Fasano. I live at 4705 Tiburon Drive in New Port Richey. I've been a customer of Aloha Utilities since 1993. And let me reiterate that. I've been a customer of Aloha Utilities since 1993. I want to thank you and your staff for once again coming to Pasco County to hear first-hand how difficult it is to be an Aloha Utilities customer. The sacrifices you make to serve the citizens of Florida are truly appreciated. I and the customers who will testify today will share with you the very simple fact that despite several provisions, public hearings held here and in

Tallahassee regarding Aloha Utilities, that nothing much has changed. And I think you get that just by looking at the audience today, that nothing much, if anything, has changed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You may hear things that you have heard before, but you're hearing it once again only because Aloha has not fixed the problems that have forced many of its customers to petition you, petition you to grant them a permanent, a permanent separation from Aloha's servicing delivery area.

Although I am personally a customer of Aloha Utilities, I'm also in the unique position of being a state legislator who represents all of the geographic areas served by Aloha in the Seven Springs area delivery area. During my nearly ten years in office I've had -- I've made finding, finding a solution to the ongoing problems facing Aloha customers, my constituents, one of our top priorities. Fighting rate increase requests by Aloha and trying to clean up the water has produced a mixed result. The Commission has wisely denied them new revenue because of the poor quality of water Aloha provides, but the actual solution to the problem of the water's quality has gone largely unaddressed. Aloha Utilities has steadfastly denied its product is substandard and has done so for, for the decade I've been a lawmaker. They've always said in the past, "There's nothing wrong with the water that comes out of the tap."

To put this matter into some historical perspective,

Commissioners, shortly after my election to the office of State Representative in 1994, I began to receive phone calls from constituents who were and still are customers of Aloha Utilities. I learned very quickly that the problems with the utility company were not isolated to just a few homes scattered around the servicing delivery area. And what struck me was not only the number of complaints I began to receive, but the severity of the problems the people were experiencing. And those problems were many and varied: From relatively simple complaints of low water pressure to horrendous reports of black, foul-smelling water gushing from taps. My office was inundated with calls and letters from unhappy Aloha customers.

There has been no rhyme or reason to the black water incidents; day or night, summer or winter, the black water appears. The reoccurring theme of defensive attitude and lack of helpfulness from Aloha staff only exacerbated the constituents' concerns.

Since the beginning of my tenure as a legislator, neither the volume of calls nor letters sent to my district office has eased, and it's been ten years, Commissioners. The reason for that is simple: Nothing has been done to force Aloha to do anything to correct whatever is causing the black water problem. Aloha may say things that are getting -- say things that -- that things are getting better, but I'll bet that the people behind me today will have a different story to

tell you.

Since Aloha has the sole privilege of providing water service to these customers, there appears to be little incentive other than common decency, which is sorely lacking on the utility's part, for Aloha to clean up its act, and that is why we come to you today. Commissioners, you and you alone have the power to intervene and make things right for these customers. I won't recount all the events of the past several years regarding this utility. If I did, we'd all be here for quite some time, and I know that there are others who wish to speak. But I do feel that it is important to mention just a few highlights of this sad and seemingly never-ending problem.

The members of the Commission more than anyone else in our state government should be aware of the problem facing Aloha customers. At hearings held at the Spartan Manor in September of '96 this august body saw the physical presence of over 1,000 customers who personally came out to protest a rate increase requested by Aloha. The testimony of 50 plus people who spoke and dozens of jugs of black, discolored water demonstrated in a very visible way the customers' complaints with Aloha. I believed at that time, as most people in attendance, I'm sure, that the evidence spoke for itself. That scene was repeated in March of 2000, and another parade of unhappy customers came before you with the identical complaints and samples of the same dirty undrinkable water.

In the interim there have been rate requests filed by Aloha which were rightly denied by the Commission. Following the denial of an appeal filed by Aloha with the 1st District Court of Appeals which ultimately upheld the Commissioners' decision, refunds of the interim rates have been ordered and only partially carried out by Aloha. The balance, the balance of the money, and, Commissioner Davidson, you're aware of this because you made the motion, the balance of those dollars, as you well know, is still in the escrow account.

2.1

In an appeals process filed by the utility early this year -- and as a side note, Aloha has been fined by the Southwest Florida Water Management District for pumping beyond its permanent capacity. This is yet another example of Aloha thumbing its nose at the legal process rather than attempting to be a good corporate citizen.

You know, Aloha just recently said -- in fact, they went to the St. Pete Times yesterday. How, how funny is it they go the day before this public hearing, all of the sudden they show up at the St. Pete Times. Normally, Commissioners, they would never, ever even want to respond when a reporter called them, but all of a sudden they show up at their doorstep and say, we want to fix the problem, we want to be a good corporate group, we want to solve this problem. And yet they talk about they've hired now a public relations firm so they can get closer to the customer and deal with them. You know,

the first thing that they should do if they want to get at least close to dealing with the customers behind me is to refund the money that is owed to the people in the escrow account, Mr. Watford. To continually fight the customer is not the way to get along with a customer.

Commissioners, despite Aloha's promises to work with its customers, despite the Commission ordering the formation of a Citizen Advisory Committee -- and, remember, a Citizen Advisory Commission was created by you also known as CAC, but yet Mr. Watford tells the Tampa Tribune, "Aloha president Steven Watford said Tuesday that within a month the company will schedule workshop meetings with customers independent of Forehand's group." He refers that to Mr. Forehand.

Mr. Forehand is the chairman of CAC, the Citizens Advisory Commission (sic.) that you created. So Steve Watford has told the newspaper that he doesn't want to deal with CAC, the same group that you created for them to deal with and work with in solving these problems.

Actually -- Aloha actually has done nothing, as I said earlier. In the end, nothing -- Aloha submitted -- oh, boy. Let me just make sure I'm in the right area. Here we go. In the end, Aloha submitted a proposal to fix the black water problem, but only because it was ordered to do so by the Commission. That proposal came with a big price tag of \$10 million, and I speak of this many years ago, a few years

ago, and it came with a big price tag of tens of millions of dollars. It was my opinion, as with everybody else, the purpose of coming up with this price tag was to scare the customers, intimidate them and not want to have to -- they were hoping that the customer would be frightened and not fight them. The \$10 million translated into a nearly 400 percent increase in rates for each and every customer in the Seven Springs service area. This was then and still is now an absurd burden that no customer should ever have to bear. With great wisdom, the Commission chose to heed the protest of Aloha's customers and continued to investigate the black water problem.

As you all know, the survey that was developed and sent to Aloha customers in 1998 resulted in an unprecedented response by over 3,700 residents. 3,700 residents responded.

I know that Commissioner Bradley and Commissioner Davidson were not here, but, Commissioner Deason, you were. I don't need to list the complaints expressed in those surveys. The customers were very eloquent in expressing their displeasure with the rotten egg smelling water, the black, brown and other strange colors of the so-called potable water, the poor service and other problems communicated in the dealings with Aloha.

As a follow-up to the survey, I had the honor two years ago of accompanying then Commissioner Clark and Johnson on a tour of several customers' homes to see first-hand the

disgusting water and its aftermath that it received by people who paid their good, hard-earned money for a product that is bad and of no value. The stories of black water spewing forth when babies were being washed in the sink, shower water turning black in the middle of the bathing and the equally disturbing accounts shared were enough to turn our collective stomachs.

Commissioners, and I don't want to rehash everything, but I recall vividly how many times I would receive calls from moms in tears telling me that they can't even bathe their children because the water is too dirty.

2.3

The public hearings in 1996, the survey, the site visits by the Commissioners in '98 and the additional hearings in 2000 were all actions that led me to believe that the problems faced by Aloha customers were being taken seriously by the Public Service Commission. Your denial of the utility's most recent rate increase request was right on target, and I applaud you for it.

Having said all of this though, we find ourselves in April of 2004. April of 2004. Remember, I told you that I started dealing with this problem shortly after I got elected in 1994, ten years later. Here we are 2004 with a utility that has made no marked improvements in addressing the needs of its customers, only just continually hiring, paying their attorneys and now hiring a public relations firm. And also, by the way, they have hired a lobbyist in Tallahassee. Yeah. Shocking. I

know. I know. Well, they would hire a few more, but they've all been taken by BellSouth.

1

3

4

5

б

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

The very fact that so many people have petitioned this panel to allow themselves to divorce from Aloha and receive water from another source is as strong a statement that things are no different at Aloha. I have no doubt that you will hear this message over and over again, as Mr. Burgess has the list of people who will testify. While I have no idea how many people will appear before you during this series of hearings, although I imagine it will be a lot, you will have the opportunity to see and hear for yourself that the water quality issues for Aloha Utilities, despite whatever the company may say, have not been resolved. And I realize, Commissioner Deason, that we're here to talk about Dr. Levine's report. But remember, Commissioners, we're here today because 1,500 people petitioned you because they no longer want to be in the servicing area of Aloha Utilities. They want to be separated from that utility company.

I can only look at the crowd assembled here behind me and suggest that you should expect to hear many complaints that are well-founded and documented. Please don't discount anything you hear. I, like those of your predecessors who visited these peoples' homes, have seen with my own eyes the horrendous water that is delivered by Aloha, water that as things stand now, can come from no company other than Aloha

Utilities.

2.5

Over the years my office has sought the assistance of other regulatory agencies in hopes that -- of not only pinpointing the cause of the water problems, but solutions to them as well. The record shows that the Commission's own admission, there are unresolved problems with Aloha Utilities. Sadly until recently no one has stepped up to the plate and taken the issues of solving the crisis head-on. When the Office of Public Counsel, which has done an admirable job -- and I truly thank Steve Burgess and the Office of Public Counsel, and he's represented the customers during the past ten years -- offered to pay for an independent study of Aloha's water system, I and my fellow customers cheered that. The product of that study is being considered today, but should be only part of the whole discussion, only part of why the people are here today.

I don't have the background of a scientist or a chemist, so any effort to solve the scientific -- or any -- in solving the scientific dilemmas that we have, I'll leave that to someone else. My background has, however, trained me to ask common sense questions and to seek common sense solutions and to apply those to reasonable business practices. In this case my greatest concern, Commissioners, is not so much with specific solutions as best. Rather, my greatest concern is which utility can best provide the service that we as customers

are entitled to? Let me repeat that. Which utility can be best to provide the service that we as customers are entitled to? Common sense tells me -- and each of you, in fact, I applaud you because that's what you listen to, common sense when people come before you. Common sense tells me that in order to compare the potential utility providers, we need to know something about each utility's plans for the immediate future as well as their current situation. It is my understanding that Aloha itself projects that within just a few years its current water use permits will supply less than 40 percent. It is my further understanding that this huge shortfall will most likely be met from purchases from Pasco County Utilities.

Now as you can recall from the last rate increase,
Pasco County charges Aloha about \$2.50 per thousand gallons for
fully treated water, but only charges about \$1.70 per thousand
gallons to its own residents. The \$1.70 rate is for fully
treated delivered water. But when Aloha buys it for resale,
Aloha has to treat the water and some more to make it fully
compatible with its own treated water. So if Pasco County
could directly provide the service, the starting point is
\$1.70 per thousand. But if Aloha acts as the middle man, the
starting price is \$2.50, plus additional treatment and carrying
costs. Commissioners, this is where the common sense comes in.
What is the point of making customers pay substantially more

for water just so Aloha can continue to play the middle man?

I know that Pasco County would need to put in a connecting main to serve customers directly, but I understand that Pasco would need to put in a similar connecting main to provide additional water to Aloha which Aloha is going to need. It seems then that this additional cost would be a wash either way.

When I look at the incremental costs that Aloha now estimates to correct its problem, the case becomes even more clear. The most expensive improvement is packed tower aeration for a rate increase of 262 percent. This estimate, by the way, is marked, is a marked contrast to Aloha's previous estimate of 398 percent. And when I talked to you briefly just earlier about Aloha saying it was going to cost \$10 million plus to fix the problem at a 400 percent rate increase, well now that same issue we're talking a 262 percent increase.

It is also my understanding that Pasco County

Utilities already aerates its raw water. If this is correct,

it would be beyond absurd to increase Aloha's cost by this

amount to attain a treatment level that Pasco County Utilities

is already providing to the water that it will be supplying to

Aloha. These are the kinds of considerations that the PSC

should examine when it decides on the customers' petition.

There is one more very important consideration, and I think this really hits home, customer trust. Customer trust.

In a competitive enterprise, Commissioners, customer satisfaction is the most important aspect of any business. It's not only in the business area, but it's in politics too, and it's with agencies just like this one, the Public Service Commission. Satisfy the customer. If customers are dissatisfied with some attribute of a product, a competitive business will aggressively undertake corrective measures. competitive business will never just say the product meets the minimum legal standards, so that's as good as you'll get. from the start Aloha had shown a genuine concern for the customers and the desire to correct the problem, the customers would have a different attitude towards Aloha. But instead, at every turn, at every turn Aloha has fought the customers and resisted any meaningful effort to correct the problem. Even, even just snubbing their nose up in the air to the Public Service Commission, who created the Citizens Advisory Committee, and told them, told Aloha, work with them in solving this problem. Mr. Watford tells the newspaper, the Tampa Tribune, we're going to go around CAC and deal with the individual customers ourselves. So sad.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Even today, Commissioners, Aloha's hired attorney is here to object to the customers' right to testify about being deleted from Aloha's territory, which is the central subject of this docket before us. If Aloha had taken all of the money that it wasted on appeals and other legal maneuvers and put

that money into seeking a solution, we would be much farther along right now. Think of all the money that has been spent on legal fees for the last ten years.

Commissioner, it's that very attitude of contentiousness that has completely eroded the customer trust that is treasured by many, many other businesses. As a result, the customers have absolutely no reason to believe that Aloha will ever genuinely care about their needs or their problems. And I use the example of Mr. Watford running to the St. Petersburg Times yesterday morning, the day before this public hearing, to try and get some decent press today. When customers' trust has disappeared, as it is in this case, a different service provider, a different service provider should be brought in. For all these reasons I believe that the best alternative is to allow customers of the Aloha Seven Springs service area, if they choose, to connect to the Pasco County utilities department for water service immediately.

Commissioners, the people gathered here today will attempt to share their individual situation and preferences in this matter. While some will offer their opinion as to the conclusions reached by Dr. Levine, all are here because of the petition they have filed to separate from Aloha. Please listen to the customers and recall either your own memories or from the record of past hearings when they first shared their stories. I'm sure what you will hear will convince you that

nothing much has changed. Today's hearing is unique in that we are not here to debate or discuss rate increases. We are here because things have gotten so bad that Aloha's customers are requesting that they split off from a private utility regulated by this body. And think, Commissioners, how many times have you gotten a request from 1,500 local people asking to be separated from a local water and sewer company? Not many.

2.2

Commissioners, please listen to the people who will follow me at this microphone. Please do not accept Aloha Utilities' ongoing protest of -- or suggesting that they're innocent, nor to repeat what Steve Watford's quote in the April 7th, 2004, issue of the Suncoast News, quote, it's new day here at Aloha. That's a quote. If it is truly a new day at Aloha, Commissioners, then I call on Aloha to immediately drop its appeal of the interim rate refund and demonstrate, Mr. Watford, with your pocketbook, actually with the people's pocketbook and turn over a new leaf and give them back their money. If and when they turn over that new leaf, Commissioners, clean up their water, clean up their act, then maybe we could take them at their word.

Commissioners, like you, I'm a public servant. I have committed myself to being a bridge between my constituents and their state government. You are part of that government. Please do not turn your back on these people, and I know you won't and that's why you're here today. You're their last

hope, and the outcome of this hearing will determine what hope is left for the Aloha Utilities captive customers. I thank you for allowing me to speak. I thank you for being here today.

God bless you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Senator, Senator, if I could request you come back to the microphone, I think Senator -- Commissioner Davidson has a question.

SENATOR FASANO: Yes, sir.

2.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Thank you, Senator Fasano.

My question is what possible legal options exist for bringing another service provider to the customers? What role would the PSC play, if any, in the exercise of those options, and how could that role be expedited such that if we agree with you, that could be done sooner rather than later sort of in the bureaucratic time frame?

SENATOR FASANO: Commissioners -- and I appreciate the question and let me understand this. You're asking what role the Public Service Commission -- are you saying that you're not sure whether you have the authority to go from, from one provider to another?

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Well, I think we, speaking just for me, we have that authority. And I'm really sort of looking at --

SENATOR FASANO: Yes, sir. Okay. I thought maybe you needed it legislatively, and I've still got three weeks or

something that I can do something about that.

2.3

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: In terms of the mechanics of how this would work -- and I don't know. Maybe this is not a case of first impressions.

SENATOR FASANO: And I understand what you're saying.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Okay.

SENATOR FASANO: I believe if the Commission were to decide, and, remember, if that's what the people choose to do, that they would like to go to the Pasco County utilities, I believe that you would be receptive by the Pasco County Utilities department. I think that your staff could sit down with Doug Bramlett, who is the overseer of the Pasco County Utilities department, John Gallagher, the county administrator, and to the Commissioner who represents that area, I believe it's a combination of both Commissioner Ann Hildebrand and Commissioner Steve Simon, I believe that they would be very much willing and accepting to take over the servicing area.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: I want to follow up since Commissioner Davidson has --

SENATOR FASANO: Yeah. And why I say that,

Commissioner, and I apologize, Mr. Chairman, Aloha -- I should say the county is already servicing many customers near or even sometimes in the Aloha servicing area. I'll give you an idea.

In Heritage Springs, which is in the Trinity area, the first few streets of Heritage Springs is serviced by Aloha. After

that it's serviced, I believe, by the county or vice versa, one of the two. But part of that is the county, so it would be very easy for them to take that over. No different than in the Veterans Village area, no different than in the, in Heritage Lake Estates where just there it is, Aloha services right down the road in the Seven Springs Villa, it's serviced by Pasco County. So the hookups are there, so it would be a very easy, I think, transition to be able to accomplish.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Senator, on more than one occasion you've indicated that this separate service provider should be allowed, if the customers so choose.

SENATOR FASANO: Yes.

2.2

COMMISSIONER DEASON: How do we make that assessment?

I mean, obviously there's a number of customers here. My

concern is perhaps there are customers that are not here today

that may have a different feeling.

SENATOR FASANO: Yes, sir. Absolutely. And that's why I expressed in my comments to allow the people to choose. And I think that, just like you did a survey of the people in the Aloha servicing area and received over 3,700 responses, a similar could be done as well to the customers in the, in the servicing area and find out exactly who would like to participate and see what the outcome is. I think you will find, especially in the areas of those people who signed the petition, you will find that a vast majority

would be willing and wanting to do that.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Because I have a concern that -- I don't know what the exact response from Pasco County would be, but I know that in other situations there are normally customer connection charges that would be required, sometimes very substantial charges, and it could have an impact on customers' decisions.

SENATOR FASANO: And, again, that would all be taken into consideration. I think that's what -- if you were to choose to petition or I should say survey the customers, you would be able to put that in there and ask them. This is what it will cost to do this or do that, and what would you choose? I will tell you that I've talked to people and they've told me, it's sad, but they were willing to pay whatever it takes to get clean water to come out of their faucet just once, just once early in the morning when they can bathe themselves or bathe their children. I will tell you that there are people out there who would be willing to make that change.

Again, I think a survey to the customers asking them what they would be, what their desire is would probably accomplish what you're looking to find out. I also believe that -- I think, and I can, I can definitely help in any way in this regard of putting together whatever cooperation is needed with the county in, in turning over the services from Aloha to Pasco, and possibly if there's going to be some additional

charges, who knows, maybe DEP and others might be interested in coming down here with some grant money or something like that.

But I can't make any promises in that, only that I would work in the direction of doing what the people want. And if they want clean water, then that's the direction we have to go.

1.3

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: You have three weeks left. You could probably get a little promise out of them for something.

SENATOR FASANO: Well, the problem is, the problem is both budgets have already passed the House and the Senate, they're in conference, so I'd have to try and do it with proviso language.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Well, my, my concern is, I mean, if, if -- who knows what ultimately the PSC would decide and ultimately what all the customers want.

SENATOR FASANO: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: But if it is to move forward, I mean, you basically -- my thought is this has continued on so long. I've only been on the Commission a year and a quarter, and I'm just amazed at how long this is continuing to go on. And if a transition is needed, a business plan ought to be in place just to get that done. Find out from the majority of the customers what they think and actually talk to the counties so that the terms and conditions of how this would occur are just well known so that if the customers are going to have to pay,

you know, \$500 a year more to have something done, they know what's done up front.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR FASANO: And I guess that's what, that's what, exactly what the customers will, you know, if you were to survey them, they will let you know. And I believe that you're going to -- and you're going to find a vast majority of them will want to, to get out of the Aloha servicing area. I mean, I hear it all the time. I've been hearing it for ten years.

And, Commissioner, I appreciate your comments about although you haven't been here on the Commission for ten years, you've seen so far how this has dragged on, you've looked at the records of what we've been doing for the last ten years. As I said in my comments, you're our final hope. You're our last hope. The people here have no one else to turn to. have a monopoly that thumbs its nose in the air to the customers, to the Public Service Commission, to SWFWMD, to DEP, and now all of the sudden they find themselves backed up into the wall where that it possibly could happen and all of the sudden they've hired public relations, all of the sudden Mr. Watford is now talking to the press and making comments, all of the sudden he wants to work with the customers. opening comments by Mr. Deterding about how we want to hear from the customers -- why weren't you listening for the last nine years?

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: I think my -- I suspect we

1 won't be moved by the hiring of a PR firm, and I would, I would 2 urge Aloha to take, to take note of the number of folks in this 3 room. I mean, on a beautiful day like this in the great state 4 of Florida, nobody wants to worry about their water. We've got 5 more important things to think about. It's -- and my final comment, I know we have to speed it up, is I think to Aloha 6 7 also a caution that going around the Citizens Advisory Council that was specifically set up does not inure to your benefit. 8 9 SENATOR FASANO: Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, Senator. 11 Mr. Burgess. 12 MR. BURGESS: Yes, Commissioner. We also have the 13 office of another elected representative present today. 14 Representative Tom Anderson has asked that a statement be 15 presented in his behalf by Evelyn Haas. 16 COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. 17 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, Commissioner Bradley. 18 COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Before we have a 19 representative from the Honorable's office come up and speak, I do, I do see Representative Fiorentino in the back. Would she 20 care to offer some comments? I think that that would be more 21 appropriate. 22

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Absolutely. Representative.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Based on seniority.

MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner.

23

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER DAVIDSON: Seniority in terms of service.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Did I get around that one?

REPRESENTATIVE HEATHER FIORENTINO

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO: Thank you. Though this is not any longer in my district and Tom has taken it over since the redistricting, it was. And I had customers that brought water --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Representative, could you just give -- I'm sure everybody knows who you are, but just for purposes of the record, could you give us your name and --

REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO: Sure. Representative Heather Fiorentino, District 46.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE FIORENTINO: This had been in my district. I had constituents that were bringing gallons of water to my office. One of the things at one point when the, I guess the first gallon came, the gentleman, I guess, saw my face and said, "You can't believe that came out of my tap, can you?" And to be honest, I couldn't. So I went to his house. He says, "Come with me." We went to his house and he turned on his tap water. It was the most disgusting thing I have ever

seen. I can see why Mike's been fighting this fight and doing a fine job. Unfortunately, the company hasn't listened. Ten years; this is ridiculous. We've written letters to you, we've written letters to the company, we've written letters to everyone that we could think of who to help these people. Our job is to help the people. This is a monopoly. That's what we have to understand. We provide service areas and give businesses monopolies. When they have monopolies, they have to serve the people. There's no question about that. They know that they have that area, they know that there's no competition for that. I'm so glad that you're going to look at Pasco County as an option, a competition. They've had ten years to fix their business. They have not done it. I haven't been fighting this fight as long as Senator Fasano; I recently got into it. And now it's your job.

2.3

We bring the evidence to you, and I hope you listen to these people. I hope some of you will go to their homes and see what's coming out of their taps. No one should have to live like this. If this was in a high-rise, you wouldn't allow it. But because it's in an individual's home and it's a monopoly, it's been allowed, and it shouldn't be. I think a health department would close down a low income housing if they had seen the water that was coming out of these pipes. I don't think that they would allow that. And I think it's time that we tell this business either fix your -- not at the cost of the

consumers, they've been paying their bills, but at their cost.

It's time that they fixed their problem.

The last thing -- I'll be very honest, and this is just a "Heather" comment and not as a state representative, but I would pay in foreign exchange. I wouldn't pay them in American currency because what, because what's coming out of their pipes is foreign. It is not what they deserve.

With that, I truly want to thank you for being here, for listening, taking the time to come all the way down to Pasco. And I know you've heard these cries before. I hope this time we will demand that either they do their business correctly, what they have been given that opportunity to do, or we trade them right off to Pasco County. I'm 100 percent behind that. Thank you very much.

MR. BURGESS: Thank you. Commissioner, as I'd said before -- thank you, Commissioner Bradley, for recognizing that.

Representative Tom Anderson's office also would like to make a presentation to the Commission, and, on behalf of the Representative, Evelyn Haas is here.

### EVELYN HAAS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. HAAS: Good morning, Commissioners, staff,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

customers of Aloha. On March 12th, 2004, State Representative
Tom --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Haas, could you state your name for the record, too, please.

MS. HAAS: Oh, I apologize. Evelyn M. Haas. I'm senior executive secretary for State Representative Tom Anderson.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HAAS: You're welcome. On March 12th, 2004, State Representative Tom Anderson wrote a letter to Lila Jaber, Chairman, Florida Public Service Commission. The letter reads, "Dear Ms. Jaber, ensuring a high quality of water is one of the most important services provided to our citizens. I believe that this service is as significant as fire and police protection. My constituents have suffered through almost a decade of poor quality water in regard to taste, odor and color. They have endured extra expense by replacing plumbing and buying filtering equipment. They are weary from fighting this issue and deserve relief. This matter is important to me, that I have sponsored the House companion bill to Senator Fasano's bill which would provide for county oversight of monopoly water companies in Pasco County. I regret that I will not be able to make a presentation in person during your hearing in Pasco County on Aloha Utilities, but trust that the Commission will find a remedy for my constituents. Sincerely,

Tom Anderson, Representative, District 45." Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner Deason. At this point I would ask Dr. Abraham Kurien to make his presentation. And also, again, I would like to make the comment that we are at this point going into a technical and scientific analysis. So as you listen to the testimony, please be aware of that and please take it in that light. Thank you very much.

#### ABRAHAM KURTEN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

DR. KURIEN: This is Aquafina water.

Honorable Commissioners, my name is

V. Abraham Kurien. I am a customer of Aloha Utilities and live at 1822 Orchardgrove Avenue in the Seven Springs area. I had the privilege of addressing the Public Service Commission over two years ago during its 2002 January hearing. Then I made the suggestion for the creation of a Citizens Advisory Committee to solve the water quality issues because of my experience in Santa Fe, New Mexico, as a facilitator who helped to resolve tensions between parties pulled apart by adversarial positions. I had hoped then that we would get better water within a short period.

Today I appear before you with these hopes dashed

because of the lack of timely intervention on the part of regulatory agencies and the hesitation of Aloha to create a Citizens Advisory Committee and interact purposefully with them when the citizens made that initial offer. Even after the extremely delayed formation of the CAC there has been very little in the form of effective communication between Aloha and its customers. The one positive outcome during the last two years has been the technical review of the production and distribution of drinking water in the Seven Springs area sponsored by the Office of Public Counsel and its completion in a very delayed manner over the period of one year.

The context of that technical review needs to be stated clearly so that all of us are well informed about why the customers of a utility found themselves in the burdensome position of having to seek the help of the PSC and the Office of the Public Counsel to force upon the utility a technical review of its water processing method and facilities. It's natural to assume that water utilities will provide a competitive standard for the quality of drinking water about which they can be proud and concerning which the customers have no complaints. Yet 1,491 customers of Aloha, after varying periods of time during which they unsuccessfully tried to get the utility to deliver water that remains drinkable and can be used for other domestic purposes without anxiety, finally decided to serve notice on Aloha that if within 12 months of

July 15, 2002, the water quality did not improve significantly, they would have no alternative but to request the PSC to exercise its authority and jurisdiction to delete them from the service territory of Aloha and give them the opportunity to connect Pasco County water utility.

This PSC hearing has been announced as an opportunity for customers to respond to that technical review by Dr.

Levine, as well as to consider other options that may lead to resolution of the matter of poor quality during the last ten years in this area. I'd like to start my presentation by summarizing the conclusions of the technical review and the analysis of raw and processed water into three simple statements with which I hope everyone will agree. I will talk about options this afternoon.

The three conclusions are: One, at Aloha Utilities during the years 1993 to 2003 there was inadequate monitoring of water parameters that could have provided for better process control of the currently used methodology.

Two, the sole use of chlorination, which is the method that is currently used, and the short-term recommendations that were made by Dr. Levine in Phase I report of the audit submitted in August 2003 are not able by themselves to reduce significantly the incidence of black water, and by implication rotten egg odor, within domestic plumbing because of certain limitations that are inherent in

the current method in its own context and detected during Phase II of the audit.

Three, therefore, one or more of the alternate upgraded methods would be necessary to reduce the incidence of black water, and such method or methods should be used after an appropriate investigation of the efficacy of the methods chosen through a pilot-scale program.

The data collected by Dr. Levine during her year-long technical review may seem extensive when compared to the almost nonexistent state of relevant data to review the adequacy of process control. Some data is better than no data, I suppose. If Aloha had offered nonhesitant cooperation, we would have had a much greater volume of data from which we could have drawn more robust conclusions. However, even from the small amount of data that we now have, we can draw some relevant conclusions, as Dr. Levine has done. In addition to the three major conclusions that I have indicated above, the data also reveals certain inadequacies of processing method and facilities, which Dr. Levine alludes to but which she has not addressed in her executive summaries, recommendations and conclusions.

Dr. Levine was unable to connect her recommendations of upgrades for improvement for water quality with all the data she collected because within the parameters of her audit she did not undertake extensive investigation of the black water

produced in the domestic plumbing to define conclusively the causes for the formation of black water and rotten egg smell in home faucets.

However, an analysis of the data that Dr. Levine has gathered makes it possible to expand some observations that had been previously made by nearby utilities, study groups organized by the PSC such as the Interagency Copper Corrosion Study Group and investigations conducted by the Florida

Department of Environmental Protection. These observations had suggested as early as 1991 that the sole use of chlorination for processing underground water that is deficient in dissolved oxygen may have an appropriate -- inappropriate pH and has a high concentration of hydrogen sulfide will produce elemental sulfur in processed water and may lead to the phenomenon of black water.

By issuing new guidelines for the control of copper corrosion and black water in August of 2003, FDEP has recognized this critical role for elemental sulfur in copper corrosion and black water. The new guidelines reads, "Direct chlorination shall not be used to remove," that is to oxidize," 0.3 milligrams per liter or more of total sulfide unless the elemental sulfur formed during chlorination is removed."

I like to review the data obtained by Dr. Levine to see what information the recent audit gives us that correlates with this conclusion of the Department of Environmental

Protection. First of all, I want to draw your attention to the fact that all 15 samples of raw water collected by Dr. Levine during Phase II of her audit from the eight wells of Aloha had hydrogen sulfide concentrations greater than 0.3 milligrams per liter, the concentration mentioned as a threshold for removal of elemental sulfur in the new FDEP guidelines. One of the two hydrogen sulfide levels from Well 9 was as high as 3.95, ten times the threshold level. Between March and July of 2001 all 20 samples of water that were tested for hydrogen sulfide in Well 9 had levels greater than 3.5 milligrams per liter as indicated in Phase I report, with the highest level noted at 6.71 milligrams per liter, which is more than 20 times the threshold level. Thus, 21 out of the 22 readings of hydrogen sulfide we have from Well 9 are higher than 3.5 milligrams per In view of the new guideline imposed by FDEP, it is important to know whether elemental sulfur was produced in the treated water on these occasions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Unfortunately, there is no standardized method available for measuring the level of elemental sulfur produced in treated water. But Dr. Levine does acknowledge that elemental sulfur is produced during Aloha's method of water processing. On what observation or knowledge does she then base that fact? It is substantiated by the well-known scientific fact that when chlorine is used to oxidize hydrogen sulfide in water, the reaction is understood as a two-stage

reaction which first forms elemental sulfur depending on the amount of chlorine available as well as other important considerations such as pH, temperature of the water, other oxidizable materials in raw water and the amount of dissolved oxygen present. Subsequently, the sulfur initially formed is converted to sulfate depending on the same condition. This has been known since 1952, over 50 years ago. Let me repeat that. This has been known since 1952, over 50 years ago. Dr. Levine has given detailed information about this in Phase I report on Page 18 and in Phase II report on Page 16, including chemical equations, which I'm sure you will not want me to go into at this time.

One way to determine to what extent elemental sulfur and sulfate have formed during the process at any well is to determine the chlorine demand of hydrogen sulfide alone, which is the amount of chlorine that reacted with hydrogen sulfide present in raw water at that well at that specific sampling time. When the calculated number for chlorine demand is 2.08, it shows that hydrogen sulfide was converted only as far as elemental sulfur. When the chlorine demand number is 8.33, it confirms that all the hydrogen sulfide was converted to sulfate. Intermediate values between 2.08 and 8.33 show that both sulfur and sulfate were produced. The closer the value is to 2.08, more elemental sulfur was produced, and the closer the value is to 8.33, more sulfate was produced.

Dr. Levine shows in Figure 15 on Page 21 of the Phase II of her report that the values for the 15 samples of raw water fell between 2.31 and 7.83, showing a significant range of values for the relative production of elemental sulfur and sulfate in these eight wells of Aloha. Statistical analysis showed that the values would cluster along the statistical mean of 5.5 with a high correlation coefficient, which means that it is a valid conclusion. Converted to percentages, this means that on a statistical average, in Aloha wells 45 percent of hydrogen sulfide was converted to elemental sulfur and only 55 percent of hydrogen sulfide present in raw water was converted to sulfate. This observation is in agreement with other studies done on underground water deficient in oxygen, according to Dr. Levine.

Dr. Levine has also provided qualitative evidence to show that this is not merely a theoretical construct, but the presence of elemental sulfur can be demonstrated in processed water by a scanning electron microscope. While it is true that the distributed water that reaches the domestic meter is generally clean and clear as claimed by Aloha in its information handouts, it is only so to the naked human eye. Aided by the technological advances such as the scanning electron microscope it is possible to document that not only is elemental sulfur present in processed water, but that it forms a series of complexes with metals present in the distributed

water and with phosphorus which is added as a corrosion inhibitor in the form of a blended orthopolyphosphate. When such complexes with sulfur, phosphorus and other minerals are formed in the water, it may cause discoloration of the processed water. When the very same water meets copper pipes, black water is formed because copper sulfide, which is a black compound, imparts a black color to these insoluble complexes. Documented evidence from Dr. Levine's study shows that the color of these sulfur phosphorus metal complexes could be golden brown before it enters the domestic circulation, but that it changes to black or gray when it enters the domestic plumbing made of copper pipes or CPVC pipes with copper containing fixtures.

Thus the most important scientific conclusion from Dr. Levine's technical review in its relation to the formation of black water in the Seven Springs area is that the processed water from Aloha wells will almost always contain a combination of elemental sulfur and sulfate which can lead to the formation of black water.

Is this a new revelation? Absolutely not. Back in 1991 when Pinellas County was faced with instances of black water, it undertook a research of study to explore the possible reasons for black water. This study, which was a master's thesis submitted by Troy Lyn to the University of Central Florida, was perhaps one of the first studies to report an

association between elemental sulfur and black water. The most important conclusion of that study was chlorination should not be used to remove sulfides in potable water treatment, unless followed by an effective turbidity removal process. Remember, that was in 1991. This conclusion was reported at the American Water Works Association's meeting in Miami in 1993, the year in which high levels of copper were first detected in Aloha's distribution water, even before customers had started complaining of the black water phenomenon. This fact and the implications of the observation in relation to black water were very well known to FDEP. In fact, one of its staff members, Mike LeRoy, sent a copy of this article to Mr. John Starling of the PSC to familiarize the PSC also with this important finding.

In the hearing that the Public Service Commission held in New Port Richey in 1996 to discuss the complaints of residents from Wyndtree and Chelsea subdivisions, it was reported that the black sediment found in domestic plumbing was copper sulfide. Mr. Porter, the consulting engineer of Aloha, while describing the cause of black water during that hearing, did admit that the processing of raw water with the sole use of chlorine at Aloha's wells did produce elemental sulfur along with sulfate. However, instead of associating black water formation with the production of elemental sulfur as others had done, he proposed a theory that it was exclusively due to the

conversion of sulfate present in water into hydrogen sulfide by sulfur-reducing bacteria, and that such a reaction occurred only in the customers' domestic plumbing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That theory was challenged in 1997 by a Pasco County Utility official whom Representative Mike Fasano had contacted for information about the incidence of black water in Pasco County. The Pasco utility official pointed out that elemental sulfur was a primary ingredient in the production of black water and that pH adjustment was essential to avoid black water formation. Mr. Porter, on the other hand, now claimed that elemental sulfur was not produced in Aloha's processing method, contrary to his own admission in 1996 and all scientific knowledge at that time about the limitations of the sole use of chlorination as a processing method. His eloquence was so convincing that during the next three years the Public Service Commission was repeatedly claiming, "Currently Aloha has converted," that is oxidizing, "all the sulfide present in water to sulfate by chlorination, " an impossible task. co-option by Mr. Porter and Aloha of the regulatory agencies was to have serious consequences because the regulatory agencies did not recognize in 1997 that institution of a new method for reducing black water phenomenon in the domestic plumbing was an urgent necessity. The customers were confused by the claim of Aloha on the one hand that it provides clean, clear and odor-free water, and on the other hand by the

expression of its willingness to install new methods that would be accompanied by an increase in water bills of 398 percent.

The customers refused to accept the offer to install packed tower aeration as a method, especially since Aloha insisted that even this expensive new method will not improve water quality.

Now we are a little closer to the truth. Aloha knew all along or should have known all along that elemental sulfur was present in the water it was distributing and that it would be associated with black water formation. The only way to deal with this truth from Aloha's point of view seems to have been to under report the frequency of black water and use a partial truth to cover up the whole truth. Aloha used the fact that the only location where copper sulfide formed was the domestic plumbing. That is indeed correct, since copper is necessary to form copper sulfide. And the only location in which copper was present in Aloha's distribution system was the domestic plumbing. That would provide Aloha with the necessary disclaimer for not processing the water to the same standards as other neighboring utilities were attempting to do.

The Florida state law that maintained that the utility was responsible for the characteristics of the water only as far as the domestic meter came to the rescue of Aloha. There were also other strands of legalism easily available to buttress Aloha's lack of adequate monitoring. There is no law

in Florida which requires that Aloha should test the level of hydrogen sulfide in its raw water or should determine if there was elemental sulfur in the distributed water. All the secondary standards for water quality were based on the limited capacity of human vision and human sense of smell. So Aloha could claim quite easily that it met all legal standards without paying any attention to scientific truths.

2.

Neither Aloha nor the regulatory agencies thought it important to ask the question why all the neighborhood utilities were upgrading their methods to aeration or as to why those utilities did not use chlorination as the sole method, if that method was enough to provide clean, clear and safe water, as Aloha continues to claim even to this day.

Government utilities obviously cannot be negligent because they are responsible to citizens. Aloha did not follow the leads of governmental utilities because as a monopoly its customer base was guaranteed and no regulatory agency was auditing the technical adequacy of its method or contesting its claim of clean, clear and safe water. In fact, Aloha was allowed to self-regulate by the FDEP. Nobody except the customers and their elected representative Representative Fasano were demanding an independent investigation and improvement in quality of delivered water. Aloha attempted to neutralize them by the accusation that they were politicizing water issues. Aloha had paralyzed the FDEP by the claim that

it met all federal and state standards and effectively prevented remedial action by the PSC by legal challenges of its decision. Law had kidnapped the fundamental rights of citizens to drinkable water.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now Aloha realizes that it cannot do that anymore, nor can the FDEP and PSC claim that they do not have the authority, jurisdiction or indeed the responsibility to ensure that Aloha customers deserve better quality water and a competitive product. The judicial system, in the form of the district court of appeals, has upheld the jurisdiction and responsibility of the PSC to the captive customers of Aloha. The well-informed customers have also pointed out to the PSC that its legislative mandate is to interpret the Florida Statutes of Chapter 367 liberally to protect public health, safety and welfare. Further, the customers and the Office of Public Counsel have taken on the burden of proving that the water Aloha distributes contains elemental sulfur that is associated with the corrosion of pipes, and that Aloha may have known this truth all along. Mr. Porter has vehemently denied there is any elemental sulfur in Aloha's distributed water because he had to, because he knew that the main problems associated with converting hydrogen sulfide to elemental sulfur are related to finished water turbidity increases and the negative effects that increased water turbidity produces like lower disinfection efficiency, increased chance for bacterial

contamination and growths in the distribution system, et cetera, which have been the case for the last ten years, if not more.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dr. Levine has now established that elemental sulfur is formed in all of Aloha's wells and that elemental sulfur can be converted to hydrogen sulfide in the distribution system and the domestic plumbing just as well from sulfate. She has specifically mentioned in the executive summary of Phase II report an instance during the sampling procedures where hydrogen sulfide reformation was detected in the distribution system. We now know that contrary to the speculations of the consulting engineer of Aloha, the frequency of complaints about black water bears no relation, no correlation with sulfate levels in delivered water. Further, the customers have provided evidence to the PSC that FDEP had information that should have alerted it to the high probability that elemental sulfur would be produced in significant amounts at Well 9 as early as May of 1994, even before that well was brought online. We have provided PSC with all this evidence. We have shown that Chapter 367 of the Florida Statutes had given the PSC the authority and regulatory responsibility to audit Aloha's facilities even as early as 1996, if it had only understood at that time the urgent necessity to do so.

Dr. Levine in her recommendations explains that aeration or additional oxidants are very essential for reducing

the incidence of black water because of their ability to suppress the activity of anaerobic sulfur-reducing bacteria. That means bacteria that does not require oxygen for survival and which are very sensitive to the presence of additional oxygen in water. She even suggests that pH adjustments of processed water will be beneficial. Even before the scientific support that Dr. Levine's reports have provided for the need for upgrades in water treatment, the option of pH correction was recommended by PSC staff in 1997, but set aside by Aloha.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Much black water has flowed through the domestic pipes of Aloha's customers since they started complaining about the poor quality of water, but at least now we understand that inaccurate and incomplete science has prevented expedient solutions to the black water and foul odor that the customers have been reporting for almost ten years. What the technical review of Dr. Levine shows is that better quality water could have been delivered in the Aloha water system during the last few years if accurate science, instead of legalism, had been allowed to perform its appropriate role. Now that we understand what has been happening in the Seven Springs water system for over a decade, through the application of scientific research methods and the analysis of chlorine demand in each of the wells, it is time to move on to the provision of better quality water that can reduce the incidence of black water and foul odor in the homes of the long-suffering customers in this

area. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Yeah. I would like to ask some questions.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioner Bradley has a question for the doctor.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Yes. I just want to ask one question just to make sure that I clearly understood what -- your statement.

On Page 9 of your report as it relates to Well 9, do
I clearly understand you to state that, your statement that
even as early as May of 1994, even before the well was brought
online there was evidence that the water was going to be
unacceptable?

DR. KURIEN: Yes. In fact, FDEP files shows that the measured amount of hydrogen sulfide in Well 9 was

4.3 milligrams percent per liter. There was also a report along with that which says that whoever smelled that water could not smell hydrogen sulfide. At that time only the smell was necessary; the absolute value need not be reported. So I presume that the smell was reported to produce evidence to show that there was no hydrogen sulfide and, therefore, the well was brought online.

I recently went down to the FDEP office, and in the files of Wells 8 and 9 there's a report which shows the absolute value, and that value is 40 times the minimum required

for a person to smell hydrogen sulfide. So I presume the person who smelled must have had sinus problems that day.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: One other question. And I'm -- this is scientific to me, and I'm just trying to get to understand, because I've been studying this somewhat, and I'm just trying to get to understand some of the scientific components of it.

Did you also state that, that the chlorination increases the, the incidence of black water?

DR. KURIEN: It's not chlorination that increases it. If there is not adequate amounts of chlorine, sulfur forms.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Okay.

DR. KURIEN: And both sulfur and sulfate can be rewarded (phonetic) back to hydrogen sulfide, which is where you start from. You're taking hydrogen sulfide, adding chlorine. It goes to the first stage of producing sulfur, and then depending upon the amount of oxygen, it goes further to sulfate. So if there is not enough chlorine which provides the oxygen, the reaction will stop partially at the level of sulfur. Now sulfur unfortunately appears in colloidal form and sticks to pipes much more easily than sulfate, which is a dissolved substance. So if you have sulfur in water, it's more likely to cause black water, and that's why the FDEP has now introduced a new rule or a guideline, as they call it, which says that if you have more than 0.3 milligrams of hydrogen

sulfide in the raw water and if you use only chlorine, then you must remove the elemental sulfur before you allow that water to be distributed. And we had in Well 9 levels as high as 20 times the 0.3 milligram threshold which have been allowed to go into the distribution system without any filtration. And the area that is supplied by Well 9, as the PSC staff have noted before, is the area where the most intense form of black water and the most frequent form of black water becomes manifest.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: One other question and I'll be finished. I'm from St. Petersburg in Pinellas County, and I don't -- I won't get into the discussion about Pinellas County and its lack of drinking water and its interaction with the surrounding counties. But since a kid I've observed in Pinellas County at a fountain down there, downtown, and the fountain always put out what we called sulfur water. Is the water that we are discussing here in Pasco County the same as sulfur water? That's what the local residents, that's what we called it. It smells like --

DR. KURIEN: Hydrogen sulfide.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Rotten eggs.

DR. KURIEN: Yes. Rotten eggs.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: It tastes like -- it has a different taste.

DR. KURIEN: Yes. Yes. That's precisely -- and that is because hydrogen sulfide is being re-formed in that water or

it has not been adequately removed. I don't know which, which particular faucet you're talking about. But in the house is where there is water running all the time -- in fact, even in my own house where I run water every day from every faucet possible to make sure that we don't have a problem. But then on and off we'll have problems, and it is nothing, I presume, to do with what I do in the house. The amount of hydrogen sulfide or elemental sulfur that is in the water and how it is handled at the central facility has a lot to do with it because we have instituted all kind of filters. Some of our neighbors have filters that cost as much as \$3,000. And it's very interesting, the best filtration device is one that contains copper granules. They add copper granules to this conditioner so that the copper removes the hydrogen sulfide before it gets into the plumbing of the household. So, yeah, using copper as a sacrificial system to remove hydrogen sulfide. So we'll soon have no copper pipes left because they will all get dissolved away.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, Doctor.

DR. KURIEN: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Burgess.

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, the next witness I have is Dr. John Gaul. And, again, this is, this is the second witness we have that has a technical background and will

address the Commission in, in similar terms to Dr. Kurien, that is with a lot of technical information and conclusions. And so, again, I know the audience realizes the importance of that to the case that's being presented, and I ask you to bear that in mind, that that's the purpose of what we're doing here. Thank you.

Dr. Gaul.

JOHN H. GAUL

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

DR. GAUL: Commissioners, thank you for allowing me the opportunity to speak on behalf of the customers in our service area from Aloha.

My name is John H. Gaul, and I reside at
7633 Albacore Drive, New Port Richey, and I am a customer of
Aloha Utilities in the Seven Springs area. I have a Ph.D. in
chemistry from the University of Illinois, and I have worked in
the chemical industry as a scientist and a technical and
commercial manager since 1978. I am currently teaching
chemistry at a local college. I have been asked to author this
document with the collaboration of many others in our community
for the purpose of stating the technical issues existing at
Aloha that have resulted in the poor quality water we receive
from Aloha Utilities and our very serious concerns regarding

Aloha's ability to effectively resolve water quality problems in a cost-effective way.

1.8

2.1

The problems with water quality, odor, black water, stains, bad taste, et cetera, have been plaguing the Seven Springs area for a considerable time and are undisputed by all parties with the exception perhaps of Aloha. Aloha continues to this very day to refuse to acknowledge the problems as their own or recognize that they have the key role to play in ameliorating these issues. In fact, Aloha's methodology is the primary source of these problems and Aloha is the only source of a technical solution. We contend that Aloha has been knowledgeable of potential solutions and capable of making necessary improvements, yet has failed to meet its obligations to satisfactorily address these customer complaints and to rectify the unacceptable water quality situation.

Let me state some facts to start off with. Customers are experiencing black water and dark stains in showers, sinks, toilets, washers, et cetera. These dark materials are directly linked to copper sulfide which comes from the reaction of soluble copper, which could come from corrosion, with sulfides. The most probable and reasonable source of these sulfides is from the action of sulfate and sulfur-reducing bacteria on soluble sulfate and suspended elemental sulfur in delivered water. Odor and bad taste are clearly associated with the presence of hydrogen sulfide and are widely experienced, I

repeat, widely experienced in the Seven Springs area even by those without copper pipes. Adjacent water utilities are able, through appropriate chemical processing, to produce water without these problems. These are the bare facts.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

It is a well-recognized fact that hydrogen sulfide production in water distribution systems and domestic plumbing requires the presence of a variety of things. First, it requires the presence of sulfate or elemental sulfur. Second, it requires electrons. Now electrons can be gotten from corrosion by-products. Electrons can also be gotten from organic material that lines the distribution pipes or pipes in our domestic -- in our homes. So these materials -- electrons are readily available for this process. The third thing that's required is the bacteria, the SRB, the sulfur or elemental -the sulfur- or sulfate-reducing bacteria. The fourth requirement is low chlorine levels, and the fifth requirement is little or no oxygen. These are the basic requirements in order for SRBs to be active to produce hydrogen sulfide. And when you produce hydrogen sulfide, you will generate copper sulfide. If you reduce or eliminate or in some other way address these issues, you can control odor and sulfide corrosion. And I have provided references at the back of this document that indicate that. I have provided references throughout this text so you can go and look for yourself.

These facts are known by Aloha Utilities. Aloha

acknowledges that sulfate- or sulfur-reducing bacteria are the probable cause of sulfide production in domestic plumbing and that sulfide production is highly corrosive to copper pipes and will generate black water and the attendant problems of odor and taste, yet Aloha refuses to attach any significance to these events in customers' homes or link them to processed water it delivers.

2.

We wish to point out, however, that Aloha's present processing method produces water with the following characteristics, and, once again, I reference these characteristics. One, they contain suspended elemental sulfur and soluble sulfate. Two, they contain sulfur-reducing bacteria. Sulfur-reducing bacteria are ubiquitous. They're everywhere in the environment. They're pumped out of the ground. They are provided to us by Aloha. This water often has low and highly variable chlorine levels, this water has little or no oxygen, this water has low and highly variable pH, and this water is highly variable with respect to its corrosivity.

In other words, Aloha's water is highly variable and unstable and contains all the necessary elements to produce the very problems the customers of the Seven Springs area have been complaining about for years. We don't -- we need to look no further.

In an effort to deflect attention from its water

processing, Aloha has attempted to assign blame for our water problems on a variety of sources such as lightning, bad copper, home ion exchange water softeners that produce soft water and purportedly increase corrosion of metals, home ion exchange water softeners that remove chlorine and home ion exchange water softeners that remove the phosphate corrosion inhibitor. Aloha has actually recommended removal of softeners and replacement of copper pipes as preferred remedies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is disingenuous for Aloha to invoke such unlikely, obscure and low probability causes for widespread black water and foul smells in domestic plumbing when there are glaring inadequacies in the properties of the processed water coming from Aloha that are definitively linked to sulfide production and the associated black water and foul smells. In addition, we dispute their claim that ion exchange water softeners increase corrosion by softening water, and I provided references that dispute that. And we dispute their claim that ion exchange water softeners remove all the chlorine from incoming water and are the source of the problem. softeners can reduce chlorine levels somewhat, but customers who do not have home water softeners still experience the full range of problems. Finally, it is known that ion exchange water softeners do not remove the corrosion inhibitor added by Aloha.

The glaring inadequacies in Aloha's processed water

that are the source of the problems in the Seven Springs area are reliance on chlorination as the sole processing method for the dual purpose of sulfide oxidation and disinfection; a lack of adequate process controls to ensure tight control of chlorine residual and other processed water parameters. So it goes just beyond just controlling chlorine. There are other parameters that need to be controlled. And, third, a complete lack of oxygen in processed water.

In her Phase II report, Dr. Levine did not state explicitly the technical inadequacies in Aloha's processing that would justify the upgrades she is recommending. She did not state them clearly. However, it is no coincidence that her recommended upgrades involve the very things we're talking about: Adding a second process, putting oxygen into the water, and exercising tighter control over processing parameters.

Aloha has been or should have been aware that improvements in these three areas taken together would have made significant improvements in the quality of water they provided and would have gone a long way in addressing the issues that customers have been complaining about for years. Without addressing all three of these issues together, it will be almost impossible to solve the water quality problems currently being experienced.

However, we must also state clearly, very clearly that even after focusing on these three areas listed above, the

technical task will not be complete. Water chemistry is complex but manageable for organizations with technical depth and competence. In addition to employing multiple methods to remove sulfide, maintaining high levels of chlorine residual under tight tolerances and oxygenating the water, there are other water parameters that must be balanced in this broad context to ensure that other problems are not created. It will be necessary to have a robust treatment process and control system that will maintain the correct balance between dissolved oxygen, high pH, alkalinity and corrosion control additives, if they're necessary, to create safe and stable water. In other words, once the general approach to correct the water quality problem is decided on, there will be considerable work remaining to balance and control other water parameters and find the correct process window that produces clear, clean and safe water that is free of problems significant to customers. And I want to emphasize this idea of a process window, and I will come back to this later on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Based on Aloha's current performance in supplying water of unacceptable quality and variability, their refusal to deal with technical issues and a complete disregard for ever-increasing levels of customer dissatisfaction, the Seven Springs customers of Aloha are adamant in their belief that Aloha does not possess the technical skills and management attitude necessary to operate a more complex process.

Aloha has not demonstrated the necessary motivation to exert themselves on behalf of their customers to provide a clear, clean and safe product above minimum standards free from water quality problems. Future processes will necessarily be more complex and require higher levels of professionalism, technical competence and customer-oriented problem solving. It is a major concern for us, as I'm sure it is for the PSC, that future problems coming from a new process will be met with the same technical intransigence and denial that will involve us all in another cycle of complaints, technical misdirection and legal maneuvers. We feel that any process that is approved must only be permitted to go forward with tight oversight by the PSC, other governmental bodies, and, I emphasize, customer-selected technical auditors to ensure that this cycle is broken.

2.2

But what is our situation today? Dr. Levine has submitted her Phase I and Phase II reports of her analysis of Aloha's processing and distribution system. For the benefit of the Commissioners, we must first correct the impression that this analysis was an audit of Aloha. An audit implies a complete analysis without restrictions or limitations of any kind. The actual study that was conducted was a very cursory and static snapshot of Aloha's processing and distribution system. I want to emphasize static. This is not a criticism of Dr. Levine. I want to also emphasize that. This is not a

criticism of Dr. Levine, but rather a reflection of the fact that this was all she could negotiate from a very, very reluctant Aloha Utilities. Recall that Aloha fought the customers in seeking this technical review. This very cursory evaluation did not generate enough data for Dr. Levine to comment much beyond saying that they met minimum standards; something we have never disputed. I liken her task to trying to describe a movie plot using only two still frames from the film. You are certainly able to say something about the film, but you will never truly understand the full picture. And this is what she was working with, two snapshots taken a month apart with limited set of data and trying to draw some reasonable conclusions about a complex dynamic process. Despite the limited scope of this analysis, I must point out that this set of data is probably the best data that exists on Aloha Utilities. Even with this limitation, Dr. Levine, as someone skilled in the art, was able to make generic recommendations for improvements to Aloha's system without the need for a large In fact, what was needed was so obvious that Dr. Levine shared her recommendations with Aloha before we even finished collecting the samples for the audit, let alone doing the analysis. Therefore, we must recognize that these recommendations did not evolve from data from the audit, but rather from a general knowledge possessed by Dr. Levine and which we contend Aloha should also have known.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

To this day, this very day, Aloha formally denies any 1 responsibility for the black water problem in its 2 communications to customers. They continue to say there is 3 nothing they can do. We know that this is not true. Aloha, 4 5 now faced with an impending switch to chloramines in Pasco 6 County, finds that they must make process changes quickly if 7 they wish to purchase water from Pasco County after January 2005. Without any data, without any data to support 8 9 their actions, they are now embracing Dr. Levine's suggestions, 10 but have cavalierly discarded any solutions that don't meet their January 2005 time line. Their selection, made without 11 any supporting data, is based entirely on expediency with 12 13 respect to their objectives and is not based on solving customers' black water problems. In fact, they go out of their 14 15 way to be clear that they are not promising an improvement in 16 black water, odor or taste. They are making the selection 17 without conducting studies that will allow a proper technical 18 or financial evaluation of the alternatives. They propose a 19 crash study for only one option over a four-to-six-week period for a process that they admit has never been tested or 20 21 implemented anywhere in Florida. While the generic idea of Dr. 22 Levine meets the criteria that should help alleviate the black 23 water problem, the unknown technical and financial problems in this experiment are enormous. I want to emphasize 24 "experiment." 25

We arrive at this situation for one reason: 1 has denied its responsibility for black water in the Seven 2 Springs area for years. It has failed to seek cost-effective 3 solutions and it has not conducted any studies nor sought help 4 5 such as from Dr. Levine to establish a sound database for 6 proposing and implementing cost-effective upgrades to the 7 system. This appears to us as nothing short of managerial and 8 technical incompetence on the part of Aloha. Today they are 9 proposing using the customers of Seven Springs in an experiment to serve their own objectives, and they want the PSC to direct 10 them to do so. They are always looking for someone else to 11 tell them what they have to do so that they avoid the 12 13 responsibility. There is no data to support their choices, no concern for solving our problems, and no guarantee that they 14 15 will reduce or eliminate the black water problem. All they are quaranteeing us is substantially higher rates. We do not want 16 17 a company, who apparently cannot run a simple process competently, conducting major experiments with our money and 18 our water. They have not demonstrated a responsible and 19 20 customer-caring attitude that would make taking such a risk 21 prudent. There will be technical and cost problems ahead, and the intransigence Aloha has exhibited in the past will still be 22 with us in the future. No process should be approved by the 23 PSC unless Aloha can prove that it can operate it under very 24 25 tight control. Unless Aloha shows that it can and will

substantially improve the black water problem and that Aloha proves that it can do something at -- that it can do this at competitive rates, Aloha's apparent incompetence should not be made the problem of the Seven Springs customers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In conclusion, the Seven Springs customers of Aloha Utilities believe strongly that Aloha has known or should have known how to address and relieve its customers of the problems, costs, damage, embarrassment and emotional upset caused by the widespread incidence of black water, odor, and foul taste of a product in their control. That Aloha chose not to exercise incremental and cost-effective control over their product and chose not to seek meaningful technical solutions to customer problems does not bode well for the implementation of future processes that are necessarily more complex. A technical solution is already at hand. Pasco County already produces a quality product with characteristics that the customers of the Seven Springs area want for a price we can afford. Aloha apparently cannot match that performance either in cost or quality. It's time for the PSC to support and reward us and not Aloha. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Burgess.

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner, I would ask Marilyn Lambert to the stand.

# MARILYN LAMBERT

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State

of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. LAMBERT: My name is Marilyn Lambert and I live at 9136 Via Recreo, New Port Richey. I have an extensive background in the business world, including working for two Fortune 500 companies during my career. I just recently retired due to health concerns, but I'm still providing ongoing consulting services to one of those Fortune 500 companies.

During my career with these companies, we wrote many a business plan. I was just thrilled to hear you mention the good word "business plan." We managed customer relations group. We created training programs for the customer service department with over 100 representatives, and I was also involved in heading the national arbitration programs and managing warranty litigation for the entire Southeast region of the U.S., including Florida.

I tell you these things because these experiences impact on my observations of the operation at Aloha Utilities. When the Citizens Advisory Committee, the CAC as it is known, was first commissioned by the PSC, I was encouraged as a business person that we could work together to forward two mutual goals. The primary was to bring a coherent and comprehensive approach to resolving the technical issues involved in improving our water supply, and the second goal was to begin a rudimentary customer relations effort on the part of

Aloha to answer their customers' dissatisfaction with service and water quality.

The CAC felt that if we had an insight into Aloha's business plans or future vision for our communities, the knowledge might bring us closer together in working toward resolutions. We offered to work with them to furnish feedback to their customer relations program so they could monitor and modify it to be more effectual with their customers, our neighbors, and ourselves. We were met with consistent opposition. Not even a superficial attempt was made at sincere cooperation. Aloha's business plans would have reassured us that Aloha took their position as our only water supplier seriously and demonstrated their corporate responsibility and foresight into the growing needs of our communities.

Now, I'm not sure if Aloha had any legitimate one-year, two-year, or five-year business plans to share with us. The growth of south Pasco is amazing, but the surly attitudes and poor services provided to the original customers of our communities didn't change with the building of new communities.

Aloha's answer to customer service is to hire a public relations firm. And, frankly, after your opening statement this morning regarding your objections, I can't think of a company that needs one more. With the customer service background that I have in one of the major 500 companies, I

want to give you a jewel of wisdom from big business, and that jewel that we have been repeatedly told over our business career is that you never let your legal department run your business.

When we talk about the public relations firm and focus group ignoring the CAC that you commissioned, we have to understand that when they have focus groups they need intelligent marketing analysis, and I would like to know if they even have a marketing department at Aloha. You need special expertise, just not a public relations firm.

Our water continues to smell. The rings in our tubs, toilets, sinks, washers, and other appliances continues, and these problems exist in the newer communities as well. Aloha marches on without embarrassment. Customer complaints were ignored or rudely responded to, and the CAC was treated as an annoyance foisted upon Aloha by the PSC. So months later we have reached no resolutions. Sure, we had studies to say that our water is not dangerous, and Aloha proudly states that their water complies with minimum standards. We knew that before we started. These tests were pursued professionally by the CAC even though we knew what the results would be. However, we now know that we should consider installing prefilters to our water softeners and the filtration systems to prevent clogging by contaminates due to Aloha's overpumping. Still, there are no changes. However, there are rumblings about new programs by

Aloha that would cost its small consumer base, us, millions of dollars: 3 million, 4 million, 17 million, 30 million, 41 million. All these millions have been thrown out as possible costs for a cure for their problems.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If in my business world I had presented our directors, much less a regulatory commission, with figures like these in the amounts they are with unproven documentation, I would have been tossed out of an office and in the famous words of Donald Trump been told that you're fired. Millions of dollars spread across 10,000 people. Let's discuss this assumption, as Aloha claims it should be the responsibility of . an already vocal, dissatisfied community to further enrich and secure their position as a legitimate water supplier. Aloha has exhibited no corporate governance or capital investment over the years to set aside moneys for future development. They have not developed, most importantly, the technical knowledge a corporation needs to be successful in any of these theories, nor demonstrated any fiscal responsibility for using these millions of dollars. They have simply used Aloha as a family banking system. Take the profits and run.

Still, as they propose resolutions for their deficiency, there is not one proven legitimate plan among them.

No legitimate or reasonable business plan for the future has yet to be presented. Aloha appears to have no reasonable business plan to respond to the growth of the Pasco territory.

Their response has been to raise rates, provide poor service, be ugly to its customers, and threatens its users with outrageous costs to continue to provide minimum service. They expect the customer and the PSC to tell them what to do.

That's outrageous.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of their so-called resolutions would cost the customers approximately \$800 per year for a period of four That's the time frame they want for return of capital investment. How in the world can the communities of Veterans Village, Seven Springs, and Riverside Villas, to name a few, continue to exist with such a demand? These communities are populated with the elderly who have been on fixed incomes for 10, 15, 20 years. Demanding this type of investment would mean that a great many elderly would be forced to choose between food, medicines, and even keeping their homes, just so they could have water? Aloha's resolutions would cause widespread destruction among our elderly in our communities. morally unconscionable for the PSC to even consider any of Aloha's suggested resolutions, especially in the light of the best solution, deletion of our territories from Aloha and a simple long-term spread of the cost of \$500 of joining Pasco's facilities. It is my understanding that Pasco's connection with Aloha's ex-customers would be approximately \$500 spread over 20 years. That's not a burden.

Aloha's behavior in a normal free enterprise system

would have meant their failure as a corporation. Because of the monopoly status provided by the PSC, Aloha continues year after year to operate in the same unprofessional manner by using legal means to continue their miserable existence, and Aloha dares to threaten us with outrageous plans that come too late and that are too expensive to justify their existence.

Aloha has other talents that they need to develop and develop another core business and get out of pretending to be a competent water supplier. I've estimated that over the past ten years it has cost just one family member, one family member in an average household \$1,100 for bottled water because the tap water is unfit to drink or for normal use. We have paid hundreds in extra cleaning supplies to clean our toilets, sinks, tubs, and dishwashers. We've installed filters in our refrigerators, and we've installed water softeners and filtration systems, some costing about \$3,000, to make the water tolerable for laundry and cooking, but you still can't make a cup of coffee from the tap water.

We have suffered with the damaged property due to corroded and prematurely broken industry standard copper pipes. I personally have had to replace three showerheads, two sinks, a dishwasher, fix one leak, and am considering the replacement of a bathtub. It's cost me about \$1,300. Aloha's response is to tell us that our expectations for their performance is too high. We have normal, only normal expectations for basic

drinkable water, customer service, and respectful discourse.

The past few months have demonstrated that these common services and treatments are not to be provided by this irresponsible corporate citizen. The failure of Aloha to work with the CAC, respond to the PSC demands, and to have the foresight into the future needs of our communities leaves us in a supportable position of asking that our communities be

deleted from the Aloha service area.

And when Aloha's fiscal irresponsibility is added to the failure to follow the directions of the PSC, their license is in jeopardy, and you should check to see what they need to do to maintain their license. It is the PSC's responsibility to protect its citizens' health, safety, and welfare. Forcing us to keep Aloha violates all of those concerns.

We are asking exactly, directly, purposely to release us from the burden of Aloha. We don't want to suffer anymore. Firms that do not respond to their customer base, their governmental direction, and do not participate in the well being of our society do not deserve to be rewarded with additional territory or to keep their existing territory.

You know, Florida is proud that it has a powerful state lemon law. Three unresolved complaints for the same problems in a \$30,000 vehicle can be easily returned to the manufacturer. We challenge the Commission, we commission you to provide us the same type of protection. We have complained

	lor over ten years without resolution. We wish you to know
2	that we will be petitioning the Department of Consumer
3	Protection and the AG's Office to further investigate our
4	issues and to protect our elderly against unnecessary costs,
5	degradation, and to fight for them to keep their food, their
6	medicine, and their homes. We want a new day without Aloha.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Burgess, before you call
8	your next witness, I was just going to make an inquiry. Is
9	there anyone here today from the county, county government,
10	county utilities?
11	MR. FOREHAND: Ann Hildebrand said that she would try
12	to be here. She had a meeting this morning.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry. You need to get to
14	a microphone and identify yourself.
15	MR. FOREHAND: Is this on? Okay. Ann Hildebrand
16	said that she would try to be here this morning. She had an
17	earlier meeting, and we'd hope to see her very soon.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Very well. Mr. Burgess,
19	you may call your next witness.
20	MR. BURGESS: Yes. Harry Hawcroft.
21	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Hawcroft, you may proceed.
22	HARRY HAWCROFT
23	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State
24	of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
25	DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. HAWCROFT: Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Harry Hawcroft and I live at 1612 Boswell Lane, Trinity, Florida. I worked for General Motors for 32 years. In Warren, Ohio, I was a journeyman electrician for 26 years. During that time, I was involved in the construction of a water treatment project at the plant location. At the completion of the project, I worked at the treatment facility as an electrical maintenance troubleshooter. This job required a detailed knowledge of all of the electromechanical systems and all of the instrumentation of the chemical processing in the plant facility. This skill encouraged me to join the team of customers who are trying to solve the water quality problems in the Aloha Seven Springs area. The team has had a long and difficult journey to bring you the information that will be presented here today. 15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Although we do not profess to have all of the answers, many research hours have been spent trying to find solutions to the customers' concerns. This information will be made available to the PSC and its staff members with all of the references that have been gathered.

Aloha Utilities chose not to be part of any joint efforts with the customers group with respect to any solutions to remedy the consumers' water quality concerns. information we gathered could have been shared in an open forum of discussion to work on issues that would have produced a

win-win situation for all parties involved. However, Aloha took a legalistic posture towards the customers' water quality team, and we received no information or cooperation from the utility. I am pleased to inform you that we were able to go on without the utility and find most of the answers to the questions that will help the PSC and its staff members to make the right decisions for the customers' needs.

As I stand here today, I cannot say that we have all of the answers to this water quality problem. We do have a clear picture of the types of water treatment systems adjacent to the Aloha Seven Springs area and how they operate and how they have reduced the risk of black water in their distribution systems.

All of the utilities that the Better Water Quality group contacted received us with a level of cooperation that was very informational and honest. Although an official request by the Chairman of the Citizens Advisory Committee was made to the Aloha Utilities, the utility refused to give the group a tour of its water treatment system. Aloha cited 9/11 and the security risk that we would present.

As a result of PSC Chairman's closing comments on February 17th of 2004 at an agenda conference were, "Today is day one, go back and get a productive customers meeting with Aloha Utilities," the customers' scientific and engineering group offered to meet with Aloha to discuss water quality

options the utility might want to explore. Aloha Utilities did not respond to our offer to meet with the customers' leaders to discuss possible solutions they could offer. It would appear that Aloha representatives did not hear the Commission Chairman when he said, "This is the start of a new day."

The final part of my presentation is very important. It deals with water availability and the alternate water sources that the customers of the Seven Springs area can utilize. Aloha Utilities' current water source is drawn from the aquifer that is located within the Northern Tampa Bay Water Use Caution Area as designated by SWFWMD. SWFWMD has identified critical water supply concerns within this area. This area is informally known as the well field impact area, where the increasing impacts of groundwater withdrawals to this area is causing harm to the wetlands and lakes. Certain groundwater withdrawals are subject to an agreement for scheduled reduction to reduce the impacts on the well fields.

Due to the fact that Aloha Utilities operates within this impact area, new withdrawals of groundwater are not available to supply Aloha's water needs. Aloha Utilities' current water use permit from SWFWMD allows for 2.04 million gallons a day annual average daily withdrawal from the well fields. Aloha is currently pumping three million gallons a day annual average daily or an additional one million gallons annual average daily amount. This places

the Aloha Utilities out of conformance with the water use permit issued by SWFWMD.

1.0

What are the customers' options with the Aloha Utilities limited source of water from its existing wells?

Option 1 for the customers would be if we stay with Aloha. Aloha estimates water demand will rise to six million gallons per day in the foreseeable future. Where will Aloha get this water from? It will most likely have to buy the water at a bulk rate from Pasco County Utilities and resell it to the customers, resulting in an increase of approximately \$1.50 per thousand gallons. This would mean an average increase of approximately \$12 a month to the customer's average water bill. In order to get Pasco water to its customers, Aloha would have to make a substantial outlay to provide large connections to Pasco County Utilities' main supply lines.

Aloha has submitted two plans to the PSC for improving the quality of its own limited source of water. One plan is to build three aeration towers at a cost of \$17 million. The other plan is to build a membrane technology treatment system that would cost between \$20 to \$30 million. Who will pay for these costs? We will through significantly higher water rates.

Option 2 to the customers would be to connect to

Pasco County as its retail customers. It is another way we can
receive water that is guaranteed through the Tampa Bay Water

Board. It is a direct connection to Pasco County as retail customers. Yes, this option will increase the cost of water to the customers, but it is an option that is more affordable for the customers. Yes, Pasco County Utilities will have to increase the size of its infrastructure to bring the water to the Seven Springs area. Yes, the county will charge a connection fee of \$556 per household. However, the county has already set a precedent of allowing new customers to amortize these costs over 20 years at a nominal interest rate.

If water is obtained directly from Pasco County, customers will get water at a residential rate that is much lower than the rate Aloha Utilities would charge customers after they marked it up. Pasco County Utilities meets a performance standard that is set by the Tampa Bay Water Authority. Last but not least, Pasco County Utilities provides an opportunity for the customers to have direct input into its management through elected county officials.

Our water rates will increase over the next few years irrespective of the choices we make. However, allowing Seven Springs area customers direct connection to Pasco County Utilities would have the following benefits: Number one, lower financial impact for the customers, many of who, such as veterans, retirees, are already on a fixed budget; number two, bring Aloha Utilities into compliance with their water use permit, thus eliminating the litigious situation that currently

exists between Aloha and SWFWMD; number three, assurance of continued water supply from Tampa Bay Water Authority; number four, a more friendly and a proactive customer service from the Pasco County Utilities; number five, water quality improvements can be achieved in a shorter interval of time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Commissioners, the people who are here today are from all walks of life and a variety of backgrounds. These are the people that made this country the greatest country that the world has ever seen. The veterans, who fought for the very freedom that we hold dearest to our hearts, are here today. The firemen and the police officers that daily put their lives on the line are here today. The steel workers and auto workers who shaped this country with steel and automobiles that made our economy strong are also here today. The people who signed the petition are here today to ask you to do your duty, just like they have done in their lifetime. They ask you that you exercise the powers that you have in the Florida Statute 367, and grant the request of the petition that asks for the deletion of a portion of the Seven Springs Aloha Utilities area and allow that they become retail customers of Pasco County Utilities. It is in the public interest to delete the petitioners from Aloha Utilities. We want better water quality Thank you for your attention. And God bless America. now.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: No questions. Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Thank you, Commissioner. Bill Crean.

#### WILLIAM CREAN

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. CREAN: Thank you for inviting me to testify. name is William Crean, and I live with my wife at 1953 Larkspur Court, which is the Foxwood subdivision of Trinity. I first became an Aloha customer in July of 1999. We moved into Trinity Oaks, our last residence, and fairly quickly noticed some of the kinds of complaints or things that would provoke complaints in our own case. One example is, it was a very nice house, it had a large spa type tub, and if it wasn't used every day, the first amount of water would come out of that tub would be flecked with black, and the water would be kind of yellowish and so on. Another problem, but we learned to accommodate the problem, was that the pressure was so low that if I was in the shower and someone else in the home happened to flush the toilet, I ran in danger of getting scalded. I mean, the pressure went down so low that that water got so hot. So we learned that we'd coordinate the use of the water in our house and that worked pretty well.

In March of 2000 someone had mentioned there was a hearing, and I attended that hearing as a fairly new resident.

And we have lived in many places throughout the country. I had worked for a corporation that transferred me around, so I had a

lot of use of different kinds of water and so on. And I was curious as to how other people felt about the water. And I was amazed. And this is what struck me the most, the acrimony, the passion, the frustration, and the absolute lack of confidence and trust in that room for our utility amazed me. I had never experienced that before.

Now, coincidentally, in a way I guess, I had spent my life in customer service. I managed several customer service operations for a major insurance company. And I have been on the other side. I've dealt with customers after we've mass cancelled large groups of people or raised their rates by 50 percent in a given year. And I certainly have an empathy for companies that sometimes have to do certain things as they see it to survive. But I was really struck by the strong feelings in that room.

Subsequently, I would hear anecdotal things in the neighborhood. Some sections were apparently more affected than where I lived. And I heard of homes having to replace copper pipes. One particularly distressful case that I recall, there was a gentleman who -- he had cancer. As I understand it, it was terminal, and he had lived in his house a couple of years. His house was relatively new, maybe three or four, five years old. And, as I understand it, he had to go out and spend \$3,000, given his situation, his financial and emotional situation, to replace the pipes in his house. I got to a point

where I felt motivated to get more involved and participate in the petition drive. I did not only sign the petition but helped to circulate the petitions a couple of years ago.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Subsequently, we decided we were going to move to a somewhat smaller home in the same general community, and we were moving from Trinity Oaks to Foxwood. And one of the interesting things that occurred was when you buy a new piece of real estate, you receive a disclosure statement of costs and so on and so forth and I was given one. And it was predicated on the new home having Aloha water, and there was a particular impact fee as one of the various costs. About a week later, the real estate salesperson got back to us and said, I made a mistake. And she was concerned because our impact fees are going up about a thousand dollars because we're not in the Aloha service area, we were in the Pasco County service area, and the impact fees are higher. I almost wept tears of joy. The real estate lady breathed a sigh of relief. We moved into our new house last October, and we experience plenty of water pressure.

I think I mentioned earlier that we've lived in a lot of different places. Water quality varies somewhat from -- we lived in Philadelphia, we lived in Chicago, St. Louis, Los Angeles, Atlanta and it varies. The Chattahoochee River water is different than the Chicago River water that's different than the groundwater in Florida, but none of them vary to a very

substantial degree. They never bothered us until we lived in the Aloha service area. So, in effect, what has happened is I've deleted us from the Aloha service area, which is one way to go. I didn't do it intentionally, but I was very happy it turned out that way. I can only say to my former neighbors, if God is willing and the PSC can find away to grant their wishes, come on in, the water's fine.

MR. BURGESS: Wayne Forehand.

1.3

### WAYNE FOREHAND

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

# DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. FOREHAND: Chairman, Commissioners, staff, welcome here this morning. I'm Wayne Forehand, 1216 Arlinbrook Drive here in Trinity. You don't see a lot of bottles of black water this morning and a lot of this is because we've urged the community not to bring their bottles of black water. We're sure that you've seen enough of it. We think you made that clear. And we're here to talk about options and what kind of things we need to be doing.

I regret to tell you that I'm a customer of Aloha

Utilities. I was told that the Aloha Utilities' company

position is you are not a stockholder. You are not a

regulatory agency. This would imply that we, the customers,

are very insignificant to the company. Since I'm insignificant

to the company, except that I send them a check every month, on time every month, I must appeal to the PSC for relief.

2.5

Let me explain further. In July of 2002 petitioners asked for water improvements in 12 months. It's now 21 months later. Why haven't we seen some of these improvements from Aloha? Well, I guess the way we can understand it is Aloha Utilities first appealed the PSC ruling and tied this thing up in litigation for approximately a year. Then they wasted time and hundreds of thousand dollars on a study of a MIEX project. The MIEX project had just been written off by Pasco County after they studied it as not a viable option, but Aloha went ahead and spent the money on it and completed the study. And I suppose they have written it off because we don't hear about it anymore.

Then there was a study on membrane technology that delayed and delayed. Aloha then delayed the start of the second phase of Dr. Levine's audit. Last summer, Dr. Levine had time during the summer session where she wasn't tied up with classes to do the audit. But Aloha established some unreasonable requirements. Dr. Levine had to negotiate with Aloha for over two months before they would allow her to make the partial audit that she was -- they finally agreed to allow her to perform. All these delays were created by Aloha. Aloha has hoodwinked the customers and the regulatory agencies. Now, they're on a dead run foisting the hydrogen peroxide oxidation

process on the PSC as the only option. Now, what I'm saying here is Aloha has delayed and delayed instead of creating a business plan to improve. Well, wait a minute, maybe this was their business plan, to delay and delay until the last minute when they had no other options. I don't know because Aloha would not share that business plan with us. These Aloha guys are slick.

As you know, in 1999 Aloha Utilities had convinced the PSC staff to tell customers the only solution is to replace the pipes in your house. I have a copy of the letter from the PSC that says this. This would cost approximately \$5,000 in my home, probably a minimum of \$5,000 for my almost new home. Aloha has continued to distribute this letter in recent months and it's signed by a PSC staff member. Why would Aloha continue to distribute this old letter from the PSC saying the only solution is to repipe your house?

Now, in the paper this morning I read Mr. Watford says something to the effect that he cannot -- I can't make your copper pipes go away. But let me tell you, his water is making many people's copper pipes go away.

Dr. Levine's report clearly says that she cannot be sure that the options presented will fix the problem. Aloha has also effectively said the same thing in a recent PSC submission. In discussion with water experts over the past year, which we've done a lot of, it was repeated that a

combination of processes is required to yield stable water.

One process by itself isn't going to give stable water because water chemistry is a very complex science. Dr. Levine is one of those experts and she understands.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

We do know that Pasco County Utilities has multiple processes, a management that's willing to work with its customers and can provide good water now. This is verified by the presentation you just heard from my old neighbor, Bill Crean, also from many of our neighbors who live just over on Bill Crean's block adjacent to many of the Pasco water -- or many of the Aloha water users. A second part is for cost. It's quite clear that Aloha Utilities is going to have to buy water from -- a significant quantity of water from Pasco County at the higher bulk rate. They're going to mark it up, and then they're going to resell it to the Aloha customers. pretty obvious conclusion. Also, somebody has got to pay for these long overdue improvements that need to be implemented, and that's going to be added on top of the increased rate. Why should we be expected to pay Aloha Utilities a premium when we live in Pasco County and Pasco County Utilities has been very clear that they have adequate water and would be very glad to supply that water to us?

Now, with the antics of Aloha management much ill will has been created among the customers, and Aloha has very little credibility with the customers. And I want to focus on

credibility a minute, because defined in Webster, credibility is maintaining the public's confidence, and I don't see very much public confidence in Aloha Utilities. Aloha does not have our confidence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Last summer, I came home one day and I had a note on my door. It says, no one was home; water clean, clear, and no odor. I kind of -- Aloha Utilities. I kind of scratched my head and I said, why would they -- what's this all about? went out by the water meter, and the grass is growing over it, and I took a picture later because it wasn't disturbed. knew that Aloha didn't check anything in my meter, which I didn't understand. Later, watering some flowers, I found that my hose had been disconnected from the faucet by my side garage door. And I though, well, I guess Aloha must have -- so I thought, if they checked it, my water is clean and clear, I know they always take samples. So I wrote Mr. Watford a letter, and the reply -- asking, you know, what was the chlorine level at this time, did you take the sample from my side outlet and not at the meter? The response came back, yes, we took this sample at your side outlet. That's where it came from, and they told me what the chlorine reading was. And the chlorine reading was 1.83. Remember this number a minute: 1.83 milligrams per liter.

Now, also, I was not home. Aloha did not know that the water coming from that faucet outlet went through my very

efficient \$3,000 Eliminator water conditioner which is designed to remove both hydrogen sulfide and chlorine. Aloha appears to have provided me, the best I can tell, provided me with a reading that their management would like to see rather than the real reading. So what we did, I contacted Dr. Kurien.

Dr. Kurien has this \$500 chlorine meter. We checked my chlorine. We calibrated the meter, we checked my chlorine, and the reading that we got was .1 milligrams per liter. It goes through my Eliminator system. It's designed to take out the hydrogen sulfide and the chlorine. Over the next six days, I took four more samples. And all of my samples from that faucet were in that same range, .1 milligrams per liter, which would be expected after being through the water conditioner. How could Aloha provide such erroneous data as 1.83 milligrams per liter? Is Aloha data provided to the DEP and other agencies accurate? Is only the data they give me inaccurate? We're focussing on management credibility here in what I'm telling you. This is only one example of poor credibility from Aloha Utilities.

Several weeks ago, the PSC Chairman told the customers and Aloha to start a new day. Apparently the alarm clock did not go off in the Aloha offices. Since that conference in Tallahassee, Aloha filed an appeal to keep \$278,000 of customers' money which the PSC wanted to give back to us.

The next thing they did, they have refused -- the Chairman very clearly said that he wanted us to work with Dr. Levine and Aloha. Dr. Kurien put together a letter -- or we came back and first formed a technical committee who could meet with Dr. Levine and Aloha. On the 24th, Dr. Levine wrote to Aloha advising them who this technical committee was and asking them to go ahead and set up the meeting. We've had no response. The new day clearly did not begin at Aloha Utilities. And I'm sure the Commission is as disappointed to hear this as I am to have to report it to you.

Yes, this is the same utility that tells customers, you are not stockholders; you are not a regulatory agency.

What company, other than Aloha Utilities, would expect to have any customers with an approach like that? Aloha expects business as usual and continued protection. Thirty years ago this was a rural area. I expect that Aloha's family-operated small water company with a very small distribution system and wells that were not overpumped was adequate and that the family treated customers pretty fairly. Today, Trinity is one of the 100 fastest growing communities in the USA, one of the 100 fastest growing communities in the USA. Aloha is not a friendly, family-operated water company anymore. And customers here should not have to accept being told, you are not stockholders; you're not a regulatory agency.

Aloha is now overpumping the permit by approximately

one million gallons per day. That overpumping quantity is going to grow very fast with this rapid expansion here in the Trinity area. Deletion of territory to Pasco County would actually solve this overpumping problem for Aloha. Does that make sense? If our territory was deleted from Aloha, they wouldn't have to overpump; they wouldn't have to have litigation with SWFWMD. It would actually solve the problem. It will also solve the quality problem for the customers, the cost problems. Deletion of territory from Aloha is the only reasonable solution to fix the bad water problems.

When a private utility will not work with its captive customers and does not provide an acceptable product, the customers have nowhere else to turn except to the PSC. It is now well beyond the 12 months requested in the customers' position to the PSC for deletion of territory. In the public interest at this time we urge the PSC to let reason prevail by ordering deletion of territory from Aloha Utilities. It's time for the PSC to give the customers what they deserve, what they need, and what they want. Pasco County Utility water is not overpriced, it's very stable, and we want Pasco County water now.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Forehand, could you return to the microphone? There is a question.

MR. FOREHAND: Excuse me. I shouldn't have stepped away. When I grow up, I won't do that.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Mr. Forehand, would you, to the best of your ability, describe exactly what this Eliminator system is to us, how it works, and what it costs?

2.5

MR. FOREHAND: Yeah. I'll tell you what, I think

Dr. John Gaul can do that better. John has reviewed my system.

It's a KDF system which has the copper base that John described earlier that actually reacts with the hydrogen sulfide before it gets in there to remove the hydrogen sulfide from the water.

John, have I described that fairly, or would you like to elaborate?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Just identify yourself for the record again.

DR. GAUL: My name is John Gaul. This is one of a very few systems in this area. We need to distinguish, this is a very special unit. This is not a water softener or something like that that's very common. This is a very unusual item. This unit basically brings in water and uses a suspended copper-based spun fiber system to react with things like chlorine or sulfide in the water for the purpose of removing it. So it basically does remove all chlorine and sulfide from the water. This is truly a conditioning unit. Once again, it is not a very common unit. So we need to be sure that we're not confusing this system with a conventional home water softener system.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Right. And earlier, we had --

and you did an excellent job of discussing some of the scientific, as well as Dr. Kurien, some of the scientific concerns that exist and some of the scientific solutions.

DR. GAUL: Thank you.

2.2

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: I'm curious about this

Eliminator system, cost, duration of service. Is it a

system that is -- is it something that's widely available? And
the other question is this. When you describe the scientific

processes that would be necessary in order to purify the water,
my question would be this, I think I heard what you said, but
would this Eliminator system accomplish just that?

DR. GAUL: My own feeling about this is that you actually run into some -- you don't want to remove all chlorine from the system. This is a system that Wayne has that removes chlorine, removes sulfide, but he has other things in his system that compensate for that. The Eliminator does remove chlorine and sulfide, and the sulfide part of that is good. I personally wouldn't recommend removing all of the chlorine. I think that's appropriate to have chlorine in the system. So while this is a system you can go out and purchase, and people do, it's something that I don't think is necessary; it should not be necessary. I think it is very possible to produce a water with characteristics that have residual chlorine, have oxygen, have the appropriate pH, the appropriate alkalinity that is stable and consistent day to day to day. This is where

1	we need to go with the water. Having to resort to this extreme
2	measure is not something that customers should be required to
3	do or even need to do.
4	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: And let me make this clear.
5	By no means am I advocating that customers themselves have to
6	do this, but it just piqued my interest. What is the cost of
7	this system?
8	MR. FOREHAND: It was 29-something four years ago.
9	It's over \$3,000 today it's just short of \$3,000.
10	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: And what's the life expectancy
11	of it?
12	MR. FOREHAND: I think John can address that. But
13	let me tell you one thing first. It helps my water
14	significantly. I don't have as much black water as I had. I
15	still have black water.
16	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Okay.
17	MR. FOREHAND: The life of this system, we've
18	discussed it, and it looks like maybe it's a six-year thing,
19	and then I'm going to have a major operation performed. And
20	I'm four years into that today. And I'd like Pasco County
21	water before I have to replace it. I won't need it anymore.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, sir.
23	MR. BURGESS: Steve Odahowski. I'm told that he has
24	left. The next name on my list is Ed Wood.

25

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Let me ask one other question.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay.

2.2

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Since we were talking about that Eliminator system, are there any other systems out there that are being employed by individual homeowners that are even more effective and efficient as it relates to the elimination of black water? Are there any other systems that are being employed?

DR. GAUL: There are a whole variety --

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Could you just swing that microphone over just a little?

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: You need to give your name to go back on the record. I'm sorry, sir.

DR. GAUL: It's John Gaul again. Yes, there are a variety of -- a great variety of home water treatment systems that are on the market. Clearly, in our area, by far and away the most common is a home water softener system, which is pretty conventional and well understood. But there are many other systems out there. People use UV light as a way to disinfect water to kill organisms, there's reverse osmosis systems, there's the copper-supported systems that are in use today. So there are a variety of conditioner systems, and they have -- there's problems in using them.

As I was saying earlier, you don't want to remove every last bit of chlorine in the system. You do want to have some residual. So use of some of these water conditioner

systems is probably not required or recommended in some instances. In other instances, they probably don't do any harm at all; in fact, do quite some good. So I think that there's room to discuss around some of these very unique conditioning units as to whether they truly are useful or not useful. But I reiterate, we're not talking about those kinds of systems here in this area. There are few people that have them, but that's not the problem. The problems lie somewhere else.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you. Mr. Wood, if you'll swing that microphone around and give us your name for the record, please.

### EDWARD WOOD

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. WOOD: Yes. My name is Edward Wood and I live in the Wyndtree subdivision in southwest Pasco County. I am here today to speak as an Aloha customer. I am also here as a director of the Wyndtree 5 and 7 Homeowner's Association which is also an Aloha customer.

I have been an Aloha customer for eight years this coming April 26th. I thought when I moved to Florida it was going to be a very pleasant experience, but to my consternation it has become one of the saddest and most sorrowful times of my life. I'm sorry and I regret that I ever purchased a home that

had Aloha Utilities as the utility that supplies the water to my home.

I have read Dr. Levine's executive summary and listened to her presentation to the Citizens Advisory Committee. I have read her three recommendations that were included in the Aloha's customer notice for this hearing, and none of the three options that Dr. Levine has made are acceptable to me. The reasons they are unacceptable is because of Aloha's inept record, the stonewalling over the past eight years, and its dependence on regulatory leaderships and the half-truths that it gives to the press.

And this is exemplified by this morning's issue of the St. Peter Times when Aloha says, studies show that it is good as water provided by the other utilities in the area.

We're here today talking, and I've heard for almost three hours now, about the better grade of water that comes from Pasco

County Utilities. And then Mr. Watford goes on to say, I can't do anything to my water to make the copper pipes disappear. He doesn't have to do anything. They're going to disappear one molecule at a time.

Aloha is not a customer-oriented corporation. I feel that adopting any one of Dr. Levine's options would be useless unless you, Commissioners, plan on appointing a czar to monitor the Aloha Utilities' process. The customers would get no beneficial results from the option selected. I have also read

Aloha's reply to the PSC staff regarding the questions posed by the staff and the cost and time frame that is involved in incorporating them.

I would say that Aloha has danced around the questions with the answers submitted. I will summarize the answers as I see them. One, they don't have enough time to implement two of the three options; two, Aloha is going to have to purchase a lot of water from Pasco County; three, Aloha doesn't know if the process will accomplish the results; four, Aloha needs more pilot programs; and five, all of the above will cost the customers a lot of money.

If the state of Florida has any concern for its citizens, the state of Florida will give the customers relief from this unscrupulous monopoly, Aloha Utilities, that the state has created.

If we have to pay for Aloha to buy and process Pasco County water at a rate higher than we would have to pay, then why can't the customers of the Seven Springs area deal directly with Pasco County Utilities and get rid of the middle person, Aloha Utilities, that is not performing any necessary function? Aloha is a utility that is a disgrace to the customers it serves.

Two of the three options proposed by Dr. Levine would put a heavy financial burden upon the customers they shouldn't have to bear. The third puts a lot of trust in an organization

that doesn't have a proven record of responsibility, efficiency, and customer interest as part of its corporate culture. I wish to expand on the option that I would consider to be in the customers' interest. It is the most economical and will produce the desired results. I am not concerned that the water Aloha supplies allegedly meets all state and federal standards. I am not interested that the water at the meter allegedly is safe, pure, and clean. I am a customer and the water from my taps doesn't meet my standard of an acceptable product.

I can go into details of this undesirable product, but I have been told that the Commission is aware of the characteristics of this substandard product. If I was in the free marketplace, I certainly would not purchase a drop of Aloha's product, but I am captured by a state-created monopoly. I am currently forced to pay for a product that does not meet my expectations or my standards. As a signer of the original petition requesting the Commission to remove the territory in which I live from Aloha and award that territory to Pasco County, I realize that there will be some expense involved, but I also believe there will be more expense involved if Aloha remains the supplier to my house.

Why do I want to be removed from Aloha? I believe

Aloha is an unscrupulous monopoly created by the state and has

absolutely no interest in the customer. This is exemplified by

the actions they have taken at the CAC meetings. The CAC was created by the PSC in Water Docket 10503 (sic). Aloha refused, as you heard earlier, to let the CAC members view their operations. As a person who used to do audits, never did one single, solitary customer ever deny my corporation and permit me -- or not permit me to have access to their total operation and that goes for the many other people who did the audits also.

My question is, what are they hiding? Aloha's attitude towards the customer, which you heard earlier, is exemplified by their refusal to answer questions unless put in writing. How do you have a meeting and when you decide you have a question for the other person, not have to put it in writing and you'll get an answer next month? It sounds kind of ridiculous to me.

The statements made by the Aloha representative at the CAC meeting that they are not -- they're only customers, they are not stockholders, nor are they state or federal regulators, therefore, Aloha doesn't have to listen to them or do anything that they say. This is the CAC meeting that is supposed to solve problems.

Aloha is a great company for doing only what regulators tell them to do. This is exemplified in an application written by the Aloha legal team to the PSC for what became Docket 010156-WU, regarding treatment facilities. It

permitting and approvals will be obtained after the facilities are required by the PSC, the DEP, or other regulatory body.

Again, a little later in the letter it stated, the expansion in plant upgrading will only be undertaken once it is required as part of a mandate by either local, state, or federal regulators. This has been an Aloha philosophy in all its dealings with its customers. However, Aloha doesn't follow exact directives given them by the regulating agencies.

The best example comes to mind was the instructions given to Mr. Deterding at the hearing in Tallahassee on February 17th. Mr. Deterding was told by the Chairman, Mr. Baez, to deliver this message to Aloha. Aloha is to work with the customer leadership to resolve their problems or the Commission will resolve it. Several attempts have been made to establish meetings to discuss the potential solution to the problems. Aloha has again stonewalled this directive. They have refused to meet with the customers to attempt to resolve the water problems. This attitude by Aloha management is one more reason that customers should be freed from Aloha and let Pasco County be their water supplier.

Even the audit by Dr. Levine, which you have heard earlier, was stonewalled by Aloha for many months, and yet she was not able to get all the information you'd needed. Aloha's management has known that it has had a problem since 1996, that

I am aware of, and has done nothing except stonewall customers. Now is a time that -- and free the customers to be absorbed by a utility that cares for its customers. Aloha has had its chance and has failed miserably. Aloha has known the problem and has been trying to sweep it under the rug since the hearing in 1996. In that time frame, they have continued to supply corrosive water to the customers. It has been stated that only a few customers have the problem. If only one person has an unresolved problem, then any competitive company, they would be going to extremes to correct it.

When you are a monopoly, you don't get much respect -- you don't have much respect for the customer unless the customer has a method of getting retribution. In this case the state of Florida is the customers' first line of defense. There has not been an enthusiastic attempt by the state agencies up to the present to address the Aloha customers' problems. Many customers that I have talked with are of the opinion that the state is not interested in seeing their problems corrected. This is very apparent from some of the answers that are received when complaints are filed. It is a complete waste of time to file a complaint with Aloha because you can rest assured you will get -- you will not get the problem corrected, you will only get a form letter that tells you absolutely nothing.

We are aware of the alternatives that are available;

however, the cost factor involved is beyond the reasonable acceptance. Aloha and many other utilities like it have outlived their usefulness. Since they did not stay up with the times, they no longer have an acceptable place in the community. They have known for years that there was a problem. If they had management that could focus on the future instead of trying to maximize profit, they might have a better future. Hopefully, there are other management attributes than knowing how to file rate cases and stonewalling.

Twice in the past the PSC has ordered Aloha to do studies to eliminate the water quality problem. After spending large amounts of money on both studies, they were tucked away in a closet. In a nonmonopolistic arena, this would never be tolerated. But now we want to spend a lot more money to do more studies. Let's stop the studies now and based on past experience take the cheapest solution to the problem; that is, delete the territory from Aloha and let Aloha customers go to Pasco County Utilities.

If Tampa Bay Water knows that the amount of hydrogen sulfide should have a standard and be eliminated before the customer -- the water is delivered to the customer's home, then why didn't Aloha with its high paid consultants and engineers know that this was a problem? It took a study that the OPC financed and the PSC had refused to put authority behind to wake Aloha consultants up. This study concludes that the

methods Aloha uses to process their water is less than adequate to meet the standards needed to supply a quality product to their customers. Since it's too late to go back and revamp the system, release the customers to Pasco County now. That must be done before more customers are hurt financially by damage to their homes caused by Aloha's corrosive water.

Aloha is not a responsible supplier. This can be attested to by the number of hearings that have been held before the Public Service Commission since 1996. The legal expense that has been expended in these hearings has been exorbitant. This money could have better been used to provide a product to the customer that would meet their standard, just one more reason that Aloha territory should be deleted and given to Pasco County.

Finally, Aloha has been overpumping their permit for a number of years. They have been told to develop an alternate source of water. Two choices: Buy the needed water from Pasco County at the bulk rate or develop a system that would let them produce -- process brackish water. Both alternatives would be expensive to the customer. And since the customer pays for everything, I would think the customer has a say in what is to be done. As far as I am concerned, there's only one option to solving the problem; that option is to delete the territory from Aloha and free the customer to get a water product that is acceptable to the customer and not a product that just meets

minimum statewide standards when there are additional requirements to be met in the local area.

I request the Commission to be open to the needs of the customer and issue an order to delete the territory from Aloha and reward it to Pasco County Utilities. Aloha to Aloha.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: No questions. Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Joseph Mooney.

## JOSEPH MOONEY

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. MOONEY: Good afternoon. My name is Joseph Mooney. I live at 1054 Middlesex Drive in the Wyndtree subdivision. And I happen to be the president of the Phase II of that particular subdivision. I'm an engineer by training and by practice, but after this long morning, it's not my intent to do another data dump on anybody. So I'll just give you a few observations that occurred to me as somewhat of an outsider because I'm not in any of the committees or any of the other things, but we are customers as are the people that I have the privilege of working with in Wyndtree.

This is my second time to speak to the Commission. I spoke previously when you were here, the last time that you had a hearing. The only outcome of that particular meeting for me at least was a visit from Aloha with Mr. Porter convincing me

that I was foolish to have bought a house with copper piping and that I shouldn't use a water softener. I have rejected both of those because we have for years used both water softeners effectively.

As you may detect, I have lived in many parts of the world, coming from Ireland and being in the United Kingdom, being in Illinois, Michigan, I've even lived in another part of Florida, in Sarasota, never, never in my experience I've had any of the problems that I encountered when we finally settled in Pasco County. And so it's amazing to me that after ten years of deliberations and meetings and whatever else took part in that time that we're no nearer to a solution today than we were when I purchased my home seven years ago in Pasco County.

Being the president of the organization that I am involved with, I'm pretty knowledgeable of what goes on. And almost every month we see the plumbing truck in our subdivision with people having to replace the copper piping with PVC in an attempt to alleviate the problem that they are facing. For the homes that we own, that costs, I think as Wayne said, somewhere between \$5,000 and \$6,000 to the homeowner to do that. And from talking with them, it's not clear that it does eliminate the problem entirely, because even though with PVC piping, you still have the copper connections and the faucets, et cetera, that are there, and that, in fact, creates the black water, and of course, the smell can still be there as well. So it's a

real problem for the homeowners, not only now that it's now become really knowledgeable in the area that there is a problem with customers or homeowners that have Aloha as their supplier, it's one of the first questions people ask when they come to look at a home that's for sale. And so I believe that it is adversely affecting the value of our properties to have to admit that we are customers of the Aloha water system.

The other thing that I wanted to stress as well is that you've heard time and time again about why we want to secede from Aloha and join the Pasco Utility water system. I can testify to you from personal knowledge, our son lives about two miles from us, and he happens to have the Pasco system in his home and I have never seen black water. I have never smelt the rotten egg smell or had a problem -- he has never had a problem with that system being with the Pasco system.

You know, it's one thing for me to stand up and tell you about the problems, another thing if my wife was here. She would tell you how she fears to have visitors come because you know how it is with the ladies. The last thing you want is to have your in-laws visit with you and they're about to take a bath and it fills up black and that's what happens. So she would be more vocal than even I am.

But I appreciate you coming and listening to us. I know the morning has been long, but be assured that what these learned gentlemen have said, there's a whole host of us back

there applauding them, supporting them, and hoping that you, as the Commission, will see fit to move us from Aloha to the Pasco Utility water system. Thank you for your attention.

MR. BURGESS: Dave Harriss.

## DAVE HARRISS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. HARRISS: Good afternoon. I'm Dave Harriss,
H-A-R-R-I-S-S, two Ss, one of those old fud veterans and
retirees that they have all been talking about here, but at any
rate, I'm quite naive about this whole system and I just
realized that this morning. I'm a new homeowner; I moved in in
October; I don't have copper pipes. I do have hydrogen
sulfide, H2S, in my water, rotten egg smell in my hot water.

I was going to come in here today -- I prepared my comments yesterday -- telling you everything I know about this that I've learned, how I've come to learn that, and tell you my conclusions. I was then going to tell you a little bit about my suspicions and make a recommendation. But as I said, I found out this morning I'm naive, and I found that out because I read the newspaper article and I heard Aloha's attorney say he wants to refute everything I say. That fits in with what I learned four months ago when I called on Aloha. They said I don't have a problem. The rotten egg thing I'm trying to take

care of myself. So I won't go through all that. I'll just tell you my conclusion.

My conclusion is that my problem is not H2S, hydrogen sulfide, at the wellhead, as Dr. Levine says that's our main problem. My problem is sulfates and elemental sulfur in my water that in my hot water heater converts using these bacteria, sulfate-reducing bacterias they've spoken of, to sulfide. I get a hydrogen atom from the reaction of the cathode and the anode, the anode being the sacrificial tube in my hot water heater, creates hydrogen and I get hydrogen sulfide in my hot water. I can take care of this by eliminating the basic sulfates or eliminating the bacteria. I was going to, as I said, come up with some conclusions, recommendations on that, but I really can't. That's my problem. I have sulfate in my water.

Since I'm naive and found out I was this morning there's no reason to go any further than that. But I wanted to impart that, that a new homeowner, no copper pipe, I do have a problem. What I think my problem is I've given you as my conclusion. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Mr. Harriss, there is a question.

MR. HARRISS: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Just a clarifying question for the record. You said you are new to the community.

1	MR. HARRISS: That is correct. I have a new home,
2	built and I moved into it in October. I closed on 6 October
3	of last year.
4	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: And you have PVC pipes.
5	MR. HARRISS: That is correct. Yes, sir.
6	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: What about your connections?
7	MR. HARRISS: I'm sure there's some copper
8	connections. You can see a little black water, quote, unquote,
9	in the toilet bowls. I can take care of that with some
10	chlorine which makes the black water go away. But that's the
11	only place I've seen it, in my toilet. I don't see it in my
12	bathtub. I don't see it in my hot water line in the sink. I
13	don't have copper connections except that last little bit of
14	faucet there. All of my connections are PVC.
15	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: And you still smell
16	MR. HARRISS: Hydrogen sulfide, yes, sir. In the hot
17	water, you turn on the shower in the morning and you've got
18	that rotten egg in the shower.
19	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: With PVC pipes.
20	MR. HARRISS: With PVC, yes, sir. And that's created
21	from the sulfates
22	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: That was my only question.
23	MR. HARRISS: being reduced to sulfide, getting
24	the hydrogen, I have H2S.
25	COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, Mr. Harriss. Let me just make an observation. I appreciate your brevity. And what I'd like to do is we're not going to curtail anybody saying what they want to, but sitting here I can see that we're slowly losing people, and I'm concerned that there were perhaps people that were here that wanted to make statements and the hour is getting so late that they are having to leave and attend other things. So please be mindful of your neighbors. Make your statements as brief as possible so that as many people as possible gets their opportunity to make their statement.

2.2

And if there are folks that just want to come forward and generally agree and adopt what has been said previously and not reiterate every detail, you certainly are welcome to do that as well.

But, Mr. Harriss, thank you. I know that you came here, I think, with a prepared statement, and then you found fit to make your statement a little bit more concise. I appreciate that.

MR. HARRISS: Yes, sir. I was going to tell you why
I came to that conclusion. Again, because I found out I'm
naive today I will -- I wasn't a member of the committee, I
didn't sign any petitions or anything else, but I did hear
1,500 people or 1,491 or something else, that's now 1,501.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Ernest Lane.

ERNEST LANE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. LANE: I can be a little hard to understand sometimes, so if you want me to repeat something, feel free to ask. My name is Ernie Lane. I live at 1145 Hominy Hill Drive in Trinity. I just want to make a couple of points because most of what I had to say has already been brought up. But on the issue of changeover costs to hook up to Pasco County, it was my understanding that the \$500 or so that has been mentioned is a hookup fee and obviously that would be a substantial capital cost for laying pipe or making the connection or whatever, but as far as I know, that would be amortized over, like, at least 10 or 20 years, which is a good deal. And probably the phrase "good deal" in Aloha Utilities has never been used in the same sentence before.

You know, if you take a step back and look at the process, the way that it should work is that for something like water, if you have a problem, you could call the local utilities department, and if they're not solving that problem, you a contact the county commissioner. But here, we have a process now that's been going on for years and years and years, and not only do we get Tallahassee involved, but you guys had to come down here and it really shouldn't have to be that way.

I mean, the problem ought to be handled locally. That's the way it's supposed to work.

There have been a lot of big numbers used, and we know that no matter what happens, whether we stay with Aloha or go to Pasco County Utilities, that there will be a not insignificant cost on the part of the consumers. And to be quite frank, if I was given a choice of spending the money for something for Aloha Utilities to do or to spend money to go to Pasco County Utilities, I have absolutely no confidence that Aloha Utilities can or will solve that problem just based on past history.

But sitting at the hearings that you had in the past at the hotel over at U.S. 19 and at one of the meetings you could count almost offhand that Aloha Utilities was not at that time collecting all of the impact fees that they could. I think it came up in the context of, like, well, this thing is going to take a lot of money to solve the problem, and where are all the impact fees going or whatever? In other words, the money basically for capital investment or whatever has not been collected to the degree it has. So one thing you may want to look into is, from that time to now, have they, in fact, been collecting all the impact fees that they could have? Because if they needed a lot of money, either for a pilot project or for the real thing, that if the moneys had been collected from impact fees, as has been mentioned several times, Trinity is

one of the largest growing communities in the country, so if there was a lot of money out there to be had in impact fees and if it wasn't collected, why not?

As a single homeowner, I could say, well, on the one hand, it's easier to tell the builders, because there are few of them and they have interested groups and so forth, that we won't be as much of a burden on you as we could otherwise be because we want to be your friends versus all of these individual homeowners that, really, other than the CAC, have no special interest group or overall group looking out for them versus Aloha Utilities. And so basically if they are not collecting the impact fees and putting the burden on us, it doesn't matter to them from a business standpoint.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Any questions? Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Tom Simpson.

## TOM SIMPSON

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. SIMPSON: Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is Tom Simpson. I live at 1218 Hominy Hill Drive in the Trinity area. I am an Aloha customer since October 1995. I just want to briefly, before I get into any prepared material that I had, just comment on the article that's in the St. Pete Pasco section in the St. Petersburg Times. And having coffee

this morning, I quickly bracketed the last paragraph and wrote down my reaction. You are not going to gamble with me, you're not going to try this out on me, things to that effect. And it refers to Aloha's catch up kind of water treatment plan to install a \$3.5 million to \$4 million, according to their costs, for a hydrogen peroxide oxidation program.

And to read directly, Aloha has said it has spoken with state permitting officials and think, and I emphasize the word think, the process could work. Now, this comes from the interview or the sit-down that Mr. Watford had yesterday, I presume, with this particular newspaper. I really take objection to myself or others being used as guinea pigs and spending money to see if this thing is going to work. And I'd like to turn it over to somebody to pass this up to you. You might like to read it on your way back up to Tallahassee.

I had five and six pages of prepared material; I'm not going to do that. I really go along with everything that's been said here this morning and this afternoon. I sent a photograph to you about black water as evidenced on a jet nozzle in my master bathroom. And I also sent that copy to Mr. Wexford (phonetic). They did sent somebody out to my house unannounced. My neighbor told me that they had been there, but they had failed to call up and make an appointment. A few days later, they returned and I was home, and they came unannounced once again. And I asked them what they wanted, and they had

the material with them, my letter plus the copy of the photograph. And they said they wanted to see what I was referring to.

I asked them would they give me an assurance that once they saw what I was referring to in my letter, would they share their feelings with me. This they said they couldn't do. These are professional people. They couldn't do that. If they're homeowners and if they live in my area and they're serviced by Aloha water, they must have certain feelings, feelings of neither right nor wrong, they're just feelings, but they couldn't do that, they couldn't share that with me. I suppose maybe they were afraid of losing their job working for Aloha if they speak outright.

Let me just read briefly -- I won't read the full five pages, but let me read a comment, and it was made before very apropos about if you had your wife here, what she would say. So let me get into that in terms of my letter.

In that letter that I sent to them, I stated, imagine having guests in your home and as they take a bath, out from the jet nozzle comes long strings of slime, black pieces of God knows what. Flush the toilet and out comes the same material. The aerator on the sink's faucet is back, and the stoppers on the side is covered in the same way.

Mr. Watford. (Mr. Simpson displays picture.) In this same letter I stated, by the way, it is my understanding

that your firm still has not refunded all the money due Aloha customers as ordered by the PSC. I request that you respond to my letter and the accompanying photo within five working days.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will skip over the part where -- since I've already told you that they did come back and I wouldn't let them into my house. I'm going to skip over the rest of that and say, what do I want? Sever me from Aloha Utilities. Sever me from Aloha Utilities.

As I said at the outset, and this is in my other prepared documentation which I haven't read, the problem has been ongoing for the last ten years. I want better water now, not five more years down the road. Respond to the needs and desires of the vast majority of customers who desperately want to sever water service from Aloha. Keep in mind that as we continue to grow in population, Aloha, as in the past, will not be able to provide us with adequate, clean, properly stored, aerated and treated water delivered to our faucets. Keep in mind that the families in the Trinity area who have Pasco water and are not Aloha customers do not have black water nor smelly water. Keep in mind that Pinellas County has good water delivered through facilities on a county-wide water system. in Pasco should emulate the county-wide system. That makes good fiscal sense as well as bringing about a unified approach to water treatment and distribution. Sever us from Aloha.

The federal government accounting report --

accountability report updated, I believe, in March of '04 has several sections in it, and in one of them they talk about the Florida Public Service Commission is responsible for regulating rates, service, I repeat service, of utilities under its jurisdiction. Delivering black water, smelly water is not good service. I urge each of you and your staff not to let this utility nor their lawyers or PR firm to play games with us. Do your job. Protect the public. Stick your neck out. Take some risk and do the right thing. Sever us, sever us from Aloha.

Further down the page under current issues in the same document I just mentioned it's written, providing for future transfers of utilities to counties. This certainly should be a recommendation of your group. Move out, utility transfer out of the issue stage and into the action stage.

Aloha has served its purpose. It's time for Aloha to disappear. Sever the petitioners from Aloha Utilities. Give us water treated by Pasco Water Authority. Please give us immediate relief. Thank you for listening.

MR. BURGESS: Irving Gaines. Okay. He has left, I'm informed. Nicholas Teodosio. Okay. He also left for an appointment. Carol Tegarden.

#### CAROL TEGARDEN

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MS. TEGARDEN: Good afternoon. And thank you for coming. My name is Carol Tegarden. I live in Heritage Springs and have for about four and a half years. My husband and I have lived in the greater Tampa Bay area since 1956. Since moving here in the '50s, the quality of the water delivered to our various homes has been something we have taken for granted, as we should. You can't imagine our dismay when we discovered the water provided at our new home was of such poor quality. At times it has been discolored; almost without fail it is smelly. We no longer drink or cook with the water provided by Aloha. The expensive bottled water is just another budget item for us, which would certainly be more than it would cost us to join Pasco. We spend probably \$4 a week on bottled water. We make coffee with it. We cook vegetables. I'm Italian, we cook a lot of pasta, it takes a lot of water.

We can't do anything about the smell in our laundry though. I mean, you just can't get rid of it. Bleach doesn't help. Water products, you know, softeners don't help. And almost every morning an Aloha truck pulls past our house on its way to the hydrant at the end of the street. They open it up and let it run full bore for between 10 and 20 minutes. And I've asked the technicians on the trucks what the reason is for that, and it's because they need to get a chlorine reading. So they waste all of this water during drought or whatever, and we're paying for it. It's treated water. It's the same thing

that comes into our house. The water smells bad. It's flooding the street. It's discoloring the gutters. It's cracking the pavement. And this is an expense we're going to have to bear. Another gift from them.

I can only imagine how many times that same scenario plays out at other hydrants in the Aloha service area. I think that that is a colossal waste of a resource. We contacted the Florida Southwest Water Management District to ask why Aloha is wasting water like that, and they said that there is nothing they can do about what Aloha does with the water they get. Now we see in the paper that they have a PR firm, which they really shouldn't need, they're a monopoly. We don't have a choice. It doesn't matter if I feel good or bad about them. I'm stuck with them until you all do something about it.

I have a question for you now. What is taking so long? We need some relief. It's a substandard product. We're paying as much as people are paying for good water, and I think it's time that you all fixed it. I'd certainly appreciate it. And thank you for your time.

MR. BURGESS: Phyllis Preison.

MR. FOREHAND: I believe she went home.

MR. BURGESS: Okay. Linwood Oberg.

#### LINWOOD OBERG

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. OBERG: Welcome to this meeting, a joint meeting I call it. My name is Lieutenant Colonel Linwood Oberg, U.S. Army, retired, retired from the artillery. I'm not here to shoot my ammo today except for the verbal part; that I will shoot. People find me fairly reserved and quiet, but I'm going to open my burdens today because I believe in better water. I've been in this area since May 1999, undesirable water all the time. We spend an average of \$20 a month or more for drinking water and water to cook with. We cannot use it. At our age, my wife and I, we have to take a lot of medications and all that, and we don't dare to drink that stuff.

Okay. Next, I'm going to abbreviate a little bit.

Let's get to faultfinding. The fault with both Aloha and those in power to allot these franchises to the private monopolistic utilities is a big question. Let me ask you, do you give out franchises without thorough investigation, particularly, well, to see what is required for the new franchisee or allottee to overcome sulfites, et cetera, or whatever else is in the water? Do you tell your prospective seeker of a franchise what they must install to produce good water? Do you really have legal papers signed by both parties to the effect that under no circumstances will they be allowed to sell water to the customers that is not clear, clean, and not dangerous to copper pipes or to health and priced comparably to other utilities in

the area? Do you make sure they know what capital investment it takes to get into supplying water as a utility? If you fail in the above before signing up a new utility, you are then as much responsible as the new utility in producing bad quality water. You must serve the people who are also the electorate (phonetic) who buy the water.

Should my pipe start to leak under my house, who will pay to fix it? The state? The utility? Who could I sue if necessary? I doubt I could sue anybody. Aloha was ordered to refund money, you've heard this before. We got little of it. Can we trust them after all they have failed to do for us? We want better water now.

And there's a fairly simple solution. And I don't think I'm widely at any loss by telling you this. We can readily, easily, at low cost connect to the utility next to our area. They are much larger than Aloha and are equipped with irrigation and all the other things that's necessary to get the water. The cost to us would not be great, and in fact, our rates may drop somewhat from what I've heard. We really have lost faith in Aloha. We have been patient with them to no avail for a very long time. We no longer trust them to do right by us. If you let Aloha start a new project now at astronomical costs and try to get the customers to pay for it, we will absolutely start one hell of a fight, and you will be the cause of it. Thanks for listening. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER DEASON: No, sir, no questions. Thank you for being here.

MR. BURGESS: Robert Wickett.

#### ROBERT WICKETT

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. WICKETT: My name is Robert Wickett. My wife and I live at 8106 Tantallon Way in New Port Richey, actually, that's in the Trinity Oaks subdivision. First of all, I want to thank you all for coming here today because it's a burden coming down and visiting with us. I've spoken before in front of your panel at the New Port Richey library several years ago, and Mr. Fasano and his aide were there. I made a statement then, and it's the same one now.

I've been here since 1958. I've been in this area.

We lived downtown New Port Richey for 35 years. In all those years, my mother and dad had a home there with copper pipes, we had a brand new home with copper pipes, we never once -- and I'm a retired quality engineer from Unisys Corporation. If we ever had a problem, I would be the first one to go find out what is the cause because that was the nature of what I was trained to do. We never had a problem. So when Mr. Fasano heard that, that I had been there that long, he sends his aide over to the public -- the Water Department in New Port Richey,

which happened to be in the same building, and they found out in the past year -- they only went back one year -- how many complaints did they have on bad water for New Port Richey.

They had six complaints in one year and four of them because the street was torn up -- Grand Avenue was torn up for a water main. So they had four complaints out of that. So only two other complaints from all the residents of New Port Richey at that time. So it was kind of impressive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So my wife and I bought a new home on Wyoming Avenue. We lived there 30 years, raised three children, never even imagined that we could ever have dirty water, really. And we moved out here, but before we moved, we knew there was one thing -- I'm not here to degrade any company that's siting here today, these people, but we already knew that there were problems with Aloha and that would be the one thing that would tell us should we or should we not move. We decided to move to Trinity because we were downtown 30 years, and we wanted to move out in the country so we could retire. So we moved to Trinity Oaks. We're very happy there. I wouldn't move anyplace else, but we do have the same water problems that's been described many times. So my question is, if New Port Richey, the little town at that time, even now, can produce good water at a reasonable cost, why can't a facility like Aloha do the same thing? They certainly can do it, they just don't want to do it, and they don't want to spend the money to

do it. It's just that simple.

just stating what my feelings are. They can do it if they wanted to. Why they stonewall, I don't know. But we're asking your group to look into the whole thing, which you are going to do, and make a good decision for us. But if New Port Richey, the city, can produce good water, I'm sure Aloha can come up with something. But on the other hand, I would prefer to transfer to Pasco County Utilities because I'm familiar with the whole Pasco County organization for all these years, and I've never heard anything bad about Pasco County Utilities at all, any of their utilities. And the people that work for Pasco County are really dedicated. They are. They bend over backwards to help you.

I go to County Commission meetings, and we listen to see what's going on, and they are very cooperative, very, very good. So I'm saying we would like to have Pasco County water, but we're in with the Aloha group. And thank you for your time and listening to us all.

MR. BURGESS: Charles Hise.

# CHARLES HISE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. HISE: My name is Charles Hise, 1533 Jutland

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Drive, Trinity, Florida. Just as background very quickly, I was with the Westinghouse Electric Corporation for about 35 years, starting as a production clerk in the steam turbine division and retiring as a consulting contracts representative with the AWAX radar program. During my 35 years, I had -- I lived in many domestic locations and also in Teheran, Iran.

Based on what has been said here today, my involvement as a member of the Citizens Advisory Committee Aloha, C-A-C, CAC, and being an Aloha customer, I conclude a reasonable action is to move at least the customers of the Seven Springs area to Pasco Utilities. This would likely reduce the water demand on the Aloha system to or near what Aloha can supply without overpumping and could possibly result in better water quality to the remaining customers due to not overpumping. It could possibly bring Aloha within the pumping quidelines of SWFWMD.

An even better move, I believe, would be to use the police power of the state to dissolve or revoke the monopoly.

Most likely at the time the monopoly was granted, it was a reasonable action. Now, many years later and thousands of households and businesses later the situation has changed. The technology, investment, and water supply requirements have all increased many, many times. A much larger base of customers is necessary to deliver good drinking water in excess of legal requirements at a price equal to those of Pasco County. If the

state needs my property to widen the road for the good of the larger population by prescribed legal procedure, they will pay me a fair price for what is required by exercising their police power. Why does not the same principle apply to a monopoly for the good of the citizens? In exercising a monopoly revocation through arbitration, a fair market value could be established, the continued employment and years of service of all Aloha employees could be secured, and the customer, citizens, and wards of the Public Service Commission and the state would be part of a larger base. They can more reasonably meet their needs of good and plentiful water at per customer cost much --most of them can afford. Please exercise the power of the state for the benefit of the citizens, your wards.

You have heard Dr. Gaul and Dr. Kurien about their reactions to Dr. Levine's technical reviews of Aloha's water processing methods and facilities. You have also heard from other customers. I thank you for listening. Many years ago I attended a public service hearing on Massachusetts Avenue in New Port Richey. Customers carried up jugs of black water and, as I recall, many were not allowed to speak. Again, as I recall, the conclusion was Aloha met their legal water requirements. I gave up after that and never made a complaint because there was no use to do so. My hopes were revived approximately two years ago, and my thanks to you for this hearing is sincere.

Customers consider the need for quality water at a competitive price to be urgent. It has been approximately 21 months since the customers submitted their petition for deletion to the PSC, and I have not observed any improvement of any sort in water quality. Sometimes the chlorine odor at my tap water in my house is very strong in the mornings. I do not believe the easily instituted method suggested by Dr. Levine in her Phase I report had been put into effect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Customers have four reasonable requirements that should be applied to any resulting action from this meeting or by the Public Service Commission. One, any new method adopted will significantly reduce the incidents of black water and rotten egg odor of water flowing from the customers' faucets; two, any new or modified method adopted will not result in per unit water cost to the customers in excess of the per unit cost to the customers of Pasco County; three, the water utility providing improved quality water shall also be and will be publicly transparent about its processing methodology and shall approach any and all technical problems that arise in a scientific, open, and objective manner and not use legal standards as tactics; four, the water utility shall document it has contracted sources of water to maintain an adequate supply of drinking water for the Seven Springs or broader area, if applicable, for at least ten years into the future.

Let us shift to a discussion of two different

possibilities for the source of water, and I believe these have already been discussed, so I won't go into detail, raw water processed by Aloha Utilities and processed water obtained from Pasco County Water Utilities.

Now, I have additional items here which I'm going to skip over because many of them have already been discussed and because of the time. However, the Public Service Commission in the year 2000 exercises authority and jurisdiction by Order Number PSC-00-0581-FOF-WS to extend the territory of Aloha under an administrative finding that it was in the public interest to do so. I suggest the request of the petitioners today to delete the Seven Springs territory is in the public interest. Please respond accordingly. Thank you for your time.

MR. BURGESS: Harold Anderson. Jack Flood. John Parese. George Hinkes.

# GEORGE HINKES

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. HINKES: Good afternoon.

COMMISSIONER BRADLEY: Good afternoon.

MR. HINKES: My name is George Hinkes and I reside at 1304 Maravista Drive in Trinity. I would not be here if I were pleased to be a customer of Aloha Utilities. On the contrary,

I'm here for two very important reasons: First, to reaffirm to the PSC that our water is, in fact, absolutely foul, it is dirty and it smells. And the second reason is to recommend a solution for your consideration that would clearly be in the best interest and the least costly of all corrective measures being considered.

Two years ago my wife and I retired to Florida and bought a quality home here in Trinity. Our enthusiasm with our home and community was quickly dampened when we discovered the quality of Aloha water. After previously living in Illinois, Michigan and Missouri, we had taken for granted that water, our most precious resource, could be anything less than first rate. Our mistake.

When our children come to visit us, we hear time and again that our water smells and tastes awful and, to top it all off, they're convinced that they're dirtier after the shower.

When the grandchildren prepare their bath, you can actually see the dark sediment accumulating on the bottom before they take the bath.

In response to my most recent complaint to Aloha and the PSC, Aloha twice sent a technician to my house to test the water. The very first time I found a card on my front door that said, "Water clean" -- excuse me. "No one was at home. Water clean, clear with no odor at point of connection," and dated and signed. Well, that was a shock because I was home

all that entire morning and nobody rang my doorbell. The second time, it was a good thing my wife saw her in front of the house so I was able to -- saw the truck in front of the house so I was able to go out and witness the test at my water meter and tell you these results. Chlorine was tested at 1.3 and the pH level was 3.4, and I was told by the tech that these were both within an acceptable range.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Not being a technician or engineer, I sent two e-mails to the DEP asking for their confirmation that my water readings were, in fact, in an acceptable range. I received no response from either of those e-mails. However, I was since advised by some very competent and learned people, some of which were in this room up until recently, that my pH level is about half of what it should be. I inquired if the tech would do a hydrogen sulfide test, and was told that Aloha does not allow this test to be conducted. Further, she felt confident in saying that my house water most likely has a zero chlorine level because I have a water softener that usually eliminates all chlorine content. Absent the chlorine, apparently the sulfur, bacteria and corrosion in my pipes is allowed to grow with a resultant black water effect, my apparent problem. was here that she agreed to my request to test the water chlorine -- the house water for chlorine. This apparently is not usually done.

Now note this, the water from my kitchen tap was

tested at 0.9, which she stated was more than high enough to retard the growth of sulfur, bacteria and corrosion. Now you know why I'm confused.

Consequently, I could only conclude that abnormally high levels of hydrogen sulfide at point of entry is the true culprit here, and Aloha's president Stephen Watford should be promptly brought to task for his dereliction and accountability to provide good water to his customers.

Let's not talk about Aloha's compliance with the minimal water standards set by the state of Florida. We do not live on Alligator Row, we're but instead a highly desirable and growing West Pasco County. We deserve better than the minimal standards that Aloha is using as an excuse to dodge the hard facts.

Earlier this year Dr. Audrey Levine presented an overview of her audit report on Aloha's Seven Springs water system to the Aloha Citizens Advisory Committee and residents of the service area. At this meeting, Dr. Levine publicly stated not once, but twice that she would not drink this water. To that, Dr. Levine, we are in absolute agreement; we also don't drink the water.

Why are Aloha's levels of hydrogen sulfide so high when our neighboring water utility companies aren't experiencing a similar problem? Can it be as simple as the established fact that Aloha is overpumping its wells? If so,

and recognizing that Aloha will be faced with providing substantially more service to the hundreds of new homes yet to be built in the service area, it is incumbent upon the PSC to address this problem immediately. The solution should be clear to all of us, and that is dereliction of territory to Pasco County. It is unconscionable to be talking about spending millions of dollars for aeration towers, reverse osmosis systems, a hydrogen peroxide system untested, and passing that cost on to the customers. Commissioners, please, the most viable solution should be clear to all of us: Deletion of territory and assignment of the service area to the Pasco County water utility. Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Donald Baller.

## DONALD BALLER

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. BALLER: Gentlemen, I'm Don Baller. I live at 7424 Cambria Lane in New Port Richey, Florida. I'm here because of my water. I'm here for a couple of other reasons that proves that these people are inadequate in what they do, and I'm for going to the county. I brought all that out so I don't have to do that later. But the thing is I've asked them to clear my pipes where the dirt is in. I worked for a municipality for 21 years and I know what goes on in those

pipes. I was up in Minneapolis -- the City of Robinsdale, excuse me.

And what I'm trying to say is they need to be flushed. We have to flush them. It don't hurt the streets. We get rain that goes on those streets. The oil does not come out of that blacktop unless the sun leaches it out. So a lady brought that up, so that's why I'm bringing that part up. And I'm not chastising anybody on that part. But the thing is I had tried to get those people to come out and look at the job that they did on my street. I'm talking about Aloha Utilities when they dug the street up at various times. And it's sinking, and they didn't put it back together right. I know this to be a fact because I used to work with this stuff. They -- it's settling and settling.

Now they're coming around and they want to put in a lift on our streets, the blacktop, and that is acceptable to me. But there is no other holes on my one-block street.

Covino (phonetic) only goes one block right in that area, except 7/8ths of that street had been dug up at times. And Aloha, I've asked them to do something, they never even get back to me, and I'm showing you why I'm talking about this water and this street at the same time.

Now as far as the water goes, I've got a water softener out there that's supposed to have been made by somebody over by that big aerospace place where we've got the

rockets going up, that's what they tell me, and it's supposed to be the best.

As, as everything else goes, I've tried to get them people to clean those lines out there. I had another problem, this is with the county, of dirt in the street. Now -- and this all reflects back to the same thing that was blocking the water going to my storm sewer, which the water was sitting on the blacktop. That will ruin the blacktop. I couldn't get them to come out there for over a year -- this is the county. They finally took the dirt out of the street. Now it goes down the sewer right.

As far as -- I'm not a very good speaker, sir, so you guys will have to go along with that. But the thing is my water, I've tried to get them to do something on that. They're telling me that it's my pipes. No. That stuff that's in them lines is, it's dirt, and they have to flush that out. We had to do that. We only had the summer times to do that up there in Minnesota and we had to flush those lines all the time.

I guess that's about all I'm going to say. As far as the chemical aspects of this, these gentlemen over here did a great job on it. They told you everything that needed to be done on it. But I'm telling you just why I don't trust these people and I want to get away from them. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Bill Shepherd. Robert Zierden.

## ROBERT ZIERDEN

2.3

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida, and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT STATEMENT

MR. ZIERDEN: My name is Robert Zierden. I live at 1339 Saffron Way in Trinity Oaks. And I would just like to say I've been here seven years, moved here seven years ago. And when we moved here, there were nothing but cows and horses grazing out in the fields, and of course horses and cows aren't fussy what type of water they drink. Now they're being replaced by homes; young families investing their hard-earned money to have their first home. Please, let's provide them with decent water, better water than we've put up with for the seven years that we've been here. Thank you.

MR. BURGESS: Commissioner Deason, those are all the names that I have signed up. Obviously a number of them were not able to stay for the whole time or stay until their names were called. I don't know if there's anybody else in the audience that would like to testify at this point.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Well, I would note that we're scheduled to reconvene at 4.30?

MS. GERVASI: 4:00 p.m.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: 4:00 p.m, is that correct, in these same facilities here?

MS. GERVASI: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. I want to thank everyone
2	that came and participated in the hearing. As I indicated, we
3	will stand in recess until 4:00 p.m.
4	(Service hearing recessed at 1:50 p.m.)
5	(Transcript continues in sequence with Volume 2.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	WE, LINDA BOLES, RPR, and TRICIA DeMARTE, RPR,
5	Official Commission Reporters, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that we stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been
8	transcribed under our direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of our notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	WE FURTHER CERTIFY that we are not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor are we a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or
11	counsel connected with the action, nor are we financially interested in the action.
12	interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 22ND DAY OF APRIL, 2004.
13	
	Jinda Boles Fricia Demarte
14	Jucia Domate  FINDA BOLES, RPR  TRICIA DEMARTE, RPR  FPSC Official Commission
14 15	LINDA BOLES, RPR TRICIA DEMARTE, RPR
14 15 16	LINDA BOLES, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter  FRICIA DeMARTE, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter
14 15 16	LINDA BOLES, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter  FRICIA DeMARTE, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter
14 15 16 17	LINDA BOLES, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter  FRICIA DeMARTE, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter
14 15 16 17 18	LINDA BOLES, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter  FRICIA DeMARTE, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter
14 15 16 17 18 19	LINDA BOLES, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter  FRICIA DeMARTE, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LINDA BOLES, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter  FRICIA DeMARTE, RPR  FPSC Official Commission  Reporter