

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Petition by Customers of) : AUG -5 AM 10: 40
ALOHA UTILITIES, INC., for deletion of portion of territory in Seven Springs Area in Pasco County, Florida.) Docket No. 020896-WSMMISSION CLERK
IN RE: Application for increase in water rates for Seven Springs System in Pasco County by ALOHA UTILITIES, INC.))) Docket No. 010503WU

ALOHA UTILITIES, INC.'S RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AND MOTION TO MODIFY

Aloha Utilities, Inc. ("Aloha"), by and through undersigned counsel and pursuant to Rule 28-106.204(1), Fla.Admin.Code, hereby files this Request for Reconsideration and Motion to Modify, and in support thereof would state and allege as follows:

1. On July 29, 2004, a single customer of Aloha (purporting to represent two other customers) filed a pleading in this docket styled "Request for Reconsideration of PAA Order No. PSC-04-0712-PAA-WS Motion to Modify Order No. PSC-02-0593-FOF-WU. Apparently, the pleading is intended to be both a Motion for Reconsideration and a Motion to Modify the Order.

GMP _	2	2.	The Request for Reconsideration must be denied. The reque	st provides, on		
COM_	its face,	, that	t its seeks reconsideration of a Commission Order which is Pro	posed Agency		
CTR _	Action	Dula	a 25 22 060(4)(a). Fla Admin Coda, oversoaly provides			
ECR _	Action.	Ruie	e 25-22.060(1)(a), Fla.Admin.Code, expressly provides			
GCL _			The Commission will not entertain a Motion for Reconsidera			
OPC _			tion of a Notice of Proposed Agency Action issued pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, regardless of the form of the Notice and regardless of whether or not the proposed action has become			
MMS_						
RCA_			effective under Rule 25-22.029(6).			
SCR	RECEIV	/ED 8	& FILED			
SEC	1 7	8	DOCUME	NT NUMBER-DATE		

08507 AUG-5 &

The Commission, pursuant to Rule 25-22.060(1)(a), Fla.Admin.Code, cannot entertain the Motion for Reconsideration.

3. To the extent the pleading is intended to request modification of the Order, the pleading is, effectively, requesting reconsideration of that Order and therefore the Commission should not entertain the same pursuant to Rule 25-22.060(1)(a), Fla.Admin.Code. Because the Order is not final, it is not subject to modification pursuant to a defacto motion for reconsideration. Even if the Order was final, it could only be modified if a petitioner demonstrated entitlement to reconsideration under the established requirements for such relief. See, e.g., Austin Tupler Trucking, Inc. v. Paula Hawkins, 377

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, Aloha Utilities, Inc. respectfully requests that the Commission deny the request and/or motion.

So.2d 769, 681 (Fla. 1979).

Respectfully submitted this 5th day of August, 2004, by:

JØHN L. WHARTON

L BAR ID NO. 563099

F. MARSHALL DETERDING

FL BAR ID NO. 515876

ROSE, SUNDSTROM & BENTLEY, LLP

2548 Blairstone Pines Drive

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 877-6555

(850) 656-4029 FAX

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail or via facsimile (indicated by *) to the following on this 5th day of August, 2004:

Rosanne Gervasi, Esquire* Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0873

Edward O. Wood 1043 Daleside Lane New Port Richey, FL 34655-4293

Harold McLean, Esq.* Office of Public Counsel 111 Madison Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Senator Mike Fasano 8217 Massachusetts Avenue New Port Richey, FL 34653

V. Abraham Kurien, MD 1822 Orchardgrove Avenue New Port Richey, FL 34655

John H. Gaul, Ph.D. 7633 Albacore Drive New Port Richey, FL 34655

Mr. Harry Hawcroft 1612 Boswell Avenue New Port Richey, FL 34655

aloha\37\reconsideration.mot

3