

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and Purchased Power
Cost Recovery Clause with Generating
Performance Incentive Factor.

Docket No. 040001-EI
Filed: November 1, 2003

**THE FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP'S
OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S
FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS (NOS. 1-3)**

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, and Rule 1.370(a), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG), hereby files its Objections and Responses to Florida Power & Light Company's (FPL) First Request for Admissions Nos. 1-3.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS

1. The requirements of Section 403.519, Florida Statutes (the "Power Plant Siting Act"), and Rule 25-22.082, Florida Administrative Code (the "Bid Rule") do not apply to the contracts with Southern Company for which FPL seeks Florida Public Service Commission approval in Docket No. 040001-EI.

OBJECTION: FIPUG objects to this request because it is irrelevant to the issues in this docket. FIPUG also objects to this request because it is based on a false premise — FIPUG's position is not based on the applicability or non-applicability of the Bid Rule. FIPUG's position is that FPL has failed to meet its burden of proof to demonstrate that the Southern contracts for which it seeks approval in this docket are the most cost-effective alternative available.

2. It is uncertain whether the generation resources discussed in the Direct Testimony FIPUG has sponsored and co-sponsored in this docket as alternatives to the contracts with Southern Company for which FPL seeks Florida Public Service Commission approval in Docket No. 040001-EI will be available to provide output to FPL in 2010.

OBJECTION: FIPUG objects to this request on the grounds that “the generation resources discussed in the Direct Testimony FIPUG has sponsored and co-sponsored in this docket as alternatives to the contracts with Southern Company” are not separately set forth for admission as required by Rule 1.370(a), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. FIPUG further objects because the request is vague and overbroad. FIPUG also objects to the request because it again mischaracterizes FIPUG’s position. FIPUG does not support one generation resource or another as an alternative to the proposed contracts with Southern Company. Rather, FIPUG’s testimony notes that alternatives to the Southern Company contracts may exist in the wholesale market and that FPL has not met its burden of proving that it has adequately explored the market so as to assure the Commission and ratepayers that the contracts for which it seeks approval are the most cost-effective alternative.

3. The Southern Company generation units that are represented by the contracts for which FPL seeks Florida Public Service Commission approval are already in service.

OBJECTION: FIPUG objects to this request as irrelevant to the issues in this docket as it mischaracterizes FIPUG’s position. FIPUG does not support one generation resource or another as an alternative to the proposed contracts with Southern Company. Rather, FIPUG’s testimony notes that alternatives to the Southern Company contracts may exist in the wholesale market and FPL has not met its burden of proving that it has adequately explored the market so as to assure the Commission and ratepayers that the contracts for which it seeks approval are the most cost-effective alternatives.

s/Timothy J. Perry
John W. McWhirter
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson,
Kaufman, & Arnold, P.A.
400 North Tampa Street, Suite 2450
Tampa, Florida 33602
Telephone: (813) 224-0866
Telecopier: (813) 221-1854
jmcwhirter@mac-law.com

Joseph A. McGlothlin
Vicki Gordon Kaufman
Timothy J. Perry
McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson,
Kaufman, & Arnold, P.A.
117 South Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(850) 222-2525 (telephone)
(850) 222-5606 (fax)
jmcglothlin@mac-law.com
vkaufman@mac-law.com
tperry@mac-law.com

Attorneys for Florida Industrial Power Users Group

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Florida Industrial Power Users Group's Objections and Responses to Florida Power & Light Company's First Request for Admissions (Nos. 1-3) has been furnished by electronic mail, U.S. Mail and (*) Federal Express this 1st day of November 2004, to the following:

Adrienne Vining
Wm. Cochran Keating IV
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Harold McLean
Patricia A. Christensen
Office of the Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street
Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(*) John T. Butler
Steel Hector & Davis LLP
200 S. Biscayne Boulevard
Suite 4000
Miami, Florida 33131-2398

Lee L. Willis
James D. Beasley
Ausley & McMullen
227 S. Calhoun Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Jeffrey A. Stone
Beggs & Lane
Post Office Box 12950
Pensacola, Florida 32591

James A. McGee
100 Central Avenue, Suite CX1D
St. Petersburg, Florida 33701

Norman H. Horton
Messer, Caparello & Self
215 South Monroe Street
Suite 701
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

John T. English
Florida Public Utilities Company
Post Office Box 3395
West Palm Beach, Florida 33402

Michael B. Twomey
Post Office Box 5256
Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256

Jon Moyle
Moyle, Flanigan, Raymond, & Sheean
The Perkins House
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

s/Timothy J. Perry .
Timothy J. Perry