#### VOTE SHEET

## **DECEMBER 7, 2004**

RE: Docket No. 981079-SU - Application for amendment of Certificate No. 104-S to extend service territory in Pasco County by Hudson Utilities, Inc., and request for limited proceeding.

Docket No. 041207-SU - Application for amendment of Certificate No. 104-S to delete territory in Pasco County by Hudson Utilities, Inc.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission approve the Settlement Agreement entered into between the Office of Public Counsel and Hudson Utilities, Inc.?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. Provided the Commission approves Hudson's application for deletion of the Sea Pines territory from its certificate of authorization, which is the subject of Issue 2 of this recommendation, staff recommends that the Settlement Agreement should be approved in its entirety.



**COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners** 

# **COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES**

| May M. Dord                  | DISSENTING |
|------------------------------|------------|
|                              |            |
| maulio 32                    |            |
| Janus                        |            |
| Muslyhally                   |            |
| REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS: |            |

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

#### VOTE SHEET

#### **DECEMBER 7, 2004**

Docket No. 981079-SU - Application for amendment of Certificate No. 104-S to extend service territory in Pasco County by Hudson Utilities, Inc., and request for limited proceeding.

Docket No. 041207-SU - Application for amendment of Certificate No. 104-S to delete territory in Pasco County by Hudson Utilities, Inc.

### (Continued from previous page)

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should the Commission approve Hudson Utilities, Inc.'s application to amend Certificate No. 104-S to delete the Sea Pines territory?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The Commission should approve Hudson Utilities, Inc.'s amendment application to delete the Sea Pines territory. The proposed territory amendment is described in Attachment C of staff's November 30, 2004 memorandum.

# APPROVED

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should Docket Nos. 981079-SU and 041207-SU be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. Because no further action is necessary, the dockets should be closed.

